Mathieu moonshine and the geometry of K3 surfaces
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We compare the moonshine observation of Eguchi, Ooguri and Tachikawa relating the Mathieu group $M_{24}$ and the complex elliptic genus of a K3 surface with the symmetries of geometric structures on K3 surfaces.

Two main results are that the complex elliptic genus of a K3 surface is a virtual module for the Mathieu group $M_{24}$ and also for a certain vertex operator superalgebra $V^G$ where $G$ is the holonomy group.

1. Introduction

Moonshine refers to an unexpected relation between finite simple groups, automorphic forms and physics, with the most prominent example of monstrous moonshine [6, 13]. A few years ago, a similar observation was made concerning the Mathieu group $M_{24}$ and the complex elliptic genus of a K3 surface.

1.1. Mathieu moonshine

Eguchi et al. [16] observed that the sum decomposition of the Jacobi form $2 \phi_{0,1}(z; \tau)$ of weight 0 and index 1 into the (expected) characters of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Virasoro algebra at central charge $c = 6$ has multiplicities which are simple sums of dimensions of irreducible representations of the largest Mathieu group $M_{24}$. The Jacobi form $2 \phi_{0,1}(z; \tau)$ has several interpretations, it is the complex elliptic genus $\chi_{-y}(q, \mathcal{L}X)$ of a K3 surface $X$ and in string theory it has the meaning of the partition function of physical states in the Ramond-sector of a sigma model on $X$.

The observation of Eguchi et al. suggests the existence of a graded $M_{24}$-module $K = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n q^{n-1/8}$ whose graded character is given by the sum decomposition of the elliptic genus into characters of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Virasoro algebra. Subsequently the analogs to McKay–Thompson series in
monstrous moonshine where proposed in several works [10, 11, 15, 20, 21].

The McKay–Thompson series for $g$ in $M_{24}$ are of the form

\begin{equation}
\Sigma_g(q) = q^{-1/8} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Tr}(g|K_n) q^n = \frac{e(g)}{24} \Sigma(q) - \frac{f_g(q)}{\eta(q)^3},
\end{equation}

where $\Sigma = \Sigma_e$ is the graded dimension of $K$ (an explicit mock modular form), $e(g)$ is the character of the 24-dimensional permutation representation of $M_{24}$, the series $f_g$ is a certain explicit modular form of weight 2 for some subgroup $\Gamma_0(N_g)$ of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\eta$ is the Dedekind eta function. Gannon [19] has proven that these McKay–Thompson series indeed determine a $M_{24}$-module.

**Theorem 1.1.** The McKay–Thompson series as in [15, 20] determine a virtual graded $M_{24}$-module $K = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n q^{n-1/8}$. For $n \geq 1$ the $K_n$ are honest (and not only virtual) $M_{24}$-representations.

The proof uses techniques of modular forms and group theory. It does not explain any connection to geometry or physics. Since the complex elliptic genus is both an invariant of K3 surfaces and a string theory object, it is believed that there is a geometric and/or physics explanation of the Mathieu moonshine observation. In this work, we investigate implications of the geometry to the moonshine.

### 1.2. The complex elliptic genus

The complex elliptic genus appears originally in the physical literature. A rigorous mathematical definition and a first investigation of its basic topological properties, like rigidity have been first given by Krichever [31] and the second author [28] following similar work by Ochanine, Witten, Hirzebruch and Bott/Taubes for other elliptic genera. The complex elliptic genus equals the graded dimension of the cohomology of the chiral de Rham complex of a complex manifold [9, 37].

We recall that a complex genus in the sense of Hirzebruch [27] is a graded ring homomorphism from the complex bordism ring into some other graded ring $\mathbb{R}$. The complex elliptic genus can be formally defined as the $S^1$-equivariant $\chi_y$-genus of the loop space of a manifold

$$
\chi_y(q, L X) := (-y)^{-d/2} \chi_y \left( X, \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda yq^n T^* \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda y^{-1} q^n T \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} S q^n (T^* \oplus T) \right).
$$
having values in $\mathbb{Q}[y^{1/2}, y^{-1/2}][q]$. For manifolds $X$ with vanishing first Chern class it is the Fourier expansion of a Jacobi form of weight 0 and index half the complex dimension of $X$ (see [28]). For automorphisms $g$ of $X$ one has the corresponding equivariant elliptic genus $\chi_y(g; q, LX)$.

The Jacobi form $2\phi_{0,1}(z; \tau)$ equals the complex elliptic genus of K3 surfaces (for example [28], p. 62).

### 1.3. Results and organization

By combining Mukai’s [36] classification of finite groups acting symplectically on K3 surfaces and information from rational character tables we prove in Section 2 (see Corollary 2.7).

**Theorem.** The complex elliptic genus of a K3 surface can be given the structure of a virtual $M_{24}$-module which is compatible with the $H$-module structure for all possible finite groups $H$ of symplectic automorphisms of K3 surfaces under restriction.

We remark that all such groups $H$ of symplectic automorphisms of K3 surfaces are subgroups of $M_{24}$.

We then explain in Section 3 how to construct bundles over a K3 surface that at each fiber have the structure of a (possibly twisted) module $M$ for a vertex operator superalgebra $V$ and that the corresponding twisted Todd genus is a character of a virtual module for a vertex operator subalgebra $V^G$ where $G$ is the structure group of the bundle (see Theorem 3.1). For the case of the complex elliptic genus of a K3 surface we have (Corollary 3.14):

**Theorem.** The complex elliptic genus of a K3 surface is the graded character of a natural virtual vertex operator algebra module for $V^G$ and its $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Virasoro vertex subalgebra.

We also obtain explicit formulas for the decomposition of $M$ into characters of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Virasoro algebra.

The equivariant complex elliptic genus of a K3 surface can be computed by using the holomorphic Lefschetz fixed-point formula. On the other hand, the known Mathieu moonshine uses the McKay–Thompson series, i.e., equivariant elliptic genera in the sense of string theory and super conformal field theory on K3 surfaces. A priori these two could be different, but fortunately we find (Theorem 4.3):
**Theorem.** For a finite symplectic automorphism $g$ acting on a K3 surface $X$, the equivariant elliptic genus and the $\mathcal{N}=4$ character are determined by the McKay–Thompson series of Mathieu moonshine agree.

We also compare in this final section the geometric structures for K3 surfaces which we have considered with the proposed Mathieu moonshine.

### 2. Symplectic group actions on K3 surfaces and the Mathieu group $M_{24}$

A K3 surface is a simply connected complex surface with trivial canonical line bundle. An action of a group $H$ on $X$ by complex automorphisms is called symplectic if the induced action on the space $H^0(X, \Omega^2)$ of holomorphic 2-forms is trivial. For a symplectic action one can find a hyperkähler metric $g$ on $X$ for which $H$ acts by isometries and which is a Kähler metric for the given complex structure. Recall that a metric for a four-dimensional manifold is called hyperkähler if the holonomy group is contained in $\text{Sp}(1) \cong \text{SU}(2)$. Conversely, given a hyperkähler metric $g$ on $X$ there is a family of complex structures on the underlying differentiable manifold parameterized by a 2-sphere and the action of a group $H$ by isometries is symplectic for all of them.

Let $g$ be a non-trivial symplectic automorphism of finite order acting on a K3 surface $X$. By [38], such automorphisms have only isolated fixed points. The holomorphic Lefschetz fixed point formula [1] shows that only seven cases are possible [38] (see also [36]). We list them together with the eigenvalues of $g$ acting on the tangent spaces in Table 1. Here, $\zeta_n$ stands for a primitive $n$th root of unity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order of $g$</th>
<th># of fixed points</th>
<th>Eigenvalues of $g$ at the fixed points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$8 \times (-1, -1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$6 \times (\zeta_3, \zeta_3^{-1})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$4 \times (i, -i)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$2 \times (\zeta_5, \zeta_5^{-1})$ and $2 \times (\zeta_5^2, \zeta_5^{-2})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2 \times (\zeta_6, \zeta_6^{-1})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$(\zeta_7, \zeta_7^{-1}), (\zeta_7^2, \zeta_7^{-2})$ and $(\zeta_7^3, \zeta_7^{-3})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$(\zeta_8, \zeta_8^{-1})$ and $(\zeta_8^3, \zeta_8^{-3})$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The finite symplectic automorphism groups of K3 surfaces have been classified by Mukai. They are all isomorphic to subgroups of the Mathieu group $M_{23}$ of a particular type. We recall that $M_{23}$ is isomorphic to a one-point stabilizer for the permutation action of $M_{24}$ on 24 elements.

**Theorem 2.1 (Mukai [36]).** A finite group $H$ acting symplectically on a K3 surface is isomorphic to a subgroup of $M_{23}$ with at least five orbits on the regular permutation representation of the Mathieu group $M_{24} \supset M_{23}$ on 24 elements.

**Remark 2.2.** (1) Every such group $H$ is a subgroup of 11 maximal groups explicitly given in [36]. They are of the following type (see [36] for details):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>$L_2(7)$</td>
<td>$A_6$</td>
<td>$S_5$</td>
<td>$2^4A_5$</td>
<td>$2^4S_4$</td>
<td>$A_{4,4}$</td>
<td>$T_{192}$</td>
<td>$2^4D_{12}$</td>
<td>$3^2D_8$</td>
<td>$3^2Q_8$</td>
<td>$SL_2(3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>order</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) The non-trivial minimal subgroups correspond to the seven possibilities of finite symplectic groups actions as listed in Table 1. Below, we list the ATLAS names [4] of the corresponding generators (as well as the trivial element) as elements in the two Mathieu groups $M_{23}$, $M_{24}$ and the Conway group $Co_0$ which contains $M_{24}$ as a subgroup.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$M_{23}$</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>2A</td>
<td>3A</td>
<td>4A</td>
<td>5A</td>
<td>6A</td>
<td>7A, 7B</td>
<td>8A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{24}$</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>2A</td>
<td>3A</td>
<td>4B</td>
<td>5A</td>
<td>6A</td>
<td>7A, 7B</td>
<td>8A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Co_0$</td>
<td>1A+</td>
<td>2A+</td>
<td>3B+</td>
<td>4C+</td>
<td>5B+</td>
<td>6E+</td>
<td>7B+</td>
<td>8E+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3) There are in total 80 abstract isomorphism types of symplectic automorphism groups $H$ (including the trivial one) acting on K3 surfaces. Considered as a subgroup of $O(L)$, where $L = H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is the intersection lattice of $X$, the group is unique up to conjugacy in 75 of those cases. For five cases, there exist two possibilities, each; see [23].

Given a holomorphic vector bundle $E$ on a K3 surface $X$ associated to the tangent bundle, and a finite automorphism group $H$ of $X$, the induced action of $H$ on the cohomology groups $H^q(X, E)$ makes the virtual vector space $\chi(X, E) = \bigoplus_{q=0}^2 (-1)^q H^q(X, E)$ into a virtual $H$-module. For $H$ symplectic, we know from the holomorphic Lefschetz formula and Table 1 that
the value of
\[ \chi(g; X, E) = \text{tr}(g|H^0(X, E)) - \text{tr}(g|H^1(X, E)) + \text{tr}(g|H^2(X, E)) \]
depends only on the order of \( g \in H \) and is rational. In particular, we see that the structure of the virtual \( H \)-module \( \chi(X, E) \) for all eleven maximal Mukai groups \( H \) is completely determined by the value of \( \chi(g; X, E) \) for elements \( g \) of order 1, 2, \ldots, 8. We axiomatize this situation in the following definition.

**Definition 2.3.** A Mukai (resp. virtual Mukai) representation \( \rho \) is a family \((\rho_i)_{i=1,\ldots,11}\) of rational characters (resp. generalized rational characters) of the eleven maximal symplectic groups \( H_i, i = 1, \ldots, 11 \), such that \( \rho_i(g) \) for \( g \in H_i \) depends only on the order of \( g \) and this value is independent of \( i \).

**Theorem 2.4.** Let \( \rho = (\rho_i)_{i=1,\ldots,11} \) be a virtual Mukai representation. Then there exists a virtual rational representation \( \mu \) of \( M_{24} \) such that \( \mu|_{H_i} = \rho_i \) for each of the eleven Mukai groups \( H_i \).

**Remark 2.5.**
(1) The embedding of \( H_i \) into \( M_{24} \) may not be unique but the value of the characters is, i.e., \( \mu|_{H_i} \) is always well-defined.

(2) The representation \( \mu \) of \( M_{24} \) is not unique. In fact, it can be changed by any virtual rational representation of \( M_{24} \) with the property that the character for the conjugacy classes of elements belonging to symplectic automorphism is zero.

**Proof.** Let \( L_i \) be the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module generated by the rational characters of the group \( H_i \). We consider the submodule \( L_i^0 \) of \( L_i \) defined by the property that the values for those conjugacy classes of \( H_i \) for which the elements have the same order are equal. Let \( M \) be the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module of \( \mathbb{Z} \)-valued functions on the set \( \{1, 2, \ldots, 8\} \). We let \( N_i \) be the submodule of \( M \) consisting of those functions on \( \{1, 2, \ldots, 8\} \) for which the restriction to the subset which occur as orders of elements of \( H_i \) belongs to \( L_i^0 \). Set \( N = \bigcap_{i=1}^{11} N_i \).

It follows from the definition that for a Mukai representation \( \rho = (\rho_i) \) the individual characters \( \rho_i \) belong to \( L_i^0 \). Since the value of the character is independent of \( i \) they define together an element of \( N \).

Let \( K \) be the submodule of \( M \) generated by the restriction of the generalized rational characters of \( M_{24} \) to those conjugacy classes of order 1, \ldots, 8 which are listed in the table under Remark 2.2.2. above. A computer calculation with Magma [35] shows \( K = N \). Since the Schur indices of the \( M_{24} \)-representations are all 1 [5], a generalized rational character for \( M_{24} \) actually defines a virtual rational \( M_{24} \)-module. This proves the theorem. \( \square \)
Remark 2.6. 1. One has that $M/N$ is a finite abelian group abstractly isomorphic to $2 \times 4 \times 24 \times 40320$, where we use the short-hand notation $n$ for $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. We also list for each $i$ the possible orders of elements of $H_i$ and the structure of the quotient modules $N_i/N$ in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Orders</th>
<th>$N_i/N$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1 - 4, 7$</td>
<td>$4 \times 12 \times 480$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1 - 5$</td>
<td>$4 \times 4 \times 672$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$1 - 5$</td>
<td>$2 \times 4 \times 672$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$1 - 5$</td>
<td>$12 \times 168$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$1 - 4, 8$</td>
<td>$3 \times 420$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$1 - 4, 6$</td>
<td>$2 \times 280$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Orders</th>
<th>$N_i/N$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$1 - 4, 6$</td>
<td>$2 \times 840$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$1 - 4, 6$</td>
<td>$2 \times 840$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$1 - 4, 6$</td>
<td>$2 \times 4 \times 1120$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$1 - 4$</td>
<td>$4 \times 4 \times 3360$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>$1 - 4, 6, 8$</td>
<td>$2 \times 1680$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. In addition, the computer calculation shows that in order to obtain the virtual $M_{24}$-representation $\mu$ it is enough to only consider the virtual representations $\rho_i$ of the groups $H_1$, $H_5$, $H_6$ and in addition one of the three groups $H_2$, $H_3$ or $H_4$. It is not enough to use only three of the groups $H_i$.

Let $K'$ and $K''$ be the submodules of $M$ generated by the restriction of the rational characters of $M_{23}$, respectively, $\text{Co}_0$ to those conjugacy classes of order $1, \ldots, 8$ which are listed in the table in Remark 2.2.2. Since $K \subset K'$ and $K' \subset N$ one has $K' = N$. A calculation shows that the lattice $K''$ for the Conway group $\text{Co}_0$ has index 2 in $K = K' = N$.

Corollary 2.7. The complex elliptic genus of a K3 surface can be given the structure of a virtual rational $M_{24}$-module which is compatible with the $H_i$-module structure for each of the eleven Mukai groups $H_i$ under restriction.

Proof. The coefficients of the elliptic genus of a K3 surface $X$ are of the form $\chi(X, E)$ where $E$ is a vector bundle associated to the tangent bundle of $X$. The $\chi(g; X, E)$ define a generalized rational $H_i$-character for each of the $H_i$ (see equation (4) in the final section). The result follows now from Theorem 2.4.

It was shown by Gannon [19], that the $M_{24}$-modules of Mathieu moonshine can be extended to virtual $\text{Co}_0$-modules. Thus in these cases it follows from Theorem 4.3 that the above mentioned $\mathbb{Z}_2$-obstructions in $N/K''$ vanish.
3. The elliptic genus of a K3 surface

We discuss properties of the elliptic genus related to the hyperkähler geometry of K3 surfaces using vertex algebras.

3.1. The complex elliptic genus and vertex algebras

Let $V$ be a vertex operator superalgebra, $M = \bigoplus M_n$ be a (twisted) $V$-module and $G$ be a subgroup of the automorphism group of $M$ such that

$$M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Irr}(G)} \lambda \otimes M_{\lambda},$$

as $G \times V^G$-module (i.e., the $M_{\lambda}$ are trivial $G$-modules) with finite-dimensional $\lambda$.

For a $G$-principal bundle $P$ over a closed manifold $X$ we have the associated bundle $\underline{M} = P \times_G M$ over $X$ which at every fiber has the structure of a vertex operator superalgebra module isomorphic to $M$. The grading of $M$ provides the decomposition $\underline{M} = \bigoplus_n \underline{M}_n q^n$ into finite-dimensional vector bundles over $X$, where we introduced the formal variable $q$. Let $W_{\lambda}$ be the complex vector bundle over $X$ associated to a finite-dimensional representation $\lambda$ of $G$. Then the decomposition (2) of $M$ leads to a decomposition

$$\underline{M} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Irr}(G)} W_{\lambda} \otimes \underline{M}_{\lambda},$$

where we consider $\underline{M}_\lambda$ as the trivial bundle $X \times M_{\lambda}$.

On a $d$-dimensional complex manifold $X$, one can consider the Dolbeault operator twisted by a holomorphic vector bundle $E$. The holomorphic Euler characteristic $\chi(X, E) = \sum_{q=0}^{d} (-1)^q \dim H^q(X, E)$ for the sheaf of holomorphic sections in $E$ equals the index of the Dolbeault operator and can be computed by the twisted Todd genus

$$\chi(X, E) = \text{Td}(X) \text{ch}(E)[X],$$

where $\text{Td}(X)$ is the total Todd class of $X$ and $\text{ch}(E)$ is the Chern character of $E$; [27]. Note that we use the same symbol $\chi(X, E)$ for the virtual vector space and its graded dimension. In the following, we assume that the bundles $\underline{W}_{\lambda}$ and hence $\underline{M}$ are holomorphic bundles.
We are interested in the twisted Todd genus
\[ \chi(X, M) = \sum_n \chi(X, M_n) q^n \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]. \]

**Theorem 3.1.** The Todd genus \( \chi(X, M) \) is the graded character of a natural virtual vertex operator superalgebra module for \( V^G \).

**Proof.** One has the following identity between virtual graded vector spaces:
\[ \chi(X, M) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi(X, W_\lambda \otimes M_\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi(X, W_\lambda) \otimes M_\lambda. \]

The first equality is trivial. The last equality needs some justification. We have the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma(X, A^q \otimes W_\lambda) \otimes M_\lambda & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(X, A^q \otimes W_\lambda \otimes M_\lambda) \\
\downarrow \partial^q_{W_\lambda} \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \partial^q_{W_\lambda \otimes M_\lambda} \\
\Gamma(X, A^{q+1} \otimes W_\lambda) \otimes M_\lambda & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(X, A^{q+1} \otimes W_\lambda \otimes M_\lambda),
\end{array}
\]

where \( A^q \) is the exterior bundle \( \Lambda^q T^*_C X \), \( \partial^q_E \) denotes the twisted Dolbeault operator with coefficients in a holomorphic bundle \( E \), and the horizontal arrows are isomorphisms induced by the constant sections in the trivial bundle \( M_\lambda \). A \( V^G \)-module structure on all spaces is obtained by using constant sections in the bundle \( V^G \) and the fiberwise action on the last tensor factor.

For a local holomorphic frame \( (\Phi_j) \) of a bundle \( E \) and a form \( \alpha \), the operator \( \partial^q_E \) is defined by \( \partial^q_E (\alpha \otimes \Phi_j) = (\partial^q \alpha) \otimes \Phi_j \). Thus we see that the above diagram is commutative in the sense of \( V^G \)-modules and we have the induced \( V^G \)-module maps on the level of cohomology. \qed

For the complex elliptic genus we will take
\[
V = \left( \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} + \frac{1}{2}} (\Lambda_{\lambda y q^n}(\mathbb{C}^*) \otimes \Lambda_{y^{-1} q^n} \mathbb{C})) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} S_q \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}) \right)^\otimes d
\]
and
\[
M = \left( \Lambda_{\lambda y}(\mathbb{C}^*) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} (\Lambda_{\lambda y q^n}(\mathbb{C}^*) \otimes \Lambda_{y^{-1} q^n} \mathbb{C})) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} S_q \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^*) \right)^\otimes d
\]
as vertex operator superalgebra and module, resp., for \( G \) we take \( GL(d, \mathbb{C}) < \text{Aut}(V) \) and for \( P \) we take the holomorphic \( GL(d, \mathbb{C}) \)-principal bundle associated to the tangent bundle of the complex manifold \( X \).

Thus \( \chi_y(q, LX) := (-y)^{-d/2} \chi(X, M) \) has the structure of a virtual \( V^{GL(d, \mathbb{C})} \)-module if we verify our assumptions on \( M \). If the structure group of \( P \) is reduced to a smaller subgroup \( G \) of \( GL(d, \mathbb{C}) \) — for example if \( X \) is a complex manifold with trivial canonical line bundle — \( \chi_y(q, LX) \) will be a virtual module for the larger vertex operator superalgebra \( V^G \supset V^{GL(d, \mathbb{C})} \).

**Remark 3.2.**

1. In a differential geometric setting, it was shown by Tamanoi [39, 40] that the level two elliptic genus of spin manifolds is a vertex operator algebra module over the vertex operator superalgebra formed by the parallel sections in a certain vertex superalgebra bundle \( V \) which can be identified with \( V^G \) where \( G \) is the holonomy group.

2. In [9], it was observed that the complex elliptic genus of complex manifolds is the graded dimension of a vertex algebra defined as the cohomology of the chiral de Rham complex [37]. It was shown in [37] that a global holomorphic volume form defines an \( \mathcal{N}=2 \) superconformal structure on the space of sections of the chiral de Rham complex and its cohomology. In [8], the authors considered hyperkähler manifolds and showed that the chiral de Rham complex has an \( \mathcal{N}=4 \) superconformal structure of central charge \( 6d \) where \( d \) is the complex dimension of the manifold. For the elliptic genus, this has also been investigated in the preprint [42]. In [24], it was shown that this superconformal structure can be decomposed into two commuting \( \mathcal{N}=4 \) superconformal structures of central charge \( 3d \). In both cases, the superconformal structures depend on the hyperkähler metric. It is not clear if these superconformal structures can be extended to a vertex algebra isomorphic to \( V^G \). For the character considerations in the present paper, it is sufficient to consider only the above established \( V^G \)-module structure for the elliptic genus.

Finally, assume that a compact group \( H \) acts on \( X \) by automorphisms compatible with the reduction of the structure group to \( G \). Then \( \chi_y(q, LX) \) is also a virtual \( H \)-module. More precisely, one has

\[
\chi(X, M) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi(X, W_\lambda) \otimes M_\lambda
\]
as \( H \times V^G \)-module. Thus for the computation of the equivariant elliptic genus \( \chi(g; X, M) \) for \( g \in H \), it is enough to know \( \chi(g; X, W_\lambda) \) for all \( \lambda \in \text{Irr}(G) \).

3.2. The algebra \( V^G \)

We restrict now to the case of a K3 surface so that the complex dimension is \( d = 2 \) and the structure group can be reduced to \( G = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \). We will describe the vertex algebra \( V \) and the fixed point subalgebra \( V^G = V^{\text{SU}(2)} \) in more detail.

The vertex algebra \( V \) is generated by fermionic fields \( b_1(z), c_1(z), b_2(z), c_2(z) \) and bosonic fields \( x_1(z), y_1(z), x_2(z), y_2(z) \) with non-regular operator products

\[
b_i(z)c_j(w) \sim \frac{\delta_{i,j}}{(z-w)}, \quad x_i(z)y_j(w) \sim \frac{\delta_{i,j}}{(z-w)^2}.
\]

The vectors \( b(z) = (b_1(z), b_2(z))^t \), \( x(z) = (x_1(z), x_2(z))^t \) carry the standard representation of \( \text{SU}(2) \), while \( c(z) = (c_1(z), c_2(z)) \), \( y(z) = (y_1(z), y_2(z)) \) carry its conjugate representation. Define the basic invariant fields

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{tr}_{n,m}(v, w) &= :\partial^n v_1(z)\partial^m w_1(z): + :\partial^n v_2(z)\partial^m w_2(z):, \\
\text{det}_{n,m}(v, w) &= :\partial^n v_1(z)\partial^m w_2(z): - :\partial^n v_2(z)\partial^m w_1(z):,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( v_i \) and \( w_j \) are the components of vectors \( v \) and \( w \) in \( \{b, c, x, y\} \).

**Lemma 3.3.** \( V^G \) is strongly generated by the basic invariant fields, i.e., \( \{\text{det}_{n,m}(b, b), \text{det}_{n,m}(b, x), \text{det}_{n,m}(x, x), \text{det}_{n,m}(c, c), \text{det}_{n,m}(c, y), \text{det}_{n,m}(y, y), \text{tr}_{n,m}(b, c), \text{tr}_{n,m}(b, y), \text{tr}_{n,m}(x, c), \text{tr}_{n,m}(x, y) \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\} \).

**Proof.** Weyl’s fundamental theorem of invariant theory for the fundamental representation of \( \text{SU}(N) \) [41] states that all invariants can be expressed in terms of the basic invariants, i.e., traces and determinants. Hence especially every element of \( V^G \) can be expressed as a normally ordered polynomial in above generators. \qed

**Lemma 3.4.** \( V^G \) contains the following subalgebras:

1. The simple affine vertex algebra \( L_1(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) \) of \( \mathfrak{sl}(2) \) of level one, generated by \( \text{tr}_{0,0}(b, c), \text{det}_{0,0}(b, b), \text{det}_{0,0}(c, c) \).

2. The super Virasoro algebra \( \text{Vir}_6 \) of central charge 6, generated by \( \text{tr}_{0,0}(x, y) + (\text{tr}_{1,0}(b, c) - \text{tr}_{0,1}(b, c))/2 \).
(3) The $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Virasoro algebra of central charge $6$, generated by $L_1(sl(2))$, $\text{Vir}_6$ and $\text{tr}_{0,0}(x,c)$, $\text{tr}_{0,0}(b,y)$, $\det_{0,0}(b,x)$, $\det_{0,0}(c,y)$.

Proof. These are well-known statements: $\text{tr}_{0,0}(b,c)$, $\det_{0,0}(b,b)$, $\det_{0,0}(c,c)$ generate a rank one lattice vertex algebra isomorphic to the simple affine vertex algebra $L_1(sl(2))$ of level one. The realization of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Virasoro algebra in terms of these free fields appeared in [2]. □

Remark 3.5. The universal $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Virasoro algebra at central charge $6$ is the quantum Hamiltonian reduction for a non-principal nilpotent element of the universal affine vertex superalgebra $V_{-2}(psl(2|2))$ of $psl(2|2)$ at level minus two. This is a non-generic position and hence the reduced algebra is not simple [29]. To our knowledge it is not proven whether the subalgebra of $V$ generated by the fields of point 3 of Lemma 3.4 describe the simple quotient of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Virasoro algebra at central charge 6.

Remark 3.6. The conformal dimension $\Delta$ with respect to the Virasoro field $\text{tr}_{0,0}(x,y) + (\text{tr}_{1,0}(b,c) - \text{tr}_{0,1}(b,c))/2$ are $\Delta(b_i) = \Delta(c_i) = 1/2$ and $\Delta(x_i) = \Delta(y_i) = 1$. So that the basic invariants have conformal dimension $\Delta(\text{tr}_{n,m}(v,w)) = \Delta(\det_{n,m}(v,w)) = n + m + \Delta(v) + \Delta(w)$.

We define the set

$$S = \{\det_{0,0}(b,b), \det_{0,0}(b,x), \det_{0,0}(c,c), \det_{0,0}(c,y), \text{tr}_{0,0}(b,c), \text{tr}_{0,0}(b,y),$$
$$\text{tr}_{0,0}(x,c), \text{tr}_{0,0}(x,y), \det_{1,0}(b,x), \det_{1,0}(x,x), \det_{1,0}(c,y), \det_{1,0}(y,y),$$
$$\text{tr}_{1,0}(b,c), \text{tr}_{1,0}(b,y), \text{tr}_{1,0}(x,c), \text{tr}_{0,0}(x,y)\}$$

of $16$ fields containing the eight strong generators of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Virasoro algebra and eight additional fields of conformal dimension $2, 5/2, 5/2, 5/2, 5/2, 3, 3, 3$.

Lemma 3.7. Every basic invariant is a normally ordered polynomial of the fields in $S$ and their derivatives.

Proof. The idea of the proof is as for Theorem 7.1 of [12], which uses [18]. It is well-known (see, e.g., Section 7 of [12]), that $\text{tr}_{0,0}(b,c)$ and $\text{tr}_{0,1}(b,c)$ strongly generate the simple $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$ algebra of central charge two. Especially, every $\text{tr}_{n,m}(b,c)$ is expressible as a normally ordered polynomial in $\text{tr}_{0,0}(b,c)$.
and \( \text{tr}_{0,1}(b, c) \) and their derivatives:

\[
\text{tr}_{n,m}(b, c) = P_{n,m}(\text{tr}_{0,0}(b, c), \text{tr}_{0,1}(b, c)).
\]

Let \( o_m \) denote the \( m \)th-order pole contribution in the operator product algebra. Then,

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{tr}_{0,0}(x, c) &\circ_1 \text{tr}_{0,n}(b, c) = \text{tr}_{0,n}(x, c), & \text{det}_{0,0}(b, x) &\circ_1 \text{tr}_{0,n}(x, c) = \text{det}_{0,n}(x, x), \\
\text{tr}_{0,0}(y, b) &\circ_1 \text{tr}_{n,0}(b, c) = -\text{tr}_{0,n}(y, b), & \text{det}_{0,0}(c, y) &\circ_1 \text{tr}_{0,n}(y, b) = \text{det}_{0,n}(y, y), \\
\text{det}_{0,0}(b, b) &\circ_1 \text{tr}_{n,0}(b, c) = -2\text{det}_{0,n}(b, b), & \text{det}_{0,0}(c, c) &\circ_1 \text{tr}_{0,n}(b, c) = 2\text{det}_{0,n}(c, c), \\
\text{det}_{0,0}(b, b) &\circ_1 \text{tr}_{0,n}(x, c) = -2\text{det}_{0,n}(x, b), & \text{det}_{0,0}(c, c) &\circ_1 \text{tr}_{0,n}(y, b) = -2\text{det}_{0,n}(y, c), \\
\text{tr}_{0,0}(x, c) &\circ_1 \text{tr}_{0,n}(y, b) = \text{tr}_{0,n}(y, x) + \text{tr}_{1,n}(c, b).
\end{align*}
\]

We consider the example of \( \text{tr}_{m,n}(x, c) \) and \( \text{det}_{m,n}(x, x) \). It follows from the above equation that

\[
\text{tr}_{0,n}(x, c) = \text{tr}_{0,0}(x, c) \circ_1 \text{tr}_{0,n}(b, c) = \text{tr}_{0,0}(x, c) \circ_1 P_{0,n}(\text{tr}_{0,0}(b, c), \text{tr}_{0,1}(b, c)) = Q_{0,n}(\text{tr}_{0,0}(b, c), \text{tr}_{0,0}(x, c), \text{tr}_{0,1}(b, c), \text{tr}_{0,1}(x, c))
\]

for some polynomial \( Q_{0,n} \) in \( \text{tr}_{0,0}(b, c), \text{tr}_{0,0}(x, c), \text{tr}_{0,1}(b, c), \text{tr}_{0,1}(x, c) \) and their derivatives. From this we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{det}_{0,n}(x, x) & = \text{det}_{0,0}(b, x) \circ_1 \text{tr}_{0,n}(x, c) \\
& = \text{det}_{0,0}(b, x) \circ_1 Q_{0,n}(\text{tr}_{0,0}(b, c), \text{tr}_{0,0}(x, c), \text{tr}_{0,1}(b, c), \text{tr}_{0,1}(x, c)) \\
& = R_{0,n}(\text{tr}_{0,0}(b, c), \text{tr}_{0,0}(x, c), \text{det}_{0,0}(b, x), \text{tr}_{0,1}(b, c), \text{tr}_{0,1}(x, c), \text{det}_{0,1}(b, x))
\end{align*}
\]

for some polynomial \( R_{0,n} \) in \( \text{tr}_{0,0}(b, c), \text{tr}_{0,0}(x, c), \text{det}_{0,0}(b, x), \text{tr}_{0,1}(b, c), \text{tr}_{0,1}(b, x) \) and their derivatives. The claim for \( \text{tr}_{m,n}(x, c) \) and \( \text{det}_{m,n}(x, x) \) follows by induction to \( N = n + m \), since every \( \text{tr}_{n,m}(v, w) \) (respectively, \( \text{det}_{n,m}(v, w) \)) is a linear combination of \( \text{tr}_{0,n+m}(v, w) \) (respectively, \( \text{det}_{0,n+m}(v, w) \)) and the derivatives of the \( \text{tr}_{n',m'}(v, w) \) (respectively, \( \text{det}_{n',m'}(v, w) \)) with \( n' + m' < n + m \).

The cases of the seven remaining types of basic invariants are proven in complete analogy.

We can thus characterize \( V^G \).

**Theorem 3.8.** \( V^G \) is strongly generated by the 16 fields of the set \( S \).

**Proof.** Lemma 3.3 says that every field of \( V^G \) is a normally ordered polynomial of the basic invariants and their derivatives, which in turn are by...
Lemma 3.7 themselves normally ordered polynomials in the fields of the set $S$ and their derivatives.

Going back to general dimension $d$ and the corresponding $V$ as at the end of the last subsection one has:

**Remark 3.9.**
- For $G = \text{SL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ the vertex superalgebra $V^G$ contains the $\mathcal{N}=2$ super Virasoro algebra of central charge $3d$ as subalgebra (special Kähler manifolds).
- For $G = \text{Sp}(d', \mathbb{C})$ the vertex superalgebra $V^G$ contains the $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Virasoro algebra of central charge $6d' = 3d$ as subalgebra (hyperkähler manifolds).

### 3.3. Characters for $V^G$-modules

In this section, we consider characters for the fixed point algebra $V^G$ for the case $G = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$.

We have the decompositions

$$V = \bigoplus_{N=0}^{\infty} \lambda_N \otimes V_{\lambda_N} \quad \text{and} \quad M = \bigoplus_{N=0}^{\infty} \lambda_N \otimes M_{\lambda_N},$$

where $\lambda_N$ is the $N + 1$-dimensional irreducible representation of $G$. The $V_{\lambda_N}$ are modules in the Neveu–Schwarz-sector of the vertex superalgebra $V^G$, while the $M_{\lambda_N}$ are in the so-called Ramond-sector. The two are related by an automorphism of the $\mathcal{N}=2$ super Virasoro algebra that is called spectral flow in physics.

**Proposition 3.10.** The $V^G$-modules $M_{\lambda_N}$ and $V_{\lambda_N}$ are irreducible.

**Proof.** The mode algebra of any vertex operator super algebra is associative, and $V$ and $M$ are simple $V$-modules so that the statement follows by Theorem 1.1 of [32] together with Remark 1.1 of [32].

A character of a module $W$ is defined as

$$\text{ch}_W(y; q) = \text{tr}_W(q^{L_0 - \frac{1}{2}} y^{J_0}),$$

where $L_0$ is the zero-mode of the Virasoro subalgebra and $J_0$ the zero-mode of the Heisenberg subalgebra. Between the characters of $V$ and $M$ one has the identity $\text{ch}_M(y; q) = q^{1/4} y \text{ch}_V(yq^{1/2}; q)$. In the case of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ super
Virasoro algebra, it is believed [17] that modules fall into a generic family of irreducible modules parameterized by conformal weight $h$, called typical or massive, with character

$$\text{ch}_h(y; q) = q^{h-3/8} \frac{\vartheta_3(y; q)^2}{\eta(q)^3}.$$  

For $h = 1/4$ the module is not irreducible and the character is given by the one of three atypical or massless modules

$$\text{ch}_{1/4}(y; q) = 2 \text{ch}_{1/4,0}(y; q) + \text{ch}_{1/4,1}(y; q)$$

with

$$\text{ch}_{1/4,0}(y; q) = \frac{\vartheta_3(y; q)}{\eta(q)^3} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} \frac{y^{\alpha+1/2} q^{\alpha(\alpha-1)/2}}{1 + yq^\alpha}.$$  

**Remark 3.11.** The massive modules and their characters have also been computed by Kac and Wakimoto [34] via quantum Hamiltonian reduction. However, irreducibility is not proven.

**Theorem 3.12.** The character $\text{ch}_N(y; q)$ decomposes into a sum of atypical (massless) characters $\text{ch}_N^a(y; q)$ and a sum of typical (massive characters) $\text{ch}_N^t(y; q)$ of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Virasoro algebra of central charge six, where

$$\text{ch}_N(y; q) = \text{ch}_N^a(y; q) + \text{ch}_N^t(y; q),$$

$$\text{ch}_N^a(y; q) = \frac{\vartheta_3(y; q)}{\eta(q)^3} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} \frac{y^{\alpha+1/2} q^{\alpha(\alpha-1)/2}}{1 + yq^\alpha} \times \begin{cases} -2 & \text{if } N = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } N = 1, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{ch}_N^t(y; q) = \frac{\vartheta_3(y; q)^2}{\eta(q)^3} \left( h_N(q) - 2h_{N+1}(q) + 2h_{N+3}(q) - h_{N+4}(q) \right),$$

$$h_{N+1}(q) = \frac{1}{\eta(q)^3} \sum_{m, r, s \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \atop r, s > 0} (-1)^{r+s+1} q^{r|m|+s|N-m|+(\text{sgn}(m)r+\text{sgn}(m-N)s)/2-N/2}.$$  

Before proving the theorem, we remark:

**Remark 3.13.** The Ramond-characters are immediately obtained via $\text{ch}_M_N(y; q) = q^{1/4} y \text{ch}_N(yq^{1/2}; q)$. Let $\text{ch}_h^R(y; q) = q^{h-3/8} \frac{\vartheta_2(yq)}{\eta(q)^3}$ be the
Ramond-character of conformal weight $h$ of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Virasoro algebra. Expanding the $h_N(q)$ one can explicitly compute the multiplicities of the modules, and the result is of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\text{ch}_{V_N}(y; q) &= \text{ch}_N^0(y; q) + \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}/4} \text{mult}(N, h) \text{ch}_N(y; q), \\
\text{ch}_{M_N}(y; q) &= \text{ch}_{R,a}^N(y; q) + \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}/4} \text{mult}(N, h) \text{ch}_{R}^h(y; q)
\end{align*}
$$

with the same multiplicities $\text{mult}(N, h)$ in the Ramond- and NS-sector. On the other hand, from the explicit form of $M$, it is clear that only states with conformal dimension in $\mathbb{Z} + 1/4$ appear implying $\text{mult}(N, h) \neq 0$ only if $h \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/4$.

**Proof.** The proof of this theorem uses the ideas of chapter 8 of [14], where meromorphic Jacobi forms have been decomposed into Appell–Lerch sums and holomorphic Jacobi forms; see also [7].

The graded character of $V$, graded by the natural Virasoro zero-mode $L_0$, by the charge $f$ of the group $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and by the zero-mode $J_0$ of the level one affine $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ subalgebra is for $0 < |q| < 1$ and $|q| < |z| < 1$

$$
\text{ch}_V(z, y; q) = \text{tr}_V(q^{L_0 - \frac{1}{2} z^f y^{J_0}})
= q^{-\frac{1}{4}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + z y q^{-n - \frac{1}{2}})(1 + z^{-1} y^{-1} q^{-n - \frac{1}{2}})(1 + z^{-1} y^{-1} q^n - \frac{1}{2})}{(1 - z q^n)^2 (1 - z^{-1} q^n)^2}
= \frac{\vartheta_3(y; q)^2}{\eta(q)^6} (1 - z^{-1})^2 \sum_{m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{z^{m + m'}}{(1 + y q^{m - \frac{1}{2}})(1 + y^{-1} q^{m' - \frac{1}{2}})}.
$$

Here, the last identity follows directly from the denominator identity of the affine Lie superalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(2|1)$ [33]. By defining

$$
g_N = \frac{\vartheta_3(y; q)}{\eta(q)^3} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(1 + y q^{m - \frac{1}{2}})(1 + y^{-1} q^{N - m - \frac{1}{2}})},
$$

the character decomposes as

$$
\text{ch}_V(z, y; q) = \frac{\vartheta_3(y; q)}{\eta(q)^3} \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} z^N (g_N - 2g_{N+1} + g_{N+2}).
$$
We need to compute the $g_N$ in order to determine the characters of $V^G$. For this consider the decomposition

$$\text{ch}_V(z, y; q) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \chi_N \cdot \text{ch}_{V_N}$$

of the character of $V$ where $\chi_N = \sum_{i=0}^{N} z^{-N+2i}$ is the character of $\lambda_N$ and $\text{ch}_{V_N}$ the character of $V_N$. Since the coefficient of $z^N$, $N \geq 0$, contains exactly the contributions for the representations $\lambda_N, \lambda_{N+2}, \ldots$, the character $\text{ch}_{V_N}$ equals the difference of the coefficients of $z^N$ and $z^{N+2}$, i.e., one has

$$\text{ch}_{V_N} = \frac{\vartheta_3(y; q)}{\eta(q)^3} (g_N - 2g_{N+1} + 2g_{N+3} - g_{N+4}).$$

In order to compute $g_N$ explicitly, we follow [14]. The elliptic transformation of $g_N(u; \tau)$ for $y = e^{2\pi i u}$, $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ is

$$g_N(u + m\tau + n; \tau) = y^{-m} q^{-m^2/2} g_N(u; \tau)$$

meaning that the $g_N$ have elliptic transformation properties as a Jacobi form of index $1/2$. We define the Fourier part of $g_N$ as follows: let

$$g_{u_0, \ell}^N = \frac{q^{-\ell^2/2}}{\eta(q)^3} \int_{u_0}^{u_0+1} g_N(u; \tau) y^{-\ell} du.$$ 

Then the elliptic transformation of $g_N$ implies

$$g_{u_0+\tau, \ell}^N = g_{u_0, \ell+1}^N$$

and hence $h_N = g_{N, \ell}^\tau$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, is independent of the choice of the integer $\ell$. Expanding $g_N$ as a power series in $q$ and $y$ allows to compute the integral for $h_N$. The result is

$$h_{N+1}(q) = \frac{1}{\eta(q)^3} \sum_{m, r, s \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} (-1)^{r+s+1} q^{r|m|+s|N-m|+(\text{sgn}(m)r+\text{sgn}(m-N)s)^2/2-N/2}.$$ 

The Fourier part of $g_N$ is now

$$g_N^F = \vartheta_3(y; \tau) h_N(\tau).$$
The advantage is that this immediately provides a decomposition of characters if \( g_N \) is holomorphic, as in that case \( g^F_N = g_N \). Looking at the definition of \( g_N \), we see that \( g_1 \) is meromorphic but not holomorphic, while for all \( N \neq 1 \) the \( g_N \) are holomorphic. In summary, we have shown

\[
\text{ch}_N = \frac{g_3(y; q)^2}{\eta(q)^3} (h_N - 2h_{N+1} + 2h_{N+3} - h_{N+4})
\]

for \( N \geq 2 \).

It remains to compute \( g_1 \). The poles of \( g_1(y; q) \) are at the points \((-q^{\alpha-1/2}; q)\) for integers \( \alpha \). Its (weighted) residues are related using the elliptic transformations as follows. Let \( C_\alpha \) be a small contour including only the pole at \( y = -q^{\alpha-1/2} \), then we have

\[
\text{Res}_{y=-q^{\alpha-1/2}} (g_1(y; q)y^{-\ell}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_\alpha} y^{-\ell} g_1(y; q) du
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_0} (yq^\alpha)^{-\ell} g_1(yq^\alpha; q) du
\]

\[
= (-1)^{\ell+\alpha} q^{1/2(\alpha+\ell-2\alpha\ell-\alpha^2)} \text{Res}_{y=-q^{-1/2}} (g_1(y; q))
\]

\[
= \frac{(-1)^{\ell+\alpha}}{2\pi i} q^{1/2(\alpha+\ell-2\alpha\ell-\alpha^2-1/4)}.
\]

We define the polar part of \( g_N \) as

\[
g^P_N = g_N - g^F_N.
\]

Of course \( g^P_N = 0 \) if \( N \neq 1 \). In order to compute \( g^P_1 \), we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 8.1 of [14]. Let \( \tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2 \) for \( \tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathbb{R} \) and define \( A \) by \( \text{Im}(u) = A\tau_2 \) and choose a contour as described in Theorem 8.1 of [14]. Further fix a point \( Q = A\tau + B \) for \( A, B \in \mathbb{R} \) so that \( g_1(e^{2\pi iQ}, q) \) is finite. Then

\[
g^P_1(y; q) = g_1(y; q) - g^F_1(y; q)
\]

\[
= \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} (g^{Q}_{1,\ell}(q) - h_1(q))q^{\ell^2/2}y^\ell
\]

\[
= 2\pi i \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2} \frac{\text{sgn}(\alpha - A) - \text{sgn}(\alpha + \ell)}{2} \text{Res}_{y=-q^\alpha} (g_1(y; q)y^{-\ell}) y^\ell
\]
We finally list explicit decompositions of the first few $V^G$-module characters into the first few $\mathcal{N}=4$ characters in Table 2.

### 3.4. Implications for the elliptic genus of a K3 surface

We can apply now the discussion of the previous subsections to the case of K3 surfaces.

Let $V$ be the vertex algebra studied in Section 3.2 and let $G=\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$.

**Corollary 3.14.** The elliptic genus of a K3 surface is the graded character of a natural virtual vertex operator algebra module for $V^G$ and its $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Virasoro vertex subalgebra.
Proof. A K3 surface has a trivial canonical line bundle. This allows us to reduce the structure group of the holomorphic tangent bundle from \( GL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) to \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \). As discussed in Section 3.2, the vertex algebra \( V \) and the \( V \)-module \( M \) can be decomposed into direct sums of \( V^G \)-modules. Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.4 part (3), together with the description of the elliptic genus as twisted Todd genus give now the stated virtual vertex algebra module structures. 

For the explicit decomposition of the elliptic genus into characters of \( V^G \) and the \( \mathcal{N}=4 \) super Virasoro vertex algebra, one has to compute the holomorphic Euler characteristics \( \chi(X, \lambda_n) \) where \( \lambda_n \) is the \((n+1)\)-dimensional irreducible representation of \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \). This will be done in the final section.

4. The elliptic genus and Mathieu moonshine

In Section 2, we have seen that the elliptic genus of a K3 surface can be given the structure of a virtual \( M_{24} \)-module extending the virtual \( H \)-module structures for symplectic automorphism groups \( H \).

In Section 3, it was shown that the Calabi–Yau structure of K3 surfaces leads to a \( V^{SU(2)} \)-module structure for its elliptic genus. This implies in particular that there is a decomposition of the elliptic genus into characters of the \( \mathcal{N}=4 \) super Virasoro vertex operator algebra compatible with the above virtual \( M_{24} \)-module structures.

Somewhat disappointingly, we will show in this section that the resulting virtual \( M_{24} \times V^G \)-module structures cannot be made compatible with the predicted McKay–Thompson series of Mathieu moonshine.

4.1. Rational Mathieu moonshine

The coefficients of the complex elliptic genus of a complex manifold are the holomorphic Euler characteristics of certain vector bundles associated to the tangent bundle of the manifold. In the case of a K3 surface \( X \), the structure group of the principal bundle corresponding to the tangent bundle can be reduced to \( SU(2) \). Every complex vector bundle associated to the tangent bundle of \( X \) is as a differentiable vector bundle isomorphic to a direct sum of vector bundles associated to irreducible representations of \( SU(2) \). The irreducible representations of \( SU(2) \) are exactly the symmetric powers of the two-dimensional defining representation of \( SU(2) \).
We collect all the symmetric powers of the tangent bundle $T$ of $X$ in the generating series $S_t T = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} S^n T \cdot t^n$ and investigate the expression

$$\chi(X, S_t T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi(X, S^n T) \cdot t^n \in \mathbb{Z}[t].$$

Let $E$ be a holomorphic vector bundle on a complex manifold $X$. Recall from Section 3.1 that by the Riemann–Roch theorem one has

$$\chi(X, E) = Td(X) \text{ch}(E)[X].$$

For the symmetric powers of the tangent bundle we get

$$\text{ch}(S_t T) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{1 - e^{x_i t}}^{-1}$$

where the $x_i$ are the formal roots of the total Chern class of $T$ and $d$ is the complex dimension of $X$. Thus $\chi(X, S_t T)$ is the complex genus for the characteristic power series

$$Q(x) = \frac{x}{1 - e^{-x}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - e^{x t}} = \frac{1}{1 - t} \left( 1 - \frac{1 + t}{2(t - 1)} x + \frac{1 + 10t + t^2}{12(t - 1)^2} x^2 + \cdots \right)$$

in the sense of [27].

For $X$ a K3 surface, the only non-vanishing Chern number is $c_2[X] = 24$ which equals the Euler characteristic of $X$. Using the methods developed in [27], we easily obtain

$$r_{1A} := \chi(X, S_t T) = \frac{2 - 28t + 2t^2}{(t - 1)^4} = 2 - 20t - 90t^2 - 232t^3 - 470t^4 - 828t^5 - 1330t^6 + \cdots .$$

Given a non-trivial symplectic automorphism $g$ of $X$, we can compute the equivariant genus $r_g(t) = \chi(g; X, S_t T)$ using the information from Table 1 and applying the equivariant Riemann–Roch [1] formula:

$$\chi(g; X, S_t T) = \sum_{p_i} \frac{1}{(1 - \lambda_i)(1 - \lambda_i^{-1})} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 - \lambda_i^{-1})t(1 - \lambda_i t)}.$$ 

Here, $(\lambda_i, \lambda_i^{-1})$ are the eigenvalues of $g$ acting on the tangent space of the isolated fixed point $p_i$. 

Mathieu moonshine and the geometry of K3 surfaces 315
We recall from Section 2 that a finite symplectic automorphism $g$ of a K3 surface can be considered as an element of $M_{23}$ or $M_{24}$ via Mukai’s theorem. Up to taking inverses, the associated conjugacy class in $M_{23}$ or $M_{24}$ depends only on the order of $g$. For the so arising non-trivial conjugacy classes of $M_{23}$ one obtains the following seven rational functions:

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_{2A} &= \frac{2}{\Phi_2(t)^2}, \\
    r_{3A} &= \frac{2}{\Phi_3(t)}, \\
    r_{4A} &= \frac{2}{\Phi_4(t)}, \\
    r_{5A} &= \frac{2 + 2t + 2t^2}{\Phi_5(t)}, \\
    r_{6A} &= \frac{2}{\Phi_6(t)}, \\
    r_{7AB} &= \frac{2(1 + t^4) + 3(t + t^3) + 4t^2}{\Phi_7(t)}, \\
    r_{8A} &= \frac{2 + 2t + 2t^2}{\Phi_8(t)}.
\end{align*}
\]

Here, $\Phi_n(t)$ denotes the $n$th cyclotomic polynomial of degree $\varphi(n)$.

We like to make $\chi(X,S_tT)$ into a graded virtual rational $M_{23}$- or $M_{24}$-module with characters $r_{1A}, r_{2A}, \ldots, r_{7AB}, r_{8A}$ as above. By Theorem 2.4, we know that this is always possible. For a rational $M_{23}$-module structure, we have to provide formulas for $r_g = \text{tr}(g|\chi(X,S_tT))$ for $g$ belonging to a conjugacy class of $M_{23}$ of the four remaining types $11AB, 14AB, 15AB$ and $23AB$. We note that for the other eight types of conjugacy classes $[g]$ the rational function $r_g(t)$ has the form $\frac{P(t)}{Q(t)}$ where $Q(t)$ is a power of the $n$th cyclotomic polynomial with $n$ the order of $g$ and $P(t)$ is a palindromic polynomial of degree two less than the degree of $Q(t)$. By requiring that the resulting rational functions have the same structure as for the previous eight classes, we found the following (non-uniquely determined) expressions:

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_{11AB} &= \frac{2(1 + t^8) + 4(t + t^7) + 2(t^2 + t^6) + (t^3 + t^5) + 4t^4}{\Phi_{11}(t)}, \\
    r_{14AB} &= \frac{2(1 + t^4) + (t + t^3) - 2t^2}{\Phi_{14}(t)}.
\end{align*}
\]


The general formula

\[ r_{NA} = m(N) \sum_{n \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*} \frac{1}{(1 - e^{2\pi i n/N})(1 - e^{-2\pi i n/N})} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2\pi i n/N} t)(1 - e^{2\pi i n/N} t)} \]

for \( N \leq 8 \) where the constant \( m(N) \) is chosen such that \( r_{NA} = 2 + O(t) \) cannot be used for \( N > 8 \) although it has the correct shape since the \( t \)-expansion contains non-integral coefficients.

The character table of \( M_{23} \) allows us to compute the corresponding multiplicities \( m_\chi(t) \) of the irreducible \( M_{23} \)-modules in \( \chi(X, S_T) \). The resulting rational functions in \( t \) have integral coefficients, i.e., \( \chi(X, S_T) \) becomes by taking the above characters \( r_{11AB}, r_{14AB}, r_{15AB} \) and \( r_{23AB} \) indeed a virtual \( M_{23} \)-module. For example, for the multiplicity of the trivial character one has \( m_\chi^1(t) = \frac{P(t)}{Q(t)} \) with \( P(t) \) a polynomial of degree 70 and \( Q(t) \) a product of cyclotomic polynomials of total degree 72.

The partial fraction decompositions of the multiplicity functions \( m_\chi(t) \) contain for all \( M_{23} \)-characters \( \chi \) a dominating term \( \frac{c_\chi}{(t-1)^2} \) for \( t \to 1 \) with \( c_\chi < 0 \); for example, \( m_\chi^1 = -\frac{1}{425940} \). Thus for large enough \( n \), the virtual \( M_{23} \)-modules \( -\chi(X, S^n T) \) are actual all honest \( M_{23} \)-modules. We have not been able to make the \(-\chi(X, S^n T)\) simultaneously for all \( n \) into honest \( M_{23} \)-modules under the assumptions made. More precisely, by taking the characters for elements of order 1 to 8 to zero but by allowing arbitrary values for the elements of order 11, 14, 15 and 23, one is able to add integral linear combinations of four virtual rational \( M_{23} \)-modules \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_4 \). The following table describes the decomposition into irreducibles:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Irred.</th>
<th>( \chi_1 )</th>
<th>( \chi_2 )</th>
<th>( \chi_3 )</th>
<th>( \chi_4 )</th>
<th>( \chi_5 )</th>
<th>( \chi_6 )</th>
<th>( \chi_7 )</th>
<th>( \chi_8 )</th>
<th>( \chi_9 )</th>
<th>( \chi_{10} )</th>
<th>( \chi_{11} )</th>
<th>( \chi_{12} )</th>
<th>( \chi_{13} )</th>
<th>( \chi_{14} )</th>
<th>( \chi_{15} )</th>
<th>( \chi_{16} )</th>
<th>( \chi_{17} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_1 )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_2 )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_3 )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_4 )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Decomposition of $-\chi(X, S_tT)$ into irreducible $M_{23}$-modules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>$\chi_1$</th>
<th>$\chi_2$</th>
<th>$\chi_3$</th>
<th>$\chi_4$</th>
<th>$\chi_5$</th>
<th>$\chi_6$</th>
<th>$\chi_7$</th>
<th>$\chi_8$</th>
<th>$\chi_9$</th>
<th>$\chi_{10}$</th>
<th>$\chi_{11}$</th>
<th>$\chi_{12}$</th>
<th>$\chi_{13}$</th>
<th>$\chi_{14}$</th>
<th>$\chi_{15}$</th>
<th>$\chi_{16}$</th>
<th>$\chi_{17}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$-2$</td>
<td>$-2$</td>
<td>$-2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$-2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-2$</td>
<td>$-2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$-2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$-2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We list the decomposition of $-\chi(X, S_tT)$ into irreducible virtual $M_{23}$-modules for the first 21 terms in Table 3.

We expect that our rational $M_{23}$ moonshine extends to a rational moonshine for $M_{24}$ or even $Co_0$. However, because of the non-uniqueness in choosing additional characters and the incompatibility with Mathieu moonshine discussed in the next subsection, we do not investigate this further.

4.2. Comparing rational and Mathieu moonshine

We will compare the virtual $M_{23}$-modules structures for the holomorphic Euler characteristics $\chi(X, S^nT)$ as in the previous subsection with Mathieu moonshine.

First, we have to verify that for symplectic automorphisms on a K3 surface the equivariant elliptic genus coincides with the prediction of Mathieu moonshine as in [15, 21].
Recall the definition of the $\chi_y$-genus for a $d$-dimensional complex manifold $X$ and a holomorphic vector bundle $E$

$$\chi_y(X, E) = \sum_{p=0}^{d} \chi(X, \Lambda^p T^* \otimes E) y^p.$$ 

For a compact Lie group $H$ acting on a complex manifold $X$ by automorphisms, the equivariant elliptic genus

$$\chi_y(g; q, LX) := (-y)^{-d/2} \chi_y\left(g; X, \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{yq^n} T^* \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{y^{-1}q^n} T \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{q^n}(T^* \oplus T)\right)$$

is an element in $R(H)[y, y^{-1}][[q]]$ and can also be interpreted for fixed $g \in H$ of finite order as a Jacobi form for a certain congruence subgroup. The holomorphic Lefschetz fixed point formula \cite{1} allows to determine the equivariant elliptic genus from information on the fixed point set and the action on its normal bundle.

For a K3 surface, we can use Table 1 to compute the equivariant elliptic genus for finite symplectic automorphisms similar as done for $\chi(g; X, S_1 T)$ in the previous subsection.

Let $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$, $y = e^{2\pi i u}$ for $\tau$ in the upper half of the complex plane, and $u \in \mathbb{C}$, and consider the following quotient of a standard Jacobi theta function and Dedekind’s eta function

$$\varphi(u; \tau) := \vartheta_1(u; \tau) \eta(\tau)^{-3}$$

$$= -i(y^{1/2} - y^{-1/2}) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - y^n)(1 - y^{-1}q^n)(1 - q^n)^{-2}.$$ 

It has the following modular and elliptic transformation properties:

$$\varphi\left(\frac{u}{ct+d}; \frac{a\tau+b}{ct+d}\right) = (c\tau+d)^{-1} e^{\frac{\pi i au^2}{2\tau} + d} \varphi(u; \tau), \quad \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array}\right) \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}),$$

$$\varphi(u + \lambda \tau + \mu; \tau) = e^{-\pi i(\lambda^2 \tau + 2\lambda u)} (-1)^{\lambda+\mu} \varphi(u; \tau), \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}.$$ 

### Definition 4.1.
A weak Jacobi form $J$ of weight $k$ and index $m$ for some subgroup of $\Gamma \subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ with no poles
at the cusp $q = 0$, satisfying
\[
J \left( \frac{u}{ct + d}; \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right) = (c\tau + d)^k e^{\frac{2\pi i m}{c\tau + d}} J(u; \tau), \quad \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in \Gamma \subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}),
\]
where $c\tau + d \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{N}$.

Lemma 4.2. For a non-trivial finite symplectic automorphism $g$ of a K3 surface $X$, the equivariant elliptic genus $\chi_{-g}(g; q, L_\infty)$ is a weak Jacobi form for $\Gamma_0(\text{ord}(g))$ of weight zero and index one.

Proof. Let $(\lambda_i, \lambda_i^{-1})$ be the eigenvalues of $g$ acting on the tangent space of a fixed point $p_i$. The fixed point formula gives
\[
\chi_{-g}(g; q, L_\infty) = \sum_{p_i} (-y)^{-1} \frac{(1 + y\lambda_i)(1 + y\lambda_i^{-1})}{(1 - \lambda_i)(1 - \lambda_i^{-1})} \times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + yq^n\lambda_i)(1 + y^{-1}q^n\lambda_i^{-1})(1 + yq^n\lambda_i^{-1})(1 + y^{-1}q^n\lambda_i)}{(1 - q^n\lambda_i)(1 - q^n\lambda_i^{-1})^2}.
\]

Let $N = \text{ord}(g)$ and define multiplicities $m(N)$ by $m(2) = 8$, $m(3) = 3$, $m(4) = 2$, $m(5) = m(6) = 1$ and $m(7) = m(8) = 1/2$. Then comparing with Table 1, we see that
\[
\chi_{-g}(g; q, L_\infty) = m(N) \sum_{n \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*} \frac{\varphi(u + \frac{n}{N}; \tau)}{\varphi(\frac{u}{N}; \tau)} \frac{\varphi(u - \frac{n}{N}; \tau)}{\varphi(\frac{u}{N}; \tau)} \varphi(\frac{n}{N}; \tau).
\]

Let $\left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right)$ be in $\Gamma_0(N)$, that means $c \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$, and it follows that $d \pmod{N}$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Define $u_\pm = u \pm (c\tau + d)n/N$ and $v_\pm = \pm (c\tau + d)n/N$. Then
\[
\frac{\varphi \left( \frac{u}{c\tau + d} + \frac{n}{N}; \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right)}{\varphi \left( \frac{n}{N}; \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right)} \frac{\varphi \left( \frac{u}{c\tau + d} - \frac{n}{N}; \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right)}{\varphi \left( -\frac{n}{N}; \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right)} = \frac{\varphi \left( \frac{u_+}{c\tau + d}; \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right)}{\varphi \left( \frac{u_-}{c\tau + d}; \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right)} = e^{\frac{2\pi i n^2}{c\tau + d}} \frac{\varphi \left( \frac{u_+}{N}; \tau \right) \varphi \left( \frac{u_-}{N}; \tau \right)}{\varphi \left( \frac{u_+}{N}; \tau \right) \varphi \left( \frac{u_-}{N}; \tau \right)},
\]
where the second equality follows from the modular properties of $\varphi$, and the third one from the elliptic ones. Note that contributions from numerator and denominator lead to various cancelations. Inserting this identity in the expression for the equivariant elliptic genus proves the modular transformation property. Its elliptic transformation property follows immediately from the elliptic properties of $\varphi$.

Now we can easily show:

**Theorem 4.3.** For a non-trivial finite symplectic automorphism $g$ acting on a K3 surface $X$ the equivariant elliptic genus and the twining character determined by the McKay–Thompson series of Mathieu moonshine agree, i.e., one has

$$\chi_{-y}(g; q, \mathcal{L}X) = \frac{e(g)}{12} \phi_{0,1} + f_g \phi_{-2,1},$$

where $e(g)$ is the character of the 24-dimensional permutation representation of $M_{24}$ and $f_g$ is the modular form as in equation (1).

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.2, the $g$-equivariant elliptic genus is a weak Jacobi form of weight zero and index one for $\Gamma_0(N)$ with $N = \text{ord}(g)$. By a result of Aoki and Ibukiyama [3], every such Jacobi form is a linear combination of $\phi_{0,1}$ and $h_N \phi_{-2,1}$, where the $\phi_{m,1}$ are weak Jacobi forms of weight $m$ and index 1, and $h_N$ is a modular form of weight 2 for $\Gamma_0(N)$. For $y = -1$, the equivariant elliptic genus specializes to the equivariant Euler characteristic $e(g; X)$ of $X$ (cf. [28]) whereas $\phi_{0,1}$ and $\phi_{-2,1}$ have the values 12 and 0, respectively. One checks that $e(g; X) = e(g)$. The space of modular forms of weight 2 for $\Gamma_0(N)$ is a finite-dimensional vector space of dimension at most 3. By considering a base one sees that comparing the first three coefficients of $h_N$ with $f_g$ is completing the proof.

**Remark 4.4.** It was observed by several authors (e.g., [10]) that the McKay–Thompson series of the Mathieu moonshine observation for those elements $g \in M_{24}$ which can be realized by symplectic group actions on a K3 surface are identical to the equivariant elliptic genus. However, the elliptic genus there is understood as the elliptic genus of an $\mathcal{N} = (4,4)$ super conformal field theory and not in the sense of the topological defined elliptic genus of a manifold as in the present paper. Without rigorous definition of $\mathcal{N} = (4,4)$ super conformal field theories it is not obvious that both definitions are the same.
The sum decompositions of the complex genus of a K3 surface into characters of modules of the holonomy subalgebra and the $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Virasoro algebra

$$
\chi_{-y}(q, LX) = (-y)^{-d/2} \chi_y \left( X, \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_y q^n T^* \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_y^{-1} q^n T \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} S_q^n (T^* \oplus T) \right)
$$

as discussed in Section 3 allows to express the coefficients $A_n$ in terms of Todd genera twisted by symmetric powers. This is easily achieved for small $n$ with the help of Theorem 3.12. Alternatively, one can use the identities $S^n T = S^n (T^*), \Lambda_t T = S^0 T \oplus S^1 T t \oplus S^0 T t^2$, the Clebsch–Gordan rule $S^i T \otimes S^j T = S^{|i-j|} T \oplus S^{|i-j|+2} T \oplus \cdots \oplus S^{i+j} T$ and the explicit formulas for the $\mathcal{N}=4$ characters $\chi_T = 1/4, l=0$ and $\chi_T = 1/4 + n$.

The first few coefficients are given by

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n q^n = -\chi(X, \mathbb{C}) - \chi(X, S^2 T) q - \chi(X, \mathbb{C} \oplus 2S^3 T) q^2
$$

$$
- \chi(X, 2T \oplus S^2 T \oplus 3S^4 T) q^3
$$

$$
- \chi(X, \mathbb{C} \oplus 2T \oplus 3S^2 T \oplus 2S^3 T \oplus S^4 T \oplus 4S^5 T) q^4 + \cdots .
$$

Here we wrote shortly $nF$ for the $n$-fold direct sum vector bundle $F^{\oplus n}$.

According to Mathieu moonshine, the $A_n$ can be considered as the dimensions of virtual $M_{24}$-modules $K_n$ (actually honest ones for $n > 0$) with explicit given McKay–Thompson series $\Sigma_g(q) = q^{-1/8} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Tr}(g|K_n) q^n$.

We like to investigate if Mathieu moonshine which uses the characters for the $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Virasoro algebra can be extended to a rational $M_{24}$-moonshine for the symmetric powers by using the characters for the holonomy invariant subalgebra. In this case, the series $r_g(t) = \chi(g; X, S_t T)$ can be computed recursively by the above formula given $\Sigma_g$ and $\chi(g; X, T)$. The coefficient 24 of $\chi_{h=1/4, l=0}$ in equation (5) is the Euler characteristic of the K3 surface. As $M_{24}$-character it is interpreted in Mathieu moonshine as the permutation character $1 + 23$ of $M_{24}$ for its natural action on 24 elements. This implies that $\chi(g; X, T)$ equals the virtual $M_{24}$-character $3 \cdot 1 - 23$. 
From Theorem 4.3 it follows that for the $M_{23}$ conjugacy classes $[g] \in \{1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, 6A, 7AB, 8A\}$ we get the rational functions already listed in Section 4.1. We checked this for the first ten coefficients.

For the remaining classes $\{11AB, 14AB, 15AB, 23AB\}$ we get

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_{11AB} &= 2 + 2t - 2t^2 - t^3 - \frac{2}{3} t^4 - \cdots, \\
    r_{14AB} &= 2 + 3t - t^2 - t^3 - \frac{5}{3} t^4 - \cdots, \\
    r_{15AB} &= 2 + 3t - \frac{1}{2} t^3 - 2t^4 - \cdots, \\
    r_{23AB} &= 2 + 3t + 2t^2 - 2t^3 - \frac{7}{3} t^4 - \cdots.
\end{align*}
\]

Since in each case either the coefficient of $t^3$ or of $t^4$ is not integral, we conclude that $\chi(X, S_tT)$ cannot have the structure of a virtual $M_{23}$- or $M_{24}$-module compatible with the prediction of Mathieu moonshine.

This conclusion even holds if we do not assume that $\chi(g; X, T) = \alpha$ is the virtual $M_{24}$-character $3 \cdot \frac{1}{23}$. For example one has

\[
    r_{15AB} = 2 + \alpha t - \frac{1}{2} t^3 - \frac{2\alpha}{3} t^4 - \frac{3 + 4\alpha}{12} t^5 + \cdots
\]

and so $\alpha$ and the coefficient of $t^5$ cannot simultaneously be integral.

We also could not find simple rational expressions for those $r_g$. However, for the two $M_{24}$ conjugacy classes $2B$ and $4A$ we found the following formulas (verified up to order 20):

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_{2B(M_{24})} &= \frac{2 + 8t + 2t^2}{\Phi_2(t)^2 \Phi_4(t)}, \\
    r_{4A(M_{24})} &= \frac{2(1 + t^5) + 6(t + t^4) + 12(t^2 + t^3)}{\Phi_2(t) \Phi_4(t) \Phi_8(t)}.
\end{align*}
\]

For the remaining conjugacy classes of $M_{24}$ not contained in $M_{23}$ we could again not find such expressions. Also, the $r_g$ contain always non-integral coefficients in those cases.

Combining this with the results of Section 3, we conclude that the vertex algebra structure arising from the hyperkähler geometry of K3 surfaces can explain the $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Virasoro module structure of the elliptic genus, and it can explain the virtual $M_{24}$-module structure. However, there is no $M_{24}$-moonshine for the decomposition of $\chi_g(q, L_X)$ into characters of $V^G$-modules compatible with the prediction of Mathieu moonshine.
Remark 4.5. Call the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Virasoro algebra $\text{Vir}^{\mathcal{N} = 4}$. It is natural to ask if the virtual $H_i \times \text{Vir}^{\mathcal{N} = 4}$-module structure of $\chi_y(q, \mathcal{L}X)$ can be extended to a $M_{24} \times \text{Vir}^{\mathcal{N} = 4}$-module structure. On the level of characters this is the case due to Theorems 4.3 and 1.1.

One can also ask if the McKay–Thompson series of Mathieu moonshine can be modified to make them compatible with the $V^G$-module structure of the elliptic genus. Our $r_g$ for $g$ of type $11\text{AB}$, $14\text{AB}$, $15\text{AB}$, $23\text{AB}$ cannot be described by weight 2 modular forms $h_g$ for $\Gamma_0(n)$, $n = \text{ord}(g)$, in the form explained in the proof of Theorem 4.3. It is however at least sometimes possible to obtain rational expressions for $r_g$ for certain modular forms $h_g \in M_2(\Gamma_0(n))$, for example, one can realize

$$\tilde{r}_{11\text{AB}} = \frac{2(1 + t^8) + 4(t + t^7) + \frac{32}{5}(t^2 + t^6) + \frac{38}{5}(t^3 + t^5) + \frac{42}{5}t^4}{\Phi_{11}(t)}.$$ 

This gives however not a $M_{23}$- or $M_{24}$-character.

The McKay–Thompson series for a set of modular forms $f_g \in M_2(\Gamma_0(N))$ for $g$ a conjugacy class in $M_{24}$ describe a graded virtual $M_{24}$-modules if the coefficients of the $f_g$ satisfy certain divisibility conditions which in turn can easily be described by the integral structure of $M_2(\Gamma_0(N))$; [19]. In particular, the condition to define a graded virtual $M_{24}$-module alone does not determine the $f_g$ uniquely.

We recall some arguments why the predicted McKay–Thompson series of Mathieu moonshine as in [15, 21] should be the correct choice: the first is that the $K_n$ for $n > 0$ are indeed honest $M_{24}$-modules which decompose for the first few $n$ into irreducibles with very small multiplicity (in fact only one of two irreducibles are present for $1 \leq n \leq 5$). Secondly, Gaberdiel et al. determined in [22] the expected structure of symplectic-like symmetry groups of $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ super conformal field theories. This allows us to realize additional (but not all!) conjugacy classes of $M_{24}$ as symmetries of such conformal field theories. For some of those they showed that the equivariant elliptic genus in the sense of conformal field theory equals the one predicted by Mathieu moonshine. Finally, Cheng and Duncan [11] gave a description of the corresponding Mock modular forms in terms of Rademacher sums (thought as an analogy of the genus zero property of the McKay–Thompson series of Monstrous moonshine) distinguishing the chosen $f_g$ of Mathieu moonshine.

We conclude with the remark that a refined geometric approach should consider group actions on K3 surfaces with generalized Calabi–Yau structures ([30]), the corresponding generalization of the chiral De Rham
complex \cite{25} and the additional super Virasoro module structures coming from generalized Calabi–Yau metric structures \cite{26}. However, it may be too much to expect that this approach alone can explain Mathieu moonshine.
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