A $D_5$ elliptic fibration is a fibration whose generic fiber is modeled by the complete intersection of two quadric surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^3$. They provide simple examples of elliptic fibrations admitting a rich spectrum of singular fibers (not all on the list of Kodaira) without introducing singularities in the total space of the fibration, thus avoiding a discussion of their resolutions. We study systematically the fiber geometry of such fibrations using Segre symbols and compute several topological invariants.

We present for the first time Sen’s (orientifold) limits for $D_5$ elliptic fibrations. These orientifolds limits describe different weak coupling limits of F-theory to type IIB string theory giving a system of three brane-image-brane pairs in presence of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orientifold. The orientifold theory is mathematically described by the double cover the base of the elliptic fibration. Such orientifold theories are characterized by a transition from a semi-stable singular fiber to an unstable one. In this paper, we describe the first example of a weak coupling limit in F-theory characterized by a transition to a non-Kodaira (and non-ADE) fiber. Inspired by string dualities, we obtain non-trivial topological relations connecting the elliptic fibration and the different loci that appear in its weak coupling limit. Mathematically, these are very surprising relations which relate the total Chern class of the $D_5$ elliptic fibration and those of different loci that naturally appear in the weak coupling limit. We work over bases of arbitrary dimension and our results are independent of any Calabi-Yau hypothesis.
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1. Introduction and summary

1.1. F-theory and type IIB string theory

Calabi-Yau varieties were first introduced in compactification of string theory to geometrically engineer $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry in four spacetime dimensions [14, 45]. The best understood configurations are perturbative in nature and have a constant value of the axio-dilaton field. The axio-dilaton field is a complex scalar particle $\tau = C_0 + ie^{-\phi}$ ($i^2 = -1$), where the axion $C_0$ is the Ramond-Ramond zero-form of the $D(-1)$-brane (the D-instanton) while the dilaton $\phi$ determines the string coupling $g_s$ via its exponential $g_s = e^\phi$. Due to the positivity of the string coupling, the axio-dilaton resides exclusively in the complex upper half-plane. In type IIB string theory, the S-duality group is the modular group $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ under which the axio-dilaton field $\tau$ transforms as the period modulus of an elliptic curve $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau \mathbb{Z})$. 
F-theory [8, 34, 35, 48] provides a description of compactifications of type IIB string theory on non-Calabi-Yau varieties $B$ endowed with a varying axio-dilaton field. The power of F-theory is that it elegantly encapsulates non-perturbative aspects of type IIB string theory compactified on a space $B$ using the mathematics of elliptic fibrations over $B$ to describe the variation of the axio-dilaton field and the action of S-duality. As such, type IIB string theory compactified on a space $B$ with a varying axio-dilaton is geometrically engineered in F-theory by an elliptically fibered space $\varphi : Y \to B$. When the base of the fibration is of complex dimension $d$, it corresponds to a compactification of $(10 - 2d)$ real dimensional space-time $\mathcal{M}_{10-2d}$. The most common cases studied in the literature are compactifications to six and four spacetime dimensions and they are described respectively in F-theory by elliptic threefolds and fourfolds.

The non-vanishing first Chern class of the compact space $B$ is balanced by the presence of $(p, q)$ 7-branes\(^1\) wrapping non-trivial divisors of $B$ so that supersymmetry is preserved after the compactification. The presence of $(p, q)$ 7-branes induces non-trivial $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ monodromies of the axio-dilaton field for which 7-branes are magnetic sources. Although the compactification space $B$ seen by type IIB is not Calabi-Yau, the total space $\bar{Y}$ of the elliptic fibration $\varphi : Y \to B$ is required to be Calabi-Yau [48]. This is most naturally seen using the M-theory picture of F-theory\(^2\). From the type IIB perspective, we would also like the fibration to admit a section $s : B \to Y$

---

\(^1\) A $(p, q)$ 7-brane is a dynamical brane extended in seven space dimensions and characterized by the fact that $(p, q)$ strings (bounds states of $p$ fundamental strings and $q$ D1 branes with $p$ and $q$ relatively prime integer numbers) can end on it. A $(1, 0)$ 7-brane is the usual D7-brane of perturbative string theory while the other $(p, q)$ 7-branes are non-perturbative solitonic branes that can be obtained from a D7-brane by the action of S-duality, which in type IIB is the modular group $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

\(^2\) M-theory compactified on an elliptic fibration $\varphi : Y \to B$ to a spacetime $\mathcal{M}_{9-2d}$ is dual to type IIB compactified on the base $B$ of the elliptic fibration to a spacetime $S^1 \times \mathcal{M}_{9-2d}$ with non-trivial three-form field strength on $B \times S^1$. The radius of the circle $S^1$ being inversely proportional to the area of the elliptic fiber. As we take the limit of zero area, we end up with type IIB string theory on $B \times \mathcal{M}_{10-2d}$.
so that the compactification space $B$ is unambiguously identified within the elliptic fibration itself:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
T^2 \\
\downarrow \downarrow \\
\phi \\
\uparrow \\
B
\end{array}
$$

The existence of a section is not necessary from the point of view of M-theory. For a review of F-theory, we refer to [17]. The singular fibers of the elliptic fibration play an essential role in the dictionary between physics and geometry [49]. For example, one can use elliptic fibrations to geometrically engineer sophisticated gauge theories with matter representations and Yukawa couplings all specified by the geometry of the elliptic fibration [8, 23, 34, 48].

**F-theory and the mathematics of elliptic fibrations.** From a mathematical point of view, F-theory provides a fresh perspective on the geometry of elliptic fibrations with a rich inflow of new problems, conjectures and perspectives inspired by physics. However, these questions can be attacked purely mathematically and open new ways to think of elliptic fibrations, forming strong connections with representation theory and other areas of mathematics. For example, the duality between F-theory and the Heterotic string has motivated the study of principle holomorphic $G$-bundles over elliptic fibrations by Freedmann-Morgan-Witten [20, 21]. Since the work of Kodaira on elliptic surfaces [30], it is well appreciated that ADE-like Dynkin diagrams appear as singular fibers of an elliptic fibration over codimension-one loci in the base. F-theory associates with these ADE diagrams specific gauge theories living on branes wrapped around the location of the singular fibers in the base [8, 34, 48]. Non-simply-laced Lie groups also appear naturally once we consider the role of monodromies and distinguish between split and non-split singular fibers [8]. When the base of the fibration is higher dimensional, matter representations are naturally associated with certain loci in codimension-two in the base over which singular fibers enhance [23]. An analysis on the condition for anomaly cancellations of the gauge theories described in F-theory leads to surprising relations between representations of the gauge group and the Chow ring of the elliptic fibration [23].

The description of phenomenological models in F-theory, such as the SU(5) Grand Unified model [49], has motivated the study of elliptic fibrations that admit a discriminant locus with wild singularities and a rich structure of singular fibers that enhance to each other as we consider higher codimension loci in the discriminant locus [19]. Such enhancements often
violate standard assumptions made by mathematicians studying elliptic fibrations [32, 36, 46]. For example, in the SU(5) model the discriminant locus of the elliptic fibration is not a divisor with normal crossings [19] (which is a typical assumption in mathematics), and non-flat fibrations can lead to very interesting physics such as the presence of massless stringy objects [13]. With the appearance of non-Kodaira fibers in elliptic fibrations over a higher dimensional base [19], the dictionary between singular fibers and physics have to be made more precise [23, 31, 33]. Under the more general conditions considered in physics, there is not yet a classification of the possible singular fibers of a higher dimensional elliptic fibration. See [19], for more information.

The connection between F-theory and its type IIB weak coupling limit uncovers interesting geometric relations involving the elliptic fibration and a certain double cover of its base. Sen has shown that the weak coupling limit of F-theory can be naturally described as an orientifold theory [40]. Sen’s construction is mathematically described by certain degenerations of the elliptic fibration organized by transitions from semi-stable to unstable singular fibers [2]. The presence of charged objects in a compact space leads to cancellation relations in physics known as tadpole conditions. These tadpole conditions are a sophisticated version of the familiar Gauss theorem in electromagnetism that ensures that the total charge in a compact space is zero. Using dualities between F-theory and type IIB string theory, tadpole relations will induce non-trivial relations between the topological invariants of different varieties that appear in the description of Sen’s weak coupling limit [1, 2, 15]. This has motivated the introduction of a new Euler characteristic inspired by string dualities to deal with some of the singularities [1, 2, 15].

1.2. Synopsys

We would like to explore the physics of the weak coupling limit of F-theory in the presence of non-Kodaira fibers. This can be easily achieved without dealing with a resolution of singularities to generate non-Kodaira fibers by considering certain families of smooth elliptic fibrations that naturally admit such fibers. In this way we will be able to provide the first example of a weak coupling limit of F-theory involving non-Kodaira fibers.

$D_5$ elliptic fibrations. In this article, we continue the work started in [2] and explore aspects of elliptic fibrations beyond the realm of Weierstrass models. Non-Weierstrass models provide new ways of describing the strong coupling limit of certain non-trivial type IIB orientifold compactifications
with brane-image-brane pairs by embedding them in F-theory. We consider elliptic fibrations whose generic fiber is an elliptic curve modeled by the complete intersection of two quadric surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Such fibrations are referred to in the physics literature as $D_5$ elliptic fibrations [3, 7, 9, 28]. An equivalent description of the generic fiber of a $D_5$ elliptic fibration is to see it as the base locus of a pencil of quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^3$. This little change of perspective provides powerful tools to describe the singular fibers of $D_5$ elliptic fibrations, since pencils of quadrics are naturally classified by Segre symbols, as we will review in Section 3. We therefore classify all the singular fibers of a smooth $D_5$ elliptic fibration using Segre symbols. Singular fibers of an elliptic surface were classified by Kodaira and are described by what are now referred to as Kodaira symbols. In the context of $D_5$ elliptic fibrations, Segre symbols provide a finer description of the singular fibers than the symbols of Kodaira since they detect the degree of each of the components of a given singular fiber. In the study of $D_5$ elliptic fibrations, the geometric objects at play are very classical: quadric surfaces, conics, twisted cubics and elliptic curves. It follows that the study of $D_5$ elliptic fibrations is reduced to a promenade in the garden of 19th century Italian school of algebraic geometry, where all the necessary ingredients are ready for the taking.

For $D_5$ elliptic fibrations, non-Kodaira singular fibers appear innocently without introducing singularities in the total space thus avoiding any resolution of singularities. We will explore the physical relevance of these non-Kodaira singular fibers from the point of view of the weak coupling limit of F-theory[2, 40]. We will analyze some degenerations of these fibrations and deduce non-trivial topological relations between the total space of the elliptic fibration and certain divisors in its base. The degeneration we obtain describes a theory of an orientifold with three brane-image-brane pairs, two of which are in the same homology class as the orientifold. The cancellation of the D3 tadpole provides a non-trivial relation between Euler characteristic of the elliptic fibration and the Euler characteristic of divisors corresponding to the orientifold and the brane-image-brane pairs. We will prove that the same relation holds at the level of the total Chern class of these loci. We will see that the non-Kodaira fibers indicate a certain regime in which the orientifold and the two brane-image-brane pairs that are in its homology class coincide.

One might think that F-theory leads only to mathematical results for Calabi-Yau elliptic fourfolds and threefolds since these are the usually the varieties for which F-theory is physically relevant. However, many of the insights gained on the structure of elliptic fibrations coming from F-theory are true without any assumptions on the dimension of the base and without
assuming the Calabi-Yau condition [1, 2, 19, 20, 23], providing yet another example of why string theory is a source of inspiration for geometers. Therefore, although our considerations are inspired by F-theory, we will not restrict ourself to Calabi-Yau elliptic fourfolds or threefolds but will work with elliptic fibrations over a base of arbitrary dimension and without assuming the Calabi-Yau condition.

An historical note on pencils of quadrics and Segre symbols. The classification of pencils of quadrics is indeed a classic among the classics with contributions from several great mathematicians: everything we need was elegantly presented in Segre’s thesis on quadrics [39], where he introduced the modern notation (Segre symbols) in his classification of collineations and emphasised the geometrical ideas behind the classification; the main algebraic concepts (elementary divisors, normal forms) were developed in the context of the theory of determinants by Weierstrass and other members of the Berlin school (Kronecker, Frobenius); several of their results were obtained earlier by Sylvester but in a less general and systematic way; Sylvester classified nonsingular pencils of conics and quadric surfaces. The modern reference on the classification of pencils of quadrics is chapter XIII of the second volume of the book by Hodge and Pedoe [25]. More recently, Dimca has obtained a geometric interpretation of the classification of quadrics based on the geometry of determinantal varieties and their singularities [18].

1.3. Weierstrass models in F-theory

Following its early founding papers [8, 34, 48], in F-theory, elliptic fibrations are traditionally studied using Weierstrass models, i.e., a hypersurface in a $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle over the Type-IIB base $B$ which in its reduced form is defined as the zero-scheme associated with the section

$$y^2z - (x^3 + f x z^2 + g z^3),$$

where $f$ and $g$ are sections of appropriate tensor powers of a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $B$. A smooth Weierstrass model admits a unique section and only two types of singular fibers: nodal cubics (Kodaira fiber of type $I_1$) and cuspidal cubics (Kodaira fiber of type $II$), which in the physical theory correspond to Abelian gauge groups. It follows that when restricted to Weierstrass models, to realize non-Abelian gauge groups one must introduce singularities in the total space of the fibration and then make birational modifications to allow more interesting singular fibers to appear. As any elliptic fibration endowed
with a smooth section is birationally equivalent to a (possibly) singular Weierstrass model [16], the impression seems to be that one does not need to leave the world of Weierstrass models when working on F-theory. However, there is much value in exploring non-Weierstrass models in F-theory since the physics of F-theory is not invariant under birational transformations (as singular fibers are modified upon a birational modification). Moreover, the M-theory approach to F-theory doesn’t even require a section, so even elliptic fibrations without a sections are physically relevant. F-theory with discrete fluxes and/or torsion can be naturally introduced by considering other models of elliptic curves than Weierstrass models [7, 9]. This usually requires a non-trivial Mordell-Weil group and can also be analyzed by considering special Weierstrass models, but their expressions are usually complicated. For Weierstrass models, singular fibers over codimension-one loci in the base can be described using Tate’s algorithm without performing a systematic desingularization. The resulting fibers are those classified by Kodaira for singular fibers of an elliptic surface. Singular fibers above higher codimension loci are not necessarily on Kodaira’s list [12, 19, 32, 33, 46] and can even have components that jump in dimension [13, 32]. The resolution of singularities of a Weierstrass model is not unique and different resolutions of the same singular Weierstrass model can have different types of singular fibers in higher codimension in the base [19, 32, 46]. Recently, this was shown to occur even for the popular SU(5) GUTs [19]. Considering other models of elliptic fibrations other than Weierstrass models allows for a rich spectrum of singular fibers without introducing singularities in the total space of the elliptic fibration [2]. In this way, we can have F-theory descriptions of certain non-Abelian gauge theories without having to deal with singularities and their resolutions. As explained in [2], elliptic fibrations not in Weierstrass form naturally admit weak coupling limits as well (analogous to Sen’s orientifold limit of Weierstrass models), and provide descriptions of systems of seven-branes admitting a type IIB weakly coupled regime consisting of super-symmetric brane-image-brane configurations that would be at best challenging to describe in the traditional Weierstrass model approach to F-theory [2].

1.4. Other models of elliptic fibrations

We now broaden our horizons and explore the landscape of F-theory beyond that of Weierstrass models. Our starting point is to consider the following families of elliptic curves [26]:

\[
\begin{align*}
D_5 \text{ elliptic fibrations} & \quad 591
\end{align*}
\]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>$\text{ord}(F)$</th>
<th>$\text{ord}(G)$</th>
<th>$\text{ord}(\Delta)$</th>
<th>$j$</th>
<th>Monodromy</th>
<th>Fiber</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I_0$</td>
<td>$\geq 0$</td>
<td>$\geq 0$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\mathbb{C}$</td>
<td>$I_2$</td>
<td>Smooth torus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>$\begin{pmatrix} 1 &amp; 1 \ 0 &amp; 1 \end{pmatrix}$</td>
<td>(Nodal curve)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_n$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$n &gt; 1$</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>$\begin{pmatrix} 1 &amp; n \ 0 &amp; 1 \end{pmatrix}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$II$</td>
<td>$\geq 1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\begin{pmatrix} 1 &amp; 1 \ -1 &amp; 0 \end{pmatrix}$</td>
<td>Cuspidal curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$III$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\geq 2$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\begin{pmatrix} 0 &amp; 1 \ -1 &amp; 0 \end{pmatrix}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$IV$</td>
<td>$\geq 2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\begin{pmatrix} 0 &amp; 1 \ -1 &amp; -1 \end{pmatrix}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_n^*$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\geq 3$</td>
<td>$n + 6$</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>$\begin{pmatrix} -1 &amp; -n \ 0 &amp; -1 \end{pmatrix}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq 2$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$n + 6$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$IV^*$</td>
<td>$\geq 3$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\begin{pmatrix} -1 &amp; -1 \ 1 &amp; 0 \end{pmatrix}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$III^*$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$\geq 5$</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\begin{pmatrix} 0 &amp; -1 \ 1 &amp; 0 \end{pmatrix}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$II^*$</td>
<td>$\geq 4$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\begin{pmatrix} 0 &amp; -1 \ 1 &amp; 1 \end{pmatrix}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: **Kodaira Classification of singular fibers of an elliptic fibration.** The fiber of type $I_0^*$ is special among its family $I_n^*$ because its $j$-invariant can take any value in $\mathbb{C}$. The $j$-invariant of a fiber of type $I_n$ or $I_n^* (n > 0)$ has a pole of order $n$.

Weierstrass cubic: $zy^2 = x^3 + fxz + gz^3$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$
Legrendre cubic: $zy^2 = x(x - z)(x - fz)$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$
Jacobi quartic: $y^2 = x^4 + fx^2z^2 + z^4$ in $\mathbb{P}^2_{1,2,1}$
Hesse cubic: $y^3 + x^3 - z^3 - dxyz = 0$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$
Jacobi intersection: $x^2 - y^2 - z^2 = w^2 - x^2 - dz^2 = 0$ in $\mathbb{P}^3$
where \([x:y:z]\) (resp. \([x:y:z:w]\)) are projective coordinates of a (weighted) \(\mathbb{P}^2\) (resp. \(\mathbb{P}^3\)). The coefficients \(f\), \(g\) and \(d\) in the equations above are scalars which we interpret as sections of a line bundle over a point. We then construct elliptic fibrations by promoting the coefficients of a particular family of elliptic curves to sections of line bundles over an arbitrary smooth compact base variety \(B\) (of arbitrary dimension). We then consider the following normal forms of elliptic fibrations associated with the families of elliptic curves listed above [2, 7, 9, 28]:

\[
\begin{align*}
E_8:\quad & y^2z = x^3 + fxz + gz^3 & \text{ in } & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{L}^2 \oplus \mathcal{L}^3) \\
E_7:\quad & y^2 = x^4 + fx^2z^2 + gxz^3 + ez^4 & \text{ in } & \mathbb{P}_{1,2}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^2) \\
E_6:\quad & y^3 + x^3 = z^3 + dxyz + exz^2 + fyz^2 + gz^3 & \text{ in } & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}) \\
D_5:\quad & y^2 - x^2 - z(az + cw) \\
& = w^2 - x^2 - z(dz + ex + fy) = 0 & \text{ in } & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L})
\end{align*}
\]

The \(E_8\) family is the usual Weierstrass model. A more general form of the Weierstrass model (the Tate form), will have the fibration obtained from the Legendre family as a specialization. The \(E_7\), \(E_6\) and \(D_5\) elliptic fibrations are respectively obtained from generalizations of the Jacobi quartic, the Hesse cubic and the Jacobi intersection form. By promoting the scalar coefficients to sections of line bundles over a positive dimensional base variety \(B\), we allow more ‘room’ for singular fibers to appear, and a richer geometry naturally emerges. The \(E_7\), \(E_6\), and \(D_5\) fibrations are all birationally equivalent to a singular Weierstrass model and the corresponding birational map is an isomorphism away from the locus of singular fibers. Each model differs by the number of rational sections and the type of singular fibers it admits. This \(E_n\) nomenclature follows [2, 28, 29] and is based on an analogy with del Pezzo surfaces\(^3\). All these fibrations have been analyzed in [2] with the exception of the \(D_5\) (\(\approx E_5\)) elliptic fibration. By direct inspection of the results of [2], we observe the following:

\(^3\)A del Pezzo surface of degree \(d\) admits \((-1)\)-curves that define a root lattice of type \(E_{9-d}\). A del Pezzo surface of degree \(d\) can be embedding in a projective space \(\mathbb{P}^d\) as a surface of degree \(d\). An hyperplane will cut such a del Pezzo surface along an elliptic curve expressed as a degree \(d\) curve. A cone over an elliptic curve of type \(E_n\) will have an elliptic singularity of type \(\tilde{E}_n\). A del Pezzo surface of degree 1, 2 and 3 can be expressed as an hypersurface in a weighted projective \(\mathbb{P}^3\) while a del Pezzo surface of degree 4 can be expressed as a complete intersection of two quadric hypersurfaces in \(\mathbb{P}^4\). The intersection with a hyperplane gives the model discussed above. The \(E_8\) family is the usual Weierstrass model and the \(D_5\) family corresponds to \(E_5 = D_5\).
Proposition 1.1 (Fiber geometry of $E_8$, $E_7$ and $E_6$ elliptic fibrations). A general $E_{9-n}$ ($n = 1, 2, 3$) elliptic fibration admits $n$ sections and its spectrum of singular fibers contains $2n$ distinct elements, all of which are Kodaira fibers composed of at most $n$ irreducible rational curves.

1.5. $D_5$ elliptic fibrations

$D_5$ elliptic fibrations have not received much attention in the physics literature. This is mostly because the generic fiber of a $D_5$ fibration is a complete intersection while in the case of $E_8$, $E_7$, $E_6$, it is simply a hypersurface in a (possibly weighted) projective plane. In view of the properties of the $E_{9-n}$ elliptic fibrations for $n = 1, 2, 3$, one might expect that the $D_5 = E_{9-4}$ elliptic fibration has an even richer geometry. As we will see in this paper, a $D_5$ elliptic fibration has 4 sections and admits 8 types of singular fibers composed of up to 4 components. However, only 7 appear on the list of Kodaira. We will see that a general $D_5$ elliptic fibration with 4 sections indeed admits a non-Kodaira fiber composed of four rational curves meeting at a point. We call such a fiber a fiber of type $I^*_0$ since it looks like a Kodaira fiber $I^*_0$ with the central node contracted to a point. We study the physical significance of their non-Kodaira fibers by exploring weak coupling limits associated with them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>sections</th>
<th>Singular fibers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_8$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$I_1$, $II$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_7$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$I_1$, $II$, $I_2$, $III$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_6$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$I_1$, $II$, $I_2$, $III$, $I_3$, $IV$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_5 = D_5$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$I_1$, $II$, $I_2$, $III$, $I_3$, $IV$, $I_4$, $I^*_0$ (non-Kodaira)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Singular fibers of an elliptic fibration of type $E_n$ with $(9 - n)$ sections. We denote $E_5$ by $D_5$ as it is familiar with Dynkin diagrams.

1.6. Canonical form for a $D_5$ model with four sections

In this section, we will introduce our canonical form for an elliptic fibration of type $D_5$ with four sections. We will ensure that the 4 sections are given by a unique divisor composed of 4 non-intersecting irreducible components. Each of these components is a Weil divisor and they are two by two disjoint so that the 4 sections define 4 distinct points on each fiber.
1.6.1. **Notation and conventions.** We work over the field \( \mathbb{C} \) of complex numbers but everything we say is equally valid over an algebraically closed field \( k \) of characteristic zero. We denote by \( \mathbb{P}^n \) the projective space of dimension \( n \) over the field \( \mathbb{C} \). Given a line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \), we denote its dual by \( \mathcal{L}^{-1} \), its \( n \)-th tensorial power by \( \mathcal{L}^n \) and the dual of its \( n \)-th tensorial power by \( \mathcal{L}^{-n} \).

1.6.2. **Canonical form for a \( D_5 \) elliptic fibration with four sections.** Let \( B \) be a non-singular compact complex algebraic variety endowed with a line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \). We consider the rank 4 vector bundle 

\[
\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L},
\]

and its associated projectivization\(^4\) \( \pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \to B \). We denote the tautological line bundle of \( \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \) by \( \mathcal{O}(-1) \) and its dual by \( \mathcal{O}(1) \). The vertical coordinates of \( \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \) are denoted by \([x : y : z : w]\), where \( x, y, w \) are all sections of \( \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L} \) while \( z \) is a section of \( \mathcal{O}(1) \). We define a \( D_5 \) elliptic fibration \( Y \) to be a non-singular complete intersection determined by the vanishing locus of two sections of \( \mathcal{O}(2) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}^2 \). Such a complete intersection determines an elliptic fibration \( \varphi : Y \to B \), whose generic fiber is a complete intersection of two quadrics in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \). We also assume that the elliptic fibration has a (multi-)section cut out by \( z = 0 \). It follows that the \( D_5 \) elliptic fibration \( Y \) is given by:

\[
Y := \begin{cases} 
A_1(x, y, w) - zL_1(z, x, y, w) = 0 \\
A_2(x, y, w) - zL_2(z, x, y, w) = 0 
\end{cases}
\]

where \( A_1(x, y, w) \) and \( A_2(x, y, w) \) denote two quadratic polynomials in \( \mathbb{C}[x, y, w] \), while \( L_1(z, x, y, w) \) and \( L_2(z, x, y, w) \) are linear in \( x, y, z, w \) with coefficients that are sections of appropriate powers of \( \pi^* \mathcal{L} \) so that each of \( A_i - zL_i \) for \( i = 1, 2 \) is a section of \( \mathcal{O}(2) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}^2 \). We exclude the degenerate case where \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) are proportional to each other. It follows that fiberwise, the multisection cut out by \( z = 0 \) defines up to four points on the elliptic fiber, corresponding to the fact each (distinct) solution the system \( A_1(x, y, w) = A_2(x, y, w) = 0 \) determines a section of the elliptic fibration. If \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) intersect transversally, we have exactly four sections. We can also consider degenerate cases where the intersection is not transverse and would

\(^4\)Here we take the projective bundle of lines in \( \mathcal{E} \).
therefore lead to intersection points with multiplicities. Using non-transverse quadrics, we can have one, two or three sections\(^5\).

For the remainder of this article, unless otherwise mentioned we only consider the case where the elliptic fibration admits exactly four distinct sections. In that case, without loss of generality, the \(D_5\) elliptic fibration can be expressed as follows:

\[
Y := \begin{cases} 
  x^2 - y^2 - z(az + cw) = 0, \\
  w^2 - x^2 - z(dx + cy + fy) = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

This is our canonical form for a \(D_5\) elliptic fibration with four rational sections. So that each equation defines a section of \(\mathcal{O}(2) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}^2\), we take \(a\) and \(d\) to be sections of \(\pi^* \mathcal{L}\) and \(c, e\) and \(f\) to be sections of \(\pi^* \mathcal{L}^2\):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(x, y, w)</th>
<th>(z)</th>
<th>(c, e, f)</th>
<th>(a, d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L})</td>
<td>(\mathcal{O}(1))</td>
<td>(\pi^* \mathcal{L})</td>
<td>(\pi^* \mathcal{L}^2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.7. Pencil of quadrics

To study the complete intersection \(Y : Q_1 = Q_2 = 0\) of two quadrics, it is useful to analyze the pencil of quadrics through \(Y\). It is defined as follows

\[
Q_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} : \lambda_1 Q_1 + \lambda_2 Q_2, \quad [\lambda_1 : \lambda_2] \in \mathbb{P}^1.
\]

The variety \(Y : Q_1 = Q_2 = 0\) could equivalently be defined as the complete intersection \(\lambda_1 Q_1 + \lambda_2 Q_2 = \mu_1 Q_1 + \mu_2 Q_2 = 0\) for any choice of \(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2\) such that \(\lambda_1 \mu_2 - \lambda_2 \mu_1 \neq 0\). The curve \(Q_1 = Q_2 = 0\) is common to all the quadrics of the pencil. It is referred to as the base locus of the pencil. We denote the symmetric matrix corresponding to a quadric polynomial \(Q = \sum a_{ij} x^i x^j\) as \(\hat{Q}\). Singular fibers can be characterized by algebraic properties of the pencil. In particular, the matrix \((\hat{Q}_1 + r \hat{Q}_2)\) associated with the pencil \(Q_1 + r Q_2\) has algebraic invariants known as elementary divisors that can be used to uniquely characterize the singularities of the base locus. The elementary divisors are obtained from the roots of the discriminant of the pencil and the common roots of the minors of order 1, 2, \ldots, \(n\). For a pencil of quadrics in \(\mathbb{P}^3\), we will consider the first, second and third minors.

\(^5\) For example \((A_1, A_2) = (x^2, w^2)\) gives a unique solution of multiplicity 4, \((x^2 - y^2, w^2)\) gives two solutions of multiplicity 2, and \((x^2 - y^2, w^2 - x^2 + xy)\) gives three solutions (two of multiplicity one and the other of multiplicity two).
1.8. Discriminant of the elliptic fibration from the pencil of quadrics

The complete intersection \( Q_1 = Q_2 = 0 \) defines an elliptic curve if and only if the determinant of the quadratic form \( \hat{Q}_1 + r \hat{Q}_2 \) (with \( r = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \)) is non-identically zero and does not have multiple roots. In other words, we can compute the discriminant of the elliptic fibration \( Y \) as the discriminant of the following quartic in \( r \):

\[
4 \det(\hat{Q}_1 + r \hat{Q}_2) = q_0 + 4q_1 r + 6q_2 r^2 + 4q_3 r^3 + q_4 r^4.
\]

One can show that the the \( D_5 \) elliptic fibration determined by \( Q_1 = Q_2 = 0 \) has Weierstrass form \( y^2z = x^3 + Fxz^2 + Gz^3 \), where

\[
F = -(q_0q_4 - 4q_1q_3 + 3q_2^2),
G = 2(q_0q_2q_4 + 2q_1q_2q_3 - q_2^3 - q_0q_3^2 - q_1^2q_4).
\]

This Weierstrass model is the Jacobian of the \( D_5 \) elliptic fibration. We then simply compute the discriminant and \( j \)-invariant via the formulas

\[
\Delta = 4F^3 + 27G^2, \quad j = \frac{4F^3}{\Delta}.
\]

1.9. Birationally equivalent \( E_6 \) model

We now obtain a birationally equivalent formulation of the fibration in which the generic fiber is a plane cubic curve. The plane cubic curve is obtained by projecting the space curve on a plane from a rational point. In order to proceed, we need to choose a rational point on every fiber of \( Y \). For example, we can take the rational point \( P = [1, 1, 1, 0] \) which is one of the sections. We perform a translation \( y \mapsto y + x, w \mapsto w + x \) so that in the new coordinate system, the point \( P \) is \( [1 : 0 : 0 : 0] \). It follows that there should be no terms in \( x^2 \) in the defining equations. Indeed, after the substitution \( (y \mapsto y + x, w \mapsto w + x) \) in the defining equations of \( Y \), we can eliminate \( x \).

Geometrically, this is equivalent to projecting \( Y \) to the plane \( x = 0 \) from the point \( P = [1 : 0 : 0 : 0] \). The result is the following cubic:

\[
(y^2 + az^2 + czw)(2w + ez + fz) + (w^2 - dz^2 - fzy)(2y + cz) = 0.
\]

where \([y, w, z]\) are the projective coordinates of the \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) defined by \( x = 0 \). This cubic is a section of \( \mathcal{O}(3) \otimes \mathcal{L}^3 \) and \( z = 0 \) admits a multisection \( z = 0 \).
of degree 3. Indeed, \( z = 0 \) cuts the cubic along the following loci
\[
2yw(y + w) = 0,
\]
of the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) with projective coordinates \([y : w]\). This corresponds to the points \([y, w, z] = [0 : 1 : 0], [1 : 0 : 0] \) and \([1 : -1 : 0]\) on the cubic curve. These points correspond to the sections of the original \( D_5 \) elliptic fibration with the exception of the point \( P \) used to define the projection. As the new elliptic fibration is defined by a divisor of class \( O(3) \otimes L^3 \) in the \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) bundle \( \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}) \) and admits three sections, we recognize it as an \( E_6 \) elliptic fibration.

We still have the same \( j \)-invariant and the same discriminant locus. However, the fiber structure has changed. For example, the non-Kodaira fiber \( I_0^* \) located at \( a = c = d = e = f = 0 \) is now a Kodaira fiber of type \( IV \), and the \( I_4 \) fiber at \( a = c = e = 4d - f^2 = 0 \) is now a \( I_2 \) fiber composed of a conic and a secant.

### 1.10. Birationally equivalent Jacobi quartic model

An elliptic curve can also be modeled by the double cover of a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) branched at four distinct points. For that purpose, we can use a weighted projective plane \( \mathbb{P}^2_{2,1,1} \) and write the equation as
\[
y^2 = Q_4(u, v),
\]
where \([y : u : v]\) are the projective coordinates of \( \mathbb{P}^2_{2,1,1} \) with \( y \) of weight 2 and \( u \) and \( v \) of weight 1 and \( P_4(u, v) \) is homogeneous of degree 4 in \([u : v]\). The quartic \( Q_4 \) is simply given by the binary quartic polynomial determined by the polynomial of the pencil of quadrics defining the \( D_5 \) elliptic fibration, so the expression is
\[
y^2 = \det(u\hat{Q}_1 + v\hat{Q}_2),
\]
which yields
\[
y^2 = q_0u^4 + 4q_1u^3v + 6q_2u^2v^2 + 4q_3uv^3 + q_4v^4.
\]
This elliptic fibration is then defined as the zero-scheme of a section of \( \mathcal{O}(4) \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 \), and thus is a hypersurface in the projective bundle \( \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}) \). The projective fiber coordinate \( y \) is a section of \( \mathcal{O}(2) \otimes \mathcal{L} \) while \( u \) and \( v \) are sections of \( \mathcal{O}(1) \). Since the generic fiber is modeled by a quartic in \( \mathbb{P}^2_{2,1,1} \), we have an \( E_7 \) model. However, compared to \( E_7 \) fibrations which
Table 3: Singular fibers of the elliptic fibration $y^2 = q_0u^4 + 4q_1u^3v + 6q_2u^2v^2 + 4q_3uv^3 + q_4v^4$ birationally equivalent to a $D_5$ elliptic fibration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>General condition</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I_1$</td>
<td>$\Delta = 0$</td>
<td>A nodal curve ($Q_4$ has one double root)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$II$</td>
<td>$F = G = 0$</td>
<td>A cuspidal curve ($Q_4$ has a triple root)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_2$</td>
<td>$q_4q_1^2 - q_3^2q_0 = 2q_3^3 + q_4^2q_1 - 3q_4q_3q_2 = 0$</td>
<td>A tacnode ($Q_4$ has two double root)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$III$</td>
<td>$rank \begin{pmatrix} q_0 &amp; q_1 &amp; q_2 &amp; q_3 \ q_1 &amp; q_2 &amp; q_3 &amp; q_4 \end{pmatrix} = 1$</td>
<td>Two conics tangent at a point ($Q_4$ has a quadruple root)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2T_1$</td>
<td>$q_0 = q_1 = q_2 = q_3 = q_4 = 0$</td>
<td>A rational curve (in this case a projective line) of multiplicity 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

only admit fibers of type $I_1$, $II$, $I_2$ and $III$, this variant of the $E_7$ elliptic fibration also admits a non-Kodaira fiber composed of a rational curve of multiplicity 2 located over $q_0 = q_1 = q_2 = q_3 = q_4 = 0$. In the $D_5$ case (as we will see later), this fiber would be the non-Kodaira fiber $I_{\delta}^e$ composed of four rational curves meeting at a common point. The singular fibers can easily be classified by analyzing the factorization of $Q_4$ as reviewed in Table 3. For another application of quartic elliptic curves in F-theory see [10].

Interestingly, if we introduce $[X_0 : X_1 : X_2 : X_3]$ as projective coordinates of a $\mathbb{P}^3$, the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}_{2,1,2}$ is isomorphic to the cone $X_1X_2 = X_0^2$ in $\mathbb{P}^3$. The explicit isomorphism is the following:

\[(1.9) \quad [u : v : y] \mapsto [X_0 : X_1 : X_2 : X_3] = [uv : u^2 : v^2 : y].\]

If we use this map starting from the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}_{1,1,2}[\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^2]$, we get the following projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^2)$. We can write it again as a $D_5$ elliptic fibration corresponding to a complete intersection of a section of $\mathcal{O}(2)$ and $\mathcal{O}(2) \otimes \mathcal{L}^4$:

\[(1.10) \quad \begin{cases} X_1X_2 - X_0^2 = 0, \\ X_3^2 - q_0X_1^3 - 4q_1X_0X_1 - 6q_2X_0^2 - 4q_3X_0X_2 - q_4X_2^2 = 0. \end{cases}\]

In this expression, the fibration admits a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ involution given by $X_3 \mapsto -X_3$. 
1.11. Classification of singular fibers by Segre symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segre symbol</th>
<th>Roots of $\Delta$ and rank of associated quadric</th>
<th>Geometric description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[1111]</td>
<td>4 simple roots</td>
<td>smooth quartic ($I_0$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[112]</td>
<td>one double root, rank 3</td>
<td>nodal quartic ($I_1$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[11(11)]</td>
<td>one double root, rank 2</td>
<td>two intersecting conics ($I_2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[13]</td>
<td>triple root, rank 3</td>
<td>cuspidal quartic ($III$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[1(21)]</td>
<td>triple root, rank 2</td>
<td>two tangent conics ($III$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[1(111)]</td>
<td>triple root, rank 1</td>
<td>double conic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[4]</td>
<td>quadruple root, rank 3</td>
<td>cubic and tangent line ($III$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[(31)]</td>
<td>quadruple root, rank 2</td>
<td>conic and 2 lines meeting on the conic ($IV$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[(22)]</td>
<td>quadruple root, rank 2</td>
<td>two lines and a double line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[(211)]</td>
<td>quadruple root, rank 1</td>
<td>two double lines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[(1111)]</td>
<td>quadruple root, rank 0</td>
<td>The two quadrics coincide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>2 double roots, both rank 3</td>
<td>cubic and secant line ($I_2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2(11)]</td>
<td>2 double roots, rank 3 and 2</td>
<td>a conic and two lines forming a triangle ($I_3$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[(11)(11)]</td>
<td>2 double roots, both rank 2</td>
<td>four lines forming a quadrangle ($I_4$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Classification of non-degenerate pencils of quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^3$. In the second column, $\Delta$ is the discriminant of the pencil of quadrics. In the last column, when the fiber is in Kodaira’s list, we mention its Kodaira symbol in parenthesis.

We classify the singular fibers of a smooth $D_5$ elliptic fibration by using the classification of pencils of quadrics by Segre symbols. When the discriminant $\det(\hat{Q}_1 + r\hat{Q}_2)$ is not identically zero, we have a non-degenerate pencil of quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^3$. There are 14 different Segre symbols: one corresponds to a smooth elliptic curve, nine correspond to seven singular fibers of Kodaira type and four correspond to non-Kodaira fibers. When the discriminant is identically zero, we have a pencil of quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^2$, which admit six different cases all corresponding to non-Kodaira fibers given by four lines meeting at a common point. We have described this case in Table 4. When the discriminant is identically zero as well as all the first order minors, we have a pencil in $\mathbb{P}^1$. This gives 3 additional singular fibers in higher dimension. Once we
have a fibration with a certain number of sections, we have more constraints on the type of singular fibers that can occur. In particular, a smooth $D_5$ elliptic fibration with four sections admits eight different types of singular fibers, including one which doesn’t appear on Kodaira’s list and consists of four lines meeting at a common point. We will denote such a fiber by $I_0^{*-}$ since it looks like a Kodaira fiber of type $I_0^*$ with the central node contracted to a point. We will give a detailed classification of all possible fibers of our canonical $D_5$ model (with four sections) as general conditions on the sections $a, c, d, e, f$. We use the classification by Segre symbols alluded to above which is based on the property of the matrix associated with the pencil of quadrics, the definition of which is given in Table 4.

![Diagram of singular fibers](image)

Figure 2: Singular fibers of a $D_5$ elliptic fibration with four sections. There are a total of 8 singular fibers. This includes all the Kodaira fibers with at most 4 components and the fiber $I_0^{*-}$ which is not on Kodaira’s list. Down arrows represent an increase in the number of components while up arrows indicate a specialization from a semi-stable to an unstable fiber while preserving the number of components of the fiber.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>General conditions</th>
<th>Descriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I_1$</td>
<td>$\Delta = 0$</td>
<td>Nodal quartic [211]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$II$</td>
<td>$F = G = 0$</td>
<td>Cuspidal quartic [13]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| $I_2$ | $a = c = 0$
|      | or $f = 4d - e^2 = 0$
|      | or $e = 4(a + d) + c^2 - f^2 = 0$
|      | \[ \begin{cases} 4a + c^2 + 2c(e_1e + e_2f) = 0 \\ 4d - (e_1e + e_2f)^2 - 2e_2cf = 0 \\ e_1^2 = e_2^2 = 1, \ cfe \neq 0 \end{cases} \] | Two conics intersecting at two distinct points [11(11)]
|      | \[ \begin{cases} 4a + 2c^2 + f^2 = 4d - c^2 - 2f^2 = 0 \end{cases} \] | A twisted cubic and a secant [22] |
| $III$ | $a = c = d = 0$
|      | or $f = 4d - e^2 = 4a - e^2 = 0$
|      | or $e = 4a + 2c^2 + f^2 = 4d - c^2 - 2f^2 = 0$
|      | \[ \begin{cases} (4a + c^2)^2 + 32a^2 + 2c^2 - 32c^2d = 0 \\ (4a + 3c^2)^2 - 16e_1c^3e = 0 \\ (4a - c^2)^2 - 16e_2c^3f = 0 \\ e_1^2 = e_2^2 = 1, \ cfe \neq 0 \end{cases} \] | Two tangent conics [1(21)]
|      | \[ \begin{cases} (4a + c^2)^2 = d = e = f = 0 \end{cases} \] | A twisted cubic and a tangent [4] |
| $I_3$ | $a = c = 4d - (e \pm f)^2 = 0$
|      | or $f = 4d - e^2 = 4a + c^2 \pm 2ec = 0$
|      | or $e = 4a + c^2 \pm 2cf = 4d - f^2 \mp 2cf = 0$
|      | \[ \begin{cases} (4a + c^2)^2 = d = e = f = 0 \end{cases} \] | A conic and two lines meeting as a triangle [2(11)]
| $IV$ | $a = c = d = e = f = 0$
|      | or $f = d - a = 4d - e^2 = 4a - c^2 = 0$
|      | or $e = 4d - 3c^2 = 4a + 3c^2 = f \pm c = 0$
|      | \[ \begin{cases} (4a + c^2)^2 = d = e = f = 0 \end{cases} \] | A conic meeting two lines at the same point [(31)]
| $I_4$ | $a = c = ef = 4d - e^2 - f^2 = 0$
|      | or $4a + c^2 = d = e = f = 0$
|      | \[ \begin{cases} (4a + c^2)^2 = d = e = f = 0 \end{cases} \] | Four lines forming a quadrangle [(11) (11)]
| $I_0^*$ | $a = c = d = e = f = 0$ | Four lines meeting at a point |

Table 5: Singular fibers of a $D_5$ elliptic fibration with the canonical form given in Equation (1.1). Here $q_0 = c^2$, $q_1 = \frac{1}{4}(4a - c^2)$, $q_2 = \frac{2}{3}(d - a)$, $q_3 = \frac{1}{4}(-4d - f^2 + e^2)$ and $q_4 = f^2$. 
1.12. Non-Kodaira fibers

An attractive feature of the F-theory picture is that it proposes an elegant dictionary between singular fibers and physical properties of type-IIB compactifications. The dictionary is well understood in codimension-one in the base where singular fibers determine the gauge group of the gauge theory living on the seven-branes. More work needs to be done to understand the meaning of the matter representations and Yukawa couplings. In the road to a better understanding of the physics of F-theory, there is no hiding from non-Kodaira singular fibers. As shown in [19], non-Kodaira fibers can show up very naturally in important models such as the SU(5) Grand Unified Theory. The physical meaning of non-Kodaira fibers can be explored in many different ways. One can ask how they modify the matter content and the Yukawa couplings of the gauge theory associated with a given elliptic fibration. This is the road explored recently by Morrison-Taylor [33] in the context of F-theory on Calabi-Yau threefolds and by Marsano-Schafer-Nameki in the context of the small resolution of the SU(5) model [31]. It is also worthwhile to investigate weak coupling limits of F-theory in presence of non-Kodaira fibers. A general $D_5$ elliptic fibration may admit many possible non-Kodaira fibers. Some are higher dimensional fibers for example when the two quadric surfaces which cut out the fiber coincide. The non-Kodaira fibers that are one dimensional are presented in Figure 3.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure3.png}
\caption{One dimensional non-Kodaira fibers appearing in $D_5$ elliptic fibrations.}
\end{figure}

1.13. Orientifold limits of $D_5$ elliptic fibrations

The weak coupling limit of F-theory was first introduced by Sen [40] in the case of a smooth Weierstrass model. Sen’s limit gives a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ type IIB orientifold theory. Weak coupling limits for $E_6$ and $E_7$ elliptic fibrations were obtained in [2] where a geometric description of the limit was also presented: a weak coupling limit is simply defined by a transition between a semi-stable
fiber and an unstable fiber (semi-stable fibers admit an infinite $j$-invariant while the $j$-invariant of an unstable fiber is $\frac{0}{0}$ and so is undefined).

Since $D_5$ elliptic fibrations admit a wide variety of singular fibers, we then have many possible ways in which to explore weak coupling limits. A simple example of a weak coupling limit for $D_5$ elliptic fibrations can be obtained by considering the transition $I_2 \rightarrow III$. We realize the weak coupling limit associated with $I_2 \rightarrow III$ via the following family:

\[
Y_\epsilon(I_2 \rightarrow III) : \begin{cases} 
  x^2 - y^2 - \epsilon z(\chi z + \eta w) = 0 \\
  w^2 - x^2 - z[hz + (\phi_1 + \phi_2)x + (\phi_1 - \phi_2)y] = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

The discriminant and $j$-invariant then take the following form at leading order in $\epsilon$:

\[
\Delta \sim \epsilon^2 h^2 (h - \phi_1^2)(h - \phi_2^2)(h\eta^2 - \chi^2),
\]
\[
j \sim \frac{h^4}{\epsilon^2(h - \phi_1^2)(h - \phi_2^2)(h\eta^2 - \chi^2)}.
\]

It is easy to see that at $\epsilon = 0$, the first quadric splits into two planes. Each of these two planes will cut the second quadric along a conic. The two conics intersect at two distinct points defining in this way a Kodaira fiber of type $I_2$. Such a fiber is semi-stable and admits an infinite value for the $j$-invariant. At $\epsilon = h = 0$, the two conics are tangent to each other and therefore define a Kodaira fiber of type $III$, which is an unstable fiber with an undefined $j$-invariant of type $\frac{0}{0}$. After a glance at the $j$-invariant and discriminant it is immediately clear that at $h = 0$, we have an orientifold $[2, 40]$. Taking the double cover $\rho : X \rightarrow B$, where $X$ is a hypersurface in the total space of $\mathcal{L}$ given by $\zeta^2 = h$, we see that the other components $h - \phi_1^2$, $h - \phi_2^2$ and $h\eta^2 - \chi^2$ of the discriminant split into brane-image-brane pairs in the double cover wrapping smooth loci mapped to each other by the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ involution $\zeta \mapsto -\zeta$. All together we have one orientifold and 3 brane-image-brane pairs wrapping smooth divisors:

\[
\text{Brane spectrum at weak coupling :} \begin{cases} 
  O \ (\text{orientifold}) : \quad \zeta = 0 \\
  D_{1\pm} \ (\text{brane-image-brane}) : \quad \phi_1 \pm \zeta = 0 \\
  D_{2\pm} \ (\text{brane-image-brane}) : \quad \phi_2 \pm \zeta = 0 \\
  D_{3\pm} \ (\text{brane-image-brane}) : \quad \chi \pm \zeta\eta = 0
\end{cases}
\]

We note that the orientifold $O$ and the brane-image-brane $D_{1\pm}$ and $D_{2\pm}$ are all in the same homology class: $[O] = [D_{1\pm}] = [D_{2\pm}]$. The orientifold limit we
present corresponds to the transition $I_2 \to III$ when the brane-image-brane does not coincide with the orientifold. One can think of each $\phi_i \ (i = 1, 2)$ as a modulus controlling the separation between the brane $D_{i\pm}$ and its image $D_{i-}$. When $\phi_i = 0$, $D_{i\pm}$ coincides with the orientifold. If we specialize to the case $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0$ we obtain the following family:

\begin{equation}
Y_\epsilon(I_2 \to I_0^{*-}) : \begin{cases} 
x^2 - y^2 - \epsilon z (\chi z + \eta w) = 0, \\
w^2 - x^2 - h z^2 = 0.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

The discriminant and $j$-invariant then take the following form at leading order in $\epsilon$:

\begin{equation}
\Delta \sim \epsilon^2 h^4 (h \eta^2 - \chi^2), \quad j \sim \frac{h^2}{\epsilon^2 (h \eta^2 - \chi^2)}
\end{equation}

Here, both brane-image-brane pairs $D_{i\pm}$ coincide with the orientifold. Interestingly, in that case, the fiber above $h = 0$ when $\epsilon = 0$ is not of type $III$ (two rational curves meeting at a double point) but become the non-Kodaira fiber $I_0^{*-}$ (four lines meeting at a point).

In both cases $I_2 \to III$ and $I_2 \to I_0^{*-}$, since $[O] = [D_{1\pm}] = [D_{2\pm}] = L$ and $[D_{3+}] = [D_{3-}] = L^2$ we expect a universal tadpole relation of the form [2]

\[ \varphi^* c(Y) = \rho^* (4c(O) + c(D_{3+})) \]

where we recall that $\phi$ is the elliptic fibration projection and $\rho$ is the orientifold projection. We verify this relation indeed holds in Section 6.4. Taking the integral of both sides of the Chern class identity above immediately yields the numerical relation predicted by tadpole matching between type IIB and F-theory:

\[ \chi(Y) = 4\chi(O) + \chi(D_{3+}) \]

When $Y$ is a Calabi-Yau fourfold, this relation ensures that the D3 brane tadpole has the same curvature contribution in F-theory as in the type IIB weak coupling limit.

1.14. Euler characteristic

In F-theory, a ‘Sethi-Vafa-Witten formula’ is an expression of the Euler characteristic of an elliptic fibration in terms of Chern numbers of its base. Such formulas are particularly useful in the context of F-theory compactified on Calabi-Yau elliptic fourfolds since the Euler characteristic of the fourfold
enters the formula for the $D_3$ tadpole. The first example of such a formula was actually obtained by Kodaira for an elliptic surface. In [41], Sethi, Vafa and Witten computed the Euler characteristic of a Calabi-Yau fourfold in the case of an $E_8$ elliptic fibration over a smooth base [41]:

\[
\text{Sethi-Vafa-Witten : } \chi(Y) = 12c_1(B)c_2(B) + 360c_3(B).
\]

Klemm-Lian-Roan-Yau then obtained general results for Calabi-Yau elliptic fibrations of type $E_n$ ($n = 8, 7, 6$) over a base of arbitrary dimension [28]. Aluffi and Esole have obtained more general relations without assuming the Calabi-Yau conditions for $E_n$ ($n = 8, 7, 6$) elliptic fibrations of arbitrary dimension [2]. These relations express the simple geometric fact that the Euler characteristic of the elliptic fibration is a simple multiple of the Euler characteristic of a hypersurface in the base. Their general result at the level of Chern classes is recalled in §5 (Theorem 5.2). Sethi-Vafa-Witten formulas are then immediately obtained by integrating both sides of such formulas. A similar formula can be written in great generality for a fibration with generic fiber a plane curve of degree $d$ where the total space of the fibration is a hypersurface in a $\mathbb{P}^2$ bundle [22], see also [12]. For $D_5$ elliptic fibrations we prove the following

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $\varphi : Y \to B$ be a $D_5$ elliptic fibration, then

\[
\varphi_*c(Y) = \frac{4L(3 + 5L)}{(1 + 2L)^2}c(B),
\]

\[
\chi(Y) = -\sum_{k=1}^{d} (-2)^k(5 + k)L^k c_{d-k}(B), \quad d = \dim B
\]

where $L = c_1(\mathcal{L})$ and $\chi(Y)$ denotes the topological Euler characteristic of $Y$.

In particular, if the $D_5$ elliptic fibration is a Calabi-Yau fourfold, we recover the result of Klemm-Lian-Roan-Yau [28] for the Euler characteristic of a $D_5$ elliptically fibered Calabi-Yau fourfold:

\[
\chi(Y) = 12c_1(B)c_2(B) + 36c_3(B).
\]
2. Geometry of quadric surfaces

In this section, we review some basic facts about the geometry of quadric surfaces. We will also describe the irreducible curves in such surfaces. We will pay a special attention to the degeneration of an elliptic curve in a quadric surface. Some important transitions that we want to describe are the degenerations of an elliptic curve into two conics or into a twisted cubic and a generator. Such transitions provide a good geometric insight to understand the systematic classification by Segre symbols as presented in Table 4.

Definition 2.1. A quadric is a projective variety defined as the vanishing locus in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of a degree two homogeneous polynomial $Q$ (a quadratic form). The polynomial $Q$ can be given in terms of a $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ symmetric matrix $\hat{Q}$ as $Q = x^T \hat{Q} x$, where $x^T = [x_0 : x_1 : \cdots : x_n]$ is the transpose of $x$ (the projective coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^n$). In this notation, we consider $x$ to be a column vector.

Degeneration of conics and quadric surfaces. The quadric hypersurface $Q = x^T \hat{Q} x$ is non-singular if and only if the matrix $\hat{Q}$ is non-singular. The determinant and the minors of the defining matrix $\hat{Q}$ can be used to describe the degenerations of the quadric $Q$. For example, a quadric in $\mathbb{P}^2$ is usually referred to as a conic. It degenerates into a pair of lines if the determinant of its defining matrix is zero. Furthermore, these two lines coincide if all the first minors of the defining matrix vanish. In the same way, a non-singular quadric surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ is isomorphic to the Hirzebruch surface $F_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. A quadric surface degenerates into a cone if the determinant of its defining matrix is zero. The quadric surface degenerates into a pair of planes if all the first minors of its defining matrix are zero and the two planes coincide if all the second minors vanish as well.

Segre embedding and double ruling. A smooth quadric surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ is isomorphic to the Hirzebruch surface $F_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. It can always be expressed as

$$x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_3 = 0,$$

where $[x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4]$ are projective coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^3$. The isomorphism between a quadric surface and the Hirzebruch surface $F_0$ is given explicitly

---

6The first minors are the determinants of sub-matrices of $\hat{Q}$ obtained by removing one row and one column. See Definition 3.4 on page 611.
by the Segre embedding. Let us denote the projective coordinates of \( F_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) as \([s : t] \times [u : v] \). The Segre embedding is then
\[
F_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3 : [s : t] \times [u : v] \mapsto [x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4] = [su : sv : tu : tv].
\]

A quadric surface admits two different rulings given by each of the two \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) factors in \( F_0 \). Generators of one these rulings is called a line of the quadric surface. A generator for the first (resp. second) ruling is given by a linear equation in \([u : v]\) (resp. \([s : t]\)) and is parametrized by \([s : t]\) (resp.\([u : v]\)). Two distinct generators in the same ruling do not intersect while two distinct generators in different rulings intersect at a unique point.

**Picard group and bidegree.** The Picard group of a nonsingular quadric surface is \( \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \) and each of its two generators corresponds to a fiber of one of its two rulings. These two classes intersect at a point and have zero self-intersection. It follows that curves lying on a nonsingular quadric surface are classified by their bidegree. A curve of bidegree \((p, q)\) is given by a bi-homogeneous polynomial of degree \( p \) in \([s : t]\) and \( q \) in \([u : v]\).

**Intersection numbers and genus.** A curve of bidegree \((p, q)\) meets a generator of the first (resp. second) ruling at \( p \) (resp. \( q \)) points. A smooth curve of bidegree \((p_1, q_1)\) intersects a smooth curve of bidegree \((p_2, q_2)\) at \( p_1q_2 + p_2q_1 \) points. A smooth curve of bidegree \((p, q)\) has genus \( g = (p - 1)(q - 1) \). We see immediately, that rational curves (curves of genus 0) are those with \( p = 1 \) or \( q = 1 \). All the curves of bidegree \((p, q)\) with \( p > 2 \) or \( q > 2 \) are hyperelliptic (genus 2 or higher) while the curves of bidegree \((2, 2)\) are elliptic (genus 1).

**Special curves.** Certain curves play a central role in our analysis. A line of \( \mathbb{P}^3 \) contained in the quadric surface is a rational curve of bidegree \((1, 0)\) or \((0, 1)\). It is called a generator of the quadric surface since it is a fiber of one of the two rulings of the quadric surface. A rational curve of bidegree \((1, 1)\) is a conic. A rational curve of bidegree \((1, 2)\) or \((2, 1)\) is a space cubic also called a twisted cubic. A curve of bidegree \((2, 2)\) is an elliptic curve.

An elliptic curve in a quadric surface has bidegree \((2, 2)\). We want to analyze the possible degeneration of a regular elliptic curve within its homology class.

**Degeneration into Kodaira fibers.** If the elliptic curve degenerates without splitting into several components, it can be a quartic nodal curve (Kodaira fiber \( I_1 \)) or a quartic cuspidal curve (Kodaira type \( II \)). When the
elliptic curve degenerates by splitting into multiple curves, we can use the bidegree to explore the different options. We recall that a curve of bidegree $(1, 1)$ is a conic, a curve of bidegree $(2, 1)$ or $(1, 2)$ is a twisted cubic and a curve of bidegree $(1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$ is a generator. We can see from the relations

$$(2, 2) = (1, 0) + (1, 2), \quad (2, 2) = (1, 1) + (1, 1),$$

that an elliptic curve can degenerate into a generator and a twisted cubic or into two conics. In both cases, the configuration consists of two rational curves meeting at two points (Kodaira fiber $I_2$) or at a double point when the two rational curves are tangent to each other (Kodaira fiber $III$). Since the twisted cubic could split into a conic and a line and a conic can split into two lines, the previous system can degenerate further into a triangle composed of a conic and two generators

$$(2, 2) = (1, 1) + (1, 0) + (0, 1).$$

This corresponds to a Kodaira fiber of type $I_3$. If the three curves intersect at a common point we have a Kodaira fiber of type $IV$. Since a conic can split into two lines, an elliptic curve can also degenerate into a quadrangle (Kodaira fiber of type $I_4$) composed of four generators, two from each ruling:

$$(2, 2) = (1, 0) + (0, 1) + (1, 0) + (0, 1).$$

**Non-Kodaira fibers.** Using the intersection of two quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^3$ to model an elliptic curve, there are also several non-Kodaira fibers that can naturally occur. When the elliptic curve degenerates into two conics, the two conic can coincide giving a double conic. Two generators of the same ruling in the $I_4$ fiber can coincide giving a chain of rational curves with multiplicity
1 – 2 – 1. Such a configuration can specialize further into a multiple fiber of type 2 – 2. Finally if both quadric surfaces degenerates into cones sharing the same vertex, we can have a fiber composed of four lines meeting at a point. For example, the configuration \( I_4 \) composed of four lines forming a chain of four lines can degenerate into four lines meeting at a point (a 4-star), which we denote by \( I_0^* \). If some of these four lines coincide we can have a bouquet of rational curves with multiplicity 1 – 1 – 2, 2 – 2, 1 – 3 or 4. The bouquet 2 – 2 could also be obtained in a smooth quadric surface, by taking the intersection with a double plane tangent to the quadric surface.

Non-equidimensional degeneration. When an elliptic curve is modelled by the intersection of two quadrics in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \), the two quadrics could coincide given a double quadric surface as a singular fiber. A further degeneration would give two intersecting double planes. Two double planes could also coincide to give a quadruple plane.

3. Segre’s classification of pencil of quadrics

The classification of pencils of quadrics follows the work of Segre [39] and relies on algebraic methods developed by Weierstrass in his studies of quadratic forms. We refer to [11] and chapter XI of [44] for a pedagogic and geometric introduction. A purely algebraic approach is presented in chapter XIII of the second volume of the classical book by Hodge and Pedoe[25]. The proofs of the classical results on quadric surfaces stated in this section may be found in these references.

Definition 3.1 (Pencil of quadrics). Given two quadrics \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) in \( \mathbb{P}^n \), we can consider the pencil \( Q := \lambda_1 Q_1 + \lambda_2 Q_2 \) where \([\lambda_1 : \lambda_2] \in \mathbb{P}^1\).

The vanishing of the minors of the defining matrix of the pencil \( Q \) also have a nice geometric interpretation given by the following lemmas:

Lemma 3.2 (Characterization of singularities of the complete intersection of two quadrics). If the intersection of two distinct quadrics \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) has a singular point \( p \), then either

- the determinant of their pencil is identically zero and both quadrics are singular at \( p \)
- or the determinant of their pencil is identically zero and there is a unique quadric of the pencil that is singular at \( p \)
• or the determinant of their pencil is not identically zero and there is a unique quadric $(\lambda_1Q_1 + \lambda_2Q_2)$ that is singular at $p$ and $[\lambda_1 : \lambda_2]$ is a multiple root of the determinant $\det(\lambda_1Q_1 + \lambda_2Q_2)$.

In order to describe the singularity of a pencil of quadrics, it is useful to introduce the following definitions.

**Definition 3.3 (s-Cones).** A variety $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ is said to be a cone with vertex $O$ if for any point $o$ in $O$ and any point $x$ in $C$, the line $ox$ joining the two is contained in $C$. When a cone admits a vertex which is a linear space of dimension $s$, the cone is said to be an $s$-cone. It is common to abuse the expression by simply calling a 0-cone a cone.

**Definition 3.4 (s-minors).** A $s$-minor (or a minor of order $s$) of a matrix $M$ is the determinant of a matrix obtained by removing $s$ rows and $s$ columns from $M$.

When the determinant of the pencil is not identically zero, the pencil is said to be non-degenerate. The singular fibers defined by non-degenerate pencils can be characterized using the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.5 (s-cones in a pencil of quadrics).** The discriminant of a non-degenerate pencil of quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^n$ has in general $(n + 1)$ distinct roots, each corresponding to a 0-cone. Assume that a root $r_i$ of the determinant of the pencil is also a root of all its minors up to order $s_i$ (where $s_i \geq 0$) but does not vanish for at least one minor of order $(s_i + 1)$. In such a case, the quadric is an $s_i$-cone with vertex a $s_i$-dimensional linear space and directrix a smooth quadric in a linear subspace of dimension $(n - 2 - s_i)$.

Lemma 3.5 is central to the classification of pencils of non-degenerate quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^n$. In order to describe the classification of non-degenerate pencils, we first introduce some notations that organize the essential data contained in the previous lemma. Given a pencil of quadrics determined by a matrix $\lambda_1 \hat{Q}_1 + \lambda_2 \hat{Q}_2$, we denote by $\ell_{ij}$ the minimal multiplicity of a common root $r_i$ of the determinant and all the minors of $\lambda_1 \hat{Q}_1 + \lambda_2 \hat{Q}_2$ up to order $j \leq (n + 1)$. We denote by $s_i \geq 0$ the smallest integer such that $\ell_{i,s_i} = 0$. Following Weierstrass, it is more efficient to introduce the differences $e_{ij}$ of successive $\ell_{ij}$:

$$e_{ij} = \ell_{i,j-1} - \ell_{i,j} \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, s_j.$$
We have $m_i = \sum_{j=1}^{s_j} e_{ij}$ and

$$\Delta_r := \det(\lambda_1 \hat{Q}_1 + \lambda_2 \hat{Q}_2) = \prod_{i=1}^{p} (\lambda_1 \lambda_{1,i} - \lambda_2 \lambda_{2,i})^{m_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{p} \prod_{j=1}^{s_i} (\lambda_1 \lambda_{1,i} - \lambda_2 \lambda_{2,i})^{e_{ij}}.$$ 

In order to classify pencils of quadrics, following Weierstrass, it is useful to introduce the concept of elementary divisors and characteristic numbers. Segre symbols provide an organizational tool for characteristic numbers of a pencil:

**Definition 3.6 (Elementary divisors, characteristic numbers and Segre symbols).** The factors $(r - r_i)^{e_{ij}}$ are called elementary divisors and the exponents $e_{ij}$ are called the characteristic numbers. They are efficiently organized using Segre symbols:

$$\sigma_{\hat{Q}_1 + r \hat{Q}_2} = [(e_{11}, \ldots, e_{1,s_1}) \cdots (e_{p,1}, \ldots, e_{p,s_p})],$$

where $e_{i,1} \leq e_{i,2} \cdots e_{i,s_p}$. All the characteristic numbers associated with the same root are enclosed in parentheses while the set of all roots is enclosed in square brackets. The sum of the characteristic number enclosed in the same parentheses gives the multiplicity of the corresponding root.

The following theorem provides the classification of non-degenerate pencils of quadrics using Segre symbols (we list in Table 4 the classification of non-degenerate pencils of quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^3$). The proof can be found in [25].

**Theorem 3.7 (Characterization of pencils of quadrics by Segre symbols).** Two non-degenerate pencils of quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^n$ are projectively equivalent if and only if they have the same Segre symbol and there is an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1$ identifying their roots of identical characteristic numbers.

In order to analyze the singular fibers of $D_5$ elliptic fibrations, we need to determine when the determinant of the pencil of quadrics has multiple roots and we need to determine the rank of the matrix associated with the pencil as well. The determinant of the pencil is a quartic. It admits a double root if and only if its discriminant $\Delta$ vanishes. It admits a triple root if and only if $F$ and $G$ both vanish. Finally, the quartic admits a quadruple root if and only if $(q_4, q_3, q_2, q_1)$ is proportional to $(q_3, q_2, q_1, q_0)$. The quartic has two
double roots if and only if it is the square of a quadric, which implies that 
\[ q_4 q_1^2 - q_3^2 q_0 = 2 q_3^3 + q_4^2 q_1 - 3 q_4 q_3 q_2 = 0. \]
These classical results are proven for example in chapter 1 of [6], which are summarized in Table 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multiple roots</th>
<th>General conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One double root</td>
<td>( \Delta = 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One triple root</td>
<td>( F = G = 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two double roots</td>
<td>[ q_4 q_1^2 - q_3^2 q_0 = 2 q_3^3 + q_4^2 q_1 - 3 q_4 q_3 q_2 = 0 ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One quadruple root</td>
<td>( \text{rank} \left( \begin{array}{cccc} q_4 &amp; q_3 &amp; q_2 &amp; q_1 \ q_3 &amp; q_2 &amp; q_1 &amp; q_0 \end{array} \right) = 1 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Multiple roots for the quartic \( q_0 + 4 q_1 r + 6 q_2 r^2 + 4 q_3 r^3 + q_4 r^4 \).

4. Analysis of the \( D_5 \) elliptic fibrations with four sections

The matrix of the pencil describing our canonical choice for a \( D_5 \) elliptic fibration with four sections is

\[
(4.17) \quad \lambda_1 \hat{Q}_1 + \lambda_2 \hat{Q}_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\lambda_2}{2} e \\
0 & -\lambda_1 & 0 & -\frac{\lambda_2}{2} f \\
0 & 0 & \lambda_2 & -\frac{\lambda_1}{2} \\
-\frac{\lambda_2}{2} e & -\frac{\lambda_2}{2} f & -\frac{\lambda_1}{2} & -\lambda_1 a - \lambda_2 d
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Computing the discriminant, we get

\[
(4.18) \quad 4 \text{det}(\lambda_1 \hat{Q}_1 + \lambda_2 \hat{Q}_2) = q_0 \lambda_1^4 + 4 q_1 \lambda_1^3 \lambda_2 + 6 q_2 \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 + 4 q_3 \lambda_1 \lambda_2^3 + q_4 \lambda_2^4,
\]

where

\[
(4.19) \quad q_0 = c^2, \quad q_1 = \frac{1}{4}(4a - c^2), \quad q_2 = \frac{2}{3}(d - a),
\]

\[
q_3 = \frac{1}{4}(-4d - f^2 + e^2), \quad q_4 = f^2.
\]

The rank of the matrix \( (\lambda_1 \hat{Q}_1 + \lambda_2 \hat{Q}_2) \) of the pencil will be useful to determine the singular fibers. It is given by the following lemma which is also summarized in Table 8. The proof of this lemma is by direct computation of the cofactors of different order.

Lemma 4.1 (Rank of the pencil of quadrics). The rank of the matrix in Equation (4.17) is never less than 2. The matrix has rank 3 for a general
Table 8: Rank of the pencil of quadrics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank of $\mathcal{Q}_r$</th>
<th>General conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$\Delta = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$e = 4(a + d) + c^2 - f^2 = 0$ ($\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or $a = c = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or $f = 4d - e^2 = 0$ ($\lambda_1 = 0$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>never</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

point of $\Delta = 0$. The rank is 2 when $e = 4(a + d) + c^2 - f^2 = 0$ or $a = c = 0$ or $f = 4d - e^2 = 0$ and the corresponding roots are respectively $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$, $\lambda_2$, and $\lambda_1$.

**Remark 4.2 (Absence of fibers with components of higher multiplicity or dimension).** With our choice of canonical model for a $D_5$ elliptic fibration with four sections, we have seen that the rank is never lower than 2. It follows from a direct inspection of Table 4 that no singular fibers of our model admit Segre symbols of type $[1(111)]$, $[(211)]$ or $[(1111)]$. They correspond respectively to a double conic, two double lines and a double quadric and they all have rank 2 or lower. Moreover, we cannot have type $[(22)]$ since it never happens that all the minors of order 2 have a double root. The symbol $[(22)]$ corresponds to two lines and a double line. All these fibers ($[1(111)]$, $[(211)]$, $[(1111)]$ and $[(22)]$) are those that are of higher dimension or that have components with multiplicities. We see that our choice of fibration has eliminated them from the spectrum of singular fibers.

**4.1. Kodaira symbols vs Segre symbols**

Following the previous remark, we are then left with the 9 symbols $[112]$, $[11(11)]$, $[13]$, $[1(21)]$, $[22]$, $[(11)(11)]$, $[4]$, $[(31)]$ and $[(211)]$. Some of these symbols lead to the same type of Kodaira fibers. This is because in $\mathbb{P}^3$ a line, a plane conic and a twisted cubic are all rational curves (birationally equivalent to a $\mathbb{P}^1$):

$$a \text{ line} \cong \text{ conic} \cong \text{ twisted cubic} \cong \mathbb{P}^1.$$
For example type $[22]$ and type $[11(11)]$ both give Kodaira type $I_2$ (two rational curves intersecting at two distinct points):

$$[22], \ [11(11)] \Rightarrow I_2$$

For $[22]$ the two rational curves consist of a twisted cubic and a line and for $[11(11)]$, the two rational curves are both conics. In both cases, when the two rational curves become tangent to each other, we have a fiber of Kodaira type $III$. In terms of Segre symbols, it corresponds to type $[1(21)]$ and $[4]$, respectively for two tangent conics and the twisted cubic and its tangent line.

$$[1(21)], \ [4] \Rightarrow III.$$  

All the remaining fibers can be simply understood by further degenerations of the two conics. If one of the conic degenerates into two lines crossing away from the other conic, we have type Kodaira type $I_3$ (three rational curves intersecting as a triangle). If the two lines intersect on the conic, we have Kodaira type $IV$ (three rational curves meeting at a point). If both conics degenerate into two lines, we obtain a fiber of Kodaira type $I_4$ (four rational curves intersecting as a quadrangle).

### 4.2. Pencils of rank 3

There are four types of pencils of rank 3. Two of them correspond to irreducible fibers: the nodal quartic (Segre symbol $[112]$) and the cuspidal quartic (Segre symbol $[13]$). The two others are composed of two irreducible components (Segre symbol $[22]$ and $[4]$): a twisted cubic and a projective line. The different between the two reducible fibers of rank 3 is the way the two components intersect: when they intersect at two points, we have the Segre symbol $[22]$ and when the line is tangent to the twisted cubic we have the Segre symbol $[4]$.

### 4.3. Pencils of rank 2

When the quadric $Q_1 + rQ_2$ has rank 2, it means that all the first minors are zero but at least one second order minor is non-zero. When the rank is two for $r = r_0$, it is useful to use $Q_1 + r_0Q_2$ as one generator of the quadric and $Q_1$ or $Q_2$ as the other. Since for $r \neq 0$ we have $Q_r = 1/rQ_1 + Q_2 = Q_1 + rQ_2$, therefore we define $Q_\infty$ as $Q_2$. Using our choice of elliptic fibration, we have seen that $\text{rank}(Q_r) = 2$ if and only if $r = 0$ or $r = \infty$ or $r = 1$. In these
three cases, we can take the defining equation of the elliptic fibration to be \( Q_1 = Q_2 = 0 \) (for \( r = 0 \) or \( r = \infty \)) and \( Q_1 + Q_2 = Q_1 = 0 \) for \( r = 1 \). In all these cases, each of the two planes will cut the second quadric along a conic and the two conics will intersect at two points. That is type \( I_2 \) on Kodaira's list while the Segre symbol is \([11(11)]\). If the two intersecting points coincide, it means that the line defined by the intersecting of the two planes intersects the second conic at double points. This is only possible if it is tangent to the conic. The corresponding Segre symbol is \([1(21)]\). The two conics are then also tangent to each other and we have Kodaira type \( III \). If one of the conic splits into two lines, it means that one of the plane is defined by two directrices passing by the same point of the second quadric. This corresponds to the Segre symbol \([2(11)]\) and Kodaira type \( I_3 \) since the second conic and the two directrices form a triangle. When the second conic and the two directrices intersect at the same point, we have the Segre symbol \([31]\) and Kodaira type \( IV \) (a conic and two lines meeting at a point). When the two quadrics split into planes, we have the Segre symbol \([11(11)]\): four screw lines forming a quadrangle. This corresponds to Kodaira type \( I_4 \). We could consider cases, where some of these lines coincide, but it does not happen in our case. The ultimate case, is the singular case where all of the lines intersect at the same point. This is not degenerate pencil and it is not in Kodaira list. We denote it by \( I_0^* \).

5. Sethi-Vafa-Witten formulas

In F-theory, the Euler characteristic of an elliptic fibration \( \varphi : Y \to B \) plays an important role in the cancellation of the D3 tadpole in the case of compactification with Calabi-Yau fourfolds [41]. It also appears in the condition for the cancellation of anomalies of six dimensional theories resulting from a compactification of F-theory on a Calabi-Yau threefold [23]. By the Poincaré-Hopf (or Gauss-Bonnet) theorem, the Euler characteristic of a smooth variety may be computed as the degree of its total Chern class, i.e., \( \chi(Y) = \int c(Y) \). As such integrals are invariant under proper pushforward of the integrand, we can compute the Euler characteristic \( Y \) solely in terms of Chern classes on the base \( B \) once a proper pushforward \( \varphi_\ast c(Y) \) is computed, i.e.,

\[
\chi(Y) = \int_Y c(Y) = \int_B \varphi_\ast c(Y).
\]

An expression of the Euler characteristic of the fibration in terms of topological numbers of the base is commonly referred to as a Sethi-Vafa-Witten formula in the F-theory literature since these three authors produced the
first example of such a formula in their analysis of elliptically fibered Calabi-
Yau fourfolds of type $E_8$ [41]. Klemm-Lian-Roan-Yau have obtained general
results for Calabi-Yau elliptic fibrations of type $E_n$ ($n = 8, 7, 6$) over a base
of arbitrary dimension [28]. In the case of elliptic fourfolds, they obtained
the following

**Theorem 5.1 ([28, 41]).** Let $Y \to B$ an elliptically fibered Calabi-Yau
fourfold respectively of type $E_8$, $E_7$, $E_6$ and $D_5$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
E_8: \quad \chi(Y) &= 12c_1(B)c_2(B) + 360c_3(B), \\
E_7: \quad \chi(Y) &= 12c_1(B)c_2(B) + 144c_3(B), \\
E_6: \quad \chi(Y) &= 12c_1(B)c_2(B) + 72c_3(B), \\
D_5: \quad \chi(Y) &= 12c_1(B)c_2(B) + 36c_3(B).
\end{align*}
$$

It was later emphasized by Aluffi-Esole [1, 2] that it is much more effi-
cient to consider Sethi-Vafa-Witten formulas for the Euler characteristic as
numerical avatars of a much more general relation valid at the level of the
total homology Chern classes. In that form, the Sethi-Vafa-Witten formula
for $E_n$ ($n = 6, 7, 8$) fibrations takes a particular compact form valid over a
base of arbitrary dimension and void of any Calabi-Yau hypothesis [2]. From
these relations one can easily glean the simple geometric fact that the Euler
characteristic of $E_n$ ($n = 6, 7, 8$) elliptic fibrations is but a simple multiple
of the Euler characteristic of a hypersurface in the base:

**Theorem 5.2 ([1, 2]).** Let $\varphi : Y \to B$ be an elliptic fibration of type $E_n$
($n = 6, 7, 8$). Such an elliptic fibration is the zero locus of a section of the line
bundle $\mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^m$ on the total space of the (weighted) projective bundle
$\pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \to B$, where $m$ is respectively $(3, 4, 6)$ for $(E_6, E_7, E_8)$. Then

$$
\varphi_*c(Y) = (10 - n)\frac{mL}{1 + mL}c(B) = (10 - n)c(Z_m),
$$

where $Z_m$ is a smooth hypersurface in the base defined as the zero locus of a
section of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}^m$. Moreover, the elliptic fibration is Calabi-Yau,
if and only if $c_1(\mathcal{L}) = c_1(B)$.

We then immediately arrive at the following

**Corollary 5.3.** Let $\varphi : Y \to B$ be an elliptic fibration of type $E_n$ ($n =
6, 7, 8$) over a base of dimension $d$. Then
\[ \chi(Y) = (10 - n) \sum_{k=1}^{d} (-1)^{k+1} (mL)^k c_{d-k}(B), \]

where \( m = 3, 4, 6 \) respectively for the \( E_6 \), \( E_7 \) and \( E_8 \) cases.

### 5.1. Sethi-Vafa-Witten for \( D_5 \) elliptic fibrations

In this subsection, we obtain a Sethi-Vafa-Witten formula at the level of the total Chern class for a smooth \( D_5 \) elliptic fibration without any Calabi-Yau hypothesis and over a base of arbitrary dimension. We start by computing the pushforward of the total Chern class of the \( D_5 \) elliptic fibration:

**Theorem 5.4.** Let \( \varphi : Y \to B \) be a \( D_5 \) elliptic fibration and \( L = c_1(\mathcal{L}) \).

Then

\[ \varphi_* c(Y) = \frac{4L(3 + 5L)}{(1 + 2L)^2} c(B) = 6c(Z_2) - c(Z_{2,2}), \]

where \( Z_2 \) denotes a divisor in the base of class \( 2L \) and \( Z_{2,2} \) denotes a codimension 2 subvariety of the base of class \( (2L)^2 \).

**Proof.** Let \( H = c_1(\mathcal{O}(1)) \) and let \( L \) denote both \( c_1(\mathcal{L}) \) and \( \pi^*c_1(\mathcal{L}) \). Using adjunction along with the exact sequences

\[
0 \to T_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}/B \to T_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})} \to \pi^*TB \to 0 \\
0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})} \to \pi^*\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}(1) \to T_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}/B \to 0
\]

we get that

\[ i_*c(Y) = \frac{(1 + H)(1 + H + L)^3}{(1 + 2H + 2L)^2} \pi^*c(TB) \cap [Y] \]
\[ = \frac{(1 + H)(1 + H + L)^3(2H + 2L)^2}{(1 + 2H + 2L)^2} \pi^*c(B), \]

where \( i : Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \) is the inclusion. Thus
$$\varphi_* c(Y) = \pi_* \left( \frac{(1 + H)(1 + H + L)^3(2H + 2L)^2}{(1 + 2H + 2L)^2} \right) c(B)$$

by the projection formula. Then by the pushforward formula of [22] we get that

$$\pi_* \left( \frac{(1 + H)(1 + H + L)^3(2H + 2L)^2}{(1 + 2H + 2L)^2} \right) = \frac{4L(3 + 5L)}{(1 + 2L)^2}$$

$$= 6 \cdot \frac{2L}{1 + 2L} - \frac{4L^2}{(1 + 2L)^2}$$

from which the theorem follows. \[\square\]

Exploiting the fact that $\int_Y c(Y) = \int_B \varphi_* c(Y)$, we obtain the following

**Corollary 5.5.** The Euler characteristic of a smooth $D_5$ elliptic fibration over a base of dimension $d$ is

$$(5.23) \quad \chi(Y) = 6\chi(Z_2) - \chi(Z_{2,2}) = - \sum_{k=1}^{d} (-2)^k (5 + k)L^k c_{d-k}(B).$$

In particular

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{cases} 
\dim B = 1, & \chi(Y) = 12L, \\
\dim B = 2, & \chi(Y) = 12Lc_1 - 28L^2, \\
\dim B = 3, & \chi(Y) = 12Lc_2 - 28L^2c_1 + 64L^3.
\end{cases}$$

To recover the formula for the Euler characteristic of a $D_5$ Calabi-Yau fourfold as given in Theorem 5.1 and more generally consider the physical relevance of $D_5$ fibrations, we need the following

**Proposition 5.6.** Let $\varphi : Y \to B$ be a $D_5$ elliptic fibration. Then $Y$ is Calabi-Yau if and only if $c_1(\mathcal{L}) = c_1(B)$.

*Proof.* Again, using adjunction and the exact sequences listed at the outset of the proof of Proposition 5.4, we get that

$$(5.25) \quad K_Y = \pi^*(L - c_1(B)),$$

where $L = c_1(\mathcal{L})$. Thus $K_Y = 0$ if and only if $L = c_1(B)$. \[\square\]
Using the well known fact any Calabi-Yau fourfold $Y$ has arithmetic genus
\[ \chi_0(Y) = 2 = \frac{1}{12}c_1(B)c_2(B) \] (as we will see more explicitly in the next subsection), we obtain the following simplification of the formula for the topological Euler characteristic of a $D_5$ Calabi-Yau fourfold:
\begin{equation}
\chi(Y) = 288 + 36c_1(B)^3.
\end{equation}
Thus $\chi(Y)$ only depends on the first Chern class of the anti-canonical bundle of $B$. Moreover, if $c_3^3(B)$ is odd, $\chi(Y)$ is divisible by 12 but not by 24.

5.2. Todd class of a $D_5$ elliptic fibration

In the case $Y$ is a projective variety, the following proposition provides a simple expression for the Todd class of an elliptic fibration of type $D_5$:

**Proposition 5.7.** Let $\varphi : Y \to B$ be a $D_5$ elliptic fibration and let $Z$ be a hypersurface in $B$ such that $\mathcal{O}_B(Z) \cong \mathcal{O}$. Then
\begin{equation}
\varphi^*Td(Y) = (1 - e^{-L})Td(B) = \chi(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z).
\end{equation}

**Proof.** As Todd classes are multiplicative with respect to exact sequences just as Chern classes are, we proceed as in the proof of Proposition 5.4. Similar considerations yield
\begin{equation}
i^*Td(Y) = \frac{H(H + L)^3(1 - e^{(-2H-2L)^2})}{(1 - e^{-H})(1 - e^{-L})^3}\pi^*Td(B)
\end{equation}
where $i : Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(E)$ is the inclusion. So again, computing $\varphi^*Td(Y)$ amounts to computing
\begin{equation}
\pi_* \left( \frac{H(H + L)^3(1 - e^{(-2H-2L)^2})}{(1 - e^{-H})(1 - e^{-L})^3} \right) = (1 - e^{-L})
\end{equation}
The first equality of the proposition follows by the pushforward formula of [22]. Keeping in mind that $L = [D]$, the second equality $(1 - e^{-L})Td(B) = \chi(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ follows from the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem. More precisely, the structure sheaf sequence $0 \to \mathcal{O}_B(-Z) \to \mathcal{O}_B \to \mathcal{O}_Z \to 0$ gives a locally free resolution of $\mathcal{O}_Y$. The Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula then gives
\[ \chi(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = \chi(B, \mathcal{O}_B) - \chi(B, \mathcal{O}(-Z)) = Td(B) - e^{-[Z]}Td(B) = (1 - e^{-L})Td(B). \]
Remark 5.8. The relation we obtained for the pushforward of the Todd class of a $D_5$ elliptic fibration actually is valid for the $E_n$ ($n = 6, 7, 8$) cases as well, which can be used to check directly that $c_1(B)c_2(B) = 24$ for a Calabi-Yau fourfold of type $D_5, E_6, E_7$ and $E_8$. In Appendix A, we present a more general derivation valid for any flat genus-$g$ curve fibration using the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem, from which the $D_5, E_6, E_7$ and $E_8$ cases will be but a corollary.

5.3. Relations for the Hodge numbers

Again, using the pushforward formula of [22] and the fact that $c_1(B)c_2(B) = 24$ for the base of a Calabi-Yau $E_n$ fourfold, one easily obtains Sethi-Vafa-Witten formulas for the arithmetic genera $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$ of Calabi-Yau $E_n$ fourfolds thus giving us linear relations on the non-trivial Hodge numbers of such a fourfold $Y$ by Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch:

$$\begin{align*}
\chi_1(D_5) &= -40 - 6c_1(B)^3, & \chi_2(D_5) &= 204 + 24c_1(B)^3, \\
\chi_1(E_6) &= -40 - 12c_1(B)^3, & \chi_2(E_6) &= 204 + 48c_1(B)^3, \\
\chi_1(E_7) &= -40 - 24c_1(B)^3, & \chi_2(E_7) &= 204 + 96c_1(B)^3, \\
\chi_1(E_8) &= -40 - 60c_1(B)^3, & \chi_2(E_8) &= 204 + 240c_1(B)^3,
\end{align*}$$

(5.28)

where

$$\chi_1(Y) = h^{1,2}(Y) - h^{1,1}(Y) - h^{1,3}(Y)$$

and

$$\chi_2(Y) = h^{2,2}(Y) - 2h^{1,2}(Y)$$

by Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch. We note that since $Y$ is a Calabi-Yau fourfold, we have $h^{1,0}(Y) = h^{2,0} = h^{3,0} = h^{4,0}(Y) = 1 = 0$ and therefore $h^{1,1}(Y) = b_2(Y)$ and $2h^{1,2}(Y) = b_3(Y)$ (where $b_i(Y)$ denotes the $i$th Betti number). As such, all that is needed to compute the Hodge numbers of such a fibration are its second and third Betti numbers along with the formulas above. So if the second and third Betti numbers can be computed as functions of topological numbers of the base $B$, all non-trivial Hodge numbers would then be dependent solely on the topology of the base.

6. Weak coupling limits

The weak coupling limit of F-theory was first introduced by Sen[40], establishing a clear connection between F-theory and type IIB orientifold theories.
The procedure involved smoothly deforming the F-theory elliptic fibration until all the fibers become singular. In particular, the fibers consisted only of nodal curves over a dense open subset \( U \) of the base \( B \), and cuspidal curves on the (closed) complement \( B \setminus U \) which was where the type IIB orientifold was to be placed. As nodal curves have \( j \)-invariant of \( \infty \) (which are a special case of semi-stable curves in algebro-geometric parlance), and cuspidal curves have an undefined \( j \)-invariant of \( \frac{1}{0} \) (which are said to be unstable curves), in [2] a purely geometric description of a weak coupling limit for an arbitrary elliptic fibration was abstracted from the special case of Sen’s limit by choosing a specialization from a semi-stable fiber to an unstable fiber, and then deforming the elliptic fibration until the stable fiber lies over a dense open subset of the base and the unstable fiber lies over the complement. Thus the more singular fibers an elliptic fibration admits the more possibilities you have to choose from for semi-stable to unstable specializations, and so more potential weak coupling limits to explore (for a detailed description of this program, we again refer the interested reader to[2]). \( D_5 \) fibrations with their rich structure of singular fibers admit a total of ten stable to semi-stable transitions, providing potentially ten avenues in which to pursue weak coupling limits. In particular, in the case of \( D_5 \) we obtain for the first time a weak coupling limit involving a non-Kodaira fiber, and show that it leads to a type IIB orientifold theory with three (distinct) pairs of brane-image-branes. We also verify the “universal tadpole relation” corresponding to this type IIB configuration, which is a Chern class identity involving the Chern classes of the elliptic fibration, and Chern classes of divisors in the base corresponding to the orientifold and D-branes. As in [1, 2], the identity holds without any Calabi-Yau hypothesis and over a base of arbitrary dimension. Furthermore, we show that the type IIB orientifold configuration with three brane-image-brane pairs is the only configuration satisfying the universal tadpole relation in the \( D_5 \) case.

6.1. Sen’s limit

In the seminal work of Sen[40], the weak coupling limit of F-theory was first introduced as an orientifold limit of a smooth elliptic fibration in Weierstrass form (or an \( E_8 \) fibration):

\[
Y : y^2 = x^3 + f x z^2 + g z^3
\]

Here, \( Y \) sits in a \( \mathbb{P}^2 \)-bundle and \( f \) and \( g \) are appropriate sections of line bundles over the base. Such a fibration has nodal fibers over a generic point of
the discriminant hypersurface $\Delta : (4f^3 + 27g^2 = 0)$ and the nodal curve specializes to a cusp over $f = g = 0$. To obtain a degenerate fibration in which all fibers are singular (and so realize the type IIB scenario), we parameterize the discriminant using the traditional normalization of the cusp:

$$h \mapsto (f, g) = (-3h^2, -2h^3),$$

leading us to define the degenerate fibration

$$Y_h : y^2 = x^3 - 3h^2x - 2h^3.$$

The fibers of $Y_h$ over points $O : (h = 0)$ are all cuspidal type $II$ fibers (and so unstable), and the fibers over $B \setminus O$ are all nodal type $I_1$ fibers (and so semi-stable). To obtain $Y_h$ as a smooth deformation of $Y$, we perturb $f$ and $g$ by adding independent sections multiplied by a (complex) deformation parameter $\epsilon$ to obtain a family of generically smooth fibrations $Y_h(\epsilon)$ in such a way that $Y_h$ is the flat limit of $Y_h(\epsilon)$ as $\epsilon \to 0$:

$$\epsilon \mapsto Y_h(\epsilon) : y^2z = x^3 + fxz^2 + gz^3$$

(6.29) Sen’s Weak coupling limit : $(I_1 \to II)$

$$f = -3h^2 + \epsilon \eta$$
$$g = -2h^3 + \epsilon h \eta + \epsilon^2 \chi.$$

We can associate with this limit a double cover of the base

(6.30) $X : \zeta^2 - h = 0,$

which is branched over the hypersurface $Q : h = 0$. The discriminant and $j$-invariant take the following form at leading order in $\epsilon$:

(6.31) $\Delta \sim \epsilon^2 h^2(\eta^2 + 12h\chi), \quad j \sim \frac{h^4}{\epsilon^2(\eta^2 + 12h\chi)}.$

We then pullback the limiting discriminant $\Delta_h : h^2(\eta^2 + 12h\chi) = 0$ via the projection $\rho : X \to B$ of the double cover to obtain divisors in $X$ corresponding to the orientifold and the D7-brane:

(6.32) $\rho^* \Delta_h : \zeta^4(\eta^2 + 12\zeta^2\chi) = 0.$

The orientifold is then located at $O : \zeta = 0$ and the D7-brane wraps the locus $D : \eta^2 + 12\zeta^2\chi = 0$. Tadpole matching between F-theory and type IIB
predicts that
\[(6.33) \quad 2\chi(Y) = 4\chi(O) + \chi(D),\]
where the LHS of Equation (6.33) corresponds to the F-theory tadpole and the RHS of (6.33) corresponds to the type IIB tadpole. As $D$ has generalized Whitney umbrella singularities (in [1] it was descriptively referred to as a Whitney $D7$-brane), its Euler characteristic must be defined in an appropriate manner, as singular varieties admit several generalizations of topological Euler characteristic. Let $\pi : \overline{D} \to B$ be the normalization of $D$ composed with the projection to $B$ and let $S : \zeta = \eta = \chi = 0$ be the pinch locus of $D$ in $X$. Then taking
\[(6.34) \quad \chi(D) := \chi(\overline{D}) - \chi(S)\]
turns out to be a notion of Euler characteristic which satisfies (4.5), as shown in [1]. Furthermore, it was also shown in [1] that the tadpole relation holds at the level of total homology Chern classes (with pinch locus correction as in 6.34), without any Calabi-Yau hypothesis on $Y$ and over a base of arbitrary dimension. Indeed, the physical considerations leading to (6.34) provide a powerful ansatz from a purely geometric perspective, as it is not at all obvious why such a general Chern class identity should hold.

6.2. Geometric generalization

Weak coupling limits were generalized to other fibrations not in Weierstrass form such as $E_7$ and $E_6$ fibrations in [2]. In the weak coupling limit, the discriminant factorizes as follows
\[\Delta = h^{2+n}\Delta_1\Delta_2 \cdots \Delta_k, \quad j \sim h^{4-n}/(\Delta_1\Delta_2 \cdots \Delta_k), \quad 0 \leq n \leq 4.\]
where $h$ is a section of $\mathcal{L}^2$. One can also define a double cover of the base branched over $h = 0$. This is the variety $\iota : X \to B$ such that $X : \zeta^2 = h$. This is known as the orientifold limit of F-theory. The orientifold is the invariant locus of $X$ under the involution $\zeta \mapsto -\zeta$. This is the divisor $\zeta = 0$ in the double cover and it projects to $h = 0$ in the base. If $n = 0$ the spectrum is composed of an orientifold and $D7$-branes wrapping the divisors $\Delta_i$. If $n > 0$, we have a bound state of an orientifold and $n$ brane-image-brane pairs wrapping the same divisor $\zeta = 0$ in the double cover and there are also branes wrapping the divisors $\Delta_i$. The divisors can take the following particular shapes:
1) **An invariant brane.** When $\Delta_i$ does not depend on $h$.

2) **A Whitney brane.** When $\Delta_i : \eta^2 - h\chi = 0$, it has the structure of a cone. But in the double cover, its pullback has the structure of a Whitney umbrella $\rho^*\Delta : \eta^2 - \zeta^2\chi = 0$. Such a divisor has double point singularities along the codimension one loci $\eta = \zeta = 0$. The singularity enhances to a cuspidal-like singularity at the codimension two loci $\zeta = \eta = \chi = 0$. In F-theory, the Euler characteristic of such a singular divisor is defined in [1, 15]. One first normalize the divisor and then takes its stringy Euler characteristic.

3) **A brane-image-brane.** $\Delta_i : \eta^2 - h\psi^2 = 0$. This is a specialization of the Whitney brane with $\chi = \psi^2$. In such a case, when we go to the double cover, we have a brane-image-brane pair $\varphi^*\Delta_i = D_{i+} + D_{i-}$ with $D_{i\pm} : \eta \pm \zeta\psi = 0$. Such a brane-image-brane pair is not in the same homology class as the orientifold. If $\Delta_i = h - \eta^2$, we obtain in the double cover a brane-image-brane pair $\rho^*\Delta : D_{i+} + D_{i-}$ with $D_{i\pm} : \eta \pm \xi = 0$. Such a brane-image-brane is in the same homology class as the orientifold and coincide with it when $\eta = 0$.

Given a weak coupling limit, the physics of D-branes requires that

$$8[O] = \sum_k [D_k].$$

This condition is naturally satisfied with an elliptic fibration since $\Delta$ is a section of $L^{12}$. Moreover, comparing the contribution of curvature to the D3 tadpole in type IIB and in F-theory, we have the tadpole relation

$$2\chi(Y) = 4\chi(O) + \sum_k \chi(D_k).$$

In the case of $E_n$ ($n = 8, 7, 6$), this physical requirement was shown in [1, 2] to be related to a more general relation true at the level of the total Chern class:

$$2\varphi_*c(Y) = 4\rho_*c(O) + \sum_k \rho_*c(D_k).$$

In the next section, we will present the first example of a weak coupling limit of a $D_5$ elliptic fibration.
6.3. A $D_5$ limit

$D_5$ elliptic fibrations with four sections have a total of 8 types of singular fibers with a rich structure of enhancement. It is easy to see (e.g. by glancing at Figure 1) that they naturally lead to $4 + 3 + 2 + 1 = 10$ different types of transitions from stable to semi-stable fibers:

\begin{align*}
I_1 & \rightarrow II, III, IV, I_0^* - , \\
I_2 & \rightarrow III, IV, I_0^* - , \\
I_3 & \rightarrow IV, I_0^* - , \\
I_4 & \rightarrow I_0^* - .
\end{align*}

As we have expressed the fiber by their Kodaira notation, it is important to keep in mind that some of these Kodaira fibers (namely, $I_2$ and $III$) correspond to several non-equivalent Segre symbols.

We will present a limit defined by the specialization $I_2 \rightarrow III$, which enhances further to an $I_0^* -$ fiber, i.e., the non-Kodaira fiber consisting of a bouquet of four $\mathbb{P}^1$'s meeting at a point. A fiber of type $I_2$ can be realized by two conics intersecting at two distinct points (Segre symbol $[11(11)]$) or by a twisted cubic meeting at secant [22]. In the same way, a fiber of type $III$ can be realized by two conics tangent at a point (Segre symbol $[1(21)]$) or by a twisted cubic and a tangent line (Segre symbol $[4]$). In the case at hand, the fiber $I_2$ is realized by two conics meeting at two points and the fiber $III$ is realized when the two conics become tangent to each other. To be specific, the two conics will be obtained by allowing the quadric $Q_1$ to degenerate into two planes. The intersection of each of these planes with $Q_2$ will give one of the two conics. The intersection of the two planes is a line which generally intersects the second quadric at two points, which are the points of intersection of the two conics. However, when the line becomes tangent to the second quadric surface, the two conics are tangent to each other and gives a fiber of type $III$ (Segre symbol $[1(21)]$). The degeneration can be simply expressed by the following conditions

\[ a = \epsilon \chi, \quad c = \epsilon \eta \quad d = h, \quad e = \phi_1 + \phi_2, \quad f = \phi_1 - \phi_2, \]

where $\epsilon$ is the deformation parameter. We obtain the following family of fibrations:

\begin{align*}
Y_h(\epsilon) : \begin{cases} x^2 - y^2 - z\epsilon(\chi z + \eta w) = 0 \\ w^2 - x^2 - z[hz + (\phi_1 + \phi_2)x + (\phi_1 - \phi_2)y]\end{cases} = 0.
\end{align*}
In the flat limit of \( Y_h(\epsilon) \) as \( \epsilon \to 0 \), we obtain a degenerate fibration \( Y_h \), whose fibers over \( B \setminus (h = 0) \) are of type \( I_2 \) (realized by the Segre symbol \([11(11)]\): two conics meeting transversally at two points), the fibers above \( O : (h = 0) \) are generically of type \( III \) (realized by the Segre symbol \([1(21)]\): two conics tangent at a point), and the fiber enhances further (inside \( O \)) to an \( I^{*0}_0 \) fiber (i.e., the non-Kodaira fiber consisting of a “bouquet” of four \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)'s meeting at a point) when \( \phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0 \), satisfying necessary conditions for a weak coupling limit as established in [2]. The discriminant and \( j \)-invariant then take the following form at leading order in \( \epsilon \):

\[
\Delta \sim \epsilon^2 h^2 (h - \phi_1^2)(h - \phi_2^2)(\eta^2 - \chi^2),
\]

\[
j \sim \frac{h^4}{\epsilon^2 (h - \phi_1^2)(h - \phi_2^2)(\eta^2 - \chi^2)}.
\]

We see from this expression that as \( \epsilon \to 0 \), the \( j \)-invariant will diverge to infinity, which ensures that the generic fibers will be semi-stable.

This tells us that \( h = 0 \) is the location of an orientifold in the base and \((h - \phi_1^2), (h - \phi_2^2) \) and \((\eta^2 - \chi^2) \) will pullback to brane-image-brane pairs in a double cover of the base. We then consider the double cover of the base \( \rho : X \to B \), where \( X \) is a hypersurface in the total space of \( \mathcal{L} \) given by

\[X : \zeta^2 = h,\]

where \( \zeta \) is a section of \( \mathcal{L} \). The fact that \( j \sim h^4 \) tells us we have a pure orientifold residing at \( O : (\zeta = 0) \). To locate the varieties upon which the D7-branes wrap, we pullback the limiting discriminant \( \Delta_h : (h^2 (h - \phi_1^2)(h - \phi_2^2)(\eta^2 - \chi^2) = 0) \) via \( \rho \) to obtain the location of the D7-branes:

\[
\rho^* \Delta_h : \zeta^4 (\zeta + \phi_1)(\zeta - \phi_1)(\zeta + \phi_2)(\zeta - \phi_2)(\zeta \eta + \chi)(\zeta \eta - \chi) = 0.
\]

We then see that we have three pairs of brane-image-branes intersecting the orientifold \( O : (\zeta = 0) \):

\[D_{1\pm} : \zeta \pm \phi_1 = 0, \quad D_{2\pm} : \zeta \pm \phi_2 = 0, \quad D_{3\pm} : \zeta \eta \pm \chi = 0.\]

We note that \( D_{1\pm} \) and \( D_{2\pm} \) are in the same homological class as the orientifold \( O \) while \( D_{3\pm} \) are in the class \( 2O \). Tadpole matching between F-theory and type IIB predicts the following relation

\[
2\chi(Y) = 4\chi(O) + 4\chi(D) + 2\chi(D_3) = 8\chi(O) + 2\chi(D_3),
\]
where $D$ and $D_3$ are divisors in $X$ of class $[D] = [D_{1\pm}] = [D_{2\pm}] = L$ and $[D_3] = [D_{3\pm}] = 2L$. Not only does relation (4.12) indeed hold, we show in the next subsection that relation (4.12) can be obtained by integrating both sides of the following Chern class identity:

$$\varphi_\ast c(Y) = \rho_\ast (4c(O) + c(D_3)).$$

6.4. Universal tadpole relations

As the Chern class identity (4.13) holds without any Calabi-Yau hypothesis on our $D_5$ elliptic fibration $\varphi : Y \to B$ or any restrictions on the dimension of $B$, in [2], such an identity was coined a “universal tadpole relation”. We classify such universal tadpole relations corresponding to configurations of smooth branes arising from the weak coupling limit of a $D_5$ model and find that there is only one such relation, namely (4.13), corresponding to an orientifold and three brane-image-brane pairs. Interestingly, in [2], it was shown that $E_7$ fibrations admit a unique universal tadpole relation corresponding to an orientifold and one brane-image-brane pair and $E_6$ fibrations admit a unique universal tadpole relation corresponding to an orientifold and two brane-image-brane pairs. The fact that $D_5$ fibrations seem to stand next in line to the $E_7$ and $E_6$ cases respectively as they admit a unique universal tadpole relation corresponding to an orientifold and three brane-image-branes is compelling, as $E_7$, $E_6$ and $D_5$ fibrations admit $2 = 1 + 1$, $3 = 2 + 1$ and $4 = 3 + 1$ sections respectively.

A universal tadpole relation for an elliptic fibration is generically of the form:

$$2\varphi_\ast c(Y) = \rho_\ast \left( \sum_i c(D_i) \right),$$

where the $D_i$s are divisors of class $a_iL$ in $X$ corresponding to orientifolds and/or D-branes, and $L = \rho^\ast c_1(\mathcal{L})$. As the (pullback) of the discriminant is of class $12L$, we necessarily have $\sum a_i = 12$. Now a general divisor $D$ of class $aL$ ($a \in \mathbb{Z}$) has Chern class

$$c(D) = \frac{aL}{1 + aL}c(X) = \frac{aL}{1 + aL} \left( \frac{1 + L}{1 + 2L} \rho^\ast c(TB) \cap [X] \right),$$

thus

$$\rho_\ast c(D) = \frac{aL(1 + L)}{(1 + aL)(1 + 2L)} c(TB) \cap 2[B] = \frac{2aL(1 + L)}{(1 + aL)(1 + 2L)} c(B).$$
by the projection formula. Since we know \( \varphi_\ast c(Y) \) by Proposition 5.4, a universal tadpole relation (after canceling factors of \( c(B) \)) for a \( D_5 \) model then takes the following form:

\[
2 \cdot \frac{4L(3+5L)}{(1+2L)^2} = \frac{1+L}{1+2L} \sum_i \frac{2a_iL}{1+a_iL}.
\]

To classify all such relations (if they exist), we retrieve the 77 partitions of the number 12 and simply plug them into (4.17) and hope for the best. It turns out that only one partition does the job, namely \( 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 \), which corresponds precisely to the universal tadpole relation arising from the weak coupling limit we found in the previous subsection ([\( O \] = [\( D \] = L and [\( D_3 \] = 2L]):

\[
2\varphi_\ast c(Y) = \rho_\ast (4c(O) + 4c(D) + 2c(D_3)).
\]

Integrating both sides of (4.18) yields the numerical relation (4.12) predicted by tadpole matching between F-theory and type IIB. We record our findings in the following

**Proposition 6.1.** Let \( \varphi : Y \to B \) be a \( D_5 \) elliptic fibration. Then \( Y \) admits a unique universal tadpole relation corresponding to the Chern class identity (4.18). Furthermore, the universal tadpole relation is realized via the specialization \( I_2 \to III \).

**Remark 6.2.** With the exception of the four transitions \( I_1 \to (II, III, IV, I^*_{0}) \), all other transitions are specializations of \( I_2 \to III \). So we can expect to find other weak coupling limits satisfying the tadpole condition as well. We present some examples for each case.

### 6.5. A Weak coupling limit with a non-Kodaira fiber

By specializing the limit \( I_2 \to III \), we can define a configuration corresponding to the transition \( I_2 \to I^*_{0} \). The specialization is \( \phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0 \), and gives

\[
I_2 \to I^*_{0} : \Delta \propto h^4 e^2(h_\eta^2 - \chi^2), \quad j \sim \frac{h^2}{e^2(h_\eta^2 - \chi^2)}.
\]

We see that two of the brane-image-brane pairs that we had in the case \( I_2 \to III \) are now wrapping the same divisor as the orientifold. For that specialization, in the weak coupling limit \( \epsilon \to 0 \), the generic fiber is of type...
$I_2$ and it specializes to a fiber of type $I_0^-$ over the orientifold. All together we have an orientifold and 2 pairs of brane-image-branes on top of it and an additional pair of brane-image-brane on $\xi \eta \pm \chi = 0$.

If we let only one of the two brane-image-brane pairs to coincide with the orientifold (say we specialize to $\phi_1 = 0$), we have a transition $I_2 \rightarrow IV$.

$$I_2 \rightarrow IV : \Delta \propto h^3 \epsilon(h - \phi_1^2)(h\eta^2 - \chi^2), \quad j \sim \frac{h^3}{\epsilon^2(h\eta^2 - \chi^2)}.$$

At weak coupling we have a brane-image-brane pair on top of the orientifold ($D_{1\pm} = O : \xi = 0$) and two other brane-image-brane pairs, namely $D_{2\pm} : \xi = \phi_2 = 0$ and $D_{3\pm} : \xi \eta \pm \chi = 0$

6.6. Other limits

6.6.1. $I_2 \rightarrow III$. We will have the same discussion if we consider the following limits which are also of the type $I_2 \rightarrow III$, but involve different choices of what the rational curves that form the fiber $I_2$ are:

(6.48)

\[
\begin{align*}
  a &= \frac{1}{4}e^2 - \frac{h}{4}, \\
  c &= \frac{1}{2}(\phi_1 + \phi_2), \\
  d &= \frac{1}{4}e^2 + \epsilon \chi, \\
  e &= \frac{1}{2}(\phi_1 - \phi_2), \\
  f &= 2\epsilon \eta,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
  a &= \frac{1}{4}(f^2 - c^2 - 4d) + \frac{\xi}{2} \chi, \\
  c &= \frac{1}{2}(\phi_1 + \phi_2), \\
  d &= \frac{1}{4}(c^2 + 2f^2 - h), \\
  e &= \epsilon \eta, \\
  f &= \frac{1}{2}(\phi_1 - \phi_2).
\end{align*}
\]

Both lead to the same limit as before

$$\Delta \propto h^2 \epsilon^2(h - \phi_1^2)(h - \phi_2^2)(h\eta^2 - \chi^2),$$

$$j \sim \frac{h^4}{\epsilon^2(h - \phi_1^2)(h - \phi_2^2)(h\eta^2 - \chi^2)}.$$

We can then perform a specialization to $I_2 \rightarrow IV$ and $I_2 \rightarrow I_0^-$. 

6.7. Weak coupling limits, an overall look

The brane configurations at weak coupling limit satisfy the condition $8[O] = \sum [Di]$ and the tadpole matching conditions that compare the contribution of curvature in type IIB and in F-theory: $2\chi(Y) = 4\chi(O) + \sum_i D_i$. When this condition holds, the $G$-flux in F-theory should be completely accounted for by the D7-brane fluxes in the weak coupling limit. Fluxes are present in the orientifold limit for example in presence of a brane-image-brane away
from the orientifold. A brane-image-brane coincides with the orientifold only if they are in the same homology class. It is interesting to look at the results of the weak coupling limits of $D_5$ fibrations in the continuity of the weak coupling limits of $E_n$ ($n = 8, 7, 6$) fibrations. One will see a pattern. For example, a $E_n$ ($n = 8, 7, 6, 5$ and $E_5 = D_5$) elliptic fibration can describe up to $(n - 8)$ brane-image-brane pairs, it has $(9 - n)$ sections and admits singular fibers with up to $(9 - n)$ components. In particular, one of them is a fiber of type $I_{9-n}$. Their weak coupling limits that satisfy the tadpole condition are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Whitney brane</th>
<th>Brane-Image-brane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_8$</td>
<td>$I_1 \to I_2$</td>
<td>$8[O]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_7$</td>
<td>$I_1 \to II$</td>
<td>$6[O]$</td>
<td>$[O]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_7$</td>
<td>$I_2 \to III$</td>
<td>$4[O]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_6$</td>
<td>$I_2 \to III$</td>
<td>$[O], 3[O]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_6$</td>
<td>$I_2 \to IV$</td>
<td>$[O], 3[O]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_5$</td>
<td>$I_2 \to III$</td>
<td>$[O], [O], 2[O]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_5$</td>
<td>$I_2 \to IV$</td>
<td>$[O], [O], 2[O]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_5$</td>
<td>$I_2 \to I_0^-$</td>
<td>$[O], [O], 2[O]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9: Geometric weak coupling limit and spectrum. In this table $[O]$ is the homology class of the orientifold in the double cover of the base of the elliptic fibration. In the two column, the branes are identified by their homology class. The Whitney branes are always singular with an equation of the type $\eta^2 - \zeta^2 \chi = 0$. The brane-image-brane are obtained as the factors of a Whitney brane with $\chi = \psi^2$. So they are given by $\eta \pm \eta \psi = 0$. When $\psi$ is just a constant, the brane-image-brane pair is constituted of branes in the same homology class as the orientifold.

$E_8$ ($I_1 \to II$): This is the original example of a weak coupling limit obtained by Sen. The configuration satisfying the tadpole condition corresponds to an orientifold and a Whitney brane $D$ (in the homology class $8[O]$). To satisfy the tadpole condition, the singularities of the Whitney brane have to be taken into account. The appropriate way to do it is to introduce new Euler characteristic $\chi_o(D)$ [1, 15]. The tadpole condition is $2\chi(Y) = 4\chi(O) + \chi_o(D)$.

$E_7$ ($I_2 \to III$): An orientifold and a brane-image-brane pair $D_\pm$ with each branes in the homology class $4[O]$. Each brane is smooth and the
configuration satisfies the tadpole condition. This is the only configuration that satisfies the tadpole relation with only smooth branes. The tadpole condition is \( \chi(Y) = 2\chi(O) + \chi(D) \).

**E\(_7\) (I\(_1\) \to II):** This is the another configuration that satisfies the tadpole condition for a E\(_7\) elliptic fibration. It corresponds to the transition of a nodal curve to a cusp, just like the original Sen’s limit. However, with the E\(_7\) fibration, it leads to an orientifold \( O \), a brane-image-brane pair \( D_{1\pm} \) with each brane in the same homology class as the orientifold ([\( D_1 \]) = [O]) and a Whitney brane \( D_2 \) in the homology class \([D_2] = 6[O] \). The tadpole condition is \( 2\chi(Y) = 4\chi(O) + 2\chi(D_1) + \chi_o(D_2) \).

**E\(_6\) (I\(_2\) \to III):** The fiber \( I_2 \) is constituted by a conic in \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) and a secant line. The fiber III is the limit in which the secant line becomes tangent to the conic. At the weak coupling limit, we get an orientifold and two brane-image-branes pairs, one pair consists of two branes \( D_{1\pm} \) in the same homology class as the orientifold and the other pairs involving two branes \( D_{2\pm} \) in the homology class \([D_2] = 3[O] \). The tadpole condition is \( \chi(Y) = 3\chi(O) + \chi(D_2) \).

**E\(_6\) (I\(_2\) \to IV):** This is the specialization of the previous configuration when over the orientifold, the fiber III is replaced by a fiber IV obtained by a degeneration of the conic into two lines. Physically, this happens when the brane-image-brane pair \( D_{1\pm} \) composed of two branes in the same homology class as the orientifold coincide with the orientifold. The tadpole condition is unchanged \( \chi(Y) = 3\chi(O) + \chi(D_2) \).

**D\(_5\) (I\(_2\) \to III):** This configuration was obtained by using two conics meeting at two points and becoming tangent to each other in the orientifold limit. In view of the many ways we can define the two conics there are at least 3 different ways to obtain this configuration. It leads to an orientifold and three brane-image-branes pairs \( D_{1\pm}, D_{2\pm} \) and \( D_{3\pm} \) with \( D_{1\pm} \) and \( D_{2\pm} \) constituted of branes in the same homology class as the orientifold and the third pair is constituted of branes \( D_{3\pm} \) in the homology class \([D_3] = 4[O] \). The tadpole relation is \( \chi(Y) = 4\chi(O) + \chi(D_3) \).

**D\(_5\) (I\(_2\) \to IV):** This is a specialization of the previous configuration when one of the brane-image-brane pairs \( D_{1\pm} \) or \( D_{2\pm} \) coincides with the orientifold. The fiber IV is constituted by a conic and two lines all
meeting at a common point. It can be seen as the limit of the previous case when over the orientifold, one of the conic splits into two lines. The tadpole condition is unchanged: $\chi(Y) = 4\chi(O) + \chi(D_3)$.

$D_5$ ($I_2 \to I_0^{-*}$): This is the first example of a weak coupling limit involving a non-Kodaira fiber. It is a specialization of the previous configuration when the two brane-image-branes pairs $D_{1\pm}$ and $D_{2\pm}$ which are in the same cohomology class as the orientifold actually coincide with it. The tadpole condition is unchanged: $\chi(Y) = 4\chi(O) + \chi(D_3)$.

7. Conclusion

In this paper, we have studied the structure of elliptic fibrations $\varphi: Y \to B$ of type $D_5$ with a view toward F-theory. The generic fiber of a $D_5$ elliptic fibration is a smooth quartic space curve of genus one modeled by the complete intersection of two quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^3$. In the canonical model we consider, the elliptic fibration is endowed with a divisor intersecting every fiber at four distinct points. These four points defines naturally four (non-intersecting) sections of the elliptic fibration.

A generic smooth $D_5$ elliptic fibration admits a rich spectrum of singular fibers composed at most of four intersecting rational curves as summarized in Figure 2. The classification of these singular fibers is a well studied problem of classical algebraic geometry that is more efficiently reformulated in terms of pencils of quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^3$ and their corresponding Segre symbols as reviewed in Section 3 and summarized in Table 4. A $D_5$ elliptic fibration admits fibers that are not in the list of Kodaira. We have reviewed them in Figure 3. These non-Kodaira fibers are always located over loci in codimension two or higher in the base. In our canonical model, there is only one non-Kodaira fiber, namely the fiber that we call $I_0^{-*}$ composed of four lines meeting at a common point. We have also computed several topological invariants of $D_5$ elliptic fibrations like the Euler characteristic, their total Chern class and the Todd class over a base of arbitrary dimension void of any Calabi-Yau hypothesis.

We have also analyzed birational equivalent models of the $D_5$ elliptic fibration leading to $E_6$ elliptic fibrations and a modified version of the $E_7$ elliptic fibration. While the $E_6$ birational equivalent model has only its usual $I_1, II, I_2, III$ and $IV$ Kodaira singular fibers [2], the $E_7$ birational equivalent model admits on top of its usual $I_1, II, I_2, III$ Kodaira fiber (see [2]) an additional fiber which is not in Kodaira list and which is composed of a
double conic. An \( E_7 \) model can always be expressed as a \( D_5 \) elliptic fibration with one of the two quadric surfaces being rigid. In that framework, the non-Kodaira singular fiber corresponds to a Segre symbol \([1(111)]\) (see Figure 3). The non-Kodaira fiber \( I_0^{*-} \) of our canonical \( D_5 \) model is mapped through the birational equivalence to a fiber of type \( IV \) of the \( E_6 \) model and the double conic of the new \( E_7 \) model. This illustrates how birationally equivalent models can have different fiber structures.

The classification of the singular fibers of a \( D_5 \) elliptic model can be used to define interesting gauge theories. This will require specializing the model in order to have certain singular fibers with multiple nodes appearing over codimension-two loci in the base. If the base is at least of dimension two, this will automatically imply the presence of enhancement of singular fibers in codimension two and three. Such enhancements do not necessarily increase the rank of the fiber as can be seen by analyzing Figure 2. In view of the singular fibers, the candidate non-Abelian gauge groups are \( SU(2) \), \( SU(3) \) and \( SU(4) \).

The list of singular fibers can also be used to determine different weak coupling limits for \( D_5 \) elliptic fibrations. Indeed, weak coupling limits are characterized by a transition from a semi-stable fiber to an unstable one [2]. In the case of our canonical model, such transitions can be seen in Figure 2. Following the point of view started in [1, 2], we work over a base of arbitrary dimension and without imposing the Calabi-Yau condition. In this regard, one can consider the physics of F-theory as an inspiration to study surprising aspects of the geometry of elliptic fibrations that would be hard to think of otherwise. It is an impressive fact that conditions that are used to understand the physics of elliptic fibered Calabi-Yau fourfolds and threefolds and the properties of seven branes end up being true for elliptic fibrations over arbitrary bases and without actually requiring the Calabi-Yau condition. The most fascinating example is probably the geometry of the weak coupling limit of \( D_5 \) elliptic fibrations.

In the \( D_5 \) case, we have presented explicit weak coupling limits leading to a type IIB orientifold theory with a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) orientifold and three brane-image-brane pairs, two of which are in the same homological class as the orientifold. We have shown how to construct cases for which a brane-image-brane pair coincides with the orientifold, and in the extreme case where both of the brane-image-brane pairs coincide with the orientifold we obtain a non-Kodaira fiber \( I_0^{*-} \) on top of the orientifold. In every case, we have shown that a universal tadpole relation holds for the defining elliptic fibration over a base of arbitrary dimension without imposing the Calabi-Yau condition.
Tadpole conditions in F-theory come from equating the curvature contribution of the D3 branes in type IIB and in F-theory. When tadpole relations are satisfied, the $G$ flux in F-theory corresponds to the flux in the type IIB orientifold theory. In recent works on phenomenological applications of F-theory, models admitting a non-trivial Abelian sector in their gauge group are the center of much attention. Such models are expected to be generated by brane-image-brane configurations living in the same homology class as the orientifold.

There are many interesting aspects of the physics of $D_5$ elliptic fibrations that we have not discussed in this paper and that we hope to address soon. For example, the specialization to non-trivial Mordell-Weil groups has interesting connections with extra $U(1)$s in the gauge group. As $D_5$ elliptic fibrations admit multiple sections, one can easily model non-Abelian gauge theories with a non-Abelian sector of type $SU(4) \times SU(2)$. It would be interesting to study these gauge theories in detail for theories both in four and six space-time dimensions. In the case of a compactification to a six dimensional theory, the cancellation of anomalies in the presence of a non-trivial Mordell-Weil group would be an interesting case to analyze in detail. $D_5$ elliptic fibrations provide simple yet non-trivial models to study such gauge theories.
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Appendix A. Pushforward of the Todd class

In this appendix, we compute the pushforward of the Todd class of a fibration

$$\varphi : Y \to B$$

of genus $g$ curves via Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch. Though we have computed this more directly in the case of a $D_5$ elliptic fibration, the power of Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch will enable us to compute the pushforward of the Todd class for any genus-$g$ curve fibration (modulo assumptions made below) $\varphi : Y \to B$ from which the case of a $D_5$ fibration is but a corollary. A special role will be played by the relative dualizing sheaf of the fibration $\omega_{Y/B}$.

To invoke Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch (as well as Grothendieck duality), we assume that the fibration $\varphi : Y \to B$ is given by a map that is both proper (i.e. closed varieties map to closed varieties) and flat (which ensures that all fibers are of constant dimension and constant arithmetic genus). The varieties $Y$ and $B$ are assumed to be smooth. We first recall Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch:

**Theorem A.1 (Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch).** Let $\varphi : Y \to B$ be a proper map between smooth varieties and $F$ be a coherent sheaf on $Y$. Then

$$\varphi^*(ch(F) \Td(Y)) = ch(\varphi_! F) \Td(B),$$

(A.1)

where $Td(X)$ is Todd class of a variety $X$ and $ch(F)$ is the Chern character of the sheaf $F$.

We will prove the following

**Theorem A.2 (Todd class of a genus $g$ curve fibration).** Let $\varphi : Y \to B$ be a proper and flat morphism between smooth projective varieties such that the generic fiber of $\varphi$ is a curve of genus $g$. Then

$$\varphi_! Td(Y) = (1 - ch(\varphi_! \omega_{Y/B}^\vee)) Td(B),$$

(A.2)

where $\omega_{Y/B} := \omega_Y \otimes \varphi^* \omega_B^\vee$ is the relative dualizing sheaf of the fibration.
Proof. As we wish to compute $\varphi_* Td(Y)$ for $Y$ fibration of genus-$g$ curves, we take $F = \mathcal{O}_Y$ since $ch(\mathcal{O}_Y) = 1$. Then by GRR we get

\begin{equation}
\varphi_* Td(Y) = ch(\mathcal{O}_Y) Td(B).
\end{equation}

By definition, $\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_Y = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i R^i \varphi_* (\mathcal{O}_Y)$, where $R^i \varphi_*$ denotes the higher direct image functors \footnote{ $R^i \varphi_* F$ is the right derived functor for $\varphi_*$. It is defined as the sheaf associated with the presheaf $U \mapsto H^i (\varphi^{-1}(U), F|_{\varphi^{-1}(U)})$.}. Since fibers of $\varphi$ are curves, by the relative dimensional vanishing theorem we get that $R^i \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_Y = 0$ for $i > 1$. For a flat fibration of genus $g$ curves, $R^1 \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_Y$ is a locally free sheaf (and so coherent) of rank $g$. Moreover, by definition $R^0 \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_Y := \varphi_* (\mathcal{O}_Y) \cong \mathcal{O}_B$ (any function on $Y$ is necessarily constant on the fibers as the fibers are projective varieties), thus

\begin{equation}
\varphi_* Td(Y) = ch(\mathcal{O}_Y - R^1 \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_Y) Td(B).
\end{equation}

Since $R^1 \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_Y$ is a locally free sheaf of finite rank and $\varphi$ is flat, we can use Grothendieck duality to get that

\begin{equation}
R^1 \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_Y = \left[ R^0 \varphi_* (\mathcal{O}_Y^\vee \otimes \omega_{Y/B}) \right]^\vee = \varphi_* (\mathcal{O}_Y^\vee \otimes \omega_{Y/B})^\vee = [\varphi_* \omega_{Y/B}]^\vee,
\end{equation}

where $\omega_{Y/B}$ is the relative dualizing sheaf of the map $\varphi : Y \to B$ and the second equality follows from the definition of $R^0$. The theorem then follows:

\begin{equation}
\varphi_* Td(Y) = ch(\mathcal{O}_B - \varphi_* \omega_{Y/B}) Td(B)
= (1 - ch(\varphi_* \omega_{Y/B}) Td(B)). \tag*{\Box}
\end{equation}

When the total space $Y$ is smooth, the relative dualizing sheaf is given by the formula $\omega_{Y/B} = \omega_Y \otimes [\varphi^* \omega_B]^\vee$. In particular, for $D_5$, $E_6$, $E_7$ and $E_8$ fibrations\footnote{More concretely, in the $E_i$ cases the total space of the fibration is a hypersurface in a projective bundle of the form $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathbb{L}^m) \to B$, with $Y$ the zero locus of a section of $\mathcal{O}(3) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}^m$. Using the adjunction formula, we get that $\omega_{Y/B} \cong \mathcal{L}^{m-a_1-a_2}$. $E_8$, $E_7$ and $E_6$ fibrations correspond to the cases $(a_1, a_2, m) = (2, 3, 6), (a_1, a_2, m) = (1, 2, 4)$ and $(a_1, a_2, m) = (1, 1, 3)$ respectively. So in all these cases, we have $m - a_1 - a_2 = 1$ and therefore $\omega_{Y/B} \cong \mathcal{L}$ for $E_i$ ($i = 8, 7, 6$) elliptic fibrations. In the $D_5$ case, we have a projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathbb{L} \oplus \mathbb{L})$ and $Y$ is the complete intersection of two divisors given by sections of $\mathcal{O}(2) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}$. It follows that $\omega_{Y/B}$ is also $\mathcal{L}$.} we have $\omega_Y = \varphi^* (\mathcal{L} \otimes \omega_B)$ (or equivalently $K_Y = \varphi^* (c_1(\mathcal{L}) - c_1(B))$) so that $\omega_{Y/B} = \varphi^* \mathcal{L}$, thus the pushforward of their respective Todd
classes will all be equal. More generally, we can say that for any elliptic fibration $Y$ such that $K_Y = \varphi^*(c_1(\mathcal{L}) - c_1(B))$ we necessarily then have that $\omega_{Y/B} = \varphi^*\mathcal{L}$, giving us that $R^1\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_Y \cong \mathcal{L}^\vee$ by arguments given above. Putting things all together we get that

$$\varphi_*Td(Y) = ch(\mathcal{O}_B - \mathcal{L}^\vee)Td(B) = (1 - e^{-L})Td(B)$$

(A.7)  

Remark A.3. It is important to notice that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ that appears in the $D_5, E_6, E_7$ and $E_8$ elliptic fibration is closely related to the structure of the elliptic fibration. If the fibration admits a section, we can consider the birationally equivalent Weierstrass model $zy^2 = x^3 + Fxz^2 + Gz^3$ written in the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}[^{\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^2 \oplus \mathcal{L}^3]}$ and $F$ and $G$ are sections of $\mathcal{L}^4$ and $\mathcal{L}^6$ respectively. The discriminant locus of the fibration is then a section of $\mathcal{L}^{12}$. When there is no torsion class in the Picard group $Pic(B)$ of the base $B$, this is enough to define $\mathcal{L}$ uniquely for a given elliptic fibration $\varphi : Y \rightarrow B$ admitting a section. The Picard group is torsion free if and only if $H_1(B, \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial. For example, when $B$ is a Fano threefold, $Pic(B)$ does not admit any torsion.

We can interpret this result geometrically by introducing a divisor $Z$ of $B$ such that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_B(Z)$. Using the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(-Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow 0$ along with additivity of the Chern character on exact sequences, we get that $ch(\mathcal{O}_B - \mathcal{L}^\vee) = ch(\mathcal{O}_Z)$. Using Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch, we get $ch(\mathcal{O}_Z)Td(B) = \chi(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ and therefore

$$\varphi_*Td(Y) = \chi(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z).$$

(A.8)  
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