

## GENERIC MODULES FOR EXTENSION ALGEBRAS\*

XIANNENG DU†

**Abstract.** Let  $A$  be a tame hereditary algebra (finite-dimensional over an algebraically closed field),  $R_A^m$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) the extension algebra of  $A$ . A generic  $R$ -module  $M$  over an arbitrary ring  $R$  is by definition an indecomposable  $R$ -module of infinite length, such that  $M$  considered as an  $\text{End}(M)$ -module, is of finite length (its endlength). In this paper we investigate the generic modules of  $\hat{A}$  (the repetitive algebra of  $A$ ) and  $R_A^m$ . It is proved that  $R_A^m$  has at least  $2m$  generic modules.

**Introduction.** The notion of generic module was introduced in [1] by Crawley-Boevey. The concept seems to be quite natural and important. The generic modules even have a dominating position in the category of modules. In [2], it was shown that whether a finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field is tame or wild is determined completely by the behaviour of the generic modules for that algebra.

In [3], Aronszajn and Fixman gave the concept of a divisible module for the Kronecker algebra and showed that for the Kronecker algebra there exists a unique indecomposable torsion-free divisible module. In [4], Ringel generalized the work of Aronszajn and Fixman and proved the same result for a tame hereditary algebra. Ringel's work, in fact, showed that for a tame hereditary algebra, there exists a unique generic module. In [6], we solved the existence and uniqueness of generic module for the tilted algebra determined by a tame hereditary algebra.

Following [1], a generic  $R$ -module  $M$  over an arbitrary ring  $R$  is by definition an indecomposable  $R$ -module of infinite length, such that  $M$  considered as an  $\text{End}(M)$ -module, is of finite length (its endlength). Of course, the generic modules with endomorphism ring a division ring just, form the vertices of the (Cohn) spectrum of  $R$ . By [1], the endomorphism ring of a generic module always is a local ring.

Our purpose here is to investigate the generic module of the extension algebra  $R_A^m$  (defined below) for a tame hereditary algebra  $A$ . In section 1, we investigate the  $\nu$ -orbits of generic modules for a repetitive algebra. we shall prove that  $\text{Mod } \hat{A}$  has at least two  $\nu$ -orbits of generic  $\hat{A}$ -modules (Theorem 1.2). In section 2, we shall prove our main result on generic modules of  $R_A^m$ :  $R_A^m$  has at least  $2m$  generic modules (Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.5).

Throughout this paper, we denote by  $k$  an algebraically closed field. An algebra means basic, connected and finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra. For an algebra  $A$  we denote by  $\text{Mod } A$  the category of all right  $A$ -modules, by  $\text{mod } A$  the full subcategory of  $\text{Mod } A$  consisting of all finitely generated right  $A$ -modules and by  $\underline{\text{mod}} A$  the corresponding stable category. We shall use freely properties of the Auslander-Reiten sequences, irreducible maps, Auslander-Reiten translation  $\tau = D\text{Tr}$  and  $\tau^{-1} = \text{Tr}D$ , and the Auslander-Reiten quiver  $\Gamma_A$  of an algebra  $B$ , for which we refer to [5].

**1.  $\nu$ -orbits of Generic Modules of Repetitive Algebras.** Let  $A = k\bar{\Delta}$  be a tame hereditary algebra over an  $k$ . We denote by  $D^b(A)$  the derived category  $D^b(\text{mod } A)$  of bounded complexes over  $\text{mod } A$ . For the definition of derived category

\* Received January 21, 1999; accepted for publication May 23, 1999.

† Department of Mathematics, Anhui University, Hefei, 230039, P. R. China (xndu@mars.ahu.edu.cn). Reserch was supported by the National Science Foundation of China.

we refer to [7]. By  $DA$  we denote the minimal injective cogenerator of  $A$ , where  $D = \text{Hom}_k(-, k)$  is the usual dual functor. Consider the repetitive algebra [7]:

$$\widehat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & A_{i-1} & DA_{i-1} & & & \\ & & & A_i & DA_i & & \\ & & & & A_{i+1} & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $A_i = A$  on the main diagonal,  $DA_i = DA$ , and zeros elsewhere. The elements are all matrices with only a finite number of nonzero entries, and multiplication is given by the canonical bimodule structure of  $DA$  and the zero map  $DA \otimes_A DA \rightarrow 0$ . It is a Frobenius algebra and always infinite-dimensional. We know that the identity maps  $A_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}$  and  $DA_i \rightarrow DA_{i+1}$  induce an automorphism  $\nu$  (Nakayama automorphism) of  $\widehat{A}$ , and also an automorphism of  $\text{mod } \widehat{A}$ . Since  $A$  is of finite global dimension, we have  $\underline{\text{mod}} \widehat{A} \cong D^b(A)$  [7]. We may identify  $\underline{\text{mod}} \widehat{A}$  with  $D^b(A)$ . By [7],  $\Gamma_{D^b(A)}$  is the union :

$$\cdots \vee \mathcal{T}[i] \vee \mathcal{Q}[i] \vee \mathcal{P}[i] \vee \mathcal{T}[i+1] \vee \mathcal{Q}[i+1] \vee \mathcal{P}[i+1] \vee \mathcal{T}[i+2] \vee \cdots$$

Set  $\mathcal{C}_i = \mathcal{Q}[i] \vee \mathcal{P}[i+1]$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\Delta_0$  and  $\Delta_1$  be the complete sections of  $\mathcal{C}_0$  and  $\mathcal{C}_1$  respectively. Let  $\mathcal{S}_i = \text{add}_{\widehat{A}} \Delta_i$ , that is,  $\mathcal{S}_i$  are the module classes in  $\text{mod } \widehat{A}$  generated by  $\Delta_i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ).  $\mathcal{S}_{j+2m} = \nu^m \mathcal{S}_j$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $j = 0, 1$ . The support of  $\mathcal{S}_i$ , denote by  $\text{Supp} \mathcal{S}_i$  is the set:

$$\{P(x) \mid P(x) \text{ is indecomposable projective } \widehat{A} \text{-module with } \text{Hom}(P(x), \mathcal{S}_i) \neq 0\}.$$

Let, for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $A_i$  be the support algebra of  $\mathcal{S}_i$ , i.e.,

$$A_i = \text{End} \left( \bigoplus_{P(x) \in \text{Supp} \mathcal{S}_i} P(x) \right).$$

Then

$$A_i = \widehat{A} / \langle P(x) \notin \text{Supp} \mathcal{S}_i \rangle$$

and  $A_i$  are tilted algebras of Euclidean type. Since the main purpose of the paper is to investigate the generic modules of  $R_A^m$ , we may assume that each  $A_i$  is representation-infinite. By [6], for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a unique generic  $A_i$ -module  $M_i$ . Of course, all  $M_i$  are also the generic  $\widehat{A}$ -modules.

LEMMA 1.1. *For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have*

$$\text{Supp}(\nu \mathcal{S}_i) = \nu \text{Supp}(\mathcal{S}_i).$$

*Proof.* Let  $P(x) \in \text{Supp}(\nu \mathcal{S}_i)$ . Then there exists an  $\widehat{A}$ -module  $S \in \mathcal{S}_i$  such that  $\text{Hom}_{\widehat{A}}(P(x), \nu S) \neq 0$ . Hence we get that  $\text{Hom}_{\widehat{A}}(\nu^{-1} P(x), S) \neq 0$ . This means  $\nu^{-1} P(x) \in \text{Supp}(\nu \mathcal{S}_i)$  and hence  $P(x) \in \nu \text{Supp}(\mathcal{S}_i)$ . So,  $\text{Supp}(\nu \mathcal{S}_i) \subseteq \nu \text{Supp}(\mathcal{S}_i)$ . Similarly, we have  $\nu \text{Supp}(\mathcal{S}_i) \subseteq \text{Supp}(\nu \mathcal{S}_i)$ .

The main result of this section is the follow

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $A$  be a tame hereditary algebra, then  $\text{Mod } \widehat{A}$  has at least two  $\nu$ -orbits of generic  $\widehat{A}$ -modules.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A_i$  and  $M_i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) are as before. Then for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $M_i$  is also a generic  $\widehat{A}$ -module.

For each  $P(x) \notin \text{Supp}(\mathcal{S}_{i+2})$ , we show  $\text{Hom}_{\widehat{A}}(P(x), \nu M_i) = 0$ . If not, we have  $\text{Hom}_{\widehat{A}}(\nu^- P(x), M_i) \neq 0$ . This gives  $\nu^- P(x) \in \text{Supp}(M_i) \sqsubseteq \text{Supp}(\mathcal{S}_i)$ . By Lemma 1.1,  $P(x) \in \nu \text{Supp}(\mathcal{S}_i) = \text{Supp}(\nu \mathcal{S}_i) = \text{Supp}(\mathcal{S}_{i+2})$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $\nu M_i$  is a  $A_{i+2}$ -module. Since  $\nu M_i$  is a generic  $A_{i+2}$ -module and  $A_{i+2}$  has a unique generic module, we get  $\nu M_i = M_{i+2}$ . In general, we have  $\nu^m M_i = M_{i+2m}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By the structure of  $\text{Mod } \widehat{A}$  we know that  $M_i \neq M_j$  ( $i \neq j$ ) as  $\widehat{A}$ -modules. We get two distinct  $\nu$ -orbits  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2$  of generic  $\widehat{A}$ -modules:

$$\mathcal{O}_0 = \{\nu^m M_0 \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad \mathcal{O}_1 = \{\nu^n M_1 \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

**2. Generic Modules for Extension Algebra  $R_A^m$ .** Let  $A = k\overrightarrow{\Delta}$  be a tame hereditary algebra over  $k$ .  $\widehat{A}$  the repetitive algebra of  $A$ . We consider, for each  $m \geq 1$ , the algebra  $R_A^m$ :

$$R_A^m = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccccc} \lambda_1 & x_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & x_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_m & x_m \\ & & & & \lambda_1 \end{array} \right) \mid \lambda_i \in A, x_i \in DA \right\}.$$

As above, the multiplication is given by the bimodule structure of  $DA$  and zero map  $DA \otimes_A DA \rightarrow 0$ . In particular,  $R_A^1$  is the trivial extension  $A \rtimes DA$ . The category  $R_A^m$  is just the quotient category  $\widehat{A}/(\nu^m)$ .

For a fixed  $m \geq 1$ , we consider the canonical Galois covering functor  $F^m : \widehat{A} \rightarrow \widehat{A}/(\nu^m) = R_A^m$ , and the associated pushdown functor  $F_\lambda^m : \text{Mod } \widehat{A} \rightarrow \text{Mod } R_A^m$  and the pull-up functor  $F.^m : \text{Mod } R_A^m \rightarrow \text{Mod } \widehat{A}$  [8].

From now on we fix some  $m$ . In this section we show that  $R_A^m$  has at least  $2m$  generic modules.

We first prove some lemmas

**LEMMA 2.1** [8]. *For each  $N \in \text{Mod } \widehat{A}$  and each  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have*

$$F_\lambda^m((\nu^m)^r N) \cong F_\lambda^m(N).$$

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $M$  be a generic  $\widehat{A}$ -module,  $N$  an indecomposable  $\widehat{A}$ -module. If  $F_\lambda^m N \cong F_\lambda^m M$ , then  $N \cong \nu^{mr} M$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $F_\lambda^m N \cong F_\lambda^m M$ . Then by [8], we have

$$\bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \nu^{mr} N \cong F.^m F_\lambda^m N \cong F.^m F_\lambda^m M \cong \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \nu^{ml} M.$$

Since  $M$  is a generic  $\widehat{A}$ -module,  $\nu^{ms} M$  ( $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) are also generic  $\widehat{A}$ -modules, it follows from [1] that every ring  $\text{End}(\nu^{mt} M)$  is local, we infer that  $N = \nu^{mr} M$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

LEMMA 2.3. *Suppose that  $M$  is a generic  $\widehat{A}$ -module. Then  $F_\lambda^m M$ , as a left  $\text{End}_{\widehat{A}}(M)$ -module, is of finite length.*

*Proof.* Since we have an imbedding map  $\text{End}_{\widehat{A}}(M) \rightarrow \text{End}_{R_A^m}(F_\lambda^m M)$ , we infer that  $F_\lambda^m M$  is also a left  $\text{End}_{\widehat{A}}(M)$ -module. Suppose that

$$(*) \quad 0 = N_0 \leq N_1 \leq N_2 \leq \cdots \leq N_i \leq \cdots$$

be a composition series for left  $\text{End}_{\widehat{A}}(M)$ -module  $F_\lambda^m M$ . Since  $F_\lambda^m M = M$  as a  $k$  vector space, every  $N_i$  in  $(*)$  is a subspace of  $M$ . For each  $f \in \text{End}_{\widehat{A}}(M)$ , we have  $fN_i \subseteq N_i$  and hence each  $N_i$  is a left  $\text{End}_{\widehat{A}}(M)$ -submodule of  $M$ . Hence we may regard  $(*)$  as a composition series for left  $\text{End}_{\widehat{A}}(M)$ -module  $M$ . Since  $M$  is a generic  $\widehat{A}$ -module, it follows that  $(*)$  has only finite terms. Therefore  $F_\lambda^m M$ , as a left  $\text{End}_{\widehat{A}}(M)$ -module, is of finite length.

We can now prove our main result

THEOREM 2.4. *Let  $M$  be a generic  $\widehat{A}$ -module. Then  $F_\lambda^m M$  is a generic  $R_A^m$ -module.*

*Proof.* Since  $F_\lambda^m$  is left adjoint to  $F^m$ , it follows from [8] that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}_{R_A^m}(F_\lambda^m M) &= \text{Hom}_{R_A^m}(F_\lambda^m M, F_\lambda^m M) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\widehat{A}}(M, F^m F_\lambda^m M) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\widehat{A}}(M, \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \nu^{ml} M). \end{aligned}$$

If  $m = 1$ , then, for  $s \neq 0, 1$ , we have  $\text{Hom}_{\widehat{A}}(M, \nu^s M) = 0$ , and hence

$$\text{End}_{R_A^1}(F_\lambda^1 M) \cong \text{Hom}_{\widehat{A}}(M, M \oplus \nu M) = \text{End}_{\widehat{A}}(M) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\widehat{A}}(M, \nu M).$$

Since  $\text{Hom}_{\widehat{A}}(M, \nu M)$  is an  $\text{End}_{\widehat{A}}(M)$ -bimodule:  $g \circ f = gf$  is the ordinary composition and  $(f \circ g)(x) = \nu f(g(x))$  for  $f \in \text{End}_{\widehat{A}}(M)$ ,  $g \in \text{Hom}_{\widehat{A}}(M, \nu M)$  and  $x \in M$ . It follows from [9] that we have the following ring isomorphism

$$\text{End}_{R_A^1}(F_\lambda^1 M) \cong \text{End}_{\widehat{A}}(M) \rtimes \text{Hom}_{\widehat{A}}(M, \nu M).$$

From the definition of trivial extension of algebra we know

$$\text{End}_{R_A^1}(F_\lambda^1 M) / \text{rad} \text{End}_{R_A^1}(F_\lambda^1 M) \cong \text{End}_{\widehat{A}}(M) / \text{rad} \text{End}_{\widehat{A}}(M).$$

Suppose that  $m \geq 2$ . By the structure of  $\text{Mod } \widehat{A}$  we have that  $\text{Hom}_{\widehat{A}}(M, \nu^{ms} M) = 0$  for  $s \neq 0$ . Hence

$$\text{End}_{R_A^m}(F_\lambda^m M) / \text{rad} \text{End}_{R_A^m}(F_\lambda^m M) \cong \text{End}_{\widehat{A}}(M) / \text{rad} \text{End}_{\widehat{A}}(M).$$

Since  $M$  is a generic  $\widehat{A}$ -module, we infer that  $\text{End}_{\widehat{A}}(M) / \text{rad} \text{End}_{\widehat{A}}(M)$  is a division ring and hence  $\text{End}_{R_A^m}(F_\lambda^m M)$  is local for  $m \geq 1$ . Therefore,  $F_\lambda^m M$  is an indecomposable  $R_A^m$ -module.

Write  $C = \text{End}_{\widehat{A}}(M)$ ,  $D = \text{End}_{R_A^m}(F_\lambda^m M)$ ,  $\overline{C} = C / \text{rad} C$ ,  $\overline{D} = D / \text{rad} D$ . Let  $l_A(M)$  denote the length of  $A$ -module  $M$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} l_D(F_\lambda^m M) &= l_D(F_\lambda^m M / (\text{rad} D) F_\lambda^m M) + l_D((\text{rad} D) F_\lambda^m M / (\text{rad}^2 D) F_\lambda^m M) + \cdots \\ &\quad + l_D((\text{rad}^i D) F_\lambda^m M / (\text{rad}^{i+1} D) F_\lambda^m M) + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Since each  $(\text{rad}^i D) F_\lambda^m M / (\text{rad}^{i+1} D) F_\lambda^m M$  is a  $\overline{D}$ -module and

$$l_D((\text{rad}^i D) F_\lambda^m M / (\text{rad}^{i+1} D) F_\lambda^m M) = l_{\overline{D}}((\text{rad}^i D) F_\lambda^m M / (\text{rad}^{i+1} D) F_\lambda^m M).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} l_D(F_\lambda^m M) &= l_{\overline{D}}(F_\lambda^m M / (\text{rad} D) F_\lambda^m M) + l_{\overline{D}}((\text{rad} D) F_\lambda^m M / (\text{rad}^2 D) F_\lambda^m M) \\ &\quad + \cdots + l_{\overline{D}}((\text{rad}^i D) F_\lambda^m M / (\text{rad}^{i+1} D) F_\lambda^m M) + \cdots \\ &\stackrel{\overline{C} \cong \overline{D}}{=} l_{\overline{C}}(F_\lambda^m M / (\text{rad} D) F_\lambda^m M) + l_{\overline{C}}((\text{rad} D) F_\lambda^m M / (\text{rad}^2 D) F_\lambda^m M) \\ &\quad + \cdots + l_{\overline{C}}((\text{rad}^i D) F_\lambda^m M / (\text{rad}^{i+1} D) F_\lambda^m M) + \cdots \\ &= l_C(F_\lambda^m M / (\text{rad} D) F_\lambda^m M) + l_C((\text{rad} D) F_\lambda^m M / (\text{rad}^2 D) F_\lambda^m M) \\ &\quad + \cdots + l_C((\text{rad}^i D) F_\lambda^m M / (\text{rad}^{i+1} D) F_\lambda^m M) + \cdots \\ &= l_C(F_\lambda^m M). \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 2.3, we have  $l_C(F_\lambda^m M) \leq \infty$  and hence  $l_D(F_\lambda^m M) \leq \infty$ .  $F_\lambda^m M$  is clearly of infinite -dimension since  $M$  is so.

Therefore  $F_\lambda^m M$  is a generic  $R_A^m$ -module.

**COROLLARY 2.5.**  $R_A^m$  has at least  $2m$  generic modules.

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.2,  $\text{Mod } \widehat{A}$  has two  $\nu$ -orbits  $\mathcal{O}_0$  and  $\mathcal{O}_1$  of generic  $\widehat{A}$ -modules.

$$\mathcal{O}_0 = \{\nu^m M_0 \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad \mathcal{O}_1 = \{\nu^n M_1 \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

From Lemma 2.1 and 2.2, it is easy to know that  $F_\lambda^m(\nu^l N)$ ,  $F_\lambda^m(\nu^t N)$  ( $l, t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ ) are different generic  $R_A^m$ -modules.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. W. CRAWLEY-BOEVEY, *Tame algebras and generic modules*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 63 (1991), pp. 241–265.
- [2] W. W. CRAWLEY-BOEVEY, *Modules of finite length over their endomorphism rings*, in London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 168, 1992, pp. 127–184.
- [3] N. ARONSZAJN AND U. FIXMAN, *Algebraic spectral problems*, Studia Math., 30 (1968), pp. 273–338.
- [4] C. M. RINGEL, *Infinite dimensional representations of finite dimensional hereditary algebras*, Symp. Math., 23 (1979), pp. 321–412.
- [5] C. M. RINGEL, *Tame Algebras and Integral Quadratic Forms*, LNM 1099, Springer, 1984.
- [6] DU XIANNENG, *Generic modules for tilted algebras*, Chinese Science Bulletin, 42:3 (1997), pp. 177–180.
- [7] D. HAPPEL, *Triangulated Categories in the Representation Theory of Finite Dimensional Algebras*, LNS 119, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988.
- [8] P. GABRIEL, *The universal cover of a representation-finite algebra*, in LNM 903, Springer, 1981, pp. 68–105.
- [9] R. M. FOSSUM, P. A. GRIFFITH, AND I. REITEN, *Trivial Extensions of Abelian Categories*, LNM 456, Springer, 1975.

