Selmer groups and the indivisibility of Heegner points

Wei Zhang

For elliptic curves over \( \mathbb{Q} \), we prove the \( p \)-indivisibility of derived Heegner points for certain prime numbers \( p \), as conjectured by Kolyvagin in 1991. Applications include the refined Birch–Swinerton-Dyer conjecture in the analytic rank one case, and a converse to the theorem of Gross–Zagier and Kolyvagin. A slightly different version of the converse is also proved earlier by Skinner.

1. Introduction and main results

1.1. Introduction

In this article we confirm a refined conjecture of Kolyvagin [24] on the \( p \)-indivisibility of some derived Heegner points on an elliptic curve \( E \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \).
for a good ordinary prime $p \geq 5$ that satisfies suitable local ramification hypothesis. When the analytic rank of $E/\mathbb{Q}$ is one, combining with the general Gross–Zagier formula on Shimura curves [17, 45, 46] and Kolyvagin’s theorem [23], we are able to prove the $p$-part of the refined Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture. We also obtain a converse to the theorem of Gross–Zagier and Kolyvagin, first proved by Skinner for semistable elliptic curves [36]. When the analytic rank is higher than one, together with Kolyvagin’s theorem [24], one may naturally construct all elements in the $p^{\infty}$-Selmer group $\text{Sel}_{p^{\infty}}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ from Heegner points defined over ring class fields. In a subsequent paper [49], we will apply the main result of this paper to prove a version of the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture (for Selmer groups) à la Mazur–Tate [27] and Darmon [12] in the anti-cyclotomic setting.

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with conductor $N$. For any number field $F \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we denote by $\text{Gal}_F := \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$ the absolute Galois group of $F$. One important arithmetic invariant of $E/F$ is the Mordell–Weil group $E(F)$, a finitely-generated abelian group:

$$E(F) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{r_{MW}} \oplus \text{finite group},$$

where the integer $r_{MW} = r_{MW}(E/F)$ is called the Mordell–Weil rank. Another important arithmetic invariant of $E/F$ is the Tate–Shafarevich group of $E/F$, denoted by $\text{III}(E/F)$:

$$\text{III}(E/F) := \text{Ker}(H^1(F,E) \to \prod_v H^1(F_v,E)),$$

where the map is the product of the localization at all places $v$ of $F$, and, as usual, $H^i(k,E) := H^i(\text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k),E)$ for $k = F, F_v$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The group $\text{III}(E/F)$ is torsion abelian, and conjectured to be finite by Tate and Shafarevich. As a set, it is closely related to the set of isomorphism classes of smooth projective curves $C/F$ such that

$$\text{Jac}(C) \simeq E, \quad C(F_v) \neq \emptyset, \text{ for all } v.$$
Then $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/F)$ is defined as

$$\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/F) := \text{Ker}(H^1(F, E[p^\infty]) \rightarrow \prod_v H^1(F_v, E[p^\infty]) / \text{Im}(\delta_v)),$$

where the map is the product of the localization at all places $v$ of $F$. The $\mathbb{Z}_p$-corank of $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/F)$ is denoted by $r_p(E/F)$.

The Mordell–Weil group $E(F)$, the $p^\infty$-Selmer group $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/F)$ and the $p$-primary part of Tate–Shafarevich group $\text{III}(E/F)[p^\infty]$ are related by the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow E(F) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/F) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/F)[p^\infty] \rightarrow 0.$$ 

This sequence may be called the $p^\infty$-descent of $E/F$. Then we have an inequality

$$0 \leq r_{MW}(E/F) \leq r_p(E/F),$$

where the equality $r_{MW}(E/F) = r_p(E/F)$ holds if and only if $\text{III}(E/F)[p^\infty]$ is finite. Therefore, assuming $\#\text{III}(E/F) < \infty$, the Selmer rank $r_p(E/F)$ is independent of $p$.

We may also consider the $p$-Selmer group $\text{Sel}_p(E/F)$ and the $p$-torsion $\text{III}(E/F)[p]$ of $\text{III}(E/F)$. We have the exact sequence of vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}_p$ (the finite field of $p$ elements):

$$0 \rightarrow E(F) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Sel}_p(E/F) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/F)[p] \rightarrow 0.$$ 

This sequence may be called the $p$-descent (or the first descent) of $E/F$. Then we have a natural surjective homomorphism

$$\text{Sel}_p(E/F) \rightarrow \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/F)[p],$$

where $[p]$ denotes the subgroup of $p$-torsion elements.

We will denote the action of $\text{Gal}_\mathbb{Q} := \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on the $p$-torsion points $E[p]$ by

$$\overline{\rho}_{E,p} : \text{Gal}_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E[p]) \simeq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

Throughout this paper we assume that $\overline{\rho}_{E,p}$ is surjective, $p \nmid N$, and $p \geq 5$.

Let $L(E/\mathbb{Q}, s)$ be the $L$-function associated to $E/\mathbb{Q}$. We take the normalization such that the center of the functional equation is at $s = 1$. The vanishing order of $L(E/\mathbb{Q}, s)$ at $s = 1$ is called the analytic rank of $E/\mathbb{Q}$.

\footnote{Note that this $L$-function does not include the archimedean local $L$-factor.}
The theorem of Gross–Zagier and Kolyvagin asserts that if the analytic rank of $E/\mathbb{Q}$ is at most one, then the Mordell–Weil rank is equal to the analytic rank and $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is finite. The proof of their theorem is through the study of the Heegner points. To define these points, we let $K = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-D}]$ be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant $D_K = -D < 0$ with $(D, N) = 1$. Write $N = N^+ N^-$ where the prime factors of $N^+$ ($N^-$, resp.) are all split (inert, resp.) in $K$. Assume that $N^-$ is square-free and denote by $\nu(N^-)$ by the number of prime factors of $N^-$. Then the root number for $E/K$ is $(-1)^{1+\nu(N^-)}$. We say that the pair $(E, K)$ satisfies the generalized Heegner hypothesis if $\nu(N^-)$ is even. Then there exist a collection of points $y(n)$ on $E$ defined over the ring class field $K[n]$ of conductor $n$ (cf. §3). The trace of $y(1)$ from $K[1]$ to $K$ will be denoted by $y_K$. The work of Gross–Zagier [17] and S. Zhang [46] asserts that $y_K$ is non-torsion if and only if the analytic rank of $E/K$ is one. The method of Kolyvagin is to construct cohomology classes from the Heegner points $y(n)$ to bound the $p_\infty$-Selmer group, in particular, to show that the Mordell–Weil rank of $E/K$ is one and $\text{III}(E/K)$ is finite, if $y_K$ is non torsion. We fix a prime $p$ with surjective $\rho_{E,p}$. We call a prime $\ell$ a Kolyvagin prime if $\ell$ is prime to $NDp$, inert in $K$ and the Kolyvagin index $M(\ell) := \min\{v_p(\ell+1), v_p(a_\ell)\}$ is strictly positive. Let $\Lambda$ be the set of square-free product of distinct Kolyvagin primes. Define $M(n) = \min\{M(\ell) : \ell | n\}$ if $n > 1$, and $M(1) = \infty$. To each $y(n)$ and $M \leq M(n)$, Kolyvagin associated a cohomology class $c_M(n) \in H^1(K, E[p^M])$ (cf. §3 (3.21) for the precise definition). Denote

$$\kappa^\infty = \{c_M(n) \in H^1(K, E[p^M]) : n \in \Lambda, M \leq M(n)\}.$$ 

In particular, the term $c_M(1)$ of $\kappa^\infty$ is the image under the Kummer map of the Heegner point $y_K \in E(K)$. Therefore, when the analytic rank of $E/K$ is equal to one, the Gross–Zagier formula implies that $y_K \in E(K)$ is non torsion and hence $c_M(1) \neq 0$ for all $M \gg 0$. Kolyvagin then used the non-zero system $\kappa^\infty$ to bound the Selmer group of $E/K$. In [24], Kolyvagin conjectured that $\kappa^\infty$ is always nonzero even if the analytic rank of $E/K$ is strictly larger than one. Assuming this conjecture, he proved various results about the Selmer group of $E/K$ (in particular, see Theorem 11.2 and Remark 18 in §10). In this paper we will prove his conjecture under some conditions we now describe.

Let $\text{Ram}(\overline{\rho}_{E,p})$ be the set of primes $\ell|N$ such that $\overline{\rho}_{E,p}$ is ramified at $\ell$. We further impose the following ramification assumption on $\overline{\rho}_{E,p}$ (depending on the decomposition $N = N^+ N^-$, hence on $K$), called Hypothesis ♠ for $(E, p, K)$:
(1) Ram($\overline{\rho}_{E,p}$) contains all primes $\ell$ such that $\ell|N^+$ and all primes $\ell|N^-$ such that $\ell \equiv \pm 1 \mod p$.

(2) If $N$ is not square-free, then $\#\text{Ram}($ $\overline{\rho}_{E,p}$ $) \geq 1$, and either Ram($\overline{\rho}_{E,p}$) contains a prime $\ell||N^-$ or there are at least two primes factors $\ell||N^+$.

Note that there is no requirement on the ramification of $E[p]$ at those primes $\ell$ for which $\ell^2|N$; that is, at the primes where $E$ has additive reduction.

Then we prove (cf. see Theorem 9.3)

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve of conductor $N$, $p$ a prime and $K$ an imaginary quadratic field, such that

- $N^-$ is square-free with even number of prime factors.
- The residue representation $\overline{\rho}_{E,p}$ is surjective.
- Hypothesis $\heartsuit$ holds for $(E, p, K)$.
- The prime $p \geq 5$ is ordinary, $p \nmid D_K N$ and $(D_K, N) = 1$.

Then we have $c_1(n) \neq 0$ for some $n \in \Lambda$, and hence $\kappa^\infty \neq \{0\}$.

Following the terminology of [26], suitably modified for the Heegner point setting [19], we call the collection $\kappa^\infty$ a *Kolyvagin system*. The *vanishing order* $\text{ord} \kappa^\infty$ of the Kolyvagin system $\kappa^\infty$ is, by definition, the minimal number of prime factors of $n \in \Lambda$ such that $c_M(n) \neq 0$ for some $M \leq M(n)$. Let $\text{Sel}_p^+$ ($E/K$) denote the eigenspace with eigenvalue $\pm 1$ of $\text{Sel}_p^-$ ($E/K$) under the complex conjugation. Let $r_p^+ (E/K)$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-corank of $\text{Sel}_p^+$ ($E/K$).

Combining Theorem 1.1 with Kolyvagin’s theorem [24, Theorem 4], we have the following relation between the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-coranks $r_p^\pm (E/K)$ and the vanishing order $\text{ord} \kappa^\infty$.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $(E, p, K)$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Then we have

\[
\text{ord} \kappa^\infty = \max\{r_p^+ (E/K), r_p^- (E/K)\} - 1.
\]

Furthermore, we denote $\nu^\infty = \text{ord} \kappa^\infty$ and

\[
\epsilon_{\nu^\infty} := \epsilon \cdot (-1)^{\nu^\infty + 1} \in \{\pm 1\},
\]

where $\epsilon = \epsilon(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is the global root number of $E/\mathbb{Q}$. Then we have

\[
\nu_{\nu^\infty}^\epsilon (E/K) = \nu^\infty + 1,
\]

and

\[
0 \leq \nu^\infty - r_p^- \nu_{\nu^\infty}^\epsilon (E/K) \equiv 0 \mod 2.
\]
Remark 1. In particular, under the assumption of Theorem 1.2, the parity conjecture for \( p^{\infty} \)-Selmer group holds:

\[
(-1)^{r_p(E/Q)} = \epsilon(E/Q).
\]

The parity conjecture is known in a more general setting [32] but our proof does not use it and in fact implies it for our \((E,p,K)\).

This is proved in Theorem 11.2. We may further construct all elements in the \( p \)-Selmer group \( \text{Sel}_p(E/K) \), cf. Theorem 11.1. Our Theorem 1.1 and Kolyvagin’s result [24] shows that the eigenspace \( \text{Sel}_{p^{\infty}}^\epsilon(E/K) \) of Selmer groups under the complex conjugation is contained in the subgroup generated by the cohomology classes \( c(n) \) (Theorem 11.2). By choosing a suitable \( K \), this also allows us to construct all \( \text{Sel}_{p^{\infty}}(E/Q) \) for certain primes \( p \) and elliptic curves \( E/Q \) (cf. Corollary 11.3). Moreover, one obtains the structure of the indivisible quotient of \( \text{III}(E/K)[p^{\infty}] \) in terms of the divisibility of Heegner points ([23], see Remark 18 in §10).

We now state some applications to elliptic curves \( E/Q \) whose Selmer groups have \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-corank one. From Theorem 1.2, one may deduce a result for \( E/K \):

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \((E,p,K)\) be as in Theorem 1.1. If \( \text{Sel}_{p^{\infty}}(E/K) \) has \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-corank one, then the Heegner point \( y_K \in E(K) \) is non-torsion. In particular, the analytic rank (i.e., \( \text{ord}_{s=1}L(E/K,s) \)) and the Mordell–Weil rank of \( E/K \) are equal to one, and \( \text{III}(E/K) \) is finite.

**Proof.** Since \( r_p(E/K) = 1 \) and \( r_p(E/K) = r_p^+(E/K) + r_p^-(E/K) \), we must have

\[
\max\{r_p^+(E/K), r_p^-(E/K)\} = 1.
\]

By Theorem 1.2, we must have \( \nu^\infty = 0 \), i.e., \( c_M(1) \neq 0 \), for some \( M \). The cohomology class \( c_M(1) \) is the image of the Heegner point \( y_K \in E(K) \) under the injective Kummer map \( E(K)/p^M E(K) \to H^1(K, E[p^M]) \), and so \( y_K \notin p^M E(K) \). The hypothesis on the subjectivity of \( \overline{\rho}_{E,p} \) implies that \( E(K) \) has no \( p \)-torsion, and it follows that \( y_K \in E(K) \) is non-torsion. The “in particular” part is then due to the Gross–Zagier formula ([17] in the case of modular curves), Kolyvagin’s theory of Euler system, and their extension to the setting of Shimura curves [42, 45].

When \( p = 2 \), the same kind of result was earlier obtained by Y. Tian for the congruent number elliptic curves [39, 40].

Now we state some results for \( E/Q \).
Theorem 1.4. Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve of conductor $N$, and $p \geq 5$ a prime such that:

1. $\overline{p}_{E,p}$ is surjective.
2. If $\ell \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ and $\ell || N$, then $\overline{p}_{E,p}$ is ramified at $\ell$.
3. If $N$ is not square-free, then $\# \text{Ram}(\overline{p}_{E,p}) \geq 1$ and when $\# \text{Ram}(\overline{p}_{E,p}) = 1$, there are even number of prime factors $\ell || N$.
4. The prime $p$ is good ordinary.

Then we have:

(i) If $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ has $\mathbb{Z}_p$-corank one, then the analytic rank and the Mordell-Weil rank of $E/\mathbb{Q}$ are both equal to one, and $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is finite.

(ii) If the analytic rank of $E/\mathbb{Q}$ is larger than one

$$\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/\mathbb{Q}, s) > 1,$$

then the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-corank of $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is at least two (three, resp.) if the root number $\epsilon(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is $+1$ ($-1$, resp.).

Proof. To prove (i), by [6, 31], we may choose an imaginary quadratic field $K$ such that

(a) $(E, K)$ satisfies the generalized Heegner hypothesis (i.e., $N^-$ has even number of factors) and $(E, p, K)$ satisfies Hypothesis ♠. To see why such a $K$ exists, first suppose that $N$ is square-free. If $N$ has an even number of prime factors, then choose $K$ such that $N^+ = 1$ and $N^- = N$. If $N$ has an odd number of prime factors, then choose an $\ell | N$ where $\overline{p}_{E,p}$ is ramified, and then choose $K$ such that $N^+ = \ell$ and $N^- = N/\ell$. Note that such $\ell$ exists by Ribet’s level-lowering theorem [34]; otherwise, since $p$ does not divide $N$, $\overline{p}_{E,p}$ is modular of level 1 by [34, Theorem 1.1], a contradiction! If $N$ is not square-free, we have two cases under the condition (3): when $\# \text{Ram}(\overline{p}_{E,p}) = 1$ or there are even number of primes $\ell || N$, we may choose $N^-$ as the product of all $\ell || N$; when $\# \text{Ram}(\overline{p}_{E,p}) \geq 2$ and there are odd number of primes $\ell || N$, we may choose $N^-$ as the product of all $\ell || N$ but one $\ell \in \text{Ram}(\overline{p}_{E,p})$.

(b) The L-function attached to the quadratic twist, denoted by $E^K$, of $E$ by $K$ has non-zero central value:

$$L(E^K, 1) \neq 0.$$
choose a $K$ to satisfy (a) only. Then by Theorem 1.2, we know that the root number $\epsilon(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is $-1$ since the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-corank of $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is odd.

Once we have chosen such $K$, we see that $E^K(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\text{III}(E^K/\mathbb{Q})$ are both finite (by Gross–Zagier and Kolyvagin, or Kato, or Bertolini–Darmon). In particular, $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E^K/\mathbb{Q})$ is finite. It follows that $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K)$ has $\mathbb{Z}_p$-corank one. Since now our $(E, p, K)$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.3, the desired result follows.

To show part (ii), we again choose $K$ as in the proof of part (i) with only one modification: if $\epsilon(E/\mathbb{Q}) = 1$, we require that $L'(E^K, 1) \neq 0$. Then by Gross–Zagier formula [45], the Heegner point $y_K$ is a torsion point. Hence the class $c_M(1) = 0$ for all $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and the vanishing order $\nu_\infty$ of the Kolyvagin system $\kappa_\infty$ is at least 1. Then part (ii) follows from Theorem 1.2.

**Remark 2.** A version of Theorem 1.3 is also proved by Skinner [36] under some further assumption that $p = \mathfrak{P}\overline{\mathfrak{P}}$ is split in $K/\mathbb{Q}$, and the localization homomorphism at $\mathfrak{P}$,

$$\text{loc}_{\mathfrak{P}} : \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K) \to H^1_{\text{fin}}(K_{\mathfrak{P}}, E[p^\infty]),$$

is surjective, where $H^1_{\text{fin}}(K_{\mathfrak{P}}, E[p^\infty])$ is the image of the local Kummer map at $\mathfrak{P}$. He also announced a version of Theorem 1.4 under similar surjectivity assumption on $\text{loc}_{\mathfrak{P}}$. It is worth noting that Skinner considers the localization at $p$ of the cohomology class of the Heegner point $y_K$, while the current paper considers the localization at many primes away from $p$ (so we do not need the local surjectivity assumption at $p$) of the cohomology classes of Heegner points over ring class fields (so one may take advantage of Kolyvagin system). Skinner then uses a $p$-adic formula due to Bertolini–Darmon–Prasanna [5] and (one divisibility of) the main conjecture proved by X. Wan [44], while the current paper uses the Gross formula modulo $p$ ([14], an explicit version of Waldspurger formula, cf. §6), the congruence of Bertolini–Darmon [4], and the main conjecture proved by Kato [21] and Skinner–Urban [37].

**Remark 3.** For an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{Q}$, the set of primes $p$ satisfying (1)-(4) in Theorem 1.4 has density one, and depends only on the residue representation $\overline{\rho}_{E,p}$. The theorem also implies that: $r_p$ is independent of $p$ in this set if we have $r_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ for one $p$ in this set.

**Theorem 1.5.** Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve of conductor $N$. If $N$ is not square-free, then we assume that there are at least two prime factors $\ell||N$. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) \( r_{MW}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = 1 \) and \( \# \mathbb{M}(E/\mathbb{Q}) < \infty \).

(ii) \( \text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/\mathbb{Q}, s) = 1 \).

The direction \((i) \implies (ii)\) is a converse to the theorem of Gross–Zagier and Kolyvagin. Such a converse was first proved by Skinner [36] for square-free \( N \) with some mild restriction.

Together with the theorem of Yuan–Zhang–Zhang on Gross–Zagier formula for Shimura curves [45] and Kolyvagin theorem [23], we may prove the \( p \)-part of the refined Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer formula (shortened as “B-SD formula” in the rest of the paper) for \( E/K \) in the rank one case under the same assumption as in Theorem 1.1 (see Theorem 10.2). By a careful choice of auxiliary quadratic field \( K \), we may deduce the \( p \)-part of the B-SD formula for \( E/\mathbb{Q} \) in the rank one case (cf. Theorem 10.3).

**Theorem 1.6.** Let \( (E,p) \) be as in Theorem 1.4. If \( \text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/\mathbb{Q}, s) = 1 \), then the \( p \)-part of the B-SD formula for \( E/\mathbb{Q} \) holds:

\[
\left| \frac{L'(E/\mathbb{Q}, 1)}{\Omega_E \cdot \text{Reg}(E/\mathbb{Q})} \right|_p = \left| \# \mathbb{M}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \prod_{\ell \mid N} c_\ell \right|_p,
\]

where the regulator is defined by \( \text{Reg}(E/\mathbb{Q}) := \frac{\langle y, y \rangle_{NT}}{|E(\mathbb{Q}):\mathbb{Z}y|^2} \) for any non-torsion \( y \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \), \( \langle y, y \rangle_{NT} \) is the Néron-Tate height pairing, and \( c_\ell \) is the local Tamagawa number of \( E/\mathbb{Q}_\ell \).

**Remark 4.** Skinner–Urban and Kato [37, Theorem 2] have proved the \( p \)-part of the B-SD formula in the rank zero case for any good ordinary \( p \) with certain conditions (less restrictive than ours).

**Remark 5.** With the Gross–Zagier formula, the previous result of Kolyvagin [23] shows that, in the analytic rank one case, the \( p \)-part of the B-SD formula for \( E/K \) is equivalent to a certain \( p \)-indivisibility property of \( \kappa_\infty \). Under the condition of the Theorem 10.2 we prove such property (i.e., \( \mathcal{M}_\infty = 0 \)). One then obtains the \( p \)-part of the B-SD formula for \( E/\mathbb{Q} \) with the help of the theorem of Kato and Skinner–Urban on the B-SD formula in the rank zero case.

We now give an overview of the proof of Theorem 1.1. We start with the simpler case where the \( p \)-Selmer group of \( E/K \) has rank one. Let \( g \) be the modular form associated with \( E \), choose a level-raising prime \( \ell \) which is inert in \( K \), and a modular form \( g_\ell \) (of level \( N\ell \)) congruent to \( g \) modulo \( p \). Using a Čebotarev argument, this \( \ell \) may be chosen so that the relevant \( p \)-Selmer group for \( g_\ell \) has lower rank, hence trivial. By the deep result of Kato and
Skinner–Urban on the rank zero B-SD formula, the central value of the L-function attached to $g$ must be a $p$-adic unit. A Jochnowitz-type congruence of Bertolini–Darmon allows us to conclude that the Heegner point $y_K$ has nontrivial Kummer image in $H^1(K, E[p])$, and hence is nonzero. To treat the general case, we use induction on the dimension of the $p$-Selmer group of $E/K$. The induction proceeds by applying level-raising at two suitable primes to reduce the rank of the $p$-Selmer group. We refer to §9 for more details.

**Notations**

(i) $p \geq 5$: a prime such that $(p, N) = 1$.

(ii) $A$: the adeles of $\mathbb{Q}$. $A_f$: the finite adeles of $\mathbb{Q}$. $A^m_f$: the finite adeles of $\mathbb{Q}$ away from the primes dividing $m$.

(iii) For an integer $n$, we denote by $\nu(n)$ the number of distinct prime factors of $n$.

(iv) $g$: a newform of weight two on $\Gamma_0(N)$ (hence with trivial nebentypus), with Fourier expansion

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(g)q^n, \quad a_1 = 1.$$ 

The field of coefficient is denoted by $F = F_g$ and its ring of integer by $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_g$.

(v) $p : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ a place above $p$, $F_p$ the corresponding completion of $F$. We also denote by $\mathfrak{p}$ the prime ideal $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathbb{Z}_p$ of $\mathcal{O}$, $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}$ the completion of $\mathcal{O}$ at $\mathfrak{p}$. The modular form $g$ is assumed to be good ordinary at $p$, i.e.,:

$$a_p \notin \mathfrak{p}.$$ 

Equivalently, $v_p(a_p) = 0$ where $v_p : \mathcal{O}_p \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the $p$-adic valuation.

(vi) We denote by $\mathfrak{O}_0 \subset \mathcal{O}$ the order generated over $\mathbb{Z}$ by the Fourier coefficients $a_n(g)$’s of $g$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{O}_0$, and

$$k_0 := \mathfrak{O}_0/\mathfrak{p}_0 \subset k := \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}.$$ 

Both are finite fields of characteristic $p$. Let $\mathcal{O}_p$ ($\mathcal{O}_{0,p_0}$, resp.) be the $p$-adic ($\mathfrak{p}_0$-adic, resp.) completion of $\mathcal{O}$ ($\mathfrak{O}_0$, resp.).
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(vii) \( A = A_g \): a GL_2-type abelian-variety over \( \mathbb{Q} \) attached to \( g \), unique up to isogeny. We always choose an isomorphism class \( A \) with an embedding \( \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \text{End}_\mathbb{Q}(A) \). Then the \( p \)-adic Tate module \( T_p(A) = \text{proj lim} A[p^i] \) is a free \( \mathcal{O}_p \)-module of rank two with a Galois representation
\[
\rho_{A,p} : \text{Gal}_\mathbb{Q} \to \text{GL}_{\mathcal{O}_p}(T_p(A)), \quad \text{Gal}_\mathbb{Q} := \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}).
\]
Denote by \( \overline{\rho}_{A,p,M} \) the reduction modulo \( p^M \) of \( \rho_{A,p} \):
\[
\overline{\rho}_{A,p,M} : \text{Gal}_\mathbb{Q} \to \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_p}(A[p^M]) \cong \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}/p^M).
\]
By [7], the Galois representation \( \rho_{A,p} \) is actually defined over the smaller subring \( \mathcal{O}_{0,p_0} \subset \mathcal{O}_p \):
\[
\rho_{A,p_0} : \text{Gal}_\mathbb{Q} \to \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{0,p_0}) \subset \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_p),
\]
such that
\[
\rho_{A,p} = \rho_{A,p_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{0,p_0}} \mathcal{O}_p. \tag{1.2}
\]
(viii) We consider the reduction of \( \rho_{A,p} \) and \( \rho_{A,p_0} \). We will write the underlying representation space of \( \overline{\rho}_{A,p} \):
\[
V_k = A[p]
\]
as a \( k = \mathcal{O}/p \)-vector space of dimension two, endowed with the action of \( \text{Gal}_\mathbb{Q} \). By (1.2) it can be obtained from by extending scalars from \( k_0 \) to \( k \), i.e., there is a two-dimensional \( k_0 \)-vector subspace \( V \) with \( \text{Gal}_\mathbb{Q} \)-action such that
\[
V_k = V \otimes_{k_0} k. \tag{1.3}
\]
(ix) We will always assume that the residual Galois representation
\[
\overline{\rho}_{A,p_0} : \text{Gal}_\mathbb{Q} \to \text{GL}(V) \cong \text{GL}_2(k_0)
\]
is surjective\(^2\). In particular, \( \overline{\rho}_{A,p_0} \) (and hence \( \overline{\rho}_{A,p} \)) is absolutely irreducible since \( p \) is odd. Then \( A \) is unique up to prime-to-\( p \) isogeny. In this case, we may also write \( \overline{\rho}_{g,p,M} \) for \( \overline{\rho}_{A,p,M} \) since it depends only on \( g \), but not on \( A \).

\(^2\)This impose strong conditions on \( k_0 \) and indeed implies that \( k_0 = \mathbb{F}_p \). But this suffices for our purpose.
(x) $K = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-D}]$: an imaginary quadratic of discriminant $-D = D_K < 0$ with $(D, N) = 1$. The field $K$ determines a factorization $N = N^+ N^-$ where the factors of $N^+$ ($N^-$, resp.) are all split (inert, resp.). Let $g^K (A^K, \text{resp.})$ be the quadratic twist of $g (A, \text{resp.})$. Throughout this paper, $N^-$ is square-free.

(xi) We will consider the base change $L$-function (without the archimedean local factors) $L(g/K, s) = L(g/\mathbb{Q}, s) L(g^K/\mathbb{Q}, s)$. We use the classical normalization so that the functional equation is centered at $s = 1$. Then the root number (i.e., the sign of the functional equation of the $L$-function $L(g/K, s)$) is given by

$$
\epsilon(g/K) = (-1)^{\#\{\nu(N^-) + 1\} \in \{\pm 1\}}.
$$

(xii) $\Lambda$: the set of square free products $n$ of Kolyvagin primes $\ell$’s. We also include 1 into $\Lambda$. Recall that a prime $\ell$ is called a Kolyvagin prime if $\ell$ is prime to $N D p$, inert in $K$ and the Kolyvagin index $M(\ell) := \min\{v_p(\ell + 1), v_p(a_\ell)\}$ is strictly positive. Define for $n \in \Lambda$:

$$
M(n) = \min\{M(\ell) : \ell|n\},
$$

if $n > 1$ and $M(1) = \infty$. Write $\Lambda_r$ as the set of $n \in \Lambda$ with exactly $r$ factors. Define

$$
M_r = \min\{M(n) : n \in \Lambda_r\}.
$$

Note that the set $\Lambda$ depends only on the residue Galois module $\overline{\rho}_{g,p}$. Denote by $\Lambda^{\pm}$ the set of $n \in \Lambda$ such that $(-1)^{\nu(n)} = \pm 1$.

(xiii) For $n$ coprime to $D_K$, we denote by $O_{K,n} = \mathbb{Z} + nO_K$ the order of conductor $n$, and by $K[n]$ the ring class field of conductor $n$.

(xiv) $\Lambda'$: the set of square free products $m$ of admissible primes (after Bertolini–Darmon) $q$. Recall that a prime $q$ is called admissible if $q$ is prime to $N D p$, inert in $K$, $p$ does not divide $q^2 - 1$, and the index

$$
v_p((q + 1)^2 - a_q^2) \geq 1.
$$

Similarly define $\Lambda'_r$, $\Lambda'^{\pm}$ etc.. Note that the two sets $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda'$ are disjoint.

(xv) Let $\text{Ram}(\overline{\rho}_{g,p})$ denote the set of $\ell|N$ such that $\overline{\rho}_{g,p}$ is ramified at $\ell$. We will consider the following hypothesis, called Hypothesis $\heartsuit$, for $(g, p, K)$:

(1) $\text{Ram}(\overline{\rho}_{g,p})$ contains all prime factors $\ell||N^+$ and all $q|N^-$ such that $q \equiv \pm 1 \mod p$.

(2) If $N$ is not square-free, then $\# \text{Ram}(\overline{\rho}_{g,p}) \geq 1$, and either $\text{Ram}(\overline{\rho}_{g,p})$ contains a prime $\ell||N^-$ or there are at least two primes factors $\ell||N^+$.

(3) For all prime $\ell$ with $\ell^2|N^+$, we have $H^1(Q_\ell, \overline{\rho}_{g,p}) = \overline{\rho}_{g,p}^{\text{Gal}_\ell} = 0$. Here $\text{Gal}_\ell \subset \text{Gal}_Q$ denotes a decomposition group at $\ell$.

2. Level-raising of modular forms

We first recall the level-raising of Ribet, following Diamond–Taylor’s generalization [10, 11].

**Theorem 2.1** (Ribet, Diamond–Taylor). Let $g$ be a newform of weight two of level $N$ (and trivial nebentypus). Let $p$ be a prime of $\mathcal{O}_g$ such that $\rho_{g,p}$ is irreducible with residue characteristic $p \geq 5$. Then for each admissible prime $q$, there exists a newform $g'$ of level $Nq$ (and trivial nebentypus), with a prime $p'$ of $\mathcal{O}_{g'}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{g,0}/p'_0 \simeq \mathcal{O}_{g,0}/p_0 = k_0$ such that

$$\overline{\rho}_{g,p_0} \simeq \overline{\rho}_{g',p'_0}.$$ 

Equivalently, for all primes $\ell \neq q$, we have

$$a_\ell(g) \mod p \equiv a_\ell(g') \mod p',$$

where both sides lie in $k_0$.

**Proof.** Fix a place of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ above a prime $\ell$, and let $\text{Gal}_\ell \hookrightarrow \text{Gal}_Q$ be the corresponding decomposition group. For $\ell \neq q$, we denote by $\tau_\ell$ the restriction of $\rho_{g,p}$ to $\text{Gal}_\ell$. At $\ell = q$, let $\tau_\ell$ be the $p$-adic representation of $\text{Gal}_q$ corresponding to an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation under the local Langlands correspondence, such that $\tau_q$ is isomorphic to the restriction of $\overline{\rho}_{g,p_0}$ to $\text{Gal}_q$. Such $\tau_q$ exists because $a_q(g) \equiv \pm (q+1) \mod p$ by the admissibility of $q$. Then we apply [11, Theorem 1] (cf. [10, Theorem B]) to obtain a weight two modular form $g'$ of level dividing $Nq$, and a prime $p'$ such that the representation $\rho_{g',p'}$ has the prescribed restriction to the inertial subgroups $I_\ell: \rho_{g',p'}|_{I_\ell} \simeq \tau_\ell|_{I_\ell}$ for all $\ell \neq p$. Since the level of $g'$ depends only on the restriction of $\rho_{g',p'}$ to the inertia $I_\ell$ for all $\ell$, we see that its level is divisible by $Nq$ and hence equal to $Nq$. To see that $g'$ has trivial nebentypus, we note that the character $\text{det}(\rho_{g',p'})$ is the $p$-adic cyclotomic character $\epsilon_p$ twisted by a character $\chi$ of $\text{Gal}_Q$. Since the level of $g'$ is prime to $p$, $\chi$ is unramified at $p$. Since $g$ has trivial nebentypus, by $\text{det}(\rho_{g,p})|_{I_\ell} \simeq \text{det}(\rho_{g',p'})|_{I_\ell}$ for all
\( \ell \neq p \), the character \( \chi \) is unramified at all primes \( \ell \neq p \). It follows that \( \chi \) is unramified at all primes \( \ell \) and hence \( \chi = 1 \) and \( g' \) has trivial nebentypus. This completes the proof.

Let \( m \in \Lambda' \) be a product of distinct admissible primes. By Theorem 2.1, we obtain a weight-two newform \( g_m \) of level \( Nm \) together with a prime \( p_m \) of \( \mathcal{O}_{g_m} \). Here all notations for \( g \) will have their counterparts for \( g_m \) and we will simply add an index \( m \) in a self-evident way. We have the residue field \( k_m = \mathcal{O}_{g_m}/p_m \) and isomorphic subfields \( k_0 = \mathcal{O}_{g,0}/p_0 \simeq \mathcal{O}_{g_m,0}/p_{m,0} \). The isomorphism will be fixed in the rest of the paper. The modular form \( g_m \) and \( g \) carry isomorphic \( \text{Gal}_\mathbb{Q} \)-actions on the two-dimensional \( k_0 \)-vector space \( \rho_{g_m,p_0} \simeq \rho_{g,p_0} \).

We will fix an isomorphism and denote the underlying two-dimensional \( k_0 \)-vector space by \( V \).

3. Shimura curves and Heegner points

3.1. Shimura curves and Shimura sets.

Let \( N = N^+N^- \), \( (N^+, N^-) = 1 \) and \( N^- \) square-free. In this section we assume that the number of prime factors of \( N^- \) is even.

For \( m \in \Lambda^+ \) (i.e., \( m \) has an even number of prime factors), let \( B(N^-m) \) be the quaternion algebra over \( \mathbb{Q} \) ramified precisely at \( N^-m \) (in particular, indefinite at the archimedean place). We let \( X_{N^+,N^-m} \) be the (compactified) Shimura curve defined by the indefinite quaternion algebra \( B(N^-m) \) with the \( \Gamma_0(N^+) \)-level structure.

For \( m \in \Lambda^- \), let \( B(N^-m\infty) \) be the quaternion algebra over \( \mathbb{Q} \) ramified precisely at \( N^-m\infty \) (in particular, definite at the archimedean place). We let \( X_m := X_{N^+,N^-m} \) be the double coset space defined by the definite quaternion algebra \( B(N^-m\infty) \) with the \( \Gamma_0(N^+) \)-level (sometimes called "Gross curve" in the literature, \([43, \S 2]\)):

\[
X_{N^+,N^-m} = B^\times \backslash B(\mathbb{A}_f)^\times / \hat{R}^\times,
\]

where \( B = B(N^-m\infty) \), and \( R \) is an Eichler order of level \( N^+ \). We will call it a Shimura set.

For short we will write (noting that \( N = N^+N^- \) is fixed) \( B_m \) for \( B(N^-m) \) when \( m \in \Lambda^+ \), or \( B(N^-m\infty) \) when \( m \in \Lambda^- \). We write the Eichler
order in $B_m$ by $R_m$. We also write
\begin{equation}
X_m = X_{N^+,N-m}.
\end{equation}

For example, if $N^{-} = 1$, we have $X_1 = X_0(N)$.

From now on, we will fix an isomorphism between $B \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ and the matrix algebra $M_{2,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ (a fixed division algebra over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$, resp.) if a quaternion algebra $B$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is unramified (ramified, resp.) at a (possibly archimedean) prime $\ell$. This will allow us, for example, to identify $B_m(A_n(\ell))$ with $B_m(A_{n'}(\ell))$ for $\ell, m \in \Lambda'$ and $\ell \nmid m$.

### 3.2. Heegner points on Shimura curves

Let $m \in \Lambda^+$ and $A_m = A_{g_m}$ a quotient of the Jacobian $J(X_m)$:
\[ \pi : J(X_m) \to A_m. \]

Let $n \in \Lambda$ be a product of Kolyvagin primes. We now define a system of points defined over the ring class field $K[n]$:
\[ x_m(n) \in X_m(K[n]), \quad y_m(n) \in A_m(K[n]). \]

**Remark 6.** We need to be careful when defining $y_m(n)$. We may define an embedding $X_m \to J$ by $x \mapsto (x) - (\infty)$ if $X_m$ is the modular curve $X_0(N^+)$, i.e., $N^{-} = 1$. In general, there is no natural base point to embed $X_m$ into its Jacobian. We may take a certain Atkin-Lehner involution $w$ and take $y_m(n) \in A_m(K[n])$ to be the image of the degree-zero divisor $(x) - (w(x))$ where $x = x_m(n)$. This works if the Atkin-Lehner involution acts on $A_m$ by $-1$. Otherwise, we may take a fixed auxiliary prime $\ell_0$ and define $y_m(n)$ to be the image of the degree-zero divisor $(\ell_0 + 1 - T_{\ell_0})x_m(n)$. This does not lose generality if $(\ell_0 + 1 - a_{\ell_0}(g_m))$ is a $p_m$-adic unit. Such $\ell_0$ exists, for example, if $g_{g_m,p_m,0}$ is surjective. Furthermore, since we wish to eliminate the dependence on the choice of $\ell_0$ (up to torsion points), we will define $y_m(n)$ to be the image of the divisor with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients
\begin{equation}
\bar{x}_m(n) := \frac{1}{\ell_0 + 1 - a_{\ell_0}(g_m)}(\ell_0 + 1 - T_{\ell_0})x_m(n)
\end{equation}
viewed as an element in $A_m(K[n]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. 
Remark 7. The definition of $y_m(n)$ also involves a parametrization $\pi$ of $A_m$ by $J(X_m)$, as well as a choice $A_m$ in the isogeny class determined by $g$. We will take either the optimal quotient (which has only $\mathcal{O}_{g_m,0}$-multiplication in general) or one with $\mathcal{O}_{g_m}$-multiplication. But for the moment we do not want to specify the choice yet.

We describe the points $x_m(n)$ in terms of the complex uniformization of $X_m$. The complex uniformization of $X_m$ is given by

$$X_m(\mathbb{C}) = B_m^{\times} \backslash \mathcal{H}^{\pm} \times B_m(\mathbb{A}_f)^{\times}/\hat{R}_m^{\times}, \quad \mathcal{H}^{\pm} := \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}.$$

Fix an (optimal) embedding

$$K \hookrightarrow B_m$$

such that $R \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K$. Such an embedding exists since all primes dividing $N^+$ are assumed to be split in $K$. Then we have a unique fixed point $h_0$ of $K^{\times}$ on $\mathcal{H}^+$. Then the total set of Heegner points is given by (cf. [42]):

$$\mathcal{C}_m = \mathcal{C}_{K,m} = B_m^{\times}(\mathbb{A}_f)^{\times}/\hat{R}_m^{\times} \simeq K^{\times}\backslash B_m(\mathbb{A}_f)^{\times}/\hat{R}_m^{\times}.$$

In this paper, we only need to use a subset of $\mathcal{C}_K$. Let

$$B_m(\mathbb{A}_f)^{\times,+} = K^{\times}\left(\prod_{\ell} B_m(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{\times}\right)\hat{R}_m^{\times},$$

where the restricted direct product for $\ell$ runs over all inert primes such that $(\ell, Nm) = 1$ and $\ell \equiv -1 \mod p$ (hence $B_m(\mathbb{A}_f)^{\times,+}$ implicitly depends on the prime $p$). Define

$$\mathcal{C}_m^+ = K^{\times}\backslash B_m(\mathbb{A}_f)^{\times,+}/\hat{R}_m^{\times}.$$

There is a Galois action of $\text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K)$ on $\mathcal{C}_{K,m}$ given by

$$\sigma([h]) = [\text{rec}(\sigma)h],$$

where $[h] \in \mathcal{C}_{K,m}$ is a double coset of $h \in B_m(\mathbb{A}_f)^{\times}$, and we have the reciprocity map given by class field theory

$$\text{rec} : \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K) \simeq K^{\times}\backslash \hat{K}^{\times}.$$
We now may define more explicitly the point $x_m(n)$ already mentioned earlier: in the set $\mathcal{E}_K^+$, the point $x_m(n)$ for $n \in \Lambda$ corresponds to the coset of $h = (h_\ell) \in B_m(\mathbb{A}_f)^\times, +$ where

$$
(3.7) \quad h_\ell = \begin{cases} 
(\ell \ 0) \\
(0 \ 1) \\
1,
\end{cases} \quad \ell | n, \\
(\ell, n) = 1.
$$

When $m = 1$ or there is no confusion, we simply write

$$
(3.8) \quad x(n) = x_m(n), \quad y(n) = y_m(n).
$$

**3.3. Heegner points on Shimura sets**

When $m \in \Lambda'$ has odd number of prime factors, we have the Shimura set:

$$
(3.9) \quad X_m = B_m^x \backslash B_m(\mathbb{A}_f)^x / \hat{R}_m^x.
$$

The Shimura set is a finite set. Again fix an optimal embedding $K \hookrightarrow B_m$. We then define the set $\mathcal{E}_{K,m}$ of Heegner points on the Shimura set $X_m$ by

$$
(3.10) \quad \mathcal{E}_m = \mathcal{E}_{K,m} = K^\times \backslash B_m(\mathbb{A}_f)^x / \hat{R}_m^x.
$$

Similarly we may define the set $\mathcal{E}_{K,m}^+$, and an action of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{ab}}/K)$ on the set $\mathcal{E}_{K,m}$ by the formula (3.6). We again consider the Heegner points given by the same formula as (3.7)

$$
(3.11) \quad x_m(n) \in \mathcal{E}_{K,m}, \quad n \in \Lambda.
$$

We have a natural map (usually not injective)

$$
(3.11) \quad \mathcal{E}_{K,m} \rightarrow X_m.
$$

When there is no ambiguity, we will consider $x_m(n)$ as an element in the Shimura set $X_m$.

**3.4. Reduction of Shimura curves**

We consider the reduction of the canonical integral model of $X_m = X_{N^+,N^-}$ at a prime $q$, where $m \in \Lambda^+$. 
First let $q$ be an admissible prime not dividing the level $Nm$. Then $X_m$ has an integral model over $\mathbb{Z}_q$ parametrizing abelian surfaces with auxiliary structure (cf. [1] for the detail). The integral model has good reduction at $q$ and the set of supersingular points $X_m(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})^{ss}$ are naturally parameterized by the Shimura set $X_{mq}$:

\[(3.12)\quad X_m(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})^{ss} \simeq X_{mq},\]

where $mq \in \Lambda^-$. This identification needs to choose a base point, which we will choose to be the reduction of the Heegner point corresponding to the identity coset in (3.4) (cf. the convention before (3.18)). Via the moduli interpretation of the integral model of $X_m$, this choice of base point also gives an embedding of $K$ (as the endomorphism algebra of the abelian surface $A$ preserving the auxiliary structure, corresponding to the base point) into the quaternion algebra $B_{mq}$ (as the endomorphism algebra of the special fiber of the $A$):

\[(3.13)\quad K \hookrightarrow B_{mq}.\]

Now let $q|m$ be a prime. Then the curve $X_m$ has a semistable integral model, denoted by $X_{m,\mathbb{Z}_q}$, over $\mathbb{Z}_q$ by a moduli interpretation via Drinfeld’s special action by a maximal order in a quaternion algebra [1]. We will consider the base change to $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$, the unramified quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Z}_q$. Let $(\mathcal{E}(X_m), \mathcal{V}(X_m))$ be the dual reduction graph of the special fiber $X_{m,\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}$ of $X_{m,\mathbb{Z}_q}$, where $\mathcal{E}(X_m)$ ($\mathcal{V}(X_m)$, resp.) denotes the set of edges (vertices, resp.). The graph is constructed such that each vertex corresponds to an irreducible component and two vertices are adjacent if and only if their corresponding components have an intersecting point. By [34, Prop. 4.4], it follows from the Cerednik–Drinfeld uniformization [1, Theorem 5.2] that the special fiber $X_{m,\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}$ is a union of projective lines crossing transversely. Moreover, the set of irreducible components of $X_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}$ can be identified with two copies of the Shimura set $X_{m/q}$:

\[(3.14)\quad \mathcal{V}(X_m) \simeq X_{m/q} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z},\]

where $m/q \in \Lambda^-$. We choose the base point to be the irreducible component corresponding to the unique irreducible component containing the reduction of the base point of Heegner points in (3.4). The uniqueness follows from the fact that Heegner points in (3.4) are reduced to a non-singular point on the special fiber (cf. [4, §8, p.55]). This also induces an embedding (loc. cit.)

\[(3.15)\quad K \hookrightarrow B_{m/q}.\]
Under this identification, the Atkin-Lehner involution at $q$ acts by changing the second factor of the above product. So does the Frobenius for the quadratic extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q$. For our later purpose, we also give the adelic description (cf. [34, §4], [47, Lemma 5.4.4]):

$$\mathcal{V}(X_m) = B_0^\times \backslash \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_q)/\mathbb{Q}_q^\times \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q) \times B(\mathbb{A}_f)^\times /\hat{\mathbb{R}}^\times ,$$

where $B = B_{m/q}$ and $B_0^\times \subset B^\times$ is the kernel of $\gamma \mapsto \text{ord}_q(\text{det}(\gamma))$ (here $\text{det}$ denotes the reduced norm on $B$). The group $B_0^\times$ acts diagonally by left multiplication on the product. Then the isomorphism (3.14) is defined as follows: for a given $B_0^\times$-coset $[h_q, h_q^0]$, we send it to the $B^\times$-coset $[h_q, h_q^0]$ in the Shimura set $X_{m/q}$, to $\text{ord}_q(\text{det}(h_q)) \mod 2$ in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. This defines the isomorphism in (3.16). We thus write

$$\mathcal{V}(X_m) = \mathcal{V}_0(X_m) \sqcup \mathcal{V}_1(X_m)$$

as a disjoint union according to $\text{ord}_q(\text{det}(h_q)) \mod 2$. Noting that $\mathbb{Q}$ has class number one and $\text{det}(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^\times) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$, we have an equivalent description to (3.9):

$$X_{m/q} = B_{m/q}^\times \backslash B_{m/q}(\mathbb{A}_f)^\times /\mathbb{Q}_q^\times . \hat{\mathbb{R}}_{m/q}^\times .$$

From this description and the isomorphism (3.14), we will identify $X_{m/q}$ with the subset $\mathcal{V}_0(X_m) \simeq X_{m/q} \times \{0\}$ of $\mathcal{V}(X_m)$.

### 3.5. Reduction of Heegner points

We consider Heegner points (CM points in [42, 45]) on the Shimura curves $X_m$, for $m \in \Lambda^\times$.

Let $q \in \Lambda'$ be a prime not dividing $m$. Then $X_m$ has good reduction at $q$. The Heegner points in $\mathcal{E}_{K,m}^+$ are defined over abelian extensions of $K$ over which the prime $(q) \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ splits completely. Let $K(q)$ be an abelian extension of $K$ containing all these fields and we fix a choice of a prime $q$ above $(q) \subset \mathcal{O}_K$. This allows us to reduce these points modulo $q$. Identifying $\mathcal{O}_{K(q)}/q \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, they all reduce to supersingular points on $X_{mq, \mathbb{F}_{q^2}}$. We write the composition of the isomorphism (3.12) with the reduction map as:

$$\text{Red}_q : \mathcal{E}_{K,m}^+ \to X_{mq}.$$
This is given by (3.5) and the following map:

\[
K^\times \backslash B_m(A_f)^{\times,+} / R_m^\times \to B_{mq}^\times \backslash \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_q^\times} \times B_{mq}(\mathcal{A}_f^q)^{\times} / (\mathbb{Z}_q^\times) \cdot (R_{mq} \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^q)^{\times} \\
\to B_{mq}^\times \backslash B_{mq}(A_f)^{\times} / R_{mq}^\times
\]

where the first arrow at the \(q\)-th component is induced by \(b \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_q) \mapsto \det(b) \in \mathbb{Q}_q\), the second one is an isomorphism induced by the reduced norm on the division algebra \(\text{det} : B_{mq}(\mathbb{Q}_q)^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{B_{mq}(\mathbb{Q}_q)}^{\times} \to \mathbb{Q}_q^\times / \mathbb{Z}_q^\times\) (cf. [47, Lemma 5.4.3]). We are implicitly using the embedding \(K \hookrightarrow B_{mq}\) given by (3.13).

Now let \(q \in \Lambda'\) be a prime dividing \(m\). Similarly as in the last paragraph, we choose a prime \(q\) of \(K(q)\) above \(q\) and identify \(\mathcal{O}_{K(q)}/q \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^2}\) to reduce the Heegner points to the special fiber \(X_{m,\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}\). Any point in the set \(\mathcal{C}_{K,Nm}^+\) reduces to a non-singular point of the special fiber \(X_{m,\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}\) (cf. [4, \S 8, p.55]). Hence we have a specialization map from \(\mathcal{C}_{K,Nm}^+\) to the set of irreducible components \(\mathcal{V}\). Since the \(q\)- component of an element in \(\mathcal{C}_{K,Nm}^+\) has reduced norm of even valuation, the specialization of \(\mathcal{C}_{K,Nm}^+\) lies in the subset \(X_{m/q} \times \{0\}\) of \(\mathcal{V}\). We thus write the specialization map as

\[
(3.19) \quad \text{Sp}_q : \mathcal{C}_{K,m}^+ \to X_{m/q}.
\]

The specialization is given by (3.5) and the following map:

\[
K^\times \backslash B_m(A_f)^{\times,+} / R_m^\times \to K^\times \backslash B_m(A_f^q)^{\times,+} / (R_m \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^q)^{\times} \\
\to B_{m/q}^\times \backslash B_{m/q}(A_f^q)^{\times} / R_{m/q}^\times,
\]

where the first arrow is given by forgetting the \(q\)-th opponent, and the second one maps \([h^q] \mapsto [1,h^q]\) for \(h^q \in B_m(A_f^q) \simeq B_{m/q}(A_f^q)\) (cf. [47, Lemma 5.4.6]). We are implicitly using the embedding \(K \hookrightarrow B_{mq}\) given by (3.15).

### 3.6. Geometric congruence between Heegner points

The main geometric observation is the following congruence between coherent and incoherent Heegner points.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \(m \in \Lambda^+, X_m\) the Shimura curve \(X_{N^+,N^-m}\). Then we have the following relation:

- When a prime \(q \in \Lambda'\) does not divide \(m \in \Lambda^+\),
  \[
  \text{Red}_q(x_m(n)) = x_{mq}(n) \in \mathcal{C}_{mq,K}.
  \]
In particular, we have $\text{Red}_q(x_m(n)) = x_{mq}(n) \in X_{mq}$, under (3.11).

- When a prime $q \in \Lambda'$ divides $m \in \Lambda'^+$,

$$\text{Sp}_q(x_m(n)) = x_{m/q}(n) \in X_{m/q}.$$ 

**Proof.** This follows from the description of the reduction of Heegner points (3.18), (3.19). □

### 3.7. Kolyvagin cohomology classes

We now prepare to formulate the Kolyvagin conjecture for $GL_2$-type abelian variety analogous to [24] for elliptic curves. We first define Kolyvagin cohomology classes.

We consider a newform $g$ of level $N$ with a prime $p$ of $\mathcal{O}$ satisfying the hypothesis in “Notations”. Let $A = A_g$ be an associated $GL_2$-type abelian variety over $\mathbb{Q}$ with real multiplication by $\mathcal{O}$. Let $X = X_{1, N^+, N^-}$. The abelian variety $A_g$ may not be an optimal quotient of $J(X)$. Possibly changing $A$ in its isogeny class (still with $\mathcal{O}$-multiplication), we will choose a parameterization

$$J(X) \to A \quad (3.20)$$

such that the image of the induced homomorphism on the $p$-adic Tate module

$$T_p(J(X)) \to T_p(A)$$

is not contained in $pT_p(A)$. We say that such a parameterization is $(\mathcal{O}, p)$-optimal and that the abelian variety $A$ is $(\mathcal{O}, p)$-optimal. To see that an $(\mathcal{O}, p)$-optimal parameterization exists, we note that there exists another $A'$ with $\mathcal{O}$-multiplication and an $\mathcal{O}$-isogeny $A' \to A$ such that the image of the induced homomorphism on the $p$-adic Tate module $T_p(A') \to T_p(A)$ is $pT_p(A)$. If the image of $T_p(J(X)) \to T_p(A)$ is contained in $pT_p(A)$, the morphism $J(X) \to A$ must factor through $A'$. We may then replace $A$ by $A'$.

We now define the Kolyvagin cohomology classes (cf. [15, 23] for elliptic curves). Let $T_pA_g$ be the $p$-adic Tate module of $A_g$ and consider the $p$-adic Tate module:

$$T_pA := T_pA \otimes_{\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_p.$$ 

It is a free $\mathcal{O}_p$-module of rank two. Set

$$A_{g,M} = T_pA_g \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_p} \mathcal{O}_p/p^M.$$
and
\[ A_{g,\infty} = T_p A_g \otimes_{O_p} F_p / \mathcal{O}_p, \]
where \( F_p \) is the fraction field of \( \mathcal{O}_p \). We now define
\[ c_M(n) \in H^1(K, A_{g,M}), \quad M \leq M(n), \]
by applying Kolyvagin’s derivative operators to the points \( y(n) \in A(K[n]) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) defined in (3.2). Note that the denominator of \( y(n) \) is a \( p \)-adic unit and hence we may interpret \( y(n) \) as an element of \( A(K[n])_{Z_p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_p} \mathcal{O}_p \). Denote \( G_n = \text{Gal}(K[n]/K[1]) \) and \( \mathcal{G}_n = \text{Gal}(K[n]/K) \) for \( n \in \Lambda \). Then we have a canonical isomorphism:
\[ G_n = \prod_{\ell | n} G_{\ell}, \]
where the group \( G_{\ell} = \text{Gal}(K[\ell]/K[1]) \) is cyclic of order \( \ell + 1 \). Choose a generator \( \sigma_{\ell} \) of \( G_{\ell} \), and define the Kolyvagin derivative operator
\[ D_{\ell} := \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} i \sigma_{\ell}^i \in \mathbb{Z}[G_{\ell}], \]
and
\[ D_n := \prod_{\ell | n} D_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}[G_n]. \]
Fix a set \( \mathcal{G} \) of representatives of \( \mathcal{G}_n / G_n \). Then we define the derived Heegner point
\[ P(n) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} \sigma(D_{n}(y(n))) \in A_g(K[n]). \]
We have a commutative diagram of Kummer maps:
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A(K)_{Z_p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_p} \mathcal{O}_p / p^M & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, A_{g,M}) \\
\downarrow \text{Res} & & \downarrow \text{Res} \\
A(K[n])_{Z_p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_p} \mathcal{O}_p / p^M & \longrightarrow & H^1(K[n], A_{g,M})
\end{array}
\]
where \( A(K)_{Z_p} \) denotes \( A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathcal{O}_p \). When \( M \leq M(n) \), the Kummer image of \( P(n) \) in \( H^1(K[n], A_{g,M}) \) is actually \( \text{Gal}(K[n]/K) \)-invariant. Since \( \rho_{g,p} \) is essentially surjective and \( n \in \Lambda \), we have (cf. [15, Lemma 4.3])
\[ A_{g,M}^{\text{Gal}_{K[n]}} = 0. \]
Hence the restriction map

$$H^1(K, A_{g,M}) \to H^1(K[n], A_{g,M})^{\text{Gal}(K[n]/K)}$$

is an isomorphism. The derived point $P(n)$ defines a $\text{Gal}(K[n]/K)$-invariant element in $A(K[n])_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \delta_p/p^M$. Hence the Kummer image of $P(n)$ descends to a cohomology class denoted by

$$(3.21) \quad c_M(n) \in H^1(K, A_{g,M}).$$

When $n = 1$, we also denote

$$(3.22) \quad y_K := P(1) = \text{tr}_{K[1]/K} y(1) \in A(K),$$

and the point $y_K \in A(K)$ is usually called the Heegner point. This is the only case where the derivative operator is trivial and hence can be related to suitable $L$-values via the Waldspurger or Gross–Zagier formula, as we will see.

One could also describe the action of the complex conjugation on the classes $c_M(n)$. Let $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ be the root number of $A_g$. Define

$$(3.23) \quad \nu(n) = \#\{\ell : \ell|n\},$$

and

$$(3.24) \quad \epsilon_{\nu} = \epsilon \cdot (-1)^{\nu+1} \in \{\pm 1\}.$$  

Then the class $c_M(n)$ lies in the $\epsilon_{\nu(n)}$-eigenspace under complex conjugation ([15, Prop. 5.4], [2, Prop. 2.6]):

$$c_M(n) \in H^1(K, A_{g,M})^{\epsilon_{\nu(n)}}.$$  

### 3.8. Kolyvagin’s conjecture.

Let $\mathcal{M}(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the divisibility index of the class $c(n)$, i.e., the maximal $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $c_M(n) \in p^{\#H^1(K, A_{g,M})}$ for all $M \leq M(n)$. Define $\mathcal{M}_r$ to be the minimal $\mathcal{M}(n)$ for all $n \in \Lambda_r$. Then in [24] Kolyvagin shows that for all $r \geq 0$:

$$(3.25) \quad \mathcal{M}_r \geq \mathcal{M}_{r+1} \geq 0.$$
We define
\[ M_\infty(g) = \lim_{r \to \infty} M_r \]
as the minimum of \( M_r \) for varying \( r \geq 0 \).

Then the conjecture of Kolyvagin [24, Conj. A] (generalized to Shimura curves) asserts that

**Conjecture 3.2.** Let \( g \) be a weight two newform of level \( N \) with trivial nebentypus. Assume that the residue representation \( \overline{\rho}_{g,p_0} \) is surjective. Then the collection of cohomology classes
\[ \kappa^\infty := \{ c_M(n) \in H^1(K, A_{g,M}) : n \in \Lambda, M \leq M(n) \} \]
is nonzero. Equivalently, we have
\[ M_\infty(g) < \infty. \]

The rest of the paper is to confirm this conjecture under a certain restriction on \( g \).

### 3.9. Kolyvagin classes \( c(n, m) \in H^1(K, V) \).

We will apply Theorem 2.1 to define Kolyvagin classes \( c(n, m) \in H^1(K, V) \) parameterized by both \( n \in \Lambda \) and \( m \in \Lambda^+ \). Fixing \( m = 1 \), the collection of classes \( c(n, 1) \) as \( n \in \Lambda \) varies is precisely the collection \( c_1(n) \in H^1(K, A_{g,1}) \) defined in §3.7.

Let \( T_{N^+,N^-m} \) be the Hecke algebra over \( \mathbb{Z} \) generated by \( T_\ell \) for \( (\ell, Nm) = 1 \) and \( U_\ell \) for \( \ell | Nm \) acting on the Jacobian \( J(X_m) \), equivalently acting on the space of weight two modular forms which are new at all factors of \( N^-m \). Recall that \( X_m = X_{N^+,N^-m} \) is the Shimura curve defined in §3.1, and when \( \ell | N^-m \), the operator \( U_\ell \) is an involution induced by a uniformizer of the division algebra \( B_m(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)\times \) (cf. [34, §4]). The Hecke action on the modular form \( g_m \) gives rise to a surjective homomorphism
\[ \phi : T_{N^+,N^-m} \to \mathcal{O}_{g_m,0}, \]
whose kernel is denoted by \( \mathcal{I} \). Then the optimal quotient by \( J(X_m) \) attached to \( g_m \) is the abelian variety
\[ A_{g_m}^0 := J(X_m)/\mathcal{I} J(X_m), \]

on which $\mathbb{T}_{N^+,N^-m}$ acts via the homomorphism $\phi$. In particular, we obtain an $\mathcal{O}_0$-action on $A^0_{g_m}$.

Let $\phi \mod p_{m,0}$ be the composition of $\mathbb{T}_{N^+,N^-m}$ with $\phi$: $\phi \mod p_{m,0} : \mathbb{T}_{N^+,N^-m} \to \mathcal{O}_{g_m,0}/p_{m,0} \simeq k_0$.

Denote by $m$ the kernel of $\phi \mod p_{m,0}$.

Lemma 3.3. Assume that $(g,p,K)$ satisfies Hypothesis $\heartsuit$. Then for all $m \in \Lambda^+$ we have an isomorphism of $\text{Gal}_\mathbb{Q}$-modules

$$J(X_m)[m] \simeq V \simeq A^0_{g_m}[p_{m,0}],$$

where all vector spaces are 2-dimensional over $k_0$.

Proof. The case of modular curve (i.e., $N^-m = 1$) is well-known due to the work of Mazur, Ribet, Wiles (cf. [4]). The case for Shimura curve under our Hypothesis $\heartsuit$ is proved by Helm [18, Corollary 8.11].

For each $n \in \Lambda$, and $m \in \Lambda^+ \setminus 3$ (i.e., with even number of factors), we now define the Kolyvagin cohomology class

$$(3.30) \quad c(n,m) \in H^1(K,J(X_m)[m]) \simeq H^1(K,V),$$

as the derived cohomological class from the Heegner point $x_m(n) \in X_m(K[n])$ and $y_m(n) \in A^0_{g_m}(K[n])$ (cf. §3.2). When $m = 1$ we simply write

$$c(n) = c(n,1) \in H^1(K,V).$$

Note that these classes only take values in $V$ (unlike in §3.7, where the classes $c_M(n)$ lie in the cohomology of some $A_{g,M}$). We will denote for each $m \in \Lambda^+$

$$\kappa_m := \{c(n,m) \in H^1(K,V) : n \in \Lambda\},$$

and we will again call $\kappa_m$ a Kolyvagin system.

Remark 8. The classes $c(n,m)$ depend on the choice of the level-raising newform $g_m$ of level $Nm$, and the choice of the generators $\sigma_\ell$’s made in §3.7. For our purpose, it will suffice to fix a choice for each $m \in \Lambda^+$. They also depend on the parameterization of the set of Heegner points (3.4) for each

---

3It is easy to see that the set $\Lambda'_1$ of admissible primes for $g_m$ almost depends only on the $\text{Gal}_\mathbb{Q}$-module $V$, with only exception that the set $\Lambda'_1$ for $g_m$ does not contain prime factors of $m$. 
m ∈ Λ'. To compare the localization of the classes c(n, m) in §4, for each m ∈ Λ', we require that the following induced embeddings $K \hookrightarrow B_{mq}$ into the definite quaternion algebra are the same

- the one given by (3.13) applied to the curve $X_m$ and an admissible prime q,
- the one given by (3.15) applied to the curve $X_{mq'}$ and an admissible prime $q'$.

Remark 9. We will also consider a GL$_2$-type abelian variety $A_g$ with multiplication by $O_g$ attached to $g$. Then we will also view $c(n, m) ∈ H^1(K, V)$ as a class in $H^1(K, V ⊗_{k_0} k)$ by identifying $A[p] ≃ V ⊗_{k_0} k$ as $k[Gal_Q]$-module.

We will again call these global cohomology classes $c(n, m)$ 

Kolyvagin classes. They are the main objects in the rest of the papers. We will analyze their local property in the next section and we will see that the $m$-aspect of $c(n, m)$ behaves very similar to the $n$-aspect.

4. Cohomological congruence of Heegner points

Let $g$ be a newform of level $N$ with a prime $p$ of $O_g$ as in “Notations”. Recall that $V$ is the 2-dimensional Gal$_Q$-module over $k_0$.

4.1. Local cohomology

We recall the definition of some local cohomology groups (cf. [4, §2]).

Definition 4.1. Let $q$ be a prime not dividing $N$. The finite or unramified part of $H^1(K_q, V)$ is the $k_0$-subspace:

$$H^1_{fin}(K_q, V) = H^1_{ur}(K_q, V)$$

defined as the inflation of $H^1(K_q^{ur}/K_q, V)$, where $K_q^{ur}$ is the maximal unramified extension of $K_q$. The singular part is defined as

$$H^1_{sing}(K_q, V) = H^1(I_q, V)^{Gal(K_q^{ur}/K_q)}.$$ 

We have the inflation-restriction exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1_{fin}(K_q, V) \rightarrow H^1(K_q, V) \rightarrow H^1_{sing}(K_q, V).$$
Now assume that $q \in \Lambda'$ is an admissible prime. Then the $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$-module $V$ is unramified at $q$. Then as $\text{Gal}_{K_q}$-modules, the vector space $V$ splits as a direct sum of two $k_0$-lines:

$$V \simeq k_0 \oplus k_0(1), \quad k_0(1) := \mu_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} k_0.$$ 

Note that in our case we have $q \not\equiv \pm 1 \mod p$. Hence the $\text{Gal}_{K_q}$-action is nontrivial on $k_0(1)$. In particular, the direct sum decomposition is unique.

This induces a unique direct sum decomposition:

$$(4.1) \quad H^1(K_q, V) = H^1(K_q, k_0) \oplus H^1(K_q, k_0(1)).$$

**Lemma 4.2.** Assume that $q \in \Lambda'$ is an admissible prime.

1. $\dim H^1(K_q, k_0) = \dim H^1(K_q, k_0(1)) = 1$.
2. Inside $H^1(K_q, V)$, we have

   $$H^1_{\text{fin}}(K_q, V) = H^1(K_q, k_0),$$

   and, the restriction map induces an isomorphism

   $$H^1_{\text{sing}}(K_q, V) \simeq H^1(K_q, k_0(1)).$$

**Proof.** This is proved in [4, Lemma 2.6] or [16, Lemma 8].

From this lemma, we will write a direct sum decomposition

$$(4.2) \quad H^1(K_q, V) = H^1_{\text{fin}}(K_q, V) \oplus H^1_{\text{sing}}(K_q, V),$$

where $H^1_{\text{sing}}$ is identified with the subspace $H^1(K_q, k_0(1))$ of $H^1(K_q, V)$.

### 4.2. Cohomological congruence between Heegner points

Recall that in §3, for a fixed newform $g$ of level $N = N^+N^-$ for a square-free $N^-$ (with $\nu(N^-)$ even), we have defined a family of cohomology classes $c(n, m) \in H^1(K, V)$ indexed by $n \in \Lambda, m \in \Lambda^+$. Now let

$$\text{loc}_v : H^1(K, V) \to H^1(K_v, V)$$

be the localization map at a place $v$ of $K$. We then have the following cohomological congruence between Heegner points when varying $m \in \Lambda^+$,
which can be essentially deduced from the work of Vatsal [43] and Bertolini–Darmon [4]. This will be the key ingredient to show the non-vanishing of the Kolyvagin system

\[ \kappa_m := \{ c(n, m) \in H^1(K, V) : n \in \Lambda \}, \quad m \in \Lambda' \].

**Theorem 4.3.** Assume that \((g, p, K)\) is as in “Notations” and satisfies Hypothesis \(\heartsuit\). Let \(m \in \Lambda'\) and \(q_1, q_2 \in \Lambda'_1\) not dividing \(m\). Then we have

\[ \text{loc}_{q_1}(c(n, m)) \in H^1(K_{q_1}, k_0), \quad \text{loc}_{q_2}(c(n, mq_1q_2)) \in H^1(K_{q_2}, k_0(1)). \]  

(4.3) Fixing isomorphisms

\[ H^1(K_{q_1}, k_0) \simeq k_0 \simeq H^1(K_{q_2}, k_0(1)), \]  

(4.4) we have an equality for all \(n \in \Lambda\):

\[ \text{loc}_{q_1}(c(n, m)) = \text{loc}_{q_2}(c(n, mq_1q_2)), \]  

(4.5) up to a unit in \(k_0\) (dependent only on the choice of isomorphisms (4.4)).

**Remark 10.** The item (3) in Hypothesis \(\heartsuit\) is not used in the proof of this result.

**Proof.** Let \(A_0, A_0^1, A_0^2\) be the optimal quotients attached to \(g_m, g_{mq_1}, g_{mq_1q_2}\). They all carry the common \(\text{Gal}_Q\)-module \(V\).

We first calculate \(\text{loc}_{q_1}(c(n, m))\). We describe the local Kummer map of Heegner points \(x \in C^+_{K,Nm}\):

\[ \delta_{q_1} : J(X_m)(K_{q_1}) \to A^0(K_{q_1}) \to H^1_{\text{fin}}(K_{q_1}, A^0[p_m,0]) \simeq H^1_{\text{fin}}(K_{q_1}, V) = H^1(K_{q_1}, k_0). \]  

(4.6) Here we use the remark (6) to modify \(x\) into a degree-zero divisor. By [4, §9], there exists a nontrivial \(k_0\)-valued Hecke eigenform on the Shimura set:

\[ \phi : X_{mq_1} \to k_0 \]  

(4.7) such that

- \(\phi\) is the reduction of the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of \(g_{mq_1}\), in the sense that the Hecke operator \(T_{\ell}\) acts on \(\phi\) by \(a_{\ell}(g_{mq_1}) \mod p'\) for all \(\ell\) (when \(\ell|Nmq, T_{\ell}\) means \(U_{\ell}\)). This determines \(\phi\) uniquely up
to a scalar. Indeed under Hypothesis ◀, by the proof of [33, Thm. 6.2] via “Mazur’s principle”, we have a multiplicity one property:

\[(4.8) \quad \dim_{k_0} \mathbb{Z}[X_{m_{q_1}}] \otimes T/\ker(\chi) = 1,\]

where $T = T_{N^+,N^-,mq_1}$ and $\chi: T \to k_0$ is the algebra homomorphism associated to $\phi$.

- It calculates the local Kummer map of Heegner points: for a suitable choice of isomorphism $H_1(K_{q_1}, k_0) \simeq k_0$,

\[(4.9) \quad \phi(\text{Red}_{q_1}(x)) = \delta_{q_1}(x) \in k_0,\]

for all Heegner points $x \in \mathcal{C}_K^+ = \mathcal{C}_{K,Nm}^+$. Recall that the reduction map is $\text{Red}_{q_1}: \mathcal{C}_K^+ \to X_{m_{q_1}}$ defined by (3.18). This follows from [4, Theorem 9.2], essentially as a consequence of Ihara’s lemma in [10] for Shimura curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ (also cf. [43, §6] for the use of the original Ihara lemma for modular curves).

The two items can be written in terms of the following commutative diagrams where $q = q_1$:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Div}^0(\mathcal{C}_K^+) & \xrightarrow{\text{Red}_q} \text{Div}^0(X_m^{ss}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{Z}[X_{m_{q_1}}]^0 \\
& \Downarrow \quad \Downarrow \quad \Downarrow \\
J(K_q) & \xrightarrow{\text{Red}_{q_1}} J(F_{mq_2}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^1_{\text{fin}}(K_q, J[m]) \xrightarrow{\phi} H^1_{\text{fin}}(K_q, V) \simeq k_0,
\end{align*}
\]

where $X_m^{ss} = X_m(F_{mq_2})^{ss}$ is the set of supersingular points, and $\mathbb{Z}[X_{m_{q_1}}]^0$ is the kernel of the degree map $\deg: \mathbb{Z}[X_{mq}] \to \mathbb{Z}$.

Now we move to $\text{loc}_{q_2}(c(n,mq_1q_2))$. We have a Shimura curve $X_{mq_1,q_2}$ parameterizing $A_{2}^0$ and we need to calculate the local Kummer map at $q_2$:

\[
\delta_{q_2}: J(X_{mq_1,q_2})(K_{q_2}) \to A_{2}^0(K_{q_2}) \to H^1_{\text{sing}}(K_{q_2}, V) = H^1(K_{q_2}, k_0(1)).
\]

For the last arrow, the image of $A_{2}^0(K_{q_2})$ is the singular part since $J(X_{mq_1,q_2})$ has purely multiplicative reduction at $q_2$ by [4, Corollary 5.18] (cf. (5.6) below). Together with (4.6), this shows (4.3). Let $J = J(X_{mq_1,q_2})$ and let $\mathcal{V}(X_{mq_1,q_2}) = \mathcal{V}_0 \sqcup \mathcal{V}_1$ be the disjoint union (3.17). By [4, §5, §8], we have
The Kummer map \( J(K_{q_2}) \to H^1(K_q, J[m]) = H^1(K_q, V) \) factors through the group \( \Phi(J/K_{q_2}) \) of connected components of the Néron model of \( J \) over \( K_{q_2} \).

When we only consider the set \( \mathcal{C}_+^+ = \mathcal{C}_{K,Nmq,q_1}^+ \), the specialization of \( \mathcal{C}_+^+ \) always lies in \( \mathcal{Y}_0 \simeq X_{mq_1} \). By [4, Prop. 5.14], there is a homomorphism \( \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{Y}]^0 \to \Phi(J/K_q) \) which calculates the specialization of \( \text{Div}^0(\mathcal{C}_+^+) \) to the group \( \Phi(J/K_q) \).

The Hecke eigenform \( \phi \) in (4.7) also calculates the local Kummer map of Heegner point on \( X_{mq_1} \): for a suitable choice of isomorphism

\[
H^1(K_{q_2}, k_0(1)) \simeq k_0,
\]

we have

\[
(4.10) \quad \phi(\text{Sp}_{q_2}(x)) = \delta_{q_1}(x) \in k_0,
\]

for all Heegner points \( x \in \mathcal{C}_{K,Nmq,q_2}^+ \). Recall that the specialization map is \( \text{Sp}_{q_2} : \mathcal{C}_{K,Nmq,q_2}^+ \to X_{mq_1} \) defined by (3.19).

These facts can be summarized in terms of the following commutative diagrams:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Div}^0(\mathcal{C}_+^+) \xrightarrow{\text{Sp}_q} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{Y}]^0 \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{Z}[X_{mq_1}]^0 \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow \\
J(K_q) \xrightarrow{\Phi(J/K_q)} H^1_{\text{sing}}(K_q, J[m]) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^1_{\text{sing}}(K_q, V) \simeq k_0,
\end{array}
\]

where \( q = q_2 \).

From the geometric congruence Theorem 3.1, and the description (4.9) and (4.10) of the local Kummer maps in terms of \( \phi \) in (4.7), we have for all \( n \in \Lambda \):

\[
(4.11) \quad \text{loc}_{q_1} \circ \delta_{q_1}(y_m(n)) = \text{loc}_{q_2} \circ \delta_{q_2}(y_{mq_1,q_2}(n)),
\]

up to a unit in \( k_0 \) (independent of \( n, m \)), where we view \( y_m(n) \in A^0(K_q) \) and \( y_{mq_1,q_2}(n) \in A^0(K_{q_2}) \) as local points (noting that \( q_1, q_2 \) splits completely in \( K[n] \)).

Note that the cohomology classes \( c(n, m) \) are the Kummer images of the points \( P_m(n) \) derived from \( y_n(m) \). We have also chosen the derivative operators \( \mathbb{D}_n \) compatibly when varying \( m \). Then clearly (4.11) implies the desired
Selmer groups and the indivisibility of Heegner points

congruence between the cohomological classes \( c(n, m) \) and \( c(n, mq_1q_2) \):

\[
\text{loc}_{q_1}(c(n, m)) = \text{loc}_{q_2}(c(n, mq_1q_2)).
\]

\[
\text{Remark 11.} \text{ We may simply state this as the congruence between two Kolyvagin systems indexed by } m, mq_1q_2 \in \Lambda':
\]

\[
\text{loc}_{q_1}(\kappa_m) = \text{loc}_{q_2}(\kappa_{mq_1q_2}).
\]

There is analogous property in the \( n \)-aspect of \( c(n, m) \): for \( n \in \Lambda \) and a prime \( \ell \in \Lambda \) not dividing \( n \), we have

\[
\psi_{\ell}(\text{loc}_{\ell}c(n, m)) = \text{loc}_{\ell}(c(n\ell, m)),
\]

where \( \psi_{\ell} \) is a suitable finite/singular isomorphism at \( \ell \) (cf. (8.1) in §8 or [26]).

\[
\text{Remark 12.} \text{ The part on } \text{loc}_{q_1} \text{ is usually called Jochnowitz congruence (cf. [43, 4, 9] and also §6). The part on } \text{loc}_{q_2} \text{ already appeared in the proof of the anti-cyclotomic main conjecture by Bertolini–Darmon [4]. If we check the change of root number of } L(g/K, s) \text{ using (1.4), we see that the Jochnowitz congruence switch from } -1 \text{ to } +1, \text{ while the Bertolini–Darmon congruence from } +1 \text{ to } -1.
\]

### 5. Rank-lowering of Selmer groups

In this section we study the effect on the Selmer group by level-raising of modular forms. Suppose that we are given:

- \( g \): a newform of level \( N \) as in “Notations”, with a prime \( p \) of \( \mathcal{O} \) above \( p \).
- \( q \in \Lambda' \): an admissible prime.
- \( g' \): a level-raising form from Theorem 2.1, i.e., a newform of level \( Nq \) which is congruent to \( g \). The congruence also requires a choice of prime \( p' \) of \( \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{g'} \) above \( p \).

Let \( A, A' \) be the GL2-type abelian variety over \( \mathbb{Q} \) associated to \( g, g' \) with multiplication by \( \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \). We write \( k' = \mathcal{O}'/p' \).

We want to compare the Selmer group of \( A \) and \( A' \). This part is largely from the idea of Gross–Parson in [16] with a slight improvement.
5.1. Local conditions

Following [16], we describe the local conditions defining the Selmer group:

\[
\text{Sel}_\ell (A/K) = \{ c \in H^1(K, A[p]) : \text{loc}_\ell (c) \in \text{Im}(\delta_\ell), \text{ for all } \ell \},
\]

where

\[
\delta_\ell : A(K_\ell) \rightarrow H^1(K_\ell, A[p])
\]

is the local Kummer map at \( \ell \). As we have identified \( A[p] \) with \( V \otimes_{k_0} k \), we will denote by \( \mathcal{L}_{\ell, A} \) the image \( \text{Im}(\delta_\ell) \) as a subspace of \( H^1(K_\ell, V) \otimes_{k_0} k \). A key observation in [16] is that, under suitable hypothesis, one could describe the local conditions \( \{ \mathcal{L}_{\ell, A} \} \) purely in terms of \( \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} \)-structure on \( V \) together with the information on the reduction type at every prime.

Lemma 5.1. (1) For any prime \( \ell \), we have

\[
H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, V) = 0 \iff V^{\text{Gal}_\ell} = 0.
\]

(2) If \( V = E[p] \) for elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{Q}_\ell \) with additive reduction and \( p \neq \ell \), then

\[
H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, V) = 0.
\]

Proof. We have a trivial observation:

\[
dim_k H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, V) = 2 \dim V^{\text{Gal}_\ell}.
\]

Though this is well-known, we give a proof for the reader’s convenience. Since \( \ell \neq p \), by Tate theorem [30, Theorem 2.8] the Euler–Poincaré characteristic is

\[
\chi(\text{Gal}_\ell, V) = 0.
\]

Here we recall the definition [30, Chap. I.2] of the Euler–Poincaré characteristic for any finite \( \text{Gal}_\ell \)-module \( M \):

\[
\chi(\text{Gal}_\ell, M) := \#H^0(\text{Gal}_\ell, M)\#H^2(\text{Gal}_\ell, M) \#H^1(\text{Gal}_\ell, M).
\]

Since \( \text{det}(\rho) = \epsilon_p \) (the \( p \)-adic cyclotomic character), the Galois module \( V \) is self-dual. Then the local duality asserts that \( H^0(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, V) \) is dual to \( H^2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, V^*) = H^2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, V) \). Hence \( \dim H^0(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, V) = \dim H^2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, V) \). Since

\[
\chi(\text{Gal}_\ell, M) := \#H^0(\text{Gal}_\ell, M)\#H^2(\text{Gal}_\ell, M) \#H^1(\text{Gal}_\ell, M).
\]
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$H^0(Q_\ell, V) = V^{\text{Gal}_\ell}$, the desired equality (5.4) follows. Then the first part of the lemma follows.

To show the second part, it suffices to show $V^{\text{Gal}_\ell} = E[p](Q_\ell) = 0$, which is equivalent to $E(Q_\ell)/pE(Q_\ell) = 0$ since $\ell \neq p$. Since $E$ has additive reduction, there is a filtration $E_1(Q_\ell) \subset E_0(Q_\ell) \subset E(Q_\ell)$ where $E_1(Q_\ell)$ is a pro-$\ell$ group, $E_0(Q_\ell)/E_1(Q_\ell)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_\ell$, and $E(Q_\ell)/E_0(Q_\ell)$ is isomorphic to the component group of the Néron model of $E/Q_\ell$. Note that the component group has order at most 4 for an elliptic curve with additive reduction. From $\ell \neq p$ and $p > 3$ it follows that $E(Q_\ell)/pE(Q_\ell) = 0$. This completes the proof.

\begin{theorem}
Assume that Hypothesis $\heartsuit$ for $(g, p, K)$ holds. For all primes $\ell$ (not only those in $\Lambda$), the local conditions $\mathcal{L}_{\ell, A}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\ell, A'}$ all have $k_0$-rational structure, i.e.: there exist $k_0$-subspaces of $H^1(K_\ell, V)$ denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{\ell, A, 0}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\ell, A', 0}$, such that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\ell, A} = \mathcal{L}_{\ell, A, 0} \otimes k_0 k, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\ell, A'} = \mathcal{L}_{\ell, A', 0} \otimes k_0 k'.$$

Moreover, we have when $\ell \neq q$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\ell, A, 0} = \mathcal{L}_{\ell, A', 0},$$

and when $\ell = q$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\ell, A, 0} = H^1(K_q, k_0), \quad \mathcal{L}_{\ell, A', 0} = H^1(K_q, k_0(1)).$$

\end{theorem}

\begin{remark}
This is only place where the item (3) in Hypothesis $\heartsuit$ is used. In [16], a stronger hypothesis is imposed at a prime $\ell$ with $\ell^2 | N$.

\end{remark}

\begin{proof}
If $(\ell, Np) = 1$, then both $A$ and $A'$ have good reduction and we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\ell, A} = H^1_{\text{fin}}(K_\ell, V) \otimes k_0 k, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\ell, A'} = H^1_{\text{fin}}(K_\ell, V) \otimes k_0 k'.$$

If $\ell^2 | N$, then $\ell$ is split in the quadratic extension $K/Q$. Under the item (3) in Hypothesis $\heartsuit$, we have $H^1(K_\ell, V) = 0$ by Lemma 5.1 (1). In this case we have trivially

$$\mathcal{L}_{\ell, A} = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\ell, A'} = 0.$$

Let $\ell | N$ be a prime where $p_{A, p}$ is ramified at $\ell$ (this includes all $\ell | N^+$). Then $A$ has purely toric reduction and the $p$-part of the component group is trivial. Let $H^1_{\text{ann}}(K_q, V)$ be the subspace of $H^1(K_\ell, \mathcal{L}_\ell)$ consisting of classes
that split over an unramified extension of $K_{\ell}$ [16, §4.2]. It depends only on the $\text{Gal}_{Q_{\ell}}$-action on $V$. By [16, Lemma 6], we have

$$L_{\ell, A} = H^1_{\text{unr}}(K_{\ell}, V) \otimes_{k_0} k, \quad L_{\ell, A'} = H^1_{\text{unr}}(K_{\ell}, V) \otimes_{k_0} k'.$$

Now let $\ell | N - q$ be a prime such that $\overline{\rho}_{A, p}$ is unramified. Recall that $V$ splits uniquely as a direct sum of two $k_0$-lines as $\text{Gal}_{Q_{\ell}}$-module: $V \simeq k_0 \oplus k_0(1)$, This induces $H^1(K_{\ell}, V) = H^1(K_{\ell}, k_0) \oplus H^1(K_{\ell}, k_0(1))$, where each component is one-dimensional. The following is proved in [16, Lemma 8]:

- If $\ell \neq q$, both $A$ and $A'$ have purely toric reduction and we have
  
  $$(5.5) \quad L_{\ell, A} = H^1(K_{\ell}, k_0(1)) \otimes_{k_0} k, \quad L_{\ell, A'} = H^1(K_{\ell}, k_0(1)) \otimes_{k_0} k'.$$

- If $\ell = q$, $A$ has good reduction at $q$, and $A'$ has purely toric reduction at $q$. Hence
  
  $$(5.6) \quad L_{q, A} = H^1(K_q, k_0) \otimes k, \quad L_{q, A'} = H^1(K_q, k_0(1)) \otimes k'.$$

Finally, at $\ell = p$, both $A, A'$ have good reduction and the local conditions can be described in terms of flat cohomology [16, Lemma 7].

From the description of $L_{\ell, A}$ and $L_{\ell, A'}$, the desired result follows.

We define a $k_0$-vector space

$$(5.7) \quad \text{Sel}_p(A/K) := \{ c \in H^1(K, V) : \text{loc}_\ell(c) \in L_{\ell, A, 0} \text{ for all } \ell \}.$$ 

Then we have

$$(5.8) \quad \text{Sel}_p(A/K) = \text{Sel}_{p_0}(A/K) \otimes_{k_0} k.$$ 

Similarly we define $\text{Sel}_{p_0}(A'/K)$. It follows that the local conditions defining $\text{Sel}_{p_0}(A/K)$ and $\text{Sel}_{p_0}(A'/K)$ differ at exactly one prime, i.e., at $q$.

5.2. Parity lemma.

We record the parity lemma of Gross–Parson [16, Lemma 9]. This lemma was also known to Howard (cf. [20, Corollary 2.2.10]). We have four Selmer groups $\text{Sel}_x(K, V), * \in \{u, t, r, s\}$, contained in $H^1(K, V)$, all defined by
the same local conditions $L_{\ell,A,0}$ except $\ell \neq q$. At $q$, we specify the local conditions

$$L_{*,q} = \begin{cases} H^1(K_q,k_0), & * = u \text{ (unramified)}, \\ H^1(K_q,k_0(1)), & * = t \text{ (transverse)}, \\ H^1(K_q,V), & * = r \text{ (relaxed)}, \\ 0, & * = s \text{ (strict)}. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 5.3.** If $\text{loc}_q : \text{Sel}_u(K,V) \to L_{u,q}$, then we have

1. $\dim_{k_0} \text{Sel}_r(K,V) = \dim_{k_0} \text{Sel}_s(K,V) + 1$.
2. $\text{Sel}_u(K,V) = \text{Sel}_r(K,V)$ and $\text{Sel}_t(K,V) = \text{Sel}_s(K,V)$.

If $\text{loc}_q : \text{Sel}_l(K,V) \to L_{l,q}$, then we have

1. $\dim_{k_0} \text{Sel}_r(K,V) = \dim_{k_0} \text{Sel}_s(K,V) + 1$, and
2. $\text{Sel}_l(K,V) = \text{Sel}_r(K,V)$ and $\text{Sel}_u(K,V) = \text{Sel}_s(K,V)$.

## 5.3. Rank-lowering of Selmer group

We have the following description of the Selmer group when we move from modular form $g$ to a level-raising one $g'$ (cf. [16, Theorem 2]).

**Proposition 5.4.** Let $A,A'$ be as in the beginning of this section. Assume that the localization $\text{loc}_q : \text{Sel}_{p_0}(A/K) \subset H^1(K,V) \to H^1_{\text{fin}}(K_q,V) = H^1(K_q,k_0)$ is surjective (equivalently, nontrivial). Then we have

$$\dim_{\theta'/p'} \text{Sel}_{p'}(A'/K) = \dim_{\theta/p} \text{Sel}_p(A/K) - 1.$$ 

Moreover, we have

$$\text{Sel}_{p_0}(A'/K) = \text{Ker}(\text{loc}_q : \text{Sel}_{p_0}(A/K) \to H^1_{\text{fin}}(K_q,V)).$$

**Proof.** This first follows immediately from the parity lemma 5.3. The second part follows since $\text{Sel}_{p_0}(A'/K) = \text{Sel}_s(K,V)$ is the strict Selmer and $\text{Sel}_{p_0}(A/K) = \text{Sel}_r(K,V)$ is the relaxed Selmer.

## 6. A special value formula mod $p$

We need a criterion for the non-vanishing of Heegner points in terms of central L-values (instead of the first derivative, as in the Gross–Zagier formula).
To do so we calculate the image of the Heegner point under the localization at an unramified prime \( q \):

\[
\text{loc}_q : A(K) \to H^1_{\text{fin}}(K_q, A[p]).
\]

A priori, we may choose an arbitrary \( q \) not dividing the level \( N \). But it is easier to do so at an admissible prime \( q \) since the local unramified cohomology is of rank one by Lemma 4.2.

### 6.1. A special value formula

We use a formula of Gross [14]. It can be viewed as an explicit Waldspurger formula for the new vector in the relevant automorphic representation. Such explicit formulae were also obtained by other authors, cf. [47, 48, 41].

Let \( g \) be a newform of level \( N = N^+ N^- \), where \( N^- \) has an odd number of prime factors. Assume that \((g, p, K)\) satisfies the hypothesis in “Notations” (including Hypothesis \( \heartsuit \)).

Recall that \( X = X_{N^+,N^-} \) is the Shimura set attached to the definite quaternion ramified at \( N^- \infty \). Let \( \mathcal{T}_{N^+,N^-} \) be the Hecke algebra generated over \( \mathbb{Z} \) by Hecke operators \( T_\ell, (\ell, N) = 1 \) and \( U_\ell \) for \( \ell | N \) acting on \( \mathbb{Z}[X] \), or equivalently the \( N^- \)-new quotient of the Hecke algebra generated by Hecke operators acting on the space of weight two modular forms of level \( N \).

Following [43, §2.1], we consider a normalized eigenform \( \phi = \phi_g \), an \( \mathcal{O} \)-value function on \( X \), via the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. It is normalized such that the image of

\[
\phi : X \to \mathcal{O} \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_p,
\]

contains a unit of \( \mathcal{O}_p \). It is then unique up to a \( p \)-adic unit, and we view it as an element in \( \mathcal{O}_p[X] \). We have a bilinear pairing \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) on \( \mathbb{Z}[X] \) given by the Petersson inner product with counting measure on \( X \). We extend it linearly to \( \mathcal{O}[X] \) and define (cf. also [33, §2.1, 2.2])

\[
\xi_g(N^+, N^-) = \langle \phi, \phi \rangle \in \mathcal{O}.
\]

We now state the Gross formula (after Vatsal [43, §2.3], also cf. [33, §2.1, 2.2]). Note that we only consider real valued function, hence we do not have the complex conjugation.

**Theorem 6.1.** Let

\[
x_K = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K[1]/K)} \sigma(x(1)) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]
\]
be the Heegner divisor on the Shimura set $X_m$ (cf. (3.6)). Then we have,

$$\frac{(\phi(x_K))^2}{\langle \phi, \phi \rangle} = u_K^2 |D|^{1/2} \frac{L(g/K, 1)}{\langle g, g \rangle},$$

where $u_K = \frac{1}{2} \# \mathcal{O}_K^\times \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and $\langle g, g \rangle_{\text{Pet}}$ is the Petersson inner product on the modular curve $X_0(Nm)$:

$$\langle g, g \rangle_{\text{Pet}} = 8\pi^2 \int_{\Gamma_0(Nm) \backslash \mathcal{H}} g(z)\overline{g(z)} \, dx \, dy, \quad z = x + y\sqrt{-1}.$$

### 6.2. Congruence numbers and canonical periods

For a newform $g$ of level $N = N^+N^-$, we denote by $\eta_g(N^+, N^-) \in \mathcal{O}_p$ a generator of the congruence ideal of the associated homomorphism $\pi_g : \mathbb{T}_{N^+, N^-} \to \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_p$. Namely as $\mathcal{O}_p$-ideals, we have

$$(\eta_g(N^+, N^-)) = \pi_g(\text{Ann}_{\mathbb{T}_{N^+, N^-}} \ker(\pi_g)) \cdot \mathcal{O}_p.$$ It is only well-defined up to a $p$-adic unit. We write $\eta_g(N) = \eta_g(N, 1)$. We define the canonical period (after Hida, Vatsal [43, §2.4]):

$$\Omega^\text{can}_g = \frac{\langle g, g \rangle_{\text{Pet}}}{\eta_g(N)},$$

where $\eta_g(N)$, only well-defined up to units, can be taken as an element in $\mathcal{O}$. Define

$$\eta_{g, N^+, N^-} = \frac{\eta_g(N)}{\xi_g(N^+, N^-)} \in \mathcal{O}_p.$$

We also define the algebraic part of the special value of $L(g/K, 1)$:

$$L^\text{alg}(g/K, 1) := \frac{L(g/K, 1)}{\Omega^\text{can}_g} \frac{1}{\eta_{g, N^+, N^-}} \in \mathcal{O}_p.$$ The integrality follows from the following reformulation of the formula in Theorem 6.1:

**Corollary 6.2.** Up to a $p$-adic unit, we have

$$(\phi(x_K))^2 = L^\text{alg}(g/K, 1).$$
Let $g$ be a new form of level $N$ as above, and $A = A_g$ the attached GL$_2$-type abelian variety over $\mathbb{Q}$ with $\mathcal{O}$-multiplication. We now define the $p$-component of the local Tamagawa number of $A$ at a prime $\ell|N$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{K_\ell}$ be the integer ring of $K_\ell$ and $k_\ell$ the residue field ($\mathbb{F}_\ell \times \mathbb{F}_\ell$ if $\ell$ is split in $K$). For a prime $\ell$, let $\mathcal{A}_{\ell}/\mathcal{O}_{K_\ell}$ be the Néron model of $A/K_\ell$ and $A_{k_\ell}$ its special fiber. Let $A^0_{k_\ell}$ be the connected component containing the identity of $A_{k_\ell}$.

We consider the component group scheme

$$\Phi(A/K_\ell) := A_{k_\ell}/A^0_{k_\ell}.$$ 

It is a finite étale group scheme over $k_\ell$ with an action by $\mathcal{O}_p$. Let $\Phi(A/K_\ell)_p$ be the $p$-adic completion, which then carries an action of $\mathcal{O}_p = \mathcal{O}_g$, $p$. The $p$-part of the local Tamagawa number at $\ell$ is defined as the length of the $k_\ell$-points of the group scheme $\Phi(A/K_\ell)_p$:

$$t_g(\ell) = \log_{\mathcal{O}_p} \Phi(A/K_\ell)_p(k_\ell).$$

This depends on $p$ implicitly. One may deduce the vanishing of $t_g(\ell)$ under a simple condition:

**Lemma 6.3.** If $V^{\text{Gal}} = 0$, then $\Phi(A/K_\ell)_p(k_\ell)$ is trivial, and hence $t_g(\ell) = 0$.

**Proof.** By [16, Lemma 4], the space of inertia invariants $A[p]^{I_\ell}$ is, as a Gal$_{k_\ell}$-module, an extension of $\Phi(A/K_\ell)[p]$ by $A^0_{k_\ell}[p]$. Note that $A[p]^{I_\ell} = V^{I_\ell}_k$. Under the hypothesis $V^{\text{Gal}} = 0$, we deduce that $A[p]^{I_\ell} = 0$, and hence the Gal$_{k_\ell}$-invariants of $A^0_{k_\ell}[p]$ and $\Phi(A/K_\ell)[p]$ are trivial. In particular, $\Phi(A/K_\ell)[p](k_\ell) = \Phi(A/K_\ell)[p]^{\text{Gal}_{k_\ell}} = 0$. It follows that $\Phi(A/K_\ell)_p(k_\ell) = 0$. This completes the proof.

Now we consider the case $\ell|N$. If $\overline{g}_p$ is ramified at $\ell|N$, then $\Phi(A/K_\ell)_p$ is trivial and in particular $t_g(\ell) = 0$. If a prime $\ell$ is inert in $K$, $\Phi(A/K_\ell)$ is a constant group scheme since $k_\ell$ is a genuine quadratic extension of $\mathbb{F}_\ell$:

$$\Phi(A/K_\ell)(k_\ell) = \Phi(A/K_\ell)(\overline{k_\ell}).$$

Therefore when $\ell|N$ is inert in $K$ (i.e., $\ell|N^-$), the length $\log_{\mathcal{O}_p} \Phi(A/K_\ell)_p$ of the $\mathcal{O}_p$-module $\Phi(A/K_\ell)_p(\overline{k_\ell})$ is the same as $t_g(\ell)$. One can describe the length in terms of the $p$-adic Galois representation restricted to the inertia $I_\ell$

$$\rho_{g,p} : \text{Gal}_Q \to \text{GL}_{\mathcal{O}_p}(T_p(A)) \simeq \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_p).$$
The restriction to the inertia $\rho_{g,p}|_{I_\ell}$ at $\ell$ is of the form

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & * \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Then the length $\ell g_{\mathcal{O}_p} \Phi(A/K_\ell)_p$ for inert $\ell$ is the same as either

- The maximal integer $t$ such that $\text{Gal}_Q$-module $A[p^t]$ is unramified at $\ell$, or
- The maximal integer $t$ such that the $(*)$-part of the above matrices lies in the ideal $p^t$ of $\mathcal{O}_p$.

For a proof of this well-known description, see [22, p.210].

**Theorem 6.4** (Ribet–Takahashi [35], Khare [22], Pollack–Weston, [33]). Let $g$ be as above (particularly $N^-$ has odd number of factors). Assume that Hypothesis $\heartsuit$ holds for $(g, p, K)$. Then we have

$$v_p(\eta_{g,N^+,N^-}) = \sum_{\ell | N^-} \ell g_{\mathcal{O}_p} \Phi(A/K_\ell)_p.$$

**Proof.** This equality is proved in [33, Theorem 6.8] for square-free $N$ under Hypothesis $\heartsuit$ (note that our $\eta_{g,N^+,N^-}$ defined by (6.4) is the ratio in [33, Theorem 6.8]). The proof of [33, Theorem 6.8] relies on

- the result of Helm [18] on the multiplicity one of $J[m]$ to show [33, Theorem 6.2], and
- the last equality in the proof of [33, Theorem 6.8]. This equality is deduced from the result on modular degrees established for elliptic curves by Ribet–Takahashi [35] and Takahashi [38], and for GL$_2$-type abelian varieties over $\mathbb{Q}$ attached to $g$ by Khare in [22].

The result of Helm [18] does not need to assume the square-freeness of $N$ and indeed holds if we only assume that $\text{Ram}(\overline{\rho}_{g,p})$ contains all $q | N^-$ with $q \equiv \pm 1 \mod p$. If $N$ is not square-free, one checks the proof of Ribet–Takahashi (the second assertion of [35, Theorem 1]) and Khare [22] to see that the last equality in the proof of [33, Theorem 6.8] holds if we only assume that

- $\# \text{Ram}(\overline{\rho}_{g,p}) \geq 1$, namely there is at least one $\ell | |N$ such that $\overline{\rho}_{g,p}$ is ramified at $\ell$, and
- either $\text{Ram}(\overline{\rho}_{g,p})$ contains a prime $\ell | |N^-$ or there are at least two primes factors $\ell | |N^+$. 
Therefore [33, Theorem 6.8] holds under our Hypothesis \(\heartsuit\) for \((g, p, K)\). This completes the proof.

### 6.4. Jochnowitz congruence

We now switch to the setting at the beginning of §5: \(g\) is a newform of level \(N = N^+N^-\) and \(g'\) a level-raising newform of level \(Nq\) where \(q\) is an admissible prime. We have a prime \(p'\) of \(\mathcal{O}_{g'}\) above \(p\) and the residue field \(\mathcal{O}_{g'}/p' = k'\) (cf. §2).

Assume that \(N^-\) has even number of factor. Then the root number of \(L(A/K, s)\) \((L(A'/K, s),\) resp.) is \(-1\) \((1,\) resp.). We may now state the Jochnowitz congruence. It provides a local invariant to test the non-vanishing of Heegner point \(y_K \in A(K)\) (cf. (3.22)). Recall that \(c(1) \in H^1(K, V \otimes k_0, k)\) is the Kummer image of \(y_K\). The following result has been essentially known to other authors [43] and [4].

**Theorem 6.5.** Assume that \(g\) is as in “Notations” and \((g, p, K)\) satisfies Hypothesis \(\heartsuit\). Assume that \(\nu(N^-)\) is even. Then the class \(c(1) \in H^1(K, V \otimes k_0, k)\) is locally non-trivial at \(q\) if and only if the algebraic part \(L^{alg}(g'/K, 1)\) defined by (6.5) is a \(p'\)-adic unit.

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.1, the reduction at \(q\) of the Heegner point \(x_1(n) \in \mathcal{O}_{1, K}\) on the Shimura curve \(X\) is given under the chosen identification \(X_m \simeq X^{ss}_{ss,F^q,2}\) in (3.12)

\[
\text{Red}_q(x_1(n)) = x_q(n).
\]

Then the Heegner divisor on \(X\)

\[
x_{1,K} = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K[1]/K)} \sigma(x_1(1))
\]

has reduction given by

\[
x_{q,K} = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K[1]/K)} \sigma(x_q(1)) \in \mathbb{Z}[X_q].
\]

Let \(\phi'\) be the normalized function on the Shimura set \(X_q\), obtained from the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of \(g'\) as in (6.1) applied to \(g'\). The reduction

\[
\phi' \mod p' : X_q \to \mathcal{O}_{g'}/p' = k'
\]
is a Hecke eigenform, hence equal to a multiple of the function \( \phi \) in (4.7) (applied to the Shimura set \( X_q \)) by the multiplicity-one (4.8). Possibly replacing it by a multiple in \( k^\times \) we may assume that \( \phi' \mod p' = \phi \). In particular, we have

\[
\phi'(x_{q,K}) \mod p' = \phi(x_{q,K}).
\]

As in §4, we fix an isomorphism:

\[
H^1_{fin}(K_q, V) = H^1(K_q, k_0) \simeq k_0.
\]

By (4.9) we have

\[
\text{loc}_q(c(1)) = \phi(x_{q,K}) \in k_0.
\]

By the Gross formula (Corollary 6.2) for \( \phi' \) and (6.8), we have

\[
(\text{loc}_q(c(1)))^2 = L^{\text{alg}}(g'/K, 1) \mod p',
\]

where both sides take values in \( k_0 \). The desired result follows.

7. The rank one case

7.1. The B-SD formula in the rank zero case

We need the results of Kato and Skinner–Urban on the B-SD formula in the rank zero case. This is the only place we need to impose the ordinariness assumption.

**Theorem 7.1** (Kato, Skinner–Urban). Let \( g \) be a modular form of level \( N \) where \( p \) a prime of \( \mathcal{O}_g \) above \( p \geq 3 \). Assume that:

- \( p \) is a good ordinary prime.
- The image of \( \overline{\rho}_{A_g,p} \) contains \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \).
- There is a place \( \ell | N \) such that the residue Galois representation \( \overline{\rho}_{A_g,p} \) is ramified at \( \ell \).

Then \( L(g/K, 1) \neq 0 \) if and only if \( \text{Sel}_{p}(A_g/K) \) is finite, in which case we have

\[
v_p \left( \frac{L(g/K, 1)}{\Omega_{g}^{\text{can}}} \right) = \lg_{\partial_p} \text{Sel}_{p}(A_g/K) + \sum_{\ell | N} t_g(\ell),
\]

where \( t_g(\ell) = \lg_{\partial_p} \Phi(A/K_\ell)(k_\ell) \) is the local Tamagawa number at \( \ell \) defined in (6.7).
Proof. This follows from the $p$-adic part of the B-SD formula for $A$ and its quadratic twist $A^K$ separately (cf. [29, p.182, Theorem 1]). Or rather, we use the corresponding statement for the modular form $g$ and its quadratic twist $g^K$.

For $A$ and its quadratic twist $A^K$, one applies the variant of [37, Theorem 2] for GL$_2$-type abelian varieties to show the $p$-adic part of the B-SD formula for $A$ and its quadratic twist $A^K$. We note:

- In [37, Theorem 2], the authors only stated the result for elliptic curves. But clearly the results extend to the setting of a modular form $g$ with a prime $p$ of $\mathcal{O}_g$ above $p$. To deduce the formula from the Iwasawa Main conjecture [37, Theorem 1], they invoke a result of Greenberg which was stated only for elliptic curves, but clearly holds for the GL$_2$-type abelian variety $A_g$ (cf. the proof of [37, Theorem 3.35]).

- Note that in the proof of [37, Theorem 2], one needs to choose an auxiliary imaginary quadratic field, which needs not to be the $K$ in our paper.

- The image of $\overline{\rho}_{A_g,p} \supset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ implies that the image of $\rho_{A_g,p} \supset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, a condition required to apply Kato’s result in [37].

Finally we also note that the canonical period $\Omega_g^{can}$ is the product $\Omega_g^+\Omega_g^-$ in [37] up to a $p$-adic unit.

\[\square\]

Remark 14. Note that the theorem does not assume that $N^-$ has odd number of factors. If $N^-$ has even number of factors, then the root number of $L(g/K,s)$ is $-1$ and the theorem says that the Selmer group $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(A_g/K)$ can not be finite.

Remark 15. We will only use that the left hand side is at most as large as the right hand side in (7.1).

7.2. The rank one case

Theorem 7.2. Let $(g,p,K)$ be a newform of level $N$ as in “Notations”, satisfying Hypothesis $\heartsuit$. If $\dim_k \text{Sel}_p(A/K) = 1$, then the class $c(1) \in H^1(K,V)$ is nonzero.

Proof. We need to choose a suitable admissible prime $q$. We record the following well-known lemma.

Lemma 7.3. Assume $p \geq 5$. Let $c \in H^1(K,V)$ be a non-zero class. Then there exists a positive density of admissible primes $q$ such that the localization $\text{loc}_q(c)$ is nonzero.
Proof. This is a routine application of Čebotarev density theorem, cf. [4, Theorem 3.2].

We return to prove Theorem 7.2. Let $c$ be a generator of $\text{Sel}_{p_0}(A/K) \subset H^1(K, V)$. We apply the lemma to $c$ to choose an admissible prime $q$ such that $\text{loc}_q(c) \neq 0$. By Theorem 2.1, there exists a level-raising modular form $g'$ of level $Nq$. Note that Hypothesis $\heartsuit$ is stable under level-raising. Let $A' = A_{g'}$ be an associated $GL_2$-type abelian variety with $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}_{g'}$-multiplication. Then clearly the localization

$$\text{loc}_q : \text{Sel}_{p_0}(A/K) \to H^1(K_q, V)$$

is surjective. By Proposition 5.4, we have $\dim_k \text{Sel}_{p'}(A'/K) = 0$. In particular,

$$\text{Sel}_{p'}(A'/K) = 0.$$  

Therefore by the B-SD formula in Theorem 7.1, we have

$$v_p \left( \frac{L(g'/K, 1)}{\Omega_{g'}^{\text{can}}} \right) = 0 + \sum_{\ell \mid Nq} t_{g'}(\ell). \quad (7.2)$$

If $\ell \mid N^+$, under our assumption, $\bar{\rho}_{g,p} \simeq \bar{\rho}_{g',p'}$ is ramified at $\ell$, and hence $t_{g'}(\ell) = 0$. If $\ell^2 \mid N^+$, then $V_{\text{Galc}} = 0$ by the item (3) of Hypothesis $\heartsuit$. We then have that for $\ell^2 \mid N^+$, by Lemma 6.3

$$t_{g'}(\ell) = \log_{\mathcal{O}'_p} \Phi(A'/K_\ell)(k_\ell) = 0.$$  

The formula (7.2) is then reduced to

$$v_p \left( \frac{L(g'/K, 1)}{\Omega_{g'}^{\text{can}}} \right) = \sum_{\ell \mid N^+-q} t_{g'}(\ell). \quad (7.3)$$

We now compare the formula (7.2) with

- Gross formula (Corollary 6.2 applied to $g'$), and
- Theorem 6.4 (note that since our admissible $q \neq \pm 1 \mod p$, the form $g'$ remains to satisfy the assumption).

We see that the local Tamagawa factors at $N^+-q$ exactly cancels the factor $\eta_{g,N^+,N^+-q}$ in (6.5). We conclude that

$$L^{\text{alg}}(g'/K, 1) \neq 0 \mod p'.$$
By Theorem 6.5, this is equivalent to the non vanishing of the localization of \( c(1) \in H^1(K, V \otimes_k k) \) at \( q \). In particular, the cohomology class \( c(1) \in H^1(K, V) \) is nonzero.

\[ \square \]

8. Triangulization of Selmer group

We recall some basic property of Kolyvagin system

\[ \kappa_m = \{ c(n, m) \in H^1(K, V) : n \in \Lambda \} \]

defined in §3. For their proofs, we refer to [15, 23, 24, 28]. Since we will be working with a fixed \( m \in \Lambda^\dagger \), we simply write \( c(n, m) \) as \( c(n) \). We will construct a triangular basis of Selmer group in Lemma 8.4. Such triangular basis for elliptic curves was constructed before by Kolyvagin in [24, Theorem 3] (under the condition that \( \kappa^\infty \neq 0 \)).

8.1. Basic properties of \( \kappa \).

There is an alternating Gal\( \mathbb{Q} \)-equivariant pairing

\[ V \times V \to k(1). \]

This induces the local Tate pairing for every prime \( \ell \):

\[ H^1(K_\ell, V) \times H^1(K_\ell, V) \to k. \]

For every prime \( \ell \in \Lambda \), the local cohomology group \( H^1(K_\ell, V) \) is always 4-dimensional (cf. [15]). Define the transverse part \( H^1_{tr}(K, V) \) as the subspace of \( H^1(K_\ell, V) \) from the inflation of \( H^1(K[\ell]/K_\ell, V) \) (note that \( \text{Gal}_{K_\ell} \) acts trivially on \( V \)). Then we have a splitting of the finite/singular exact sequence:

\[ H^1(K_\ell, V) = H^1_{fin}(K_\ell, V) \oplus H^1_{tr}(K, V), \]

where each component is two-dimensional and totally maximal isotropic under local Tate pairing. The complex conjugation \( \tau \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \) acts on both components and each of the eigenspace \( H^1_{fin}(K_\ell, V)^\pm, H^1_{tr}(K, V)^\pm \) is one-dimensional. The local Tate pairing then induces perfect pairings between one-dimensional spaces:

\[ H^1_{fin}(K_\ell, V)^\pm \times H^1_{tr}(K, V)^\pm \to k. \]
In general, for every prime $\ell$ (not necessarily in $\Lambda$), the finite part $H^1_{fin}(K_\ell, V)$ is, by definition, the local condition $\mathcal{Z}_{\ell,A,0} \subset H^1(K_\ell, V)$ (cf. Theorem 5.2).

The collection $\kappa = \{c(n) \in H^1(K, V) : n \in \Lambda\}$ has the following properties:

1. For every prime $\ell$ (not only those in $\Lambda$) and $n \in \Lambda$, we have (cf. [15])
   \[
   \text{loc}_\ell(c(n)) \in \begin{cases} 
   H^1_{fin}(K_\ell, V) & (\ell, n) = 1; \\
   H^1_{tr}(K_\ell, V) & \ell | n.
   \end{cases}
   \]

2. For each prime $\ell \in \Lambda$, there is a finite/singular homomorphism:
   \[
   \psi_\ell : H^1_{fin}(K_\ell, V) \to H^1_{tr}(K_\ell, V),
   \]
   which is an isomorphism (cf. [28, Prop. 4.4]) such that for all $n \in \Lambda$ with $(n, \ell) = 1$
   \[
   (8.1) \quad \text{loc}_\ell(c(n\ell)) = \psi_\ell(\text{loc}_\ell(c(n))).
   \]

Recall that we assume that the residue Galois representation $\overline{\rho}_{g,p_0} : \text{Gal}_\Q \to \text{GL}(V) \simeq \text{GL}_2(k_0)$ is surjective. Under this assumption we have a Čebotarev-type density theorem.

**Lemma 8.1.** Let $c_1, c_2$ be two $k_0$-linear independent elements in $H^1(K, V)$. Then there exists a positive density of primes $\ell \in \Lambda$ such that
   \[
   \text{loc}_\ell(c_i) \neq 0, \quad i = 1, 2.
   \]

**Proof.** This is a special case of [28, Prop. 3.1], noting that $\overline{\rho}_{g,p_0} : \text{Gal}_\Q \to \text{GL}(V) \simeq \text{GL}_2(k_0)$ is assumed to be surjective.

The following lemma allows us to pick up an element with a prescribed set of “singular” places.

**Lemma 8.2.** Let $\ell \in \Lambda$ and $S$ a finite subset of $\Lambda$ not containing $\ell$. Then there exists $c \in H^1(K, V)^\pm$ such that
   
   - $c \neq 0$,
   - $\text{loc}_v c \in H^1_{fin}(K_v, V)$ for all $v$ outside $S \cup \{\ell\}$.
   - $\text{loc}_v c \in H^1_{tr}(K_v, V)$ for all $v \in S$.

**Proof.** The same proof as [28, Lemma 5.3] still works.
8.2. Triangulization of Selmer group

Let \((g, p, K)\) be as in “Notations” satisfying Hypothesis \(\heartsuit\). Assume that \(N\) has even number of factors. Let \(\kappa = \kappa_g\) be the associated Kolyvagin system.

**Definition 8.3.**
- The vanishing order \(\nu\) of \(\kappa\) is defined to be the minimal \(\nu(n)\) such that \(c(n) \neq 0\) for some \(n \in \Lambda\). If \(\kappa = \{0\}\), we take \(\nu = \infty\).
- A prime \(\ell\) is called a base point of \(\kappa\) if \(\ell\) does not divide \(D_K N p\) and we have \(loc_\ell(c(n)) = 0\) for all \(n \in \Lambda\) (or, simply, \(loc_\ell(\kappa) = 0\)). The set of all base points is called the base locus of \(\kappa\), denoted by \(\mathcal{B}(\kappa)\).

The following lemma provides one of the eigenspaces of Selmer group with a “triangular basis” entirely consisting of Kolyvagin classes. The existence of such “explicit” triangular basis seems to be the key to our argument later on. The following result, can be proved with the techniques, though not stated explicitly, in [23, 28].

**Lemma 8.4.** Assume that \(\kappa \neq \{0\}\), i.e., the vanishing order \(\nu\) of \(\kappa\) is finite. Then we have

1. The \(\epsilon_\nu\)-eigenspace \(Sel^\epsilon_\nu(A/K)\) is of dimension \((\nu + 1)\):
   \[
   \dim Sel^\epsilon_\nu(A/K) = \nu + 1,
   \]
   and
   \[
   \dim Sel^{-\epsilon_\nu}(A/K) \leq \nu.
   \]
2. There exist \(2\nu + 1\) distinct primes \(\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{2\nu + 1} \in \Lambda_1\) such that the classes \(c(n_i) \in H^1(K, V), n_i := \ell_i \ell_{i+1} \cdots \ell_{i+\nu-1}, 1 \leq i \leq \nu + 1\)
   form a basis of \(Sel^\epsilon_\nu(A/K)\) with the property that, for all \(1 \leq i, j \leq \nu + 1\):
   \[
   loc_{\ell_{i+j}}(c(n_i)) \begin{cases} 
   = 0, & i > j, \\
   \neq 0, & i = j.
   \end{cases}
   \]
   In other words, the \((\nu + 1) \times (\nu + 1)\)-matrix \((loc_{\ell_{i+j}}(c(n_i)))_{i,j}\) is invertible and upper triangular.
3. Let \(Sel^\pm_{\mathcal{B}(\kappa)}(A/K)\) be the relaxed Selmer group at the base locus \(\mathcal{B}(\kappa)\), i.e., the set of \(c \in H^1(K, V \otimes k)^\pm\) such that \(loc_v(c) \in \mathcal{L}_{v,A}\) for all \(v\).
outside $\mathcal{B}(\kappa)$ and no restriction on $\text{loc}_v(c) \in H^1(K_v, V \otimes k)$ if $v \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa)$. Then we have $\text{Sel}_{p,\mathcal{B}(\kappa)}(A/K) = \text{Sel}_{p}^1(A/K)$ and

$$\dim \text{Sel}_{p,\mathcal{B}(\kappa)}^{-\mathcal{B}(\kappa)}(A/K) \leq \nu.$$ 

**Proof.** We first prove by induction that, if $0 \leq j \leq \nu$, there exist a sequence of primes $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{\nu+j}$ such that

- For all $1 \leq i \leq j+1$, we have $c(n_i) \neq 0$, where $n_i = \ell_i ... \ell_{\nu+i-1}$.
- For all $1 \leq i \leq j$, we have $\text{loc}_{\ell_{\nu+i}}c(n_i) \neq 0$.

When $j = 0$, it follows from the definition of $\nu$ that there exists $n_1 = \ell_1 ... \ell_{\nu} \in \Lambda_\nu$ such that

$$c(n_1) \neq 0.$$ 

This proves the case $j = 0$ since the second requirement is void in this case.

Now suppose that we have found $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{\nu+j}$ with the desired property and $0 \leq j \leq \nu-1$. We apply Lemma 8.2 to $S = \{\ell_{j+2}, \ldots, \ell_{\nu+j}\}$ and $\ell = \ell_{j+1}$ to obtain $c \in H^1(K, V)^{-\mathcal{B}(\kappa)}$ such that

- $c \neq 0$,
- $\text{loc}_v c \in H^1_{\text{fin}}(K_v, V)$ for all $v$ outside $\{\ell_{j+1}, \ldots, \ell_{\nu+j}\}$.
- $\text{loc}_v c \in H^1_{\kappa}(K_v, V)$ for all $v \in \{\ell_{j+2}, \ldots, \ell_{\nu+j}\}$.

In particular, $c$ lies in the opposite eigenspace to $c(n_{j+1})$ under the complex conjugation. Apply Lemma 8.1 to obtain a prime denoted by $\ell_{\nu+j+1}$, distinct from $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{\nu+j}$, such that

$$\text{loc}_{\ell_{\nu+j+1}}c(n_{j+1}) \neq 0.$$ 

We now calculate the Tate paring, as a sum of the local Tate pairing over all places:

$$0 = \langle c, c(n_{j+1} \ell_{\nu+j+1}) \rangle = \sum_v \langle c, c(n_{j+1} \ell_{\nu+j+1}) \rangle_v.$$ 

We first note that both $c$ and $c(n_{j+1} \ell_{\nu+j+1})$ lie in the same eigenspace. The (possibly) nonzero contribution only comes from $v \in \{\ell_{j+1}, \ldots, \ell_{\nu+j+1}\}$. When $v \in \{\ell_{j+2}, \ldots, \ell_{\nu+j}\}$, both $\text{loc}_v c$ and $\text{loc}_v c(n_{j+1} \ell_{\nu+j+1})$ lie in the transverse part $H^1_{\text{fin}}(K_v, V)$. Hence the local pairing yields zero. When $v = \ell_{\nu+j+1}$, by (8.3) we have $\text{loc}_{\ell_{\nu+j+1}}c \neq 0$ in $H^1_{\text{fin}}(K_{\ell_{\nu+j+1}}, V)^{\ell_{\nu+j+1}}$, and

$$\text{loc}_{\ell_{\nu+j+1}}c(n_{j+1} \ell_{\nu+j+1}) = \psi_{\ell_{\nu+j+1}}(\text{loc}_{\ell_{\nu+j+1}}c(n_{j+1})) \neq 0,$$
in $H^1_{tr}(K_{\ell_\nu+j+1}, V)_{\ell_{j+1}}$. It follows that the local contribution at $v = \ell_{\nu+j+1}$ is nonzero. Hence by (8.4), both $\text{loc}_{\ell_\nu} c$ and $\text{loc}_{\ell_{j+1}} c(n_{j+1}\ell_{\nu+j+1})$ are nonzero. Hence we have

$$\text{loc}_{\ell_{j+1}} c(n_j\ell_{\nu+j+1}/\ell_{j+1}) \neq 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$\text{loc}_{\ell_{j+1}} c(n_{j+2}) \neq 0, \quad n_{j+2} = \ell_{j+2}...\ell_{\nu+j+1}.$$

In particular, we have

$$(8.5) \quad c(n_{j+2}) \neq 0.$$  

By (8.3) and (8.5) we complete the induction.

We finally add a prime $\ell_{2\nu+1} \in \Lambda$ such that

$$\text{loc}_{\ell_{2\nu+1}} c(n_{\nu+1}) \neq 0.$$  

Such a prime exists since $c(n_{\nu+1}) \neq 0$. Now we have found $\{\ell_1, ..., \ell_{2\nu+1}\}$ satisfying the property (8.2).

It is clearly $c(n_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq \nu + 1$, are linearly independent and in the Selmer group $\text{Sel}^e_p(A/K)$. To show that they actually generate the entire space $\text{Sel}^e_p(K, V)$, it suffices to show the stronger statement that they generate the relaxed Selmer $\text{Sel}^e_{p,\mathcal{P}(\kappa)}(A/K)$.

Let $c \in \text{Sel}^e_{p,\mathcal{P}(\kappa)}(A/K)$. We may further assume that, perhaps subtracting $c$ by a suitable linear combination of $c(n_i)$'s:

$$\text{loc}_{\ell_{\nu+j}} (c) = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \nu + 1.$$  

Set

$$n' = \ell_{\nu+1}...\ell_{2\nu}\ell_{2\nu+1} \in \Lambda_{\nu+1}.$$  

Then $c(n')$ is non-zero since it’s locally nonzero at $\ell_{2\nu+1}$. In particular, the classes $c$ and $c(n')$ are in difference eigenspaces.

Assume that $c \neq 0$. By Lemma 8.1, there exists a prime $\ell_{2\nu+2} \notin \{\ell_i : 1 \leq i \leq 2\nu + 1\}$ such that

$$(8.6) \quad \text{loc}_{\ell_{2\nu+2}} (c) \neq 0, \quad \text{loc}_{\ell_{2\nu+2}} c(n') \neq 0.$$  

Set

$$n'' = n'\ell_{2\nu+2} \in \Lambda_{\nu+2}.$$
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Then $c(n'')$ is nonzero since (8.6) implies that
\begin{equation}
\text{loc}_{\ell_{2\nu+2}}(c) \neq 0, \quad \text{loc}_{\ell_{2\nu+2}} c(n'') \neq 0.
\end{equation}
Moreover, the class $c(n'')$ lies in the same eigenspace as $c$.

We calculate the Tate pairing as a sum of local terms:
\begin{equation}
0 = \langle c, c(n'') \rangle = \sum_{\nu \in B(\kappa)} \langle c, c(n'') \rangle_{\nu} + \sum_{\ell | n''} \langle c, c(n'') \rangle_{\ell}.
\end{equation}
By definition of base locus $B(\kappa)$, we have $\text{loc}_{\nu} \kappa = 0$. Hence the first sum is zero since $c(n'') \in \kappa$.

Since $\text{loc}_{\ell_i}(c) = 0$ for all $\nu + 1 \leq i \leq 2\nu + 1$, by (8.6) and (8.7) we have
\begin{equation}
\sum_{\ell | n''} \langle c, c(n'') \rangle_{\ell} = \langle c, c(n'') \rangle_{\ell_{2\nu+2}} \neq 0.
\end{equation}
Contradiction! Hence $c = 0$ and it follows that $\text{Sel}_{p, B(\kappa)}^{\nu}(A/K) = \text{Sel}_{p}^{\nu}(A/K)$ is generated by $c(n_i), 1 \leq i \leq \nu + 1$.

To complete the proof of Lemma 8.4, it remains to show that
\begin{equation}
\dim \text{Sel}_{p, B(\kappa)}^{-\nu}(A/K) \leq \nu.
\end{equation}
Suppose that $\dim \text{Sel}_{p, B(\kappa)}^{-\nu}(A/K) \geq \nu + 1$. Then by a dimension counting, there exists a class $0 \neq d \in \text{Sel}_{p, B(\kappa)}^{-\nu}(A/K)$ such that
\begin{equation}
\text{loc}_{\ell_{i \nu+1}} d = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \nu.
\end{equation}
Since $d$ and $c(n_{\nu+1})$ lie in different eigenspaces, by Lemma 8.1, we may (re-) choose $\ell_{2\nu+1}$ such that
\begin{equation}
\text{loc}_{\ell_{\nu+1}} d \neq 0, \quad \text{loc}_{\ell_{\nu+1}} c(n_{\nu+1}) \neq 0.
\end{equation}
Then, as before, we calculate the Tate paring $\langle d, c(n_{\nu+1}\ell_{2\nu+1}) \rangle$, to get a contradiction.

\section{9. Kolyvagin’s conjecture}

\subsection{9.1. Nonvanishing of $\kappa$.}

We resume the notation in §3 and consider the non-vanishing of $\kappa$. 

\square
Theorem 9.1. Let \( g \) be a newform of weight two of level \( N \) with trivial nebentypus, \( p \) a prime ideal of \( \mathcal{O}_g \) above \( p \), and \( K \) an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant \( D_K \) such that \((D_K,N) = 1\). Assume

- \( N^- \) is square-free with even number of prime factors.
- \( \overline{\rho}_{g,p} : \text{Gal}_\mathbb{Q} \to \text{GL}(V) \simeq \text{GL}_2(k_0) \) is surjective.
- Hypothesis \( \heartsuit \) holds for \((g,p,K)\).
- \( p \nmid D_K N \) and \( p \geq 5 \) is an ordinary prime.

Then we have
\[
\kappa = \{ c(n) \in H^1(K,V) : n \in \Lambda \} \neq \{0\}.
\]

Proof. We prove this by induction on the rank
\[
r = \dim_{\mathcal{O}/p} \text{Sel}_p(A_g/K).
\]

We first assume that the parity conjecture (for Selmer group) holds for \( E/K \) (cf. [32]), i.e., that \( r \) is always odd. We will remove this assumption later, as to be shown by our method.

The case \( r = 1 \) has been treated by Theorem 7.2. Suppose now that the rank \( r \geq 3 \). Suppose that \( \mu \in \{ \pm 1 \} \) is chosen such that \( \text{Sel}_p^\mu(A_g/K) \) has higher rank than \( \text{Sel}_p^{-\mu}(A_g/K) \). In particular, we have \( \dim \text{Sel}_p^\mu(A_g/K) \geq 2 \).

We proceed as follows.

- Choose a non-zero \( c_1 \in \text{Sel}_p^\mu(A_g/K) \). We may and will require that \( c_1 \in H^1(K,V \otimes k_0 k) \) is \( k_0 \)-rational, i.e., in \( H^1(K,V) \). And choose an admissible prime \( q_1 \) such that the image of \( c_1 \) under homomorphism
\[
\text{loc}_{q_1} : \text{Sel}_p(A_g/K) \to H^1_{\text{fin}}(K_{q_1},V)
\]
is nonzero. In particular, the homomorphism is surjective. Then we apply level-raising theorem 2.1 to obtain a newform \( g_1 \) of level \( N q_1 \) together with a prime \( p_1 \). Then by Proposition 5.4, we have
\[
\dim_{\mathcal{O}/p_1} \text{Sel}_{p_1}(A_1/K) = \dim_{\mathcal{O}/p} \text{Sel}_p(A/K) - 1,
\]
and the \( k_0 \)-rational Selmer group is equal to the kernel of \( \text{loc}_{q_1} :)\n\[
\text{Sel}_{p_1,o}(A_1/K) = \text{Ker}(\text{loc}_{q_1} : \text{Sel}_{p_0}(A/K) \to H^1_{\text{fin}}(K_{q_1},V)).
\]

- Choose a non-zero \( c_2 \in \text{Sel}_{p_1}^\mu(A_1/K) \). Since \( \text{Sel}_{p_1}^\mu(A_1/K) \geq 2 \), such \( c_2 \) exists. We may and will require that \( c_2 \in H^1(K,V) \). We use again the
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level-raising theorem 2.1 to obtain a newform $g_2$ of level $Nq_1q_2$. Then by Proposition 5.4, we have

$$\dim_{\mathcal{O}_{E}/p_2} \text{Sel}_{p_2}(A_2/K) = \dim_{\mathcal{O}_{E}/p_1} \text{Sel}_{p_1}(A_1/K) - 1$$

$$= \dim_{\mathcal{O}/p} \text{Sel}_{p}(A/K) - 2,$$

and the $k_0$-rational Selmer group is equal to the kernel of $\text{loc}_{q_2}$:

$$\text{Sel}_{p_2,0}(A_2/K) = \text{Ker}(\text{loc}_{q_2} : \text{Sel}_{p_1,0}(A_1/K) \to H^1_{\text{fin}}(K_{q_2}, V)).$$

Moreover, the process is compatible with the action of complex conjugation. We hence have for $i = 1, 2$

$$(9.1) \quad \dim_{\mathcal{O}_{E}/p_i} \text{Sel}_{p_i}^\mu(A_i/K) = \dim_{\mathcal{O}/p} \text{Sel}_{p}^\mu(A/K) - i,$$

and

$$(9.2) \quad \dim_{\mathcal{O}_{E}/p_i} \text{Sel}_{p_i}^{-\mu}(A_i/K) = \dim_{\mathcal{O}/p} \text{Sel}_{p}^{-\mu}(A/K).$$

By induction hypothesis, noting that $g_2$ still satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 9.1, we may assume that the collection

$$\kappa_{q_1q_2} = \{c(n, q_1q_2) \in H^1(K, V) : n \in \Lambda \} \neq \{0\}.$$

By the cohomological congruence of Heegner points (Theorem 4.3), we have for all $n \in \Lambda$

$$\text{loc}_{q_1}c(n, 1) = \text{loc}_{q_2}c(n, q_1q_2).$$

To finish the proof of $\kappa = \{c(n, 1) : n \in \Lambda \} \neq \{0\}$, it suffices to show that $q_2$ is not a base point of the Kolyvagin system $\kappa_{q_1q_2}$.

We show this by contradiction. Suppose that $q_2$ is a base point of $\kappa_{q_1q_2}$. We note that the local condition from $A_2$ differs from that from $A_1$ only at the place $q_2$. We then have a trivial inclusion into the relaxed Selmer group:

$$(9.3) \quad \text{Sel}_{p_2,0}(A_1/K) \subset \text{Sel}_{p_2,0,\mathcal{R}(\kappa_{q_1q_2})}(A_2/K).$$

We have two cases

1. $\dim \text{Sel}_{p_2}^\mu(A_2/K)$ remains larger than $\dim \text{Sel}_{p_2}^{-\mu}(A_2/K)$.
2. $\dim \text{Sel}_{p_2}^\mu(A_2/K)$ is smaller than $\dim \text{Sel}_{p_2}^{-\mu}(A_2/K)$. This happens exactly when

$$(9.4) \quad \dim \text{Sel}_{p}^\mu(A/K) = \dim \text{Sel}_{p}^{-\mu}(A/K) + 1.$$
In the first case, by Lemma 8.4 we have an equality
\[ \text{Sel}^\mu_{p_2}(A_2/K) = \text{Sel}^\mu_{p_2, \mathcal{R}(\kappa_{q_1q_2})}(A_2/K). \]

Hence \( \text{Sel}^\mu_{p_1,0}(A_1/K) \subset \text{Sel}^\mu_{p_2}(A_2/K) \) by (9.3). But, by our choice, the class \( c_2 \) lies in the first space but not in the second. A contradiction!

In the second case, let \( \nu = \nu_{g_2} \) be the vanishing order of \( \kappa_{q_1q_2} \). Then we know by Lemma 8.4 that
\[ \dim \text{Sel}^{-\mu}_{p_2,0}(A_2/K) = \nu + 1, \quad \dim \text{Sel}^\mu_{p_2,0, \mathcal{R}(\kappa_{q_1q_2})}(A_2/K) \leq \nu. \]

However, by (9.3), the dimension of \( \text{Sel}^\mu_{p_2,0, \mathcal{R}(\kappa_{q_1q_2})}(A_2/K) \) is at least that of \( \text{Sel}^\mu_{p_1,0}(A_1/K) \) which is \( \nu + 1 \) by (9.1), (9.2), (9.3) and (9.4).

\[ \square \]

Remark 16. Heuristically, the two cases are treated similar to the proof that \( \text{Sel}^\pm_p(E/K) \) is rank 0 or 1 under the assumption that \( p \) does not divide the Heegner point \( y_K \in E(K) \) (cf. the proof of [15, Claim 10.1, 10.3]).

9.2. The parity conjecture for Selmer groups.

We finally remark how to avoid the use of parity conjecture (for \( p \)-Selmer group) and actually deduce the parity conjecture from our argument.

Theorem 9.2. Let \((g, p, K)\) be as in Theorem 9.1. Then \( \dim_k \text{Sel}_p(A/K) \) is odd and hence \( \text{Sel}_p^\pm(A/K) \) has odd \( \mathcal{O}_{g, p} \)-corank.

Proof. First of all we note that under the hypothesis that \( N^- \) is square-free with even number of prime factors, the root number of \( A_g/K \) is \(-1\), hence \( L(g/K, 1) = 0 \). Therefore \( r = 0 \) does not occur since by Theorem 7.1, we know that \( L(g/K, 1) \neq 0 \) if \( r = 0 \).

Suppose that \( \dim \text{Sel}_p(A) = r \geq 2 \) is even. If one eigenspace \( \dim \text{Sel}_p^\nu(A) \) is strictly larger that the other, the same argument above will produce \( A_2 \) with \( \dim \text{Sel}_p(A_2) = r - 2 \). Otherwise, the two eigenspaces have the same dimension \( \dim \text{Sel}_p^\nu(A) = \dim \text{Sel}_p^{-\nu}(A) \geq 1 \). We may then modify the choice of \( c_2 \) in the proof above and insist \( c_2 \in \dim \text{Sel}_p^{-\nu}(A_1) \). Then we again produce \( A_2 \) with \( \dim \text{Sel}_p(A_2) = r - 2 \). Therefore, by induction, we have a contradiction! We thus deduce the parity under the hypothesis that \( N^- \) has even number of factors:
\[ \dim_k \text{Sel}_p(A_g/K) \equiv 1 \mod 2. \]
Note that under our hypothesis, the $k$-vector space $\text{Sel}_p(A/K)$ can be identified with the $p$-torsion of $\text{Sel}_p\langle A/K \rangle$. By the non-degeneracy of the Cassels–Tate pairing on the indivisible quotient of the $\mathcal{O}_p$-module $\text{III}(A/K)$, the $\mathcal{O}_{g,p}$-corank of $\text{Sel}_p\langle A/K \rangle$ has the same parity as $\text{Sel}_p(A/K)$. This shows that $\text{Sel}_p\langle A/K \rangle$ has odd $\mathcal{O}_{g,p}$-corank.

9.3. Nonvanishing of $\kappa^\infty$

Now we return to the setting of §2 and confirm Kolyvagin’s conjecture 3.2 on non-vanishing of $\kappa^\infty$.

**Theorem 9.3.** Let $g$ be a newform of weight two of level $N$ with trivial nebentypus, $p$ a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_p$ above $p$, and $K$ an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant $D_K$ with $(D_K, N) = 1$. Assume that

- $N$ is square-free with even number of prime factors.
- The residue representation $\bar{\rho}_{g,p}$ is surjective.
- Hypothesis $\heartsuit$ holds for the triple $(g, p, K)$.
- The prime $p \geq 5$ is ordinary and $p \nmid D_K N$.

Then we have

$$\kappa^\infty = \{c_M(n) \in H^1(K, A_{g,M(n)}): n \in \Lambda, M \leq M(n) \} \neq \{0\}.$$ 

Indeed we have

$$\mathcal{M}_\infty = 0.$$ 

**Proof.** This follows trivially from Theorem 9.1.

Theorem 9.3 implies Theorem 1.1 since, by Lemma 5.1 (2), the item (3) in Hypothesis $\heartsuit$ for $(g, p, K)$ holds automatically for the weight two newform $g$ associated to $E/\mathbb{Q}$ and $p = (p)$.

10. B-SD formula in the rank one case

In this section we prove the $p$-part of the B-SD formula in the rank one case for nice $p$ (in a precise way depending on the residue representation). For simplicity, we will restrict ourselves to the case of elliptic curves.

We recast the situation. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ of conductor $N$. We will assume that $\bar{\rho}_{E,p}$ is irreducible. Then there is only one isomorphism class of $E$ up to prime-to-$p$ isogeny. We fix $E$ as the strong Weil curve.

Let $K$ an imaginary quadratic field. Suppose that in the decomposition $N = N^+N^-$, $N^-$ is square-free and has even number of prime factors. Let
δ(N⁺, N⁻) be the modular degree of (the isogeny class of) E parameterized by X_{N⁺, N⁻}. More precisely, in the isogeny class of E, consider an optimal quotient E' of the Jacobian J_{N⁺, N⁻} of X_{N⁺, N⁻}:

\[ \pi : J_{N⁺, N⁻} \rightarrow E'. \]

Then the modular degree η_{N⁺, N⁻} is defined as the integer \( π_0 \pi^* ) \in \text{End}_Q(E') \cong \mathbb{Z}. \) Similarly, we simply denote \( δ(N, 1) = δ(N) \) which is the modular degree using the modular curve \( X_0(N) \). Set

\[ \delta_{N⁺, N⁻} = \frac{δ(N, 1)}{δ(N⁺, N⁻)}. \]

Let c be the Manin constant associated to (the strong Weil curve in the isogeny class of) \( E/\mathbb{Q} \). It is conjectured to be equal to one. Let \( c_\ell \) be the local Tamagawa numbers of \( E/\mathbb{Q}_\ell \) (\( E/K_\ell \), resp.) if \( \ell \) is split (if \( \ell \) is nonsplit, resp.) in \( K/\mathbb{Q} \). Under a prime-to-\( p \) isogeny \( E' \rightarrow E \), the Heegner point \( y_K \in E'(K) \) is mapped to \( E(K) \) (still denoted by \( y_K \)).

**Lemma 10.1.** Assume that \( \overline{ρ}_{E,p} \) is irreducible.

1. If \( \text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/K, s) = 1 \), then the \( p \)-part of the B-SD formula for \( E/K \) is equivalent to the following identity

\[ [E(K) : \mathbb{Z}y_K]^2 \cdot δ_{N⁺, N⁻} = c^2 \# \pi(E/K) \prod_{\ell | N⁺} c_\ell^2 \prod_{\ell | N⁻} c_\ell, \]

up to a \( p \)-adic unit.

2. If \( \text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/K, s) = 1 \) and \( (E, p, K) \) satisfies Hypothesis ♠, then the \( p \)-part of the B-SD formula for \( E/K \) is equivalent to

\[ (10.1) \quad [E(K) : \mathbb{Z}y_K]^2 = \# \pi(E/K) \prod_{\ell | N⁺} c_\ell^2, \]

up to a \( p \)-adic unit.

**Remark 17.** When \( N⁻ ≠ 1 \), we have defined the point \( y_K = y(1) \) by (3.2). This can be viewed as an element in \( E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \). In this case we understand the index \([E(K) : \mathbb{Z}y_K]\) as \([E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p : \mathbb{Z}_p y_K]\), well-defined up to a \( p \)-adic unit.

**Proof.** Under the square-freeness of \( N⁻ \), the Gross–Zagier formula for \( (E, K) \) on Shimura curve \( X_{N⁺, N⁻} \) ([45], as specialized to the current case by [41])
simplifies
\[ u_K^2 \frac{L'(E/K, 1)}{\Omega_{\text{can}} |D_K|^{-1/2} \delta(N, 1)} = \frac{1}{\delta(N^+, N^-)} \frac{\langle y_K, y_K \rangle_{E/K}}{c^2}. \]

This formula can be deduced from [45, Theorem 1.2] in a way analogous to [17, Theorem (2.1), p.311].

The B-SD formula for \( E/K \) states (cf. [17, p.311])
\[
\frac{L'(E/K, 1)}{\Omega_{\text{can}} |D_K|^{-1/2}} = \frac{\langle y_K, y_K \rangle}{[E(K) : \mathbb{Z} y_K]^2} \# \Pi(E/K) \prod_{\ell|N^+} c_\ell^2 \prod_{\ell|N^-} c_\ell.
\]

The first result follows by comparison:
\[
[E(K) : \mathbb{Z} y_K]^2 \delta_{N^+, N^-} = u_K^2 c^2 \# \Pi(E/K) \prod_{\ell|N^+} c_\ell^2 \prod_{\ell|N^-} c_\ell.
\]

Note that \( u_K = \frac{1}{2} \# \mathcal{O}_K^\times \leq 3 \). By a result of Mazur [25, Cor. 3.1], if \( p \) divides the Manin constant \( c \), then \( p^2 | 4N \). When \( \overline{\rho}_{E,p} \) is irreducible and Hypothesis ♠ holds, by the theorem of Ribet–Takahashi (the second part of [35, Theorem 1], cf. the proof of Theorem 6.4), we have, up to a \( p \)-adic unit:
\[
\delta_{N^+, N^-} = \prod_{\ell|N^-} c_\ell.
\]

The second result then follows.

\[\textbf{Theorem 10.2.}\] Let \( E/\mathbb{Q} \) be an elliptic curve of conductor \( N \), \( K \) an imaginary quadratic field. Let \( p \geq 5 \) be a prime such that:

(1) \( N^- \) is square-free with even number of prime factors.
(2) \( \overline{\rho}_{E,p} \) is surjective.
(3) Hypothesis ♠ holds for \((E,p,K)\).
(4) \( p \nmid D_K N \) is an ordinary prime.

If \( \text{ord}_s = 1 L(E/K, s) = 1 \), then the \( p \)-part of the B-SD formula for \( E/K \) holds, i.e.:
\[
\left| \frac{L'(E/K, 1)}{\Omega_{\text{can}} |D_K|^{-1/2} \text{Reg}(E/K)} \right|_p = \# \Pi(E/K) \prod_{\ell|N^+} c_\ell^2 \prod_{\ell|N^-} c_\ell \right|_p.
\]

where the regulator is defined as \( \text{Reg}(E/K) := \frac{\langle y, y \rangle_{NT}}{[E(K) : \mathbb{Z} y]^2} \) for any non-torsion \( y \in E(K) \), \( \langle y, y \rangle_{NT} \) is the Néron-Tate height pairing.
Proof. Under Hypothesis \(\spadesuit\), all local Tamagawa numbers \(c_\ell\) are \(p\)-adic units when \(\ell \divides N^+\). By (10.1), it suffices to show, up to a \(p\)-adic unit,

\[
[E(K) : \mathbb{Z}y_K]^2 = \#\,\text{III}(E/K).
\]

When \(\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/K, s) = 1\), by Kolyvagin’s theorem ([23, 28] for modular curves) on the structure of \(\text{III}(E/K)\), we have

\[
\#\,\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty] = p^2(\mathcal{M}_0 - \mathcal{M}_\infty).
\]

By Theorem 9.3, we have

\[\mathcal{M}_\infty = 0.\]

The result follows from that \(\mathcal{M}_0\) is the \(p\)-part of the index \([E(K) : \mathbb{Z}y_K]\) by definition. \(\square\)

Remark 18. Let \(\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty]\) denote the quotient of \(\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty]\) by its maximal divisible subgroup. If \(\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty]\) is finite, then \(\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty]\) is the same as \(\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty]\). Kolyvagin in [24, Theorem 1] proved that, under the condition \(\kappa_\infty \neq 0\), the structure of \(\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty]\) is determined in terms of the sequence \(\mathcal{M}_i\):

\[
\text{III}(E/K)^{\pm}[p^\infty] \cong \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} (\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_i^+} \mathbb{Z})^2, \quad a_1^+ \geq a_2^+ \geq \ldots,
\]

where, setting \(\nu = \nu^\infty\),

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_i^{\nu} &= \mathcal{M}_{\nu+2i-1} - \mathcal{M}_{\nu+2i}, \quad i \geq 1, \\
    a_i^{\nu-r_{p,-\nu}} &= \mathcal{M}_{\nu+2i-2} - \mathcal{M}_{\nu+2i-1}, \quad i \geq 1.
\end{align*}
\]

In particular, we have a bound

\[
\#\,\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty] \geq p^2(\mathcal{M}_0 - \mathcal{M}_\infty),
\]

where the equality holds if \(\nu = r_{p,-\nu}\) (for example, if \(\nu = 0\)).

Now recall that \(\text{Ram}(\overline{\rho}_{E,p})\) is the set of primes \(\ell \divides N\) such that \(\overline{\rho}_{E,p}\) is ramified at \(\ell\).

**Theorem 10.3.** Let \(E/\mathbb{Q}\) be an elliptic curve of conductor \(N\). Let \(p \geq 5\) be a prime such that:

1. \(\overline{\rho}_{E,p}\) is surjective.
2. If \(\ell \equiv \pm 1 \mod p\) and \(\ell \divides N\), then \(\overline{\rho}_{E,p}\) is ramified at \(\ell\).
(3) If $N$ is not square-free, then $\#\text{Ram}(\overline{\rho}_{E,p}) \geq 1$ and when $\#\text{Ram}(\overline{\rho}_{E,p}) = 1$, there are even number of prime factors $\ell || N$.

(4) The prime $p$ is good ordinary.

If $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/\mathbb{Q}, s) = 1$, then the $p$-part of the B-SD formula for $E/\mathbb{Q}$ holds, i.e.:

$$\left| \frac{L'(E, 1)}{\Omega_E \cdot \text{Reg}(E/\mathbb{Q})} \right|_p = \left| \#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \prod_{\ell \mid N} c_\ell \right|_p.$$  

Proof. By the same argument in the proof of Theorem 1.4, we may choose an auxiliary imaginary quadratic field $K$ using [6, 31] such that $(E, p, K)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 10.2. It follows that the $p$-part of the B-SD formula for $E/K$ holds. Since $L(E^K, 1) \neq 0$, the $p$-part of the B-SD formula for $E^K/\mathbb{Q}$ holds by [37, Theorem 2] (cf. Theorem 7.1). Then the $p$-part of the B-SD formula for $E/\mathbb{Q}$ also follows.  

11. Construction of Selmer groups

We first construct the $p$-Selmer group $\text{Sel}_p(A/K)$, and then all of $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ for an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{Q}$.

**Theorem 11.1.** Let $(g, p, K)$ be as in Theorem 9.1 and $\nu$ the vanishing order of $\kappa$.

1. The $k$-vector space $\text{Sel}_p^\nu(A/K)$ is contained in the subspace of $H^1(K, V_k)$ spanned by all $c(n, 1)$ where $n \in \Lambda$.

2. The $k$-vector space $\text{Sel}_p(A/K)$ is contained in the subspace of $H^1(K, V_k)$ spanned by all $c(n, m)$ where $n \in \Lambda$ and $m \in \Lambda^+$.  

Proof. The first part is a consequence of Lemma 8.4 and the non-vanishing of $\kappa$ by Theorem 9.1. For the second part, it suffices to show that the other eigenspace $\text{Sel}_p^{\nu-}(A/K)$ is generated by $c(n, m)$’s. We may prove it by induction on the dimension of $\text{Sel}_p(A/K)$ as in the proof of Theorem 9.1. We see that $\dim \text{Sel}_p(A_2/K) = \dim \text{Sel}_p(A/K) - 2$ and by induction hypothesis we may assume that $\text{Sel}_p(A_2/K)$ is generated by $c(n, q_1q_2m), n \in \Lambda, m \in \Lambda^+$. In particular, the subspace $\text{Sel}_p^{\nu-}(A_2/K)$ is generated by $c(n, q_1q_2m), n \in \Lambda, m \in \Lambda^+$. The result follows from the fact that the spaces $\text{Sel}_p^{\nu}(A/K)$ and $\text{Sel}_p^{\nu-}(A_2/K)$ have the same underlying $k_0$-vector subspace.  

Now we consider the $p^\infty$-Selmer group. We will now consider only elliptic curves $E/\mathbb{Q}$ since the result we will use is only written down in the literature for elliptic curves. We would like to construct all elements in the group
Sel$\pm_p(\mathcal{E}/K)$ by the cohomology classes from Heegner points defined over ring class fields.

We recall a result of Kolyvagin [24, Theorem 2 and 3], which does not assume our Hypothesis ♠. Under the irreducibility of $\rho_{E,p}$, we have an injection

$$H^1(K, E[p^M]) \hookrightarrow H^1(K, E[p^{M+M'}]), \quad M, M' \geq 1.$$ 

The group $H^1(K, E[p^M])$ can be viewed as the kernel of the multiplication by $p^M$ on $H^1(K, E[p^{M+M'}])$. If an element $c \in H^1(K, E[p^{M+M'}])$ is killed by $p^M$, we will view $c$ as an element in $H^1(K, E[p^M])$. More generally, we have a short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(K, E[p^M]) \longrightarrow H^1(K, E[p^\infty]) \overset{p^M}{\longrightarrow} H^1(K, E[p^\infty]).$$

In this way we will view $c_M(n) \in H^1(K, E[p^M])$ as an element of $H^1(K, E[p^\infty])$.

**Theorem 11.2** (Kolyvagin). Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve of conductor $N$, $K$ an imaginary quadratic field, $p$ a prime, such that

- $(p, DN) = 1$ and $N^-$ is square-free with even number of factors.
- The residue Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{E,p}$ is surjective.

Assume that $\mathcal{M}_\infty$ is finite and denote by $\nu^\infty$ the vanishing order of $\kappa^\infty$. Then we have

(i) The $\mathbb{Z}_p$-coranks of $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(\mathcal{E}/K)$ satisfy

$$r_p^{e,\infty}(E/K) = \nu^\infty + 1,$$

and

$$0 \leq \nu^\infty - r_p^{-e,\infty}(E/K) \equiv 0 \text{ mod } 2.$$

(ii) The Selmer group $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^+(E/K) \subset H^1(K, E[p^\infty])$ is contained in the subgroup of $H^1(K, E[p^\infty])$ generated by all $c_M(n)$, $n \in \Lambda, M \leq M(n)$.

**Proof.** Kolyvagin only considered the case of parameterization of $E$ by modular curves. But his argument obviously works in the case where the elliptic curve is parameterized by Shimura curve (cf. [42]).

**Corollary 11.3.** Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve of conductor $N$. Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime such that:

1. $\bar{\rho}_{E,p}$ is surjective.
2. If $\ell \equiv \pm 1 \text{ mod } p$ and $\ell || N$, then $\bar{\rho}_{E,p}$ is ramified at $\ell$. 
(3) If \( N \) is not square-free, then \( \#\text{Ram}(\overline{p}, E, p) \geq 1 \) and when \( \#\text{Ram}(\overline{p}, E, p) = 1 \), there are even number of prime factors \( \ell \) \( || \) \( N \).

(4) The prime \( p \) is good ordinary.

Then there exists an imaginary quadratic field \( K \) such that the Selmer group \( \text{Sel}_p^\infty(E/\mathbb{Q}) \) is contained in the subgroup of \( H^1(K, E[p^\infty]) \) generated by all \( c_M(n) \in H^1(K, E[p^\infty]), n \in \Lambda, M \leq M(n) \).

**Proof.** As in the proof of Theorem 10.3, we may choose \( K \) such that \( E/K \) satisfies the assumption of Theorem 11.2 and such that the quadratic twist \( E^K \) has non vanishing \( L(E^K, 1) \) (if \( \epsilon(E/\mathbb{Q}) = -1 \)) or \( L'(E^K, 1) \) (if \( \epsilon(E/\mathbb{Q}) = 1 \)). Then by Theorem 11.2, if \( \text{Sel}_p^\infty(E/\mathbb{Q}) \) has positive \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-corank, it will be the eigenspace \( \text{Sel}_p^\infty(E/K) \) with larger corank, and hence generated by the classes \( c_M(n) \in H^1(K, E[p^\infty]), n \in \Lambda, M \leq M(n) \). It remains to treat the case when \( \text{Sel}_p^\infty(E/\mathbb{Q}) \) is finite, which is then isomorphic to \( \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}[p^\infty]) \).

But in that case, we must have \( \text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/K, s) = 1 \) and Kolyvagin has shown that the group \( \text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty] \) are generated by the classes \( c_M(n) \in H^1(K, E[p^\infty]), n \in \Lambda, M \leq M(n) \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Remark 19.** In [8], the authors prove that every element in \( \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] \) splits in a solvable extension of \( \mathbb{Q} \), for every semistable \( E/\mathbb{Q} \) and every prime \( p \). Our result gives a new proof when \( (E, p) \) is as in Corollary 11.3. Indeed, our result shows that one may choose the solvable extension to be unramified at \( p \). It is then easy to see that, for an element in \( \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] \) where \( (E, p) \) is as in Corollary 11.3, one may choose the solvable extension to be unramified at any given finite set of primes. This was achieved in [8] only when the analytic rank is at most one.
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