

**A NOTE TO THE REGULARITY OF SOLUTIONS FOR THE
 EVOLUTION P-LAPLACIAN EQUATIONS**

JUNNING ZHAO*

In this note we consider the following Cauchy problem:

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) & (x, t) \in Q_T = R^N \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & x \in R^N. \end{cases}$$

where $p > 2$, $u_0 \in L^1_{loc}(R^N)$ satisfies that there exist constants $r > 0$ and $\rho_0 > 0$ such that

$$(2) \quad \|u_0\|_{r,\rho_0} \equiv \sup_{x_0 \in R^N} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} |u_0(x)| dx < \infty, \quad B_{\rho}(x_0) = \{|x - x_0| < \rho\}.$$

It is well known that there exists a solution $u \in C^\alpha_{loc}(Q_T) \cap L^\infty_{loc}(Q_T)$, $\nabla u \in C^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{2}}_{loc}(Q_T)$ to (1) (see [C],[DF],[DH]). The proofs of $\nabla u \in C^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{2}}_{loc}(Q_T)$ are very complex and difficult. In this note we use another approach to prove the Hölder continuity of ∇u . We prove $u_t \in L^\infty_{loc}(Q_T)$, $\nabla u \in C^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{1+\beta}}_{loc}(Q_T)$, where the Hölder index to t is great than $\frac{\beta}{2}$.

DEFINITION. A function $u(x, t)$ defined in Q_T is called a weak solution of (1), if $u \in C^\alpha_{loc}(Q_T) \cap L^p(0, T : W^{1,p}_{loc}(R^N)) \cap L^\infty(Q_T)$ $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and for any $\phi(x, t) \in C^1(\overline{Q_T})$ $\phi = 0$ if $|x|$ large enough,

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{R^N} u(x, t)\phi(x, t)dx + \int_0^t \int_{R^N} [-u\phi_t + |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi] dxdt \\ & = \int_{R^N} u_0(x)\phi(x, 0)dx. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the following result.

THEOREM 1. Let $u_0 \geq 0$, $\|u_0(x)\|_{r,\rho_0} < \infty$ for some $\rho_0 > 0$. Then there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that the solution of (1) satisfies

$$(4) \quad \sup_{R^N} |u_t(x, t)| \leq Ct^{-\frac{N(p-1)+p}{\kappa}} \|u_0\|_{\frac{r}{\kappa}, \rho}^{\frac{r}{\kappa}}, \quad \nabla u \in C^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{1+\beta}}(Q_T),$$

where $\kappa = N(p - 2) + p$.

Proof. let u be the solution of (1). According to [WZYL], for $\forall \delta \in (0, T)$, $u(x, t + \delta)$ is the limit of the solutions of the following boundary value problems

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v) & (x, t) \in B_n \times (0, T - \delta) \\ v(x, t) = u(x, \delta) & (x, t) \in \partial B_n \times (0, T - \delta) \\ v(x, 0) = u(x, \delta) & x \in B_n \end{cases}$$

*Department of Mathematics, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China.

where $B_n = \{|x| < n\}$. Let v_n be the solution of (5). Set

$$w_n(x, t) = \lambda^\gamma v_n(x, \lambda t), \quad \lambda > 1, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{p-2}.$$

Then w_n satisfies

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w) & (x, t) \in B_n \times (0, \frac{T-\delta}{\lambda}) \\ w(x, t) = \lambda^\gamma u(x, \delta) & (x, t) \in \partial B_n \times (0, \frac{T-\delta}{\lambda}) \\ w(x, 0) = \lambda^\gamma u(x, \delta) & x \in B_n \end{cases}$$

Set $g_n = w_n - v_n$. By Comparison principle $g_n \geq 0$ and

$$(7) \quad \int_{B_n} g_n(x, t) \phi(x, t) dx - \int_0^t \int_{B_n} g_n \phi_t dx d\tau + \int_0^t \int_{B_n} (|\nabla w_n|^{p-2} \nabla w_n - |\nabla v_n|^{p-2} \nabla v_n) \cdot \nabla \phi dx d\tau = \int_{B_n} (\lambda^\gamma - 1) u(x, \delta) \phi(x, 0) dx$$

where $\phi \in C^1(\overline{B_n \times (0, T)})$, $\phi = 0$ near ∂B_n . Notice that (see [DH])

$$\|u(x, \delta)\|_{L^\infty(R^N)} \leq C \delta^{-\frac{N}{\kappa}} \|u_0\|_{\dot{L}^{\frac{p}{\kappa}}(r, \rho_0)}.$$

In (7), we take

$$\phi = (g_n - k)_+ \quad k = (\lambda^\gamma - 1) \|u(x, \delta)\|_{L^\infty(R^N)}.$$

Using Steklov averaging process, we get

$$\int_{B_n} (g_n - k)_+^2 dx + 2 \int_0^t \int_{B_n \cap \{w > k\}} (|\nabla w_n|^{p-2} \nabla w_n - |\nabla v_n|^{p-2} \nabla v_n) (\nabla w_n - \nabla v_n) dx d\tau = 0.$$

This implies $g_n \leq k$ a.e. on $B_n \times (0, \frac{T-\delta}{\lambda})$. Thus

$$(8) \quad 0 \leq \lambda^\gamma v_n(x, \lambda t) - v_n(x, t) \leq (\lambda^\gamma - 1) \|u(x, \delta)\|_{L^\infty(R^N)}.$$

Divided (8) by $\lambda - 1$ and let $\lambda \rightarrow 1^+$, we get

$$|\gamma v_n(x, t) + t v_{nt}(x, t)| \leq \gamma \|u(x, \delta)\|_{L^\infty(R^N)}.$$

This inequality implies

$$|u_t(x, t + \delta)| \leq \frac{C \|u(x, \delta)\|_{L^\infty(R^N)}}{t}.$$

Let $\delta \rightarrow t$, we get the first estimate of (4).

We now prove the second estimate of (4). Notice that for fixed $t \in (0, T)$ $u(x, t)$ is a solution of the following elliptic equations

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = u_t(x, t) \quad x \in R^N.$$

By [T], there exist constants $\beta \in (0, 1)$, $C > 0$ dependent only on $|u_t|_{L^\infty}$, $|u|_{L^\infty}$ such that

$$(9) \quad |\nabla u(x_1, t) - \nabla u(x_2, t)| \leq C |x_1 - x_2|^\beta.$$

We now prove that ∇u is Hölder continuous to t . For convenience, we assume that u is a smooth solution, otherwise by uniqueness of solution we can consider the regularized problem. Take the x_j -derivative in (1) to obtain

$$(10) \quad \frac{\partial u_{x_j}}{\partial t} = (\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u))_{x_j}$$

Let $x_0 \in R^N$, $0 < t_1 \leq t_2$, $\Delta t = t_2 - t_1$, $B(\Delta t) = B_{(\Delta t)^\delta}(x_0)$. Integrating (10) over $B(\Delta t) \times (t_1, t_2)$ and by integrating by parts, we get

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{B(\Delta t)} (u_{x_j}(x, t_2) - u_{x_j}(x, t_1)) dx \\ &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\partial B(\Delta t)} (\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u))_{x_j} d\sigma dt \\ &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\partial B(\Delta t)} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) \nu_j d\sigma dt \\ &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\partial B(\Delta t)} u_t \nu_j d\sigma dt. \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_N)$ is the unit outward normal vector of $\partial B(\Delta t)$. By the mean value theorem, there exists $x^* \in B(\Delta t)$ such that

$$(12) \quad |u_{x_j}(x^*, t_2) - u_{x_j}(x^*, t_1)| \leq C(\Delta t)^{1-\delta}.$$

Combining (9) and (12) and taking $\delta = \frac{1}{1+\beta}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |u_{x_j}(x_0, t_2) - u_{x_j}(x_0, t_1)| \leq |u_{x_j}(x_0, t_2) - u_{x_j}(x^*, t_2)| \\ & + |u_{x_j}(x^*, t_2) - u_{x_j}(x^*, t_1)| + |u_{x_j}(x^*, t_1) - u_{x_j}(x_0, t_1)| \leq C(\Delta t)^{\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $u_{x_j} \in C_{loc}^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{1+\beta}}(R^N)$ and Theorem 1 is proved.

REMARK 1. If the initial value u_0 is bounded, Theorem 1 holds for u_0 of variable sign. In fact if u is a solution of (1), by the uniqueness of solution $v = u + \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(R^N)}$ is a nonnegative solution of (1) with initial value $u_0 + \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(R^N)}$. Thus Theorem 1 holds for v , so does u .

REMARK 2. For the first boundary value problem, similar theorem holds. Consider the following problem

$$(13) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^2 \nabla u) & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T) \\ u(x, t) = \psi(x, t) & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & x \in R^N \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \in R^N$ is a smooth bounded region.

THEOREM 2. Let $u_0 \in L^\infty(R^N)$, $\psi, \psi_t \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega \times (0, T))$. Then the solution u of (13) satisfies

$$|u_t(x, t)| \leq \frac{C}{t}, \quad \nabla u \in C_{loc}^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{1+\beta}}(\Omega \times (0, T)).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $u \geq 0$, and u large enough, otherwise replace u by $u + C$, $C > \|u\|_{L^\infty}$. Set

$$v(x, t) = \lambda^\gamma u(x, \lambda t), \quad \lambda > 1, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{p-2}.$$

Then v is the solution of (13) with

$$v(x, t) = \lambda^\gamma \psi(x, \lambda t) \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \quad v(x, 0) = \lambda^\gamma u_0(x) \quad x \in R^N.$$

Notice that if $\lambda - 1$ is small enough, ψ large enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^\gamma \psi(x, \lambda t) - \psi(x, t) &= (\lambda^\gamma - 1)\psi(x, \lambda t) + \psi_t(x, \xi)(\lambda - 1)t \\ &= (\lambda - 1)\left(\frac{\lambda^\gamma - 1}{\lambda - 1}\psi(x, \lambda t) + t\psi_t(x, \xi)\right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

By comparison principle $\lambda^\gamma u(x, \lambda t) \geq u(x, t)$. Hence similar to the proof in Theorem 1, we can prove Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [C] CHEN YAZHE, *Hölder continuity of the gradient of the solutions of certain degenerate parabolic equations*, Chin. Ann. Math., 8B(3) (1987), pp. 343–356.
- [DF] DiBENEDETTO E. AND FRIEDMAN A., *Hölder estimates for nonlinear degenerate parabolic systems*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 357(1985), pp. 1–22.
- [DH] DiBENEDETTO E. AND HERRERO M.A., *On the Cauchy problem and initial traces for a degenerate parabolic equations*, Trans. Amer. Soc., 314(1)(1989), pp. 187–224.
- [T] PETER TOLKSDORF, *Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations*, J. Diff. Equa., 51 (1984), pp. 126–150.
- [WZYL] WU ZHUOQUN, ZHAO JUNNING, YIN JINGXUE AND LI HUILAI, *Nonlinear diffusion equations*, Publishing House of Jilin University, 1996.