

INFINITE INTERVAL PROBLEMS ARISING IN THE MODEL OF A SLENDER DRY PATCH IN A LIQUID FILM DRAINING UNDER GRAVITY DOWN AN INCLINED PLANE *

RAVI P. AGARWAL[†] AND DONAL O'REGAN[‡]

Abstract. Existence results are established for a second order boundary value problem on the half line motivated from the model of a slender dry patch in a liquid film draining under gravity down an inclined plane.

1. Introduction. Consider a thin film of viscous liquid with constant density ρ and viscosity μ flowing down a planer substrate inclined at an angle α ($0 < \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$) to the horizontal. We adopt Cartesian coordinates (x, y, z) with the x -axis down the greatest slope and the z -axis normal to the plane. With the usual lubrication approximation the height of the free surface $z = h(x, y, z)$ satisfies [4]

$$(1.1) \quad 3\mu h_t = \nabla \cdot [h^3 \nabla(\rho g h \cos \alpha - \sigma \nabla^2 h)] - \rho g \sin \alpha [h^3]_x$$

where t denotes time, g the magnitude of acceleration due to gravity and σ the coefficient of surface tension. We are interested in solutions symmetric about $y = 0$, and we seek a steady state solution for a slender dry patch for which the length scale down the plane (i.e. in the x direction) is much greater than in the transverse direction (i.e. in the y direction), so the equation (1.1) is approximated by [4]

$$(1.2) \quad [h^3 (\rho g h \cos \alpha - \sigma h_{yy})_y]_y - \rho g \sin \alpha [h^3]_x = 0.$$

The velocity component down the plane is $u(x, y, z) = \frac{\rho g \sin \alpha [2hz - z^2]}{2\mu}$ and so for a slender dry patch of semi-width $y_e = y_e(x)$ the average volume flux around the dry patch per unit width in the transverse direction down the plane (denoted by $Q(x)$) is approximately [4]

$$(1.3) \quad Q = \frac{\rho g \sin \alpha}{3\mu} \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} y^{-1} \int_{y_e(x)}^y h(x, w)^3 dw.$$

We seek a similarity solution to equation (1.2) of the form $h = f(x)G(\eta)$ where $\eta = \frac{y}{y_e(x)}$. Note $G(1) = 0$ and (1.2) takes the form

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &\rho g \cos \alpha f^2 y_e^2 (G^3 G')' - \sigma f^2 (G^3 G''')' \\ &- 3\rho g \sin \alpha y_e^3 G^2 (f' G y_e - f G' y_e' \eta) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

with the corresponding expression for Q being

$$Q = \frac{\rho g \sin \alpha}{3\mu} f^3 \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \eta^{-1} \int_1^\eta G(w)^3 dw.$$

For weak surface-tension effects the second term in (1.4) can be neglected and so the only relevant similarity solution is given (after a suitable choice of origin in x) by

$$f(x) = b(cx)^m \quad \text{and} \quad y_e(x) = (cx)^k$$

*Received March 31, 2003; accepted for publication August 21, 2003.

[†]Department of Mathematical Sciences, Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida 32901–6975, USA (agarwal@fit.edu).

[‡]Department of Mathematics, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland.

where the coefficients b and c and the exponents m and k are constants with $m = 2k - 1$. In this case $\alpha \neq \frac{\pi}{2}$ and so we may choose without loss of generality $b = ck \tan \alpha$ and so (1.4) becomes

$$(1.5) \quad ((G' + \eta)' G^3)' - \left(7 - \frac{3}{k}\right) G^3 = 0.$$

The unknown exponent k is determined by the requirement that the average volume flux per unit width around the dry patch, Q , is independent of x . This is possible only if $m = 0$ and $G \sim G_0 > 0$ (a constant) as $\eta \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$Q = \frac{\rho g \sin \alpha}{3\mu} (bG_0)^3 \quad \text{and so } m = 0, k = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Setting $k = \frac{1}{2}$ in (1.5) yields

$$(1.6) \quad (G^3 G')' + \eta (G^3)' = 0.$$

Also the solutions to (1.6) must satisfy the boundary condition $G(1) = 0$ and the far-field condition $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} G(\eta) = G_0$. As a result one is interested in the boundary value problem

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} (G^3 G')' + \eta (G^3)' = 0, & 1 < \eta < \infty \\ G(1) = 0, \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} G(\eta) = G_0 > 0. \end{cases}$$

Keeping this problem in mind, in Section 2 we discuss the general boundary value problem

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{cases} (G'(y) + p(t) y^m)' + q(t) f(t, y) = p'(t) y^m, & a < t < n \\ y(a) = 0, y(n) = b_0 > 0, \end{cases}$$

where $n > a$, $G(z) = \int_0^z g(x) dx$, $G'(y) = \frac{d}{dt} G(y(t))$ and

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} x^m, & x \geq 0 \\ -x^m, & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

with $m > 0$ odd. A very general existence theory will be presented for (1.8) in Section 2. Our theory relies on the following nonlinear alternative of Leray–Schauder type [1, 2].

THEOREM 1.1. *Let U be an open subset of a Banach space E , $J : \bar{U} \rightarrow E$ a continuous compact map, $p^* \in U$ and let $N : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow E$ be a continuous compact map with $N_1 = J$ and $N_0 = p^*$ (here $N_\lambda(u) = N(u, \lambda)$). Also assume*

$$(1.9) \quad u \neq N_\lambda(u) \quad \text{for } u \in \partial U \quad \text{and } \lambda \in (0, 1].$$

Then J has a fixed point in U .

In Section 3 we discuss the following boundary value problem on the half line

$$\begin{cases} (G'(y) + p(t) y^m)' + q(t) f(t, y) = p'(t) y^m, & a < t < \infty \\ y(a) = 0, y \text{ bounded on } [a, \infty), \end{cases}$$

and our existence theory will then be applied to (1.7).

2. Existence theory on finite intervals. In this section we first establish the existence of a solution to

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} (G'(y) + p(t)y^m)' + q(t)f(t, y) = p'(t)y^m, & a < t < n \\ y(a) = 0, \quad y(n) = b_0 > 0 \end{cases}$$

(here $n > a$) where $G(z) = \int_0^z g(x) dx$ and

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} x^m, & x \geq 0 \\ -x^m = |x|^m, & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

and with $m > 0$ odd. Note $G'(y) = \frac{d}{dt} G(y(t))$ and

$$G(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z^{m+1}}{m+1}, & z \geq 0 \\ \frac{-z^{m+1}}{m+1} = \frac{-|z|^{m+1}}{m+1}, & z < 0. \end{cases}$$

By a solution to (2.1) we mean a function $y \in C[a, n]$, with $G(y) \in C^1[a, n]$, $G'(y) + py^m \in AC[a, n] \cap C^1(a, n)$ which satisfies $y(a) = 0$, $y(n) = b_0$ and the differential equation in (2.1) on (a, n) .

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$(2.2) \quad f : [a, n] \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \text{ is continuous}$$

$$(2.3) \quad q \in C(a, n] \cap L^1[a, n] \text{ with } q > 0 \text{ on } (a, n]$$

$$(2.4) \quad p \in C^1[a, n] \text{ with } p \geq 0 \text{ on } [a, n]$$

$$(2.5) \quad f(t, 0) \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in (a, n)$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad f(t, b_0) \leq 0 \text{ for } t \in (a, n).$$

Then (2.1) has a solution y with $0 \leq y(t) \leq b_0$ for $t \in [a, n]$.

Proof. Consider the boundary value problem

$$(2.7)_\lambda \quad \begin{cases} (G'(y) + \lambda p y^m)' = \lambda f^*(t, y), & a < t < n \\ y(a) = 0, \quad y(n) = b_0 > 0, & 0 < \lambda \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

with

$$f^*(t, y) = \begin{cases} -q(t)f(t, 0) + y, & y < 0 \\ -q(t)f(t, y) + p'(t)y^m, & 0 \leq y \leq b_0 \\ -q(t)f(t, b_0) + p'(t)b_0^m + y - b_0, & y > b_0. \end{cases}$$

Solving (2.7) $_\lambda$ is equivalent (see [2]) to finding a $y \in C[a, n]$ which satisfies

$$(2.8) \quad y(t) = G^{-1}(A(t-a) - \lambda \int_a^t p(s)y^m(s) ds + \lambda \int_a^t (t-x)f^*(x, y(x)) dx)$$

where

$$(2.9) \quad A = \frac{G(b_0) + \lambda \int_a^n p(s) y^m(s) ds - \lambda \int_a^n (n-x) f^*(x, y(x)) dx}{n-a}.$$

Define the operator $N_\lambda : C[a, n] \rightarrow C[a, n]$ by

$$N_\lambda y(t) = G^{-1} \left(A(t-a) - \lambda \int_a^t p(s) y^m(s) ds + \lambda \int_a^t (t-x) f^*(x, y(x)) dx \right).$$

The argument in [2] guarantees that $N_\lambda : C[a, n] \rightarrow C[a, n]$ is continuous and completely continuous. We now show any solution y to $(2.7)_\lambda$ ($0 < \lambda \leq 1$) satisfies

$$(2.10) \quad 0 \leq G(y(t)) \leq G(b_0) \quad \text{for } t \in [a, n].$$

If (2.10) is true then

$$(2.11) \quad 0 \leq y(t) \leq b_0 \quad \text{for } t \in [a, n].$$

Suppose $G(y(t)) < 0$ for some $t \in (a, n)$. Then $G(y)$ has a negative minimum at say $t_0 \in (a, n)$, so $G'(y(t_0)) = 0$. Also there exists $\delta_1 > 0$, $\delta_2 > 0$ with $(t_0 - \delta_1, t_0 + \delta_2) \subseteq [a, n]$ and with

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{cases} G(y(t)) < 0 & \text{for } t \in (t_0 - \delta_1, t_0 + \delta_2) \\ \text{and } G(y(t_0 - \delta_1)) = G(y(t_0 + \delta_2)) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Now for $t \in (t_0 - \delta_1, t_0 + \delta_2)$ we have

$$(G'(y(t)) + \lambda p(t) y^m(t))' = -\lambda q(t) f(t, 0) + \lambda y(t) < 0,$$

so integration from t_0 to $t_0 + \delta_2$ yields

$$G'(y(t_0 + \delta_2)) + \lambda p(t_0 + \delta_2) y^m(t_0 + \delta_2) < \lambda p(t_0) y^m(t_0).$$

Now $y(t_0 + \delta_2) = 0$, so (note m is odd, $p \geq 0$ and $y(t_0) < 0$)

$$(2.13) \quad G'(y(t_0 + \delta_2)) < \lambda p(t_0) y^m(t_0) \leq 0.$$

Thus there exists $\delta_3 > 0$, $\delta_3 < \delta_2$ with

$$(2.14) \quad G'(y(t)) < 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (t_0 + \delta_3, t_0 + \delta_2).$$

As a result

$$0 = G(y(t_0 + \delta_2)) < G(y(t_0 + \delta_3)),$$

and this contradicts (2.12). Thus $0 \leq G(y(t))$ for $t \in [a, n]$, so $0 \leq y(t)$ for $t \in [a, n]$. Next suppose $G(y(t)) > G(b_0)$ for some $t \in (a, n)$. Then $G(y)$ has a positive maximum at say $t_1 \in (a, n)$, so $G'(y(t_1)) = 0$. Also there exists $\delta_4 > 0$, $\delta_5 > 0$ with $(t_1 - \delta_4, t_1 + \delta_5) \subseteq [a, n]$ and with

$$(2.15) \quad G(y(t)) > G(b_0) \quad \text{for } t \in (t_1 - \delta_4, t_1 + \delta_5)$$

and

$$(2.16) \quad G(y(t_1 - \delta_4)) = G(y(t_1 + \delta_5)) = G(b_0).$$

Also for $t \in (t_1 - \delta_4, t_1 + \delta_5)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (G'(y(t)) + \lambda p(t) y^m(t))' &= -\lambda q(t) f(t, b_0) + \lambda p'(t) b_0^m + \lambda (y(t) - b_0) \\ &> \lambda p'(t) b_0^m, \end{aligned}$$

so integration from t_1 to $t_1 + \delta_5$ yields (note (2.16))

$$G'(y(t_1 + \delta_5)) + \lambda p(t_1 + \delta_5) b_0^m > \lambda p(t_1) y^m(t_1) + \lambda b_0^m [p(t_1 + \delta_5) - p(t_1)].$$

Thus

$$G'(y(t_1 + \delta_5)) > \lambda p(t_1) [y^m(t_1) - b_0^m] \geq 0$$

since $p \geq 0$. As a result there exists $\delta_6 > 0$, $\delta_6 < \delta_5$ with

$$G'(y(t)) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (t_1 + \delta_6, t_1 + \delta_5),$$

so

$$G(b_0) = G(y(t_1 + \delta_5)) > G(y(t_1 + \delta_6)),$$

and this contradicts (2.15). Thus $G(y(t)) \leq G(b_0)$ for $t \in [a, n]$, so (2.11) holds.

Now Theorem 1.1 applied to N_λ with $E = C[a, n]$, $U = \{u \in E : \sup_{[a, n]} |u(t)| < b_0 + 1\}$ and $p^* = G^{-1}\left(\frac{G(b_0)(t-a)}{n-a}\right)$ guarantees that N_1 has a fixed point $y \in U$. Thus y is a solution of (2.7)₁ and the argument above guarantees that $0 \leq y(t) \leq b_0$ for $t \in [a, n]$. As a result y is a solution of (2.1). \square

REMARK 2.1. It is possible to replace $p \geq 0$ on $[a, n]$ by $p \leq 0$ on $[a, n]$ and the result in Theorem 2.1 is again true; we leave the details to the reader.

Keeping our application in Section 1 in mind we now discuss the situation when our solution to (2.1) is positive on $(a, n]$. Suppose the following conditions hold:

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{cases} \exists \alpha \in C[a, n] \text{ with } G(\alpha) \in C^1[a, n], G'(\alpha) + p\alpha^m \in AC[a, n] \\ \cap C^1(a, n] \text{ with } b_0 \geq \alpha > 0 \text{ on } (a, n], \alpha(a) = 0, \alpha(n) \leq b_0 \\ \text{and } (G'(\alpha) + p\alpha^m)' + q(t) f(t, \alpha) \geq p'(t) \alpha^m(t) \text{ on } (a, n) \end{cases}$$

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{cases} \text{for each } t \in (a, n) \text{ we have } q(t) [f(t, y) - f(t, \alpha(t))] \geq 0 \\ \text{for } 0 \leq y \leq \alpha(t) \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.19) \quad p' > 0 \text{ on } (a, n).$$

Also in this case we discuss the boundary value problem

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{cases} (y^m y')' + p(y^m)' + q f(t, y) = 0, \quad a < t < n \\ y(a) = 0, \quad y(n) = b_0 > 0. \end{cases}$$

By a solution to (2.20) we mean a function $y \in C[a, n] \cap C^1(a, n]$ with $G(y) \in C^1[a, n]$, $y^m y' \in C^1(a, n]$ which satisfies $y(a) = 0$, $y(n) = b_0$ and the differential equation in (2.20) on (a, n) .

THEOREM 2.2. *Suppose (2.2)–(2.6), (2.17), (2.18) and (2.19) are satisfied. Then (2.1) has a solution y with $\alpha(t) \leq y(t) \leq b_0$ for $t \in [a, n]$. In addition $y \in C^1(a, n]$ with $G'(y) = y^m y'$ on (a, n) and y is a solution of (2.20).*

Proof. Theorem 2.1 guarantees that (2.1) has a solution y with $0 \leq y(t) \leq b_0$ for $t \in [a, n]$. Next we claim that

$$(2.21) \quad y(t) \geq \alpha(t) \quad \text{for } t \in [a, n].$$

Suppose $G(\alpha(t)) > G(y(t))$ for some $t \in (a, n)$. Then $G(y) - G(\alpha)$ has a negative minimum at say $t_0 \in (a, n)$, so $G'(y(t_0)) = G'(\alpha(t_0))$. Also there exists $\delta_1 > 0$, $\delta_2 > 0$ with $(t_0 - \delta_1, t_0 + \delta_2) \subseteq [a, n]$ and with

$$(2.22) \quad G(y(t)) < G(\alpha(t)) \quad \text{for } t \in (t_0 - \delta_1, t_0 + \delta_2)$$

and

$$(2.23) \quad G(y(t_0 - \delta_1)) = G(\alpha(t_0 - \delta_1)) \quad \text{and} \quad G(y(t_0 + \delta_2)) = G(\alpha(t_0 + \delta_2)).$$

Also for $t \in (t_0 - \delta_1, t_0 + \delta_2)$ we have (note $0 \leq y \leq b_0$ on $[a, n]$)

$$\begin{aligned} (G'(y) + p y^m)'(t) - (G'(\alpha) + p \alpha^m)'(t) &\leq q(t) [f(t, \alpha(t)) - f(t, y(t))] \\ &\quad + p'(t) [y^m(t) - \alpha^m(t)] \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $p' > 0$ on (a, n) . Integrate from t_0 to $t_0 + \delta_2$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G'(y(t_0 + \delta_2)) + p(t_0 + \delta_2) y^m(t_0 + \delta_2) - G'(y(t_0)) - p(t_0) y^m(t_0) \\ < G'(\alpha(t_0 + \delta_2)) + p(t_0 + \delta_2) \alpha^m(t_0 + \delta_2) - G'(\alpha(t_0)) - p(t_0) \alpha^m(t_0), \end{aligned}$$

so (note (2.23))

$$G'(y(t_0 + \delta_2)) - G'(\alpha(t_0 + \delta_2)) < p(t_0) [y^m(t_0) - \alpha^m(t_0)] \leq 0,$$

since $p \geq 0$ on $[a, n]$. Thus there exists $\delta_3 > 0$, $\delta_3 < \delta_2$ with

$$G'(y(t)) - G'(\alpha(t)) < 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (t_0 + \delta_3, t_0 + \delta_2).$$

As a result

$$0 = G(y(t_0 + \delta_2)) - G(\alpha(t_0 + \delta_2)) < G(y(t_0 + \delta_3)) - G(\alpha(t_0 + \delta_3)),$$

i.e.

$$G(\alpha(t_0 + \delta_3)) < G(y(t_0 + \delta_3)),$$

and this contradicts (2.22). Thus $G(\alpha(t)) \leq G(y(t))$ for $t \in [a, n]$, so $\alpha(t) \leq y(t)$ for $t \in [a, n]$ i.e. (2.21) is true.

In particular note $y(t) > 0$ for $t \in (a, n]$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{y^{m+1}(t)}{m+1} &= A(t-a) - \int_a^t p(s) y^m(s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_a^t (t-x) [-q(x) f(x, y(x)) + p'(x) y^m(x)] dx \end{aligned}$$

where A is given in (2.9) with $\lambda = 1$ and $f^*(x, y(x)) = -q(x)f(x, y(x)) + p'(x)y^m(x)$. Since $y > 0$ on $(a, n]$ we have $y' \in C(a, n]$. Then the change of variables theorem [3 pp. 181] guarantees that $G'(y) = g(y)y' = y^m y'$ on (a, n) . Also for $t \in (a, n)$ we have

$$g(y)y' = A - py^m + \int_a^t [-q(x)f(x, y(x)) + p'(x)y^m(x)] dx,$$

so $g(y)y' \in C^1(a, n)$. Thus for $t \in (a, n)$ we have

$$-qf(t, y) + p'y^m = (g(y)y' + py^m)' = (g(y)y')' + (py^m)',$$

so y is a solution of (2.20). \square

Suppose the following condition is satisfied:

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{cases} \exists \alpha \in C[a, n] \cap C^1(a, n] \text{ with } G(\alpha) \in C^1[a, n], \\ \alpha^m \alpha' \in C^1(a, n], b_0 \geq \alpha > 0 \text{ on } (a, n], \alpha(a) = 0, \alpha(n) \leq b_0 \\ \text{and } (\alpha^m \alpha')' + p(\alpha^m)' + q(t)f(t, \alpha) \geq 0 \text{ on } (a, n). \end{cases}$$

Then we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.3. *Suppose (2.2)–(2.6), (2.18), (2.19) and (2.24) are satisfied. Then (2.20) has a solution y with $\alpha(t) \leq y(t) \leq b_0$ for $t \in [a, n]$.*

Proof. Now the change of variables theorem [3 pp. 181] guarantees that $G'(\alpha) = g(\alpha)\alpha' = \alpha^m \alpha'$ on (a, n) , so for $t \in (a, n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (G'(\alpha) + p\alpha^m)' + qf(t, \alpha) &= (\alpha^m \alpha' + p\alpha^m)' + qf(t, \alpha) \\ &= (\alpha^m \alpha')' + (p\alpha^m)' + qf(t, \alpha) \\ &\geq (p\alpha^m)' - p(\alpha^m)' = p'\alpha^m. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.17) holds and the result follows from Theorem 2.2. \square

3. Existence theory on infinite intervals. In this section we first establish the existence of a solution to

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} (G'(y) + p(t)y^m)' + q(t)f(t, y) = p'(t)y^m, & a < t < \infty \\ y(a) = 0, & y \text{ bounded on } [a, \infty) \end{cases}$$

where g and G are as in Section 2 and $m > 0$ is odd. By a solution to (3.1) we mean a function $y \in BC[a, \infty)$ (bounded continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$) with $G(y) \in C^1[a, \infty)$, $G'(y) + py^m \in AC_{loc}[a, \infty) \cap C^1(a, \infty)$ which satisfies $y(a) = 0$ and the differential equation in (3.1) on (a, ∞) .

THEOREM 3.1. *Suppose the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$(3.2) \quad f : [a, \infty) \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \text{ is continuous}$$

$$(3.3) \quad q \in C(a, \infty) \cap L^1_{loc}[a, \infty) \text{ with } q > 0 \text{ on } (a, \infty)$$

$$(3.4) \quad p \in C^1[a, \infty) \text{ with } p \geq 0 \text{ on } [a, \infty)$$

$$(3.5) \quad f(t, 0) \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in (a, \infty)$$

$$(3.6) \quad \exists b_0 > 0 \text{ with } f(t, b_0) \leq 0 \text{ for } t \in (a, \infty)$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} \exists \mu \in L^1_{loc}[a, \infty) \text{ with } |f(t, u)| \leq \mu(t) \\ \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, \infty) \text{ and } u \in [0, b_0]. \end{cases}$$

Then (3.1) has a solution y with $0 \leq y(t) \leq b_0$ for $t \in [a, \infty)$.

Proof. Fix $n \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ with $n \geq a + 1$ and consider the boundary value problem

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{cases} (G'(y) + p(t)y^m)' + q(t)f(t, y) = p'(t)y^m, & a < t < n \\ y(a) = 0, y(n) = b_0 > 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.1 guarantees that there exists a solution y_n to (3.8) (i.e. $y_n \in C[a, n]$, with $G(y_n) \in C^1[a, n]$, $G'(y_n) + p y_n^m \in AC[a, n] \cap C^1(a, n]$) with $0 \leq y_n(t) \leq b_0$ for $t \in [a, n]$. We now claim that there exist constants A_1 and A_2 (independent of n) with

$$(3.9) \quad |G'(y_n(t))| \leq A_1 + A_2 \int_a^t |p'(s)| ds + \int_a^t \mu(s) ds \text{ for } t \in [a, n].$$

The mean value theorem guarantees that there exists $\xi \in (a, a+1)$ with $G'(y_n(\xi)) = G'(y_n(a+1)) - G'(0)$, and so

$$|G'(y_n(\xi))| \leq G(b_0) \equiv K_0.$$

To prove (3.9) we consider first the case when $t \in [a, n]$ and $t > \xi$. Integrate (3.8) from ξ to t to obtain (note (3.7)),

$$\begin{aligned} |G'(y_n(t))| &\leq |G'(y_n(\xi))| + |p(t)y^m(t) - p(\xi)y^m(\xi)| \\ &\quad + b_0^m \int_{\xi}^t |p'(s)| ds + \int_{\xi}^t \mu(s) ds \\ &\leq K_0 + |p(\xi)| |y^m(t) - y^m(\xi)| + |p(t) - p(\xi)| y^m(t) \\ &\quad + b_0^m \int_a^t |p'(s)| ds + \int_a^t \mu(s) ds \\ &\leq K_0 + 2b_0^m \sup_{s \in [a, a+1]} p(s) + b_0^m \left| \int_{\xi}^t p'(s) ds \right| \\ &\quad + b_0^m \int_a^t |p'(s)| ds + \int_a^t \mu(s) ds \\ &\leq K_0 + 2b_0^m \sup_{s \in [a, a+1]} p(s) + 2b_0^m \int_a^t |p'(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \int_a^t \mu(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

so (3.9) is true in this case. Next consider the case when $t < \xi$. Note in particular that $t < a + 1$. Integrate the differential equation in (3.8) from t to ξ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |G'(y_n(t))| &\leq K_0 + |p(\xi)| |y^m(t) - y^m(\xi)| + |p(t) - p(\xi)| y^m(t) \\ &\quad + b_0^m \int_t^\xi |p'(s)| ds + \int_t^\xi \mu(s) ds \\ &\leq K_0 + 2 b_0^m \sup_{s \in [a, a+1]} p(s) + 2 b_0^m \int_a^{a+1} |p'(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \int_a^{a+1} \mu(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

so (3.9) is again true.

Thus (3.9) is true in all cases, so for $t, s \in [a, n]$ with $s < t$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |G(y_n(s)) - G(y_n(t))| &= \left| \int_s^t G'(y_n(x)) dx \right| \leq A_1 |t - s| \\ &\quad + A_2 \int_s^t \int_a^x |p'(z)| dz dx + \int_s^t \int_a^x \mu(x) dz dx. \end{aligned}$$

We can do this argument for each $k \in N$ with $k \geq n$. Define for $k \geq n$ an integer

$$u_k(x) = \begin{cases} y_k(x), & x \in [a, k] \\ b_0, & x \in [k, \infty), \end{cases}$$

so

$$G(u_k(x)) = \begin{cases} G(y_k(x)), & x \in [a, k] \\ G(b_0), & x \in [k, \infty). \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} |G(u_k(s)) - G(u_k(t))| &\leq A_1 |t - s| + A_2 \left| \int_s^t \int_a^x |p'(z)| dz dx \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_s^t \int_a^x \mu(x) dz dx \right| \quad \text{for } t, s \in [a, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Consider $\{u_k\}_{k=n}^\infty$. The Arzela–Ascoli theorem guarantees that there is a subsequence N_n^* of $\{n, n+1, \dots\}$ and a function $G(z_n) \in C[a, n]$ with $G(u_k)$ converging uniformly on $[a, n]$ to $G(z_n)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ through N_n^* . This together with the fact that G^{-1} is continuous and $G(u_k(t)) \in [0, b_0]$ for $t \in [a, n]$ implies u_k converges uniformly on $[a, n]$ to z_n as $k \rightarrow \infty$ through N_n^* . Note $0 \leq z_n(t) \leq b_0$ for $t \in [a, n]$. Let $N_n = N_n^* \setminus \{n\}$. Also the Arzela–Ascoli theorem guarantees the existence of a subsequence N_{n+1}^* of N_n and a function $G(z_{n+1}) \in C[a, n+1]$ with $G(u_k)$ converging uniformly on $[a, n+1]$ to $G(z_{n+1})$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ through N_{n+1}^* , and so u_k converges uniformly on $[a, n+1]$ to z_{n+1} as $k \rightarrow \infty$ through N_{n+1}^* . Note $0 \leq z_{n+1}(t) \leq b_0$ for $t \in [a, n+1]$ and $z_{n+1} = z_n$ on $[a, n]$ since $N_{n+1}^* \subseteq N_n$. Let $N_{n+1} = N_{n+1}^* \setminus \{n+1\}$. Proceed inductively to obtain for $m \in \{n+2, n+3, \dots\}$ a subsequence N_m^* of N_{m-1} and a function $z_m \in C[a, m]$ with u_k converges uniformly on $[a, m]$ to z_m as $k \rightarrow \infty$ through N_m^* . Note $0 \leq z_m(t) \leq b_0$ for $t \in [a, m]$ and $z_m = z_{m-1}$ on $[a, m-1]$. Let $N_m = N_m^* \setminus \{m\}$.

Define a function y as follows. Fix $x \in (a, \infty)$ and let $l \in \{n, n+1, \dots\}$ with $x \leq l$. Then define $y(x) = z_l(x)$ so $y \in C[a, \infty)$ and $0 \leq y(t) \leq b_0$ on $[a, \infty)$. Also for $n \in N_l$ we have

$$G(u_n(x)) = A_l(x-a) - \int_a^x p(s) u_n^m(s) ds \\ + \int_a^x (x-s) [-q(s) f(s, u_n(s)) + p'(s) u_n^m(s)] ds$$

where

$$A_l(l-a) = G(u_n(l)) + \int_a^l p(s) u_n^m(s) ds \\ - \int_a^l (l-s) [-q(s) f(s, u_n(s)) + p'(s) u_n^m(s)] ds.$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ through N_l to obtain

$$G(z_l(x)) = A_l^*(x-a) - \int_a^x p(s) z_l^m(s) ds \\ + \int_a^x (x-s) [-q(s) f(s, z_l(s)) + p'(s) z_l^m(s)] ds$$

where

$$A_l^*(l-a) = G(z_l(l)) + \int_a^l p(s) z_l^m(s) ds \\ - \int_a^l (l-s) [-q(s) f(s, z_l(s)) + p'(s) z_l^m(s)] ds.$$

Thus

$$G(y(x)) = A_l^*(x-a) - \int_a^x p(s) y^m(s) ds \\ + \int_a^x (x-s) [-q(s) f(s, y(s)) + p'(s) y^m(s)] ds$$

where

$$A_l^*(l-a) = G(y(l)) + \int_a^l p(s) y^m(s) ds \\ - \int_a^l (l-s) [-q(s) f(s, y(s)) + p'(s) y^m(s)] ds.$$

We can do this for each $x > a$ and so the above integral equation yields for each $l \in N$ and $t \in [a, l]$ that

$$G'(y(t)) = -p(t) y^m(t) + A_l^* + \int_a^t [-q(s) f(s, y(s)) + p'(s) y^m(s)] ds,$$

so $G' \in C^1[a, l]$, $G'(y) + p y^m \in AC[a, l] \cap C^1(a, l]$ and

$$(G'(y) + p y^m)'(t) = -q(t) f(t, y(t)) + p'(t) y^m(t) \quad \text{for } t \in [a, l].$$

□

Keeping the application in section 1 in mind it is important to discuss the situation when our solution to (3.1) is positive on (a, ∞) . Suppose the following conditions hold:

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{cases} \exists \alpha \in BC[a, \infty) \text{ with } G(\alpha) \in C^1[a, \infty), G'(\alpha) + p\alpha^m \\ \in AC_{loc}[a, \infty) \cap C^1(a, \infty) \text{ with } b_0 \geq \alpha > 0 \text{ on } (a, \infty), \\ \alpha(a) = 0 \text{ and } (G'(\alpha) + p\alpha^m)'(t) + q(t)f(t, \alpha) \geq p'(t)\alpha^m(t) \\ \text{on } (a, \infty) \end{cases}$$

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{cases} \text{for each } t \in [a, \infty) \text{ we have } q(t)[f(t, y) - f(t, \alpha(t))] \geq 0 \\ \text{for } 0 \leq y \leq \alpha(t) \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad p' > 0 \text{ on } (a, \infty).$$

Also in this case we discuss the boundary value problem

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{cases} (g(y)y')' + p(y^m)' + qf(t, y) = 0, \quad a < t < \infty \\ y(a) = 0, \quad y \text{ bounded on } [a, \infty). \end{cases}$$

By a solution to (3.13) we mean a function $y \in BC[a, \infty) \cap C^1(a, \infty)$ with $y^m y' \in C^1(a, \infty)$ which satisfies $y(a) = 0$ and the differential equation in (3.13) on (a, ∞) .

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose (3.2)–(3.7), (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) hold. Then (3.1) has a solution y with $0 \leq y(t) \leq b_0$ for $t \in [a, \infty)$. In addition $y \in C^1(a, \infty)$ with $G'(y) = y^m y'$ on (a, ∞) and y is a solution of (3.13).*

Proof. Fix $n \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ with $n \geq a + 1$ and consider (3.8). Theorem 2.2 guarantees that there exists a solution y_n to (3.8) with $\alpha(t) \leq y_n(t) \leq b_0$ for $t \in [a, n]$. Essentially the same reasoning as in Theorem 3.1 guarantees that (3.1) has a solution $y \in BC[a, \infty)$ with $G(y) \in C^1[a, \infty)$, $G'(y) + py^m \in AC_{loc}[a, \infty) \cap C^1(a, \infty)$ and with $\alpha(t) \leq y(t) \leq b_0$ for $t \in [a, \infty)$. In particular note $y > 0$ on (a, ∞) . Fix $l \in \{n, n + 1, \dots\}$ and consider $t \in [a, l]$. We know (see Theorem 3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{y^{m+1}(t)}{m+1} &= A_l^*(t-a) - \int_a^t p(s)y^m(s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_a^t (t-s)[-q(s)f(s, y(s)) + p'(s)y^m(s)] ds \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_l^*(l-a) &= G(y(l)) + \int_a^l p(s)y^m(s) ds \\ &\quad - \int_a^l (l-s)[-q(s)f(s, y(s)) + p'(s)y^m(s)] ds, \end{aligned}$$

and since $y > 0$ on $(a, l]$ we have $y' \in C^1(a, l)$. Then [3 pp. 181] guarantees that $G'(y) = g(y)y' = y^m y'$ on (a, l) . Also for $t \in (a, l)$ we have

$$g(y)y' = A_l^* - py^m + \int_a^t [-q(s)f(s, y(s)) + p'(s)y^m(s)] ds,$$

so $g(y)y' \in C^1(a, l)$. In addition for $t \in (a, l)$ we have

$$-qf(t, y) + p'y^m = (g(y)y' + py^m)' = (g(y)y')' + (py^m)'$$

We can do this for each $l \in N$, so y is a solution of (3.13). \square

REMARK 3.1. If $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(t) = b_0$ (here b_0 is as in (3.6)) then the solution y to (3.1) (guaranteed from Theorem 3.2) is a solution of the boundary value problem

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{cases} (g(y)y')' + p(y^m)' + qf(t, y) = 0, & a < t < \infty \\ y(a) = 0, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = b_0. \end{cases}$$

Suppose the following condition is satisfied:

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{cases} \exists \alpha \in BC[a, \infty) \cap C^1(a, \infty) \text{ with } G(\alpha) \in C^1[a, \infty), \\ \alpha^m \alpha' \in C^1(a, \infty), b_0 \geq \alpha > 0 \text{ on } (a, \infty), \alpha(a) = 0 \\ \text{and } (\alpha^m \alpha')' + p(\alpha^m)' + q(t)f(t, \alpha) \geq 0 \text{ on } (a, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Then we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. *Suppose (3.2)–(3.7), (3.11), (3.12) and (3.15) hold. Then (3.13) has a solution y with $\alpha(t) \leq y(t) \leq b_0$ for $t \in [a, \infty)$.*

Proof. Now [3 pp. 181] guarantees that $G'(\alpha) = g(\alpha)\alpha' = \alpha^m \alpha'$ on (a, l) for each $l \in N$, so for $t \in (a, l)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (G'(\alpha) + p\alpha^m)' + qf(t, \alpha) &= (\alpha^m \alpha' + p\alpha^m)' + qf(t, \alpha) \\ &= (\alpha^m \alpha')' + (p\alpha^m)' + qf(t, \alpha) \\ &\geq (p\alpha^m)' - p(\alpha^m)' = p'\alpha^m. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.10) holds and the result follows from Theorem 3.2. \square

REMARK 3.2. If $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(t) = b_0$ (here b_0 is as in (3.6)) then the solution y to (3.13) (guaranteed from Theorem 3.3) is a solution of (3.14).

EXAMPLE. (Slender dry patch in a liquid film).

From Section 1 consider the boundary value problem

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{cases} (y^3 y')' + t(y^3)' = 0, & 1 < t < \infty \\ y(1) = 0, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = G_0 > 0. \end{cases}$$

We will now use Theorem 3.3 (with Remark 3.2) to show that (3.16) has a solution. To see this consider

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{cases} (y^3 y' + ty^3)' = y^3, & 1 < t < \infty \\ y(1) = 0, y \text{ bounded on } [1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

REMARK 3.3. Notice $y \equiv 0$ is a solution of (3.17).

Let $m = 3$, $a = 1$, $p = t$, $q \equiv 0$, $f(t, y) \equiv 0$, $b_0 = G_0$ and

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} z^3, & z \geq 0 \\ -z^3 = |z|^3, & z < 0. \end{cases}$$

Clearly (3.2)–(3.7), (3.11) and (3.12) hold. Let

$$\alpha(t) = A \int_1^t \exp\left(-\frac{3s^2}{2G_0}\right) ds$$

where

$$A = \frac{G_0}{\int_1^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{3s^2}{2G_0}\right) ds}.$$

Note $\alpha(1) = 0$ and $\alpha' = A \exp\left(-\frac{3t^2}{2G_0}\right)$. Also for $t \in (1, \infty)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha^3 \alpha')' + t(\alpha^3)' &= A^4 \left(\int_1^t \exp\left(-\frac{3s^2}{2G_0}\right) ds \right)^2 \left[3 \exp\left(-\frac{3t^2}{G_0}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{3t}{G_0} \exp\left(-\frac{3t^2}{2G_0}\right) \int_1^t \exp\left(-\frac{3s^2}{2G_0}\right) ds \right] \\ &\quad + 3tA^3 \left(\int_1^t \exp\left(-\frac{3s^2}{2G_0}\right) ds \right)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{3t^2}{2G_0}\right) \\ &= 3tA^3 \left(\int_1^t \exp\left(-\frac{3s^2}{2G_0}\right) ds \right)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{3t^2}{2G_0}\right) \\ &\quad \times \left[1 - \frac{A}{G_0} \int_1^t \exp\left(-\frac{3s^2}{2G_0}\right) ds \right] \\ &\quad + 3A^4 \left(\int_1^t \exp\left(-\frac{3s^2}{2G_0}\right) ds \right)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{3t^2}{G_0}\right) \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\frac{A}{G_0} \int_1^t \exp\left(-\frac{3s^2}{2G_0}\right) ds = \frac{\int_1^t \exp\left(-\frac{3s^2}{2G_0}\right) ds}{\int_1^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{3s^2}{2G_0}\right) ds} \leq 1.$$

Thus (3.15) holds so Theorem 3.3 guarantees that (3.17) has a solution y with $\alpha(t) \leq y(t) \leq G_0$ for $t \in [1, \infty)$. Also since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(t) = G_0$ then y is a solution of (3.16).

REFERENCES

- [1] D. O'REGAN, *Existence theory for the equations $(G'(y))' = qf(t, y, y')$ and $(G'(y) - pH(y))' = -p'H(y) + qf(t, y)$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl., 183 (1994), pp. 263–284.
- [2] D. O'REGAN, *Boundary value problems on noncompact intervals*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., 125A (1995), pp. 777–799.
- [3] A. TORCHINSKY, *Real Variables*, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, 1988.
- [4] S.K. WILSON, B.R. DUFFY AND S.H. DAVIS, *On a slender dry patch in a liquid film draining under gravity down an inclined plane*, Euro. Jnl. of Applied Mathematics, 12 (2001), pp. 233–252.

