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Abstract

We study the multi-dimensional persistence of Carlsson and Zomorodian and obtain a finer classification based upon the higher tor-modules of a persistence module. We propose a variety structure on the set of isomorphism classes of these modules, and present several examples. We also provide a geometric interpretation for the higher tor-modules of homology modules of multi-filtered simplicial complexes.

1. Introduction

Persistent homology has become a popular tool in the study of point cloud data sets. Given such a set $X$, one may attempt to approximate the topology of $X$ by first placing $\varepsilon$-balls around each point (call the union of the balls $X_\varepsilon$), and then allowing $\varepsilon$ to grow. This yields a nested sequence of spaces $X_\varepsilon \subset X_{\varepsilon'}$, $\varepsilon < \varepsilon'$, and one may compute the homology of these spaces. For $\varepsilon$ small, not much happens, since $X_\varepsilon$ is simply a disjoint union of balls, but as $\varepsilon$ increases the balls begin to overlap and nontrivial cycles may appear. One may then measure how long such cycles “persist”; that is, a cycle may appear in $X_\varepsilon$ and be filled in by a boundary in some $X_{\varepsilon'}$, $\varepsilon' > \varepsilon$. If the difference $\varepsilon' - \varepsilon$ is large relative to $\varepsilon$, then one may deduce that the cycle is a real topological feature of the set $X$. For interesting applications of these ideas see, for example, [2, 4, 5, 6].

The abstraction of this idea is the notion of a filtered space. Given a space $X$, which in this paper will always be a simplicial complex, we take an increasing sequence of subcomplexes

$$\emptyset = X_{-1} \subset X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \cdots \subset X_r = X.$$ 

Let $k$ be a field. We then obtain, for $i \geq 0$, a sequence of $k$-vector spaces

$$0 \to H_i(X_0; k) \to H_i(X_1; k) \to \cdots \to H_i(X; k),$$

and we may observe how long a homology class persists in this sequence. This is encapsulated neatly by Carlsson and Zomorodian [9] in the following way. Let $M = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} H_i(X_j; k)$. This is a module over the polynomial ring $k[x]$ where the action of $x$ on each $H_i(X_j; k)$ is given by the map $H_i(X_j; k) \to H_i(X_{j+1}; k)$. The classification
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of modules over $k[x]$ implies that $M \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} x^{\alpha_j}k[x] \oplus \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} x^{\beta_m}k[x]/x^{s_m}$. In turn, this yields a barcode for $M$. This is a set of intervals $[\alpha_j, \infty)$, $[\beta_m, \beta_m + s_m]$ that shows how long homology classes persist, the infinite intervals corresponding to classes that live in $H_i(X; k)$.

In applications, however, one may need to consider multiple filtration directions. For example, the data set in question may have a natural filtration of its own (e.g., by density), and then we obtain another filtration direction by growing $\epsilon$-balls. These *multi-filtrations* are much more complicated, and the complete classification of $[9]$ has no analogue. Indeed, in [3], the authors show that for multi-filtrations there is no complete discrete invariant analogous to the barcode. There are some discrete invariants, but there is also a continuous piece obtained as a quotient of an algebraic variety, $\mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1)$. This is summarized in Section 3 below.

The main idea in [3] is to consider spaces $X$ filtered by $X_v \subset X$ for $v \in \mathbb{N}^n$. For a fixed $i \geq 0$, one then obtains a $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$-module $M = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^n} H_i(X_v; k)$ just as in the $n = 1$ case. Modules over $A_n = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, $n \geq 2$, do not admit a neat classification, however, and that is where the trouble lies. The authors consider two multisets $\xi_0$ and $\xi_1$ which indicate the degrees in $\mathbb{N}^n$ where homology classes are born and where they die, respectively. The problem is that there may be many (even uncountably many) nonisomorphic modules with the same $\xi_0$ and $\xi_1$. These are parametrized by the quotient of the variety $\mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ by the action of an algebraic group. In the case $n = 1$, this quotient space is always finite (see Theorem 3.5 below), as one would expect given the classification of these modules discussed above.

The multisets $\xi_0$ and $\xi_1$ consist of the elements in $\mathbb{N}^n$ where the module $M$ has generators and relations, respectively. These are obtained by computing the modules $\text{Tor}^1_{\mathbb{N}}(M, k)$ and $\text{Tor}^1_{\mathbb{N}}(M, k)$. When $n = 1$, these are the only nontrivial Tor groups, but for $n \geq 2$, there may be more. These higher Tor modules are the main objects of study in this paper. For $i \geq 0$, let $\xi_i(M)$ be the multiset of elements in $\mathbb{N}^n$ where generators of $\text{Tor}^1_{\mathbb{N}}(M, k)$ occur. Hilbert’s Syzygy Theorem implies that $\xi_i = \emptyset$ for $i > n$ and so we obtain a finite family of discrete invariants, $\xi_0(M), \xi_1(M), \ldots, \xi_n(M)$. Using these, we may partition the set $\mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ of [3] into subsets $\mathcal{RF}(\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n)$ consisting of those modules $M$ having $\xi_i(M) = \xi_i$. Let $F(\xi_0)$ be the free $A_n$-module with basis $\xi_0$ and let $GL(F(\xi_0))$ be the group of degree-preserving automorphisms of $F(\xi_0)$. This group acts on the various $\mathcal{RF}(\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n)$ and we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.3.** There is a projective variety $Y_{\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n}$ and a map

$$\varphi: GL(F(\xi_0)) \backslash \mathcal{RF}(\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n) \to Y_{\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n}.$$  

Often, the map $\varphi$ is injective and we may use it to give the quotient set the structure of an algebraic variety. In turn, this yields a variety structure on the full quotient $GL(F(\xi_0)) \backslash \mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ by taking the disjoint union over the possible $\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n$. Morally, this is what one wants. However, this is not the quotient space obtained by viewing $\mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ as a variety and then taking the quotient by $GL(F(\xi_0))$. The difference in our approach is that we have lost information about certain degeneracies among the elements of $\mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ at the expense of gaining a variety structure on the quotient. An example of this is given in Section 5.1.
It may happen, however, that some $\varphi$ is not injective. This may occur, for example, if there are generators for $M$ that are not co-located and the relations lie in unfortunate locations. We provide a remedy for this in Section 4.3. For an example, see Section 5.2.

The remainder of the paper explores geometric interpretations of the $\xi_i$, $i \geq 2$. To do this, we back up a step and consider modules of chains on a multi-filtered space, rather than the individual homology modules. Let $X_\bullet$ be a complex filtered by $\mathbb{N}^n$ and for each $i \geq 0$, denote by $C_i(X_\bullet)$ the $A_n$-module of $i$-chains on $X_\bullet$: $C_i(X_\bullet) = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^n} C_i(X_v;k)$. We then have a chain complex $C_\bullet(X_\bullet)$ in the category of graded $A_n$-modules and the associated hypertor modules $\text{Tor}_{j}^{A_n}(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k)$. By examining the spectral sequences that compute these modules, we obtain a natural map

$$d_2^{2q}: \text{Tor}_{2q}^{A_n}(H_q(X_\bullet), k) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{0}^{A_n}(H_{q+1}(X_\bullet), k).$$

This gives us a geometric interpretation of $\xi_2(H_q(X_\bullet))$: elements in $\xi_2$ possibly correspond to locations of generators of $H_{q+1}(X_\bullet)$. In Theorem 6.1, we describe the kernel and image of this map.

The higher differentials in this spectral sequence provide a mechanism to relate elements of $\text{Tor}_{j}^{A_n}(H_q(X_\bullet), k)$ to elements of $\text{Tor}_{j}^{A_n}(H_{q+1}(X_\bullet), k)$. We shall not investigate these more subtle relationships here.

In the final section, we use the other spectral sequence to obtain an interpretation of the hypertor modules $\text{Tor}_{j}^{A_n}(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k)$. If the filtration is such that at most one simplex gets added at a time as we move from one degree to another adjacent to it, then we have (Theorem 6.3)

$$\text{Tor}_{j}^{A_n}(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k) = \bigoplus_{p+q=j} \text{Tor}_{q}^{A_n}(C_p(X_\bullet), k).$$

Elements on the right-hand side may be thought of as “virtual” $(p+q)$-cells that fill in duplicated relations among cells of lower dimension. We also show that, by dropping the grading in the vector spaces $\text{Tor}_{q}^{A_n}(C_p(X_\bullet), k)$, we may define a boundary operator $\partial: \text{Tor}_{j} \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{j-1}$ so that the homology of the resulting complex recovers $H_{\bullet}(X; k)$. Examples are discussed.

Finally, we note that there may be a relationship between the $\xi_i$, $i \geq 2$, and the rank invariant of [3]. This will be explored elsewhere.
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2. Multi-filtered spaces and persistence modules

In this section we establish notation and make some definitions. We keep the notation and terminology of [3]. A multiset is a pair \((S, \mu)\), where \(S\) is a set and \(\mu: S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\) specifies the multiplicity of each element of \(S\). For example, the multiset \(\{a, a, b, b, c\}\) has \(\mu(a) = 3, \mu(b) = 2\) and \(\mu(c) = 1\); we represent this as \(\{(a, 3), (b, 2), (c, 1)\}\).

Given elements \(u, v \in \mathbb{N}^n\), we say \(u \preceq v\) if \(u_i \leq v_i\) for each \(1 \leq i \leq n\). If \((S, \mu)\) is a multiset with \(S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n\), then \(\preceq\) is a quasi-partial order on \((S, \mu)\). If \(k\) is a field, denote by \(k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]\) the ring of polynomials in the variables \(x_1, \ldots, x_n\) with coefficients in \(k\). If \(x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_n^{n_n}\) is a monomial, we denote it by \(x^v\), where \(v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n\).

An \(n\)-graded ring is a ring \(R\) equipped with a decomposition \(R = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^n} R_v\) such that \(R_u \cdot R_v \subseteq R_{u+v}\). The example we shall use is the polynomial ring \(A_n = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]\), graded by setting \(A_v = kx^v\) for \(v \in \mathbb{N}^n\). An \(n\)-graded module over an \(n\)-graded ring \(R\) is an \(R\)-module \(M\) with a decomposition \(M = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^n} M_v\) such that \(R_u \cdot M_v \subseteq M_{u+v}\).

Let \(X\) be a topological space. A multi-filtration of \(X\) is a collection of subspaces \(\{X_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}^n}\) such that if \(u \preceq v_1, v_2 \preceq w\), then the diagram of inclusions

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{v_1} & \longrightarrow & X_w \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
X_u & \longrightarrow & X_{v_2}
\end{array}
\]

commutes. Typically, \(X\) is a finite simplicial complex, in which case we assume that each \(X_u\) is a subcomplex. Moreover, we assume that the filtration is eventually constant in any coordinate direction of the multi-filtration. Also, we assume that the filtration is finite in the sense that there is some \(w \in \mathbb{N}^n\) with \(X_w = X\).

Now, given a multi-filtered space \(X\), we may calculate the homology of each sub-space \(X_v\) with coefficients in a field \(k\). The inclusion maps among the various sub-spaces yield maps on homology. This information is encapsulated in the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** A persistence module \(M\) is a family of \(k\)-vector spaces \(\{M_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}^n}\) together with homomorphisms \(\varphi_{u,v}: M_u \rightarrow M_v\) for all \(u \preceq v\) such that if \(u \preceq v \preceq w\) we have \(\varphi_{v,w} \circ \varphi_{u,v} = \varphi_{u,w}\). A persistence module \(M\) is finite if each \(M_u\) is finite-dimensional. Any persistence module \(M\) has the structure of an \(n\)-graded module over \(A_n\), where the action of a monomial is determined by requiring \(x^{v-u}: M_u \rightarrow M_v\) to be \(\varphi_{u,v}\) whenever \(u \preceq v\).

Conversely, given a finitely-generated \(n\)-graded \(A_n\)-module, we get a persistence module by taking \(\varphi_{u,v}: M_u \rightarrow M_v\) to be the map given by the action of \(x^{v-u}\) on \(M_u\). Applying similar considerations to morphisms, one obtains an equivalence of categories between finite persistence modules and finitely-generated \(n\)-graded \(A_n\)-modules, a result of [9] for \(n = 1\), and extended to arbitrary \(n\) in [3].

Note that for each \(j \geq 0\), the homology modules \(\{H_j(X_v)\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}^n}\), together with the induced maps, yield a finite persistence module over \(A_n\).
Recall that if $M$ is an $n$-graded module and $v \in \mathbb{N}^n$, then the shifted module $M(v)$ is defined by $M(v)_u = M_{u-v}$ for all $u \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

**Definition 2.2.** If $\xi$ is a multiset in $\mathbb{N}^n$, then the $n$-graded $k$-vector space with basis $\xi$ is the module

$$V(\xi) = \bigoplus_{(v,i) \in \xi} k(v).$$

This is an $A_n$-module where the action of each variable is identically zero.

**Definition 2.3.** If $\xi$ is a multiset in $\mathbb{N}^n$, then the free $n$-graded $A_n$-module with basis $\xi$ is the module

$$F(\xi) = \bigoplus_{(v,i) \in \xi} k[x_1, \ldots, x_n](v).$$

Note that each $F(\xi)_v$ is a $k$-vector space of dimension equal to $\#\{(u,i) \in \xi | u \preceq v\}$.

**Definition 2.4.** If $M$ is a free $n$-graded object with basis $\xi$, then we call $\xi$ the type of $M$ and denote it by $\xi(M)$.

### 2.1. Automorphisms

We now turn to automorphisms.

**Definition 2.5.** Let $\mu \in GL(V(\xi))$. We say that $\mu$ respects the grading if for any $(v,i) \in \xi$, $\mu(v)$ lies in the span of elements $u_{ij} \in \xi$ with $u_{ij} \preceq v$. Denote by $GL_{\preceq}(V(\xi))$ the set of all such automorphisms.

Note that $GL_{\preceq}(V(\xi))$ is an algebraic subgroup of $GL(V(\xi))$. In fact, more is true: every element has upper triangular block form. To see this, note that we may order the basis of $V(\xi)$ in the following way. For each $(v,i) \in \xi$, order the basis elements of $V(\xi)_v$ arbitrarily and then order the sets according to $\preceq$. If $v_1$ and $v_2$ are incomparable under $\preceq$, then we order them arbitrarily. For example, if $n = 2$, $(0,1)$ and $(1,0)$ are incomparable, so we may choose either one to come first in the order. Then, with respect to this ordering, any $\mu \in GL_{\preceq}(V(\xi))$ has block form

$$\mu = \begin{pmatrix} L_1 & V_{12} & \cdots & V_{1r} \\ 0 & L_2 & \cdots & V_{2r} \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & V_{r-1,r} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & L_r \end{pmatrix},$$

where each $L_j \in GL(V(v_{ij},i_j))$ and $V_{j\ell} \in M_{i_j,i_\ell}(k)$. Note that if the degrees $v_k$ and $v_\ell$ are incomparable, then $V_{k\ell} = 0$.

Now, denote by $GL(F(\xi))$ the group of automorphisms of the free $n$-graded $A_n$-module $F(\xi)$ that respect the grading. Then we have the following.

**Proposition 2.6.** The group $GL(F(\xi))$ is isomorphic to $GL_{\preceq}(V(\xi))$.

**Proof.** By ordering bases as above, we see that any automorphism that respects the grading of $F(\xi)$ has an upper-triangular block form. Observe that each diagonal block
has entries in $k$ since $\mu$ applied to any basis element cannot increase the grade. Finally, note that, likewise, any block above the diagonal consists only of elements in $k$; for if a nonconstant polynomial is applied to a basis element, then the grade increases. But then $\mu^{-1}$ would have to undo this action, in effect multiplying by a monomial of the form $x^{-u}$, which cannot happen.

2.2. A family of discrete invariants

Suppose $M$ is a finitely-generated $n$-graded $A_n$-module. A minimal generating set for $M$ may be obtained as follows. Let $I_n$ be the ideal $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \subset A_n$. Let

$$V(M) = M/I_n M = k \otimes_{A_n} M.$$ 

This is a finite-dimensional $n$-graded vector space, and as such it has a basis $\xi(V(M))$. This lifts to a minimal generating set for $M$ which we denote by $\xi_0(M)$. Note that there is a canonical surjection $\varphi_M : F(\xi_0(M)) \to M$. Set $F_0 = F(\xi_0(M))$. The kernel of $\varphi_M$ is not free in general, but we may choose a minimal free module $F_1$ so that the sequence $F_1 \to F_0 \to M \to 0$ is exact. Continuing in this way we get a minimal free resolution

$$0 \to F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to M \to 0$$

in the category of finitely-generated $n$-graded $A_n$-modules. That this resolution terminates at $F_n$ is a consequence of Hilbert’s Syzygy Theorem [7, p. 478]. We may use this resolution to compute Tor groups. Note that for each $i \geq 0$, $\text{Tor}_i^A_n(M, k)$ is an $n$-graded vector space.

**Definition 2.7.** If $M$ is a finitely-generated $n$-graded $A_n$-module, then set

$$\xi_i(M) = \xi(\text{Tor}_i^A_n(M, k)).$$

Note that the $\xi_i(M)$ are multisets in $\mathbb{N}^n$ and $\xi_i(M) = \emptyset$ for $i > n$.

3. Relation families and the associated variety

3.1. Relation families

Let us focus on the invariants $\xi_0(M)$ and $\xi_1(M)$ for a moment. These correspond to a minimal generating set and a minimal set of relations for $M$, respectively. The following construction appears in [3].

**Definition 3.1.** Let $F(\xi_0)$ and $F(\xi_1)$ be free $n$-graded $A_n$-modules. A relation family is a collection $\{V_v\}_{v \in \xi_1}$ of vector spaces such that

1. $V_v \subseteq F(\xi_0)_v$;
2. $\text{dim } V_v = \text{dim } F(\xi_1)_v$;
3. if $u, v \in \xi_1$ with $u \lesssim v$, then $x^{v-u} \cdot V_u \subseteq V_v$.

The collection of all such relation families is denoted by $\mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1)$.

**Lemma 3.2.** The group $\text{GL}(F(\xi_0))$ acts on the left on $\mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1)$. 
Proof. Any $\mu \in GL(F(\xi_0))$ induces an automorphism of the exact sequence

$$F(\xi_1) \to F(\xi_0) \to M \to 0,$$

and hence maps a relation family to another relation family.

The canonical example of a relation family is given by a finitely-generated $n$-graded $A_n$-module $M$. The map $\psi_M$ in the exact sequence

$$F_1 \psi_M \to F_0 \to M \to 0$$

gives rise to a relation family $\eta(\psi_M)$ by setting $V_v = \psi_M((F_1)_v) \subseteq (F_0)_v$. In [3] the authors prove the following.

**Theorem 3.3** ([3, Theorem 2]). Let $\xi_0, \xi_1$ be multisets of elements from $\mathbb{N}^n$ and let $[M]$ be the isomorphism class of finitely-generated $n$-graded $A_n$-modules $M$ with $\xi_0(M) = \xi_0$ and $\xi_1(M) = \xi_1$. Then the assignment $[M] \mapsto \eta(\psi_M)$ is a bijection from the set of isomorphism classes to the set of orbits $GL(F(\xi_0)) \backslash \mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1)$.

3.2. The variety structure

Note that the set $\mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ can be given the structure of an algebraic variety. Indeed, given a relation family $\{V_v\}_{v \in \xi_1}$, each $V_v$ determines a subspace of the vector space $F_0$ and so we have an inclusion of sets

$$j: \mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1) \to \prod_{(v,i) \in \xi_1} \text{Gr}_{\dim F_0} (F_0)_v,$$

where $\text{Gr}_m(W)$ denotes the Grassmann variety of $m$-planes in $W$.

**Proposition 3.4.** The set $\mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ is a variety via the structure induced by the map $j$.

**Proof.** This follows from the fact that the containment conditions $x^{v-u} \cdot V_u \subseteq V_v$ are algebraic.

Moreover, it is clear that the action of $GL(F(\xi_0))$ on $\mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ is an algebraic action. Unfortunately, the quotient space $GL(F(\xi_0)) \backslash \mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ is not, in general, a variety. In Section 4 we present one possible remedy for this. We shall discuss some examples of the quotient spaces $GL(F(\xi_0)) \backslash \mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ in Section 5.

3.3. The case $n = 1$

Before proceeding, we first discuss what happens when $n = 1$; that is, when we have a single filtration direction. In the case of a filtered space, this corresponds to the study of ordinary persistent homology [9]. A complete classification of persistence modules over $k[x]$ is known—the invariants $\xi_0$ and $\xi_1$ yield a barcode showing births and deaths of homology classes.

The variety $\mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ makes sense when $n = 1$, however, and we discuss here the structure of $GL(F(\xi_0)) \backslash \mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1)$.

As a simple example, suppose we are given that $\xi_0 = \{0, 0, 2\}$ and $\xi_1 = \{4\}$; that is, we have three generators appearing in filtration levels $0, 0, \text{ and } 2$ and a single relation in level $4$. In Figure 1 we show two different filtered spaces whose $H_0$ modules
Since we must have rank of the sequence \( k \) domain \( F \) consists of two points, as we expected.

Proof. Since \( n = 1 \), we are dealing with \( \mathbb{N} \)-graded modules over the principal ideal domain \( k[x] \). The classification of modules over this ring tells us that in the exact sequence

\[
0 \to F(\xi_1) \to F(\xi_0) \to M \to 0,
\]

we must have \( \text{rank}(F(\xi_1)) \leq \text{rank}(F(\xi_0)) \).

Let \( m \) denote the number of elements in \( \xi_0 \) so that \( GL(F(\xi_0)) \) is a subgroup of \( GL_m(k) \). In this case, \( GL(F(\xi_0)) \) is actually a parabolic subgroup of \( G = GL_m(k) \) since the ordering in \( \mathbb{N} \) is linear; that is, there are no nonzero blocks above the diagonal since all generator degrees are comparable. We claim that \( RF(\xi_0, \xi_1) \) is a flag variety; that is, \( RF(\xi_0, \xi_1) = G/P \) for some parabolic \( P \subset G \). Order the basis elements in \( \xi_1 \) by degree: \( e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \ldots, e_{i_2}, \ldots, e_{i_r}, \ldots, e_{i_r} \), with \( \deg e_{ij} = d_i, j = 1, \ldots, \ell_i, \) and \( d_1 < d_2 < \cdots < d_r \). Then we have

\[
RF(\xi_0, \xi_1) \subseteq Gr_{\ell_1}(F(\xi_0)) \times Gr_{\ell_1+\ell_2}(F(\xi_0)) \times \cdots \times Gr_{\ell_1+\cdots+\ell_r}(F(\xi_0)) \times \cdots \times Gr_{\ell_1+\cdots+\ell_r}(F(\xi_0)).
\]

Now observe that the containment conditions imply that if \( (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r) \) lies in \( RF(\xi_0, \xi_1) \), where \( p_j = \sum_{i \leq j} \ell_i \), then \( p_1 \subset p_2 \subset \cdots \subset p_r \), that is, \( p_1 \subsetneq p_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subset p_r \) is a flag in \( k^m \). It follows that we may identify \( RF(\xi_0, \xi_1) \) with the flag variety \( F(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_r) \) of flags \( V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_r \) in \( k^m \) with \( \dim V_j = \sum_{j \leq i} \ell_i \). Thus there is a parabolic subgroup \( P \subset G \) with \( F(d_1, \ldots, d_r) = G/P \).
It is well-known (see e.g. [1]) that if \( B \) is the group of upper-triangular matrices, then the quotient \( B \backslash G/P \) is finite (indeed, the \( B \)-orbits in \( G/P \) give a decomposition of the projective variety \( G/P \) into Schubert cells). Since \( B \subseteq GL(F(\xi_0)) \), we see that the quotient
\[
GL(F(\xi_0)) \backslash RF(\xi_0, \xi_1) \approx GL(F(\xi_0)) \backslash G/P
\]
is finite.

4. Partitions of \( RF(\xi_0, \xi_1) \) and the associated varieties

When \( n \geq 2 \), the quotient \( GL(F(\xi_0)) \backslash RF(\xi_0, \xi_1) \) is often not a variety (see [3] and Section 5 below). In this section we present one remedy for this which has the advantage of partitioning the quotient set \( GL(F(\xi_0)) \backslash RF(\xi_0, \xi_1) \) into a collection of varieties. This variety structure is different from that of [3] discussed in Section 3.2, however, and does not carry quite as much information.

4.1. A partition of \( RF(\xi_0, \xi_1) \)

Recall that the set \( RF(\xi_0, \xi_1) \) consists of all relation families \( \{V_v\}_{v \in \xi_1} \). Recall further that these are in one-to-one correspondence with finitely-generated \( A_n \)-modules \( M \) with \( \xi_0(M) = \xi_0 \) and \( \xi_1(M) = \xi_1 \). Suppose we are given a collection \( \xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n \) of multisets in \( \mathbb{N}^n \).

Definition 4.1. For multisets \( \xi_0, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \), define
\[
RF(\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n) = \{ M \in RF(\xi_0, \xi_1) | \xi(\text{Tor}^A_n(M, k)) = \xi_i, i = 2, \ldots, n \}.
\]

Note that only finitely many \( RF(\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n) \) are nonempty. Indeed, once \( \xi_0 \) and \( \xi_1 \) are fixed, there are only finitely many possibilities for locations and numbers of syzygies among the elements in \( \xi_1 \), showing that there are only finitely many possibilities for \( \xi_2 \). In turn there are only finitely many possibilities for \( \xi_3 \), and so on. Note also that it is possible to have some \( \xi_i = \emptyset \) (and then \( \xi_j = \emptyset \) for \( j \geq i \)). Moreover, the various \( RF(\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n) \) are pairwise disjoint and their union is all of \( RF(\xi_0, \xi_1) \).

4.2. The action of \( GL(F(\xi_0)) \)

It is clear that each \( RF(\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n) \) is stable under the action of \( GL(F(\xi_0)) \) since isomorphic modules have isomorphic Tor groups. More is true, however.

Lemma 4.2. Let \( M \) be a finitely-generated \( n \)-graded \( A_n \)-module with minimal resolution
\[
0 \to F_n \xrightarrow{d_n} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots \to F_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0 \to M \to 0.
\]

Let \( \mu \in GL(F_0) \) and let \( M' = \mu(M) \). Then \( M' \) has minimal resolution
\[
0 \to F_n \xrightarrow{d_n'} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots \to F_2 \xrightarrow{d_2'} F_1 \xrightarrow{d_1'} F_0 \to M' \to 0,
\]
where \( d_1' = d_1 \circ \mu \).

Proof. This is an easy exercise and is left to the reader.

As a consequence, we see that each isomorphism class of modules has the same syzygies, not just the same types \( \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n \). It is this fact we shall exploit now.
4.3. A different variety structure

Given a module $M$ with a minimal free resolution

$$0 \to F_n \overset{d_n}{\to} F_{n-1} \overset{d_{n-1}}{\to} \cdots \to F_2 \overset{d_2}{\to} F_1 \overset{d_1}{\to} F_0 \to M \to 0,$$

we may think of the map $d_j : (F_j)_v \to (F_{j-1})_v$, $v \in \xi_j$, as giving us a subspace of dimension $\dim(F_j)_v$ inside $(F_{j-1})_v$. Denote this subspace by $d_j(M)$. Define a variety $Y_{\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n}$ by

$$Y_{\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n} = \prod_{j=2}^n \prod_{\{v,i\} \in \xi_j} \Gr_{\dim(F_{\xi_j})}(F_{(\xi_j-1)}_v).$$

We may now construct a map $\varphi : RF(\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n) \to Y_{\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n}$ by

$$\varphi(M) = (d_2(M), d_3(M), \ldots, d_n(M)).$$

As a consequence of Lemma 4.2, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 4.3.** The map $\varphi$ induces a map

$$\overline{\varphi} : GL(F(\xi_0)) \setminus RF(\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n) \to Y_{\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n}.$$

Via the map $\overline{\varphi}$ we may put a variety structure on each $GL(F(\xi_0)) \setminus RF(\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n)$ as follows. For each orbit, choose a representative $M$. The module $M$ determines a point on $RF(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ and the orbit determines a point on $Y_{\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n}$. We therefore may assemble these to obtain a map

$$\Phi : GL(F(\xi_0)) \setminus RF(\xi_0, \xi_1) \to \prod_{\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n} RF(\xi_0, \xi_1) \times Y_{\xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n}$$

defined by $\Phi([M]) = (M, (d_2(M), d_3(M), \ldots, d_n(M)))$. If there is a collection of orbits parametrized in some way (see the examples below), then we choose representatives parametrized in the same way. We note that when the various $\varphi$ are injective it is not necessary to include the variety $RF(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ in the definition of the map $\Phi$. This happens, for example, if all the generators in $\xi_0$ are co-located. However, in Section 5.2 below, we give an example to show that the maps $\varphi$ need not be injective if there are generators in $\xi_0$ in incomparable locations. Adding in the variety $RF(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ forces $\Phi$ to be injective.

The map $\Phi$ allows us to put a variety structure on $GL(F(\xi_0)) \setminus RF(\xi_0, \xi_1)$, but it is not the same as the structure of the quotient space defined in Section 3.2. We have lost some information in our construction. This will be discussed further in the next section.

5. Examples

5.1. An example from [3]

Consider $n = 2$ and the modules with generators and relations $\xi_0 = \{((0, 0), 2)\}$ and $\xi_1 = \{((0, 3), 1), ((1, 2), 1), ((2, 1), 1), ((3, 0), 1)\}$, respectively. Since there are two generators which are co-located, we have $GL(F(\xi_0)) = GL_2(k)$. The set $RF(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ is obtained by choosing, for each generator $e_v \in \xi_1$, a relation between the two generators of $F(\xi_0)$, that is, a line in $k^2 = F(\xi_0)_v$. Since the relations are not comparable
in the order \( \preceq \), there are no containment conditions in \( \mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1) \), and we obtain
\[
\mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1) = \mathbb{P}^1_k \times \mathbb{P}^1_k \times \mathbb{P}^1_k \times \mathbb{P}^1_k.
\]
The action of \( GL_2(k) \) on this is the usual diagonal action. The results of this section are true for arbitrary fields, but in the case \( k = F_2 \), we make the following remark.

For \( F_2 \), the variety \( \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\} \) is empty. This does not affect the description of the \( GL_2(k) \)-orbits on \( \mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1) \); it merely indicates that certain pieces of the description are not there.

In [3], the authors show that the orbit space \( GL_2(k) \backslash \mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1) \) contains a copy of \( \mathbb{P}^1_k - \{0, 1, \infty\} \) and deduce that it is impossible to obtain a complete family of discrete invariants parametrizing these modules (in contrast to the \( n = 1 \) case, where the barcode suffices). Let us examine this example further from the point of view of Section 4.3.

Since \( n = 2 \), the only higher \( \xi \) we need to consider is \( \xi_2 \). Since elements of \( \xi_2 \) effectively provide syzygies among the generators in \( \xi_1 \), we see that if \( e \in \xi_2 \), we must have \( \deg e \preceq (3, 3) \) since \( (3, 3) \) is the least upper bound in \( \mathbb{N}^2 \) for the set \( \{(0, 3), (1, 2), (2, 1), (3, 0)\} \). (This follows from the fact that \( A_2 = k[x, y] \) is an integral domain.) Thus, there are only six possible locations for generators in \( \xi_2 : (1, 3), (2, 2), (3, 1), (2, 3), (3, 2), (3, 3) \).

To enumerate the orbits of the \( GL_2(k) \)-action on \( (\mathbb{P}^1)^4 \), note that since the \( GL_2(k) \)-action on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) is 3-transitive, we have two cases to consider:

1. \( \Omega = \{ (\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4) \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^4 | \ell_i \neq \ell_j, i \neq j \} \), and
2. those 4-tuples where at least two of the \( \ell_i \) are the same.

The second case admits further refinement as well. Note that if \( k = F_2 \), then the set \( \Omega \) is empty.

Consider the set \( \Omega \). Since \( GL_2(k) \) acts 3-transitively on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \), we see immediately that
\[
GL_2(k) \backslash \Omega = \{ (0, \infty, 1, \ell) | \ell \in \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\} \}
\cong \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}.
\]

Let \( \alpha \in k - \{0, 1\} \) correspond to the line \( \ell \). Then the relations of the associated isomorphism class of modules are
\[
[y^3, 0], [0, xy^2], [-x^2y, x^2y], [-x^3, \alpha x^3];
\]
that is, the first generator dies at \( (0, 3) \), the second at \( (1, 2) \), the generators become equal at \( (2, 1) \) and \( \alpha \) times the second equals the first at \( (3, 0) \). An easy calculation shows that there are two syzygies among these:
\[
x^2[y^3, 0] - xy[0, xy^2] + y^2[-x^2y, x^2y] \\
(1 - \alpha)[0, xy^2] - xy[-x^2y, x^2y] + y^2[-x^3, \alpha x^3]
\]
in degrees \( (2, 3) \) and \( (3, 2) \), respectively. It follows that \( \Omega \subset \mathcal{RF}(\{(2, 3), (3, 2)\}) \). The space \( Y_\xi_2 \) for \( \xi_2 = \{(2, 3), (3, 2)\} \) is \( Gr_1(k^3) \times Gr_1(k^3) = \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \) and the map \( \varphi: GL_2(k) \backslash \Omega \to Y_\xi_2 \) is given by
\[
\varphi((0, \infty, 1, \ell)) = ([1 : -1 : 1], [1 - \alpha : -1 : 1]),
\]
as revealed by the syzygies above. Note that the image of $\varphi$ is a copy of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ inside $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, embedded in the second factor.

There are other elements in $\mathcal{RF}(\xi_2)$ for $\xi_2 = \{(2, 3, 1), (3, 2, 1)\}$ besides those in $\Omega$, and these fall in the category of those points in $(\mathbb{P}^1)^4$ having fewer than four distinct coordinates. Since $GL_2(k)$ acts 3-transitively on $\mathbb{P}^1$, we see that dividing up points in $(\mathbb{P}^1)^4$ into groups by numbers and locations of distinct points, there are finitely many $GL_2(k)$ orbits in $(\mathbb{P}^1)^4 - \Omega$. These are enumerated in Table 1. The orbit representative column indicates which elements of a 4-tuple are equal. The $\xi_2$ column shows the degrees of generators for the syzygies among the relations in $\xi_1$. For each $\xi_2$, we have the variety $Y_{\xi_2}$ defined above, and finally $\text{im}\varphi$ indicates the point on $Y_{\xi_2}$ mapped to by the particular orbit. In this example, the various $\varphi$ are injective, and so we may ignore the $\mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ portion.

Observe that there are nine distinct $\xi_2$’s and that via the map

$$\Phi: GL_2(k) \backslash \mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1) \to \bigsqcup_{\xi_2} Y_{\xi_2}$$

we may put a variety structure on the quotient set $GL_2(k) \backslash \mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1)$. Of course, this is not the quotient of $\mathcal{RF}(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ in the category of varieties and we have lost a great deal of information in the process. For example, consider $\xi_2 = \{(2, 3), (3, 2)\}$. This includes the generic set $\Omega$ along with the hyperplanes $H_{13} = \{(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_1, \ell_4)\},$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>orbit rep</th>
<th>$\xi_2$</th>
<th>$Y_{\xi_2}$</th>
<th>$\text{im}\varphi$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$(0, 1, \infty, \alpha)$</td>
<td>$(2, 3), (3, 2)$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$</td>
<td>$([1 : -1 : 1], [1 - \alpha : -1 : 1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(0, 0, \infty, 1)$</td>
<td>$(1, 3), (3, 2)$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$</td>
<td>$([1 : -1], [1 : -1 : 1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(0, \infty, 0, 1)$</td>
<td>$(2, 3), (3, 2)$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$</td>
<td>$([1 : 0 : -1], [1 : -1 : 1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(0, \infty, 1, 0)$</td>
<td>$(2, 3), (3, 3)$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^3$</td>
<td>$([1 : -1 : 1], [1 : 0 : -1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(0, \infty, \infty, 1)$</td>
<td>$(2, 2), (3, 3)$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$</td>
<td>$([1 : -1], [1 : 0 : -1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(0, \infty, 1, \infty)$</td>
<td>$(2, 3), (3, 2)$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$</td>
<td>$([1 : 0 : -1], [1 : 0 : -1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(0, \infty, 1, 1)$</td>
<td>$(2, 3), (3, 1)$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$</td>
<td>$([1 : -1 : 1], [1 : -1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(0, 0, \infty, \infty)$</td>
<td>$(1, 3), (3, 1)$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$</td>
<td>$([1 : -1], [1 : -1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(0, 0, \infty, 0)$</td>
<td>$(2, 3), (3, 2)$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$</td>
<td>$([1 : 0 : -1], [1 : 0 : -1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(0, 0, \infty, 0)$</td>
<td>$(1, 3), (3, 2)$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$</td>
<td>$([1 : -1], [1 : 0 : -1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(0, \infty, 0, 0)$</td>
<td>$(2, 3), (3, 1)$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$</td>
<td>$([1 : 0 : -1], [1 : -1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\infty, 0, 0, 0)$</td>
<td>$(2, 2), (3, 1)$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$</td>
<td>$([1 : -1], [1 : -1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(0, 0, 0, 0)$</td>
<td>$(1, 3), (2, 2), (3, 1)$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$</td>
<td>$([1 : -1], [1 : -1], [1 : -1])$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The $GL_2(k)$ orbits
6. Geometric interpretation of $\xi_i$, $i \geq 2$

Consider the filtration of the circle shown in Figure 2. The $H_0$ and $H_1$ modules for this filtration are shown in Figure 3. For $H_0$, we have $\xi_0 = \{((0,0),3)\}$, $\xi_1 = \{((0,1),1),((1,0),1),((2,0),1)\}$, and $\xi_2 = \{((2,1),1)\}$, while $H_1$ is free with $\xi_0 = \{((2,1),1)\}$. Note the connection between $\xi_2$ for $H_0$ and $\xi_0$ for $H_1$. This occurs in almost every example one writes down. In this section, we provide an explanation for this.
Table 2: Orbits of the $GL(F(\xi_0))$ action

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orbit rep $\omega$</th>
<th>$\xi_2$</th>
<th>$Y_{\xi_2}$</th>
<th>$\text{im}\overline{\varphi}$</th>
<th>$\text{im}\Phi$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$([1 : 0], [0 : 1 : 0], {yz})$</td>
<td>(2, 1)</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^1$</td>
<td>$[1 : -1]$</td>
<td>$(\omega, [1 : -1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$([1 : 0], [0 : 1 : 0], {xy})$</td>
<td>(2, 1)</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^1$</td>
<td>$[1 : -1]$</td>
<td>$(\omega, [1 : -1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$([1 : 0], [0 : 1 : 0], {x + z, y})$</td>
<td>(2, 1)</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^1$</td>
<td>$[1 : -1]$</td>
<td>$(\omega, [1 : -1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$([1 : 0], [0 : 0 : 1], {xz})$</td>
<td>(2, 2)</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^2$</td>
<td>$[1 : 0 : -1]$</td>
<td>$(\omega, [1 : 0 : -1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$([1 : 0], [1 : 0 : 0], {x + y, z})$</td>
<td>(2, 2)</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^2$</td>
<td>$[1 : 0 : -1]$</td>
<td>$(\omega, [1 : 0 : -1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$([1 : 0], [1 : 1 : 0], {xy})$</td>
<td>(2, 2)</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^2$</td>
<td>$[1 : 1 : -1]$</td>
<td>$(\omega, [1 : 1 : -1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$([1 : 0], [1 : 1 : 0], {x + y, x + z})$</td>
<td>(2, 2)</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^2$</td>
<td>$[1 : 1 : -1]$</td>
<td>$(\omega, [1 : 1 : -1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$([1 : 0], [1 : 0 : 1], {x + z, y})$</td>
<td>(2, 2)</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^2$</td>
<td>$[1 : 0 : -1]$</td>
<td>$(\omega, [1 : 0 : -1])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$([1 : 0], [1 : 0 : 1], {x + z, y + tz}, t \neq 0)$</td>
<td>(2, 2)</td>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^2$</td>
<td>$[1 : 0 : -1]$</td>
<td>$(\omega, [1 : 0 : -1])$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: A filtration of the circle

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
  k^2 & k & k \\
k^3 & k^2 & k \\
\end{array}
$$

Figure 3: The modules $H_0$ and $H_1$
6.1. Chain complexes of $n$-graded modules

Suppose that $X$ is a finite simplicial complex filtered by $\mathbb{N}^n$; denote the filtered space by $X_\bullet$. For each $i \geq 0$, we have the $n$-graded $A_n$-module

$$C_i(X_\bullet) = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^n} C_i(X_v)$$

of $i$-chains. Since the boundary map is functorial with respect to maps of simplicial complexes, we obtain a chain complex in the category of $n$-graded $A_n$-modules:

$$C_\bullet(X_\bullet) = \{ \cdots \to C_i(X_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{i-1}(X_\bullet) \to \cdots \}.$$

The $i$-th homology of this complex is the $n$-graded module $H_i(X_\bullet) = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^n} H_i(X_v)$.

In previous sections, we studied these homology modules individually. This point of view, however, allows us to draw connections between them as hinted at by the example above. For this we need the hypertor groups of the complex $C_\bullet(X_\bullet)$. A reference for this material is Section 5.7 of [8].

The hypertor modules of $C_\bullet(X_\bullet)$ are the Tor groups in the category of $n$-graded $A_n$-modules:

$$\text{Tor}^A_n(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), M),$$

where $M$ is any $n$-graded $A_n$-module. Here, we are interested only in $M = k$, sitting in degree $(0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. These are obtained by taking a Cartan-Eilenberg resolution $P_\bullet \to C_\bullet(X_\bullet)$ (see p. 145 of [8]) or $K_\bullet \to k$, and taking the homology of the total complex of the resulting double complex obtained by tensoring two objects:

$$P_\bullet \otimes_{A_n} k \text{ or } C_\bullet(X_\bullet) \otimes_{A_n} K_\bullet \text{ or } P_\bullet \otimes_{A_n} K_\bullet.$$

As usual, there are two spectral sequences for computing the homology of the total complex. One of these has (by taking horizontal homology first)

$$E^2_{pq} = \text{Tor}^A_{p+q}(H_q(X_\bullet), k) \Rightarrow \text{Tor}^A_{p+q}(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k).$$

We shall discuss the abutment in the next section. For now, let us focus on the $E^2$-term itself. Set $p = 2$ and note that we have a map

$$d^2_{2q} : \text{Tor}^A_2(H_q(X_\bullet), k) \to \text{Tor}^A_0(H_{q+1}(X_\bullet), k).$$

In other words, we have a functorial way to relate elements of $\xi_2(H_q(X_\bullet))$ to elements of $\xi_0(H_{q+1}(X_\bullet))$.

In the circle example above, we have a map of graded modules

$$d^2_{20} : \text{Tor}^A_2(H_0(X_\bullet), k) \to \text{Tor}^A_0(H_1(X_\bullet), k).$$

As $H_1(X_\bullet)$ is a free $A_2$-module with generator at $(2, 1)$, we have the resolution

$$0 \to A_2(2, 1) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_1(X_\bullet) \to 0$$

and so $\text{Tor}^A_1(H_1(X_\bullet), k)$ is the $i$-th homology of the complex

$$0 \to A_2(2, 1) \otimes_{A_2} k \to 0.$$

Thus, we get only $\text{Tor}_0$ and it is a single copy of $k$ in degree $(2, 1)$. 
To compute the Tor groups of \( H_0(X\bullet) \), we use the resolution

\[
0 \to A_2(2,1) \xrightarrow{d_2} A_2(1,0) \oplus A_2(0,1) \oplus A_2(2,0) \xrightarrow{d_3} A_2(0,0)^3 \to H_0(X\bullet) \to 0,
\]

where the maps \( d_i \) are given by

\[
d_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -xy \\ x^2 \\ -y \end{pmatrix}, \quad d_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x & y & 0 \\ -x & 0 & x^2 \\ 0 & -y & -x^2 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Applying \( - \otimes_{A_2} k \) we obtain the complex

\[
0 \to k(2,1) \xrightarrow{0} k(1,0) \oplus k(0,1) \oplus k(2,0) \xrightarrow{0} k(0,0)^3 \to 0,
\]

from which we deduce that \( \text{Tor}^{A_2}_2(H_0(X\bullet), k) = k(2,1) \). The map \( d_2^{2,0} \) is then a map \( k(2,1) \to k(2,1) \).

The easy way to see that this map is an isomorphism is to note that since \( C_0(X\bullet) \) and \( C_1(X\bullet) \) are free \( A_2 \)-modules, we have \( \text{Tor}^{A_2}_1(C_\bullet(X\bullet), k) = H_1(C_\bullet(X\bullet) \otimes_{A_2} k) \). It is easy to see that

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Tor}^{A_2}_0(C_\bullet(X\bullet), k) &= k(0,0)^3 \\
\text{Tor}^{A_2}_1(C_\bullet(X\bullet), k) &= k(0,1) \oplus k(0,1) \oplus k(2,0),
\end{align*}
\]

and these modules occur in the \( E^2 \)-term of the spectral sequence in degrees \((0,0)\) and \((1,0)\), respectively. Since \( E^3 = E^\infty \) in this case, we must have that \( d_2^{2,0} : k(2,1) \to k(2,1) \) is an isomorphism.

In fact, this map is \(-\text{id}\), as can be seen by choosing a Cartan-Eilenberg resolution of the complex \( C_\bullet(X\bullet) \). Upon applying the functor \( - \otimes_{A_2} k \) to this resolution, we find that the \( E^0 \)-term of the (transposed) spectral sequence is

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
k(1,0) \oplus k(0,1) & k(2,1) & 0 \\
\oplus & & \\
k(2,0) \oplus k(2,1) & & \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
k(0,0)^3 \oplus k(1,0) & k(1,0) \oplus k(0,1) & k(2,1), \\
\oplus & & \\
k(0,1) \oplus k(2,0) & k(2,0) \oplus k(2,1) & \\
\end{array}
\]

where the horizontal and vertical maps are either 0 or \( \pm \text{id} \) as allowed by grading (horizontal maps are \( \text{id} \), vertical maps \(-\text{id}\) in column 1 and \( \text{id}\) in column 0). It follows that the \( E^3 \)-term is

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
k(2,1) & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
k(0,0)^3 & k(1,0) \oplus k(0,1) \oplus k(2,0) & k(2,1),
\end{array}
\]

and hence \( E^1 = E^2 \). Given the description of the \( E^0 \)-term, it is now clear, by construction, that \( d_2^{2,0} : E_2^{2,0} \to E_0^{2,1} \) is \(-\text{id}\).
Geometrically, this may be interpreted as follows. The generator of the module \( \text{Tor}^d_2(H_0(X_\bullet), k) \) represents the first location where a collection of relations in \( H_0 \) is not an independent set. This can occur due to duplications of relations, or, as in this example, because these have come together to form a 1-cycle. This is a general result.

**Theorem 6.1.** The kernel of the map
\[
d_2^{2q}: \text{Tor}^A_n(H_q(X_\bullet), k) \to \text{Tor}^A_n(H_{q+1}(X_\bullet), k)
\]
is generated by syzygies resulting from the same relation being imposed in \( H_q(X_\bullet) \) in multiple degrees. If a nonzero element \( w \in \text{Tor}^A_n(H_{q+1}(X_\bullet), k) \) is in the image of \( d_2^{2q} \), say \( d_2^{2q}z = w \), then \( w = \sum \alpha_i w_i \) for some \((q+1)\)-simplices \( w_i \) where each \( w_i \) corresponds to an element of \( \text{Tor}^A_n(B_q(X_\bullet), k) \) and \( z \) gives a syzygy among the \( w_i \).

**Proof.** If one constructs a Cartan-Eilenberg resolution of \( C_\bullet(X_\bullet) \) as in [8, p. 146], one discovers that the differential \( d_2^{2q} \) is built as follows. For each \( q \), we have exact sequences of \( A_n \)-modules,
\[
0 \to B_q(X_\bullet) \to Z_q(X_\bullet) \to H_q(X_\bullet) \to 0
\]
and
\[
0 \to Z_{q+1}(X_\bullet) \to C_{q+1}(X_\bullet) \to B_q(X_\bullet) \to 0,
\]
together with the associated long exact sequence of Tor groups. We may piece these together as follows:
\[
\text{Tor}^A_n(H_q, k) \xrightarrow{f} \text{Tor}^A_n(B_q, k) \to \text{Tor}^A_n(Z_{q+1}, k) \to \text{Tor}^A_n(H_{q+1}, k).
\]
Denote the composite of the last two maps by \( g \). Then \( d_2^{2q} = g \circ f \).

Denote the minimal resolutions of \( H_q(X_\bullet) \) and \( B_q(X_\bullet) \) by \( P_q \to H_q \) and \( Q_q \to B_q \). The differentials in these resolutions will be denoted \( d_P \) and \( d_Q \), respectively. Recall that \( I \) denotes the ideal \((x_1, \ldots, x_n) \subset A_n \). Suppose \( d_2^{2q}z = 0, z \neq 0 \). We have two cases.

1. \( f(z) = 0 \). Then we have \( f(z) \in I \cdot Q_{q+1} \); that is, \( f(z) = \sum x^v z_v \), where \( z_v \in \xi(Q_{q+1}) \). It is easy to see that \( d_Q \circ f = 0 \) and so \( d_Q(\sum x^v z_v) = 0 \). That is, \( \sum x^v d_Q z_v = 0 \). This element is trivial in \( \text{Tor}^A_n(B_q, k) \). It therefore gives an inessential relation among the generators of \( B_q \). Let \( u_v = d_Q z_v \). Then \( u_v \in Q_{q+1} \) and \( \sum x^v u_v = 0 \). The \( u_v \) correspond to \((q+1)\)-chains and the relation \( \sum x^v u_v = 0 \) shows that the \( u_v \) are a redundant set of relations; i.e., we have imposed the same relations \((u_v)\) among \( q \)-chains in different grades. Thus, the syzygy \( z \) arises from imposing these redundant relations.

2. \( f(z) \neq 0 \). Then \( f(z) = \sum z_v + \sum x^w u_v \) for some \( z_v, u_v \in \xi(Q_{q+1}) \), and we have \( g(f(z)) = \sum x^w u_v \) for some \( u_v \in P_{q+1,0} \). Again, this element is trivial, this time in \( \text{Tor}^A_n(H_{q+1}(X_\bullet), k) \), and so it must be that we have imposed the same relations \((u_v)\) among \( q \)-simplices in different grades.

Finally, if \( d_2^{2q}(z) = w \neq 0 \), then \( w = \sum u_v + \sum x^w u_v \) for some \( u_v, w_v \in \xi(P_{q+1,0}) \). Write \( f(z) = \sum y_v + \sum x^w z_v, y_v, w_v \in \xi(P_{q+1,0}) \). Note that \( \sum y_v \) represents a nontrivial element of \( \text{Tor}^A_n(B_q(X_\bullet), k) \), and the \((q+1)\)-dimensional homology class \( w \) is built from \( q \)-boundaries \( y_v \) with \( z \) giving a syzygy among them. \( \square \)
In the case \( n = 2 \), this gives the complete picture since \( E^3 = E^\infty \). For \( n \geq 3 \), however, we have higher differentials in the spectral sequence. In particular, the differential \( d_1^q : E^2_{0,q} \to E^2_{0,q+1} \) is a map from a subquotient of \( \text{Tor}_{0,n}^3(H_q(X_\bullet), k) \) to a quotient of \( \text{Tor}_{0,n}^3(H_{q+1}(X_\bullet), k) \). This is a much more subtle and complicated relationship that we shall not investigate here.

### 6.2. The abutment

In this section we investigate the groups \( \text{Tor}_{0,n}^3(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k) \) that form the abutment of the spectral sequence investigated above. Recall the filtration of the circle shown in Figure 2 above. We had

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Tor}_{0,n}^3(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k) &= k(0, 0)^3 \\
\text{Tor}_{1,n}^3(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k) &= k(0, 1) \oplus k(1, 0) \oplus k(2, 0).
\end{align*}
\]

Note that each of these groups is generated by elements that correspond to actual simplices in the space \( X \); the three points for \( \text{Tor}_0 \) and the three edges for \( \text{Tor}_1 \). As it stands, the grading prevents the existence of a nontrivial map between these groups, but if we drop the grading, there is an obvious map \( \partial : \text{Tor}_1 \to \text{Tor}_0 \) given by the geometric boundary. The homology of this complex is then the homology of the underlying space.

This example is particularly nice since the chain groups \( C_i(X_\bullet) \) are free \( A_2 \)-modules. Still, we shall now show that in certain cases it is possible to construct a boundary map \( \partial_i : \text{Tor}_i(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k) \to \text{Tor}_{i-1}(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k) \), after forgetting the grading, so that the homology of the resulting complex is the homology of the space \( X \).

There is another spectral sequence converging to the modules \( \text{Tor}_i(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k) \); its \( E^1 \)-term satisfies

\[
E^1_{pq} = \text{Tor}_{p,q}^3(C_p(X_\bullet), k)
\]

and the \( q \)-th row of the \( E^2 \)-term is obtained by taking the homology of the complex \( E^2_{pq} = \{ \text{Tor}_q(C_p(X_\bullet), k), d^1 \} \), where \( d^1 \) is induced by the boundary map in \( C_\bullet(X_\bullet) \). The bottom row, \( E^2_{00} \) is simply the complex \( C_\bullet(X_\bullet) \otimes A_n k \). Each \( C_i(X_\bullet) \otimes A_n k \) is an \( n \)-graded \( k \)-vector space with basis the \( i \)-simplices in \( X \), but possibly with duplications if a particular cell enters the filtration in different grades.

When \( n = 1 \), there can be no such duplications; it therefore follows that if we ignore the grading and use the geometric boundary map, then the bottom row is just \( C_\bullet(X) \otimes k \). Note also that when \( n = 1 \), the modules \( C_i(X_\bullet) \) are necessarily free (because of the lack of duplications), and so \( \text{Tor}_i^3(C_i(X_\bullet), k) = 0 \) for all \( i \geq 0 \). It follows that \( \text{Tor}_i(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k) = C_i(X_\bullet) \otimes k[2] k \), and with the boundary map \( \partial_i : \text{Tor}_i \to \text{Tor}_{i-1} \), we see that

\[
H_1(\text{Tor}_{n}[k[2]](C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k), \partial_*) \cong H_1(X; k).
\]

For \( n \geq 2 \), things are more complicated. Note that the \( d^1 \)-map is mostly zero for degree reasons. For example, on the bottom row, the only way an \( i \)-simplex \( \sigma \) might map to something nonzero is if \( \sigma \) and some part of \( \partial \sigma \) entered the filtration in the same degree. Otherwise, there is nowhere for \( \sigma \) to go. In the result below, we shall
get around this by assuming that at most one simplex is added at a time as we move in any direction in the filtration.

We first note the following fact.

**Proposition 6.2.** For all \( j \geq n + \dim X \), we have \( \text{Tor}^{A_n}_j(\mathcal{C}^\bullet(X_\bullet), k) = 0 \).

**Proof.** For \( j > n + \dim X \), this follows by noting that in the spectral sequence above, we have \( E^2_{pq} = 0 \) for \( p > \dim X \) or \( q > n \). More is true, however. We claim that \( \text{Tor}_n(C_p(X_\bullet), k) = 0 \) as well, and this implies that \( \text{Tor}^{A_n}_{n+\dim X}(\mathcal{C}^\bullet(X_\bullet), k) = 0 \). To see this, let \( K_\bullet \rightarrow k \) be the Koszul complex, where each term sits in degree \((0,0,\ldots,0)\) in \( \mathbb{N}^n \). This is a free resolution of \( k \) in the category of \( n \)-graded \( A_n \)-modules, and so we may use it to compute \( \text{Tor}^{A_n}_n(C_p(X_\bullet), k) \). In this way, it is easy to see that

\[
\text{Tor}^{A_n}_n(C_p(X_\bullet), k) = \{ \sigma \in C_p(X_\bullet) : x_j \sigma = 0, j = 1, \ldots, n \},
\]

and this is clearly zero since chains never die (in contrast to homology classes, where \( \text{Tor}_n \) could be nonzero). \( \square \)

Heuristically speaking, an element of \( \text{Tor}^{A_n}_q(C_p(X_\bullet), k) \), \( q > 0 \) may be thought of as a virtual \((p+q)\)-cell in the following way. If \( q = 1 \), then we get elements that tell us to identify two \( p \)-simplices in the space \( X \) that are copies of the same cell in different filtration levels. We may view this element as a \((p+1)\)-cell that fills in the void created by attaching two copies of the \( p \)-simplex. An example of this is shown in Figure 4, where a 2-cell has been added in filtration levels \((1,2)\) and \((3,0)\) (note that this is a cellular filtration, not a simplicial one, but the principles are the same). The corresponding relation gives rise to an element of \( \text{Tor}^{A_2}_3(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k) \) in degree \((3,2)\) (coming from an element of \( \text{Tor}^{A_2}_3(C_2(X_\bullet), k) \)), which we can picture as a 3-cell filling in the sphere created by attaching two copies of the 2-cell to a cylinder. Elements in \( \text{Tor}_q \) may be thought of similarly—higher syzygies arise from syzygies appearing in multiple places— and these get filled in by virtual cells.

We may therefore think of the elements of \( \text{Tor}^{A_n}_j(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k) \) as \( j \)-cells, some of which correspond to real \( j \)-cells in the space \( X \) (if they come from \( \text{Tor}^{A_n}_0(C_j(X_\bullet), k) \)), others of which correspond to virtual cells filling in spheres created by duplications. We now define a map \( \partial : \text{Tor}^{A_n}_\ell(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k) \rightarrow \text{Tor}^{A_n}_{\ell-1}(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k) \) giving a relation among elements of \( E^n_\ell \) as a virtual \((i+j)\)-cell giving a relation among elements of \( E^n_{i,j-1} \); say \( z \in E^n_{i,j} \) yields a syzygy among elements \( z_i \) in \( E^n_{i,j-1} \). We then define \( \partial[z] = \sum [z_i] \). Note that if we do not ignore the degrees of the elements of these modules, then this would often be the zero map. However, ignoring the grading, this makes sense. For \( j = 0 \), we have elements of \( E^n_{1,0} \) coming from actual cells in the space \( X \). For such a simplex \( \sigma \), define \( \partial[\sigma] = [\partial \sigma] \), the geometric boundary of \( \sigma \).

While a general description of the \( \text{Tor}_j \) is unwieldy, we do have the following result. Denote by \( e_j \) the element \((0,0,\ldots,0,1,0,\ldots,0)\) in \( \mathbb{N}^n \), where the 1 is in the \( j \)-th position.
Figure 4: A filtered sphere with duplicated cells in degrees (1, 2) and (3, 0). The horizontal edge in the bottom of the picture in degree (2, 1) is a 1-cell joining the two vertices. The 2-cell attached in degree (2, 1) creates a cylinder with boundary the two circles.

**Theorem 6.3.** Suppose the filtration $X_\bullet$ is such that for every $v \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$\dim_k((C_i(X_\bullet)_{v+e_j})) \leq 1 + \dim_k((C_i(X_\bullet)_v)),$$

$j = 1, \ldots, n$ (that is, we add at most one simplex at a time moving in any coordinate direction). Then for all $\ell \geq 0$,

$$\text{Tor}^A_\ell(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k) = \bigoplus_{i+j=\ell} \text{Tor}^A_{ij}(C_i(X_\bullet), k),$$

and using $\partial$: $\text{Tor}_{\ell}(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k) \to \text{Tor}_{\ell-1}(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k)$ defined above, we have

$$H_\bullet(\text{Tor}_{\bullet}(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k), \partial) \cong H_\bullet(X; k).$$

**Proof.** Note that the condition on the filtration implies that in the $E^0$-term of the spectral sequence, the horizontal differential is identically zero for degree reasons (i.e., the boundary of any simplex lives in a lower degree, a relation involves objects in lower degrees, etc., and so after tensoring with $k$, the differential vanishes). It follows that $E^1 = E^\infty$ and so, for $\ell \geq 0$,

$$\text{Tor}^A_\ell(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k) = \bigoplus_{i+j=\ell} E^\infty_{ij} = \bigoplus_{i+j=\ell} \text{Tor}^A_{ij}(C_i(X_\bullet), k).$$

Denote the group $\text{Tor}^A_\ell(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k)$, with grading dropped, by $T_\ell$. We have an inclusion of chain complexes

$$\varphi: C_\bullet(X; k) \to T_\bullet$$

defined as follows. Let $\sigma$ be a generator of $C_i(X; k)$. Then $\sigma \in \text{Tor}^A_\ell(C_i(X_\bullet), k)$, perhaps in multiple locations. Choose the copy in degree $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)$, where
Note that the map $E$ follows that any vertical cycle may be filled with a vertical boundary and hence vertical homology of this double complex vanishes so that

$$
0 \rightarrow C_\bullet(X;k) \xrightarrow{E} T_\bullet \rightarrow Q_\bullet \rightarrow 0.
$$

We claim that $H_\bullet(Q_\bullet) \equiv 0$.

Note that for each $\ell \geq 0$,

$$
Q_\ell = \bigoplus_{i+j=\ell, \ell \geq 1} \text{Tor}_{i,j}^A(C_i(X_\bullet), k) \oplus \text{Tor}_{i,j}^A(C_i(X_\bullet), k) / \varphi(C_i(X;k)),
$$

and hence $Q_\bullet$ is the total complex of the double complex obtained from $E^\infty$ by taking the quotient of the bottom row by the image of $C_\bullet(X;k)$ under $\varphi$. We claim that the vertical homology of this double complex vanishes so that $H_\bullet(Q_\bullet) \equiv 0$. To see this, note that the map

$$
E^\infty_{i,1} \rightarrow E^\infty_{i,0}/\varphi(C_i(X;k))
$$

is surjective since the elements in $\text{Tor}_{i,j}^A(C_i(X_\bullet), k)$ serve to identify duplications of simplices in $X$. Since we have set one of these simplices equal to zero, the others get hit by the appropriate element of $\text{Tor}_{i,j}^A(C_i(X_\bullet), k)$. Similarly, a syzygy $z$ in $E^\infty_{i,j}$ corresponds to a virtual $(i+j)$-cell that fills in the $2 (i+j-1)$-cells it relates. It follows that any vertical cycle may be filled with a vertical boundary and hence $E^1 \equiv 0$, as required.

### 6.3. Examples

1. Consider the filtered circle shown in Figure 5. The chain groups of this space are:

$$
C_0(X_\bullet) = \frac{A_2(0,0) \oplus A_2(0,1) \oplus A_2(1,0) \oplus A_2(2,1) \oplus A_2(4,0)}{x(0,1,0,0,0) = y(0,0,1,0,0), x(0,0,0,1,0) = y(0,0,0,0,1)}
$$

$$
C_1(X_\bullet) = \frac{A_2(1,1) \oplus A_2(2,0) \oplus A_2(3,2) \oplus A_2(4,1) \oplus A_2(4,2)}{x(1,0,0,0,0) = y(0,1,0,0,0), x(0,1,0,0,0) = y(0,0,0,0,1)}
$$

The $E^1 = E^\infty$-term of the spectral sequence for computing $\text{Tor}_{A}^\infty(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k)$ is then

$$
k(1, 1) \oplus k(4, 1) \quad k(2, 1) \oplus k(4, 2)
$$

$$
k(0, 0) \oplus k(0, 1) \oplus k(1, 0) \oplus k(2, 1) \oplus k(4, 0) \quad k(1, 1) \oplus k(2, 0) \oplus k(3, 2) \oplus k(4, 1) \oplus k(4, 2).
$$

We therefore have $T_0 = E^1_{0,0}$, $T_1 = E^1_{0,1} \oplus E^1_{1,0}$, and $T_2 = E^1_{1,1}$, and the complex $T_\bullet$ is then

$$
k^2 \xrightarrow{B} k^2 \oplus k^5 \xrightarrow{A} k^5,
$$

where the matrices $A$ and $B$ are
Figure 5: A circle with one simplex entering at a time

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

It is easy to see that \(A\) has rank 4, \(B\) has rank 2, and so the homology of this complex is \(k\) in degrees 0 and 1, and 0 in degree 2. The inclusion \(\varphi : C_\bullet(X; k) \rightarrow T_\bullet\) takes the three vertices to those in degrees \((0, 0), (0, 1),\) and \((2, 1),\) and the three edges to those in degrees \((1, 1), (3, 2),\) and \((4, 2).\) The map \(\varphi\) is a quasi-isomorphism.

2. Observe that the filtered sphere shown in Figure 4 fails the criterion imposed in the statement of the theorem since we add multiple cells when passing to degree \((2, 1)\). However, we may still recover the homology of \(X = S^2\) from the hypertor groups. The chain groups of this filtered space are as follows:

\[
C_0(X_\bullet) = A_2(0, 0)^2 \\
C_1(X_\bullet) = A_2(0, 0)^2 \oplus A_2(2, 1) \\
C_2(X_\bullet) = \frac{A_2(0, 3) \oplus A_2(1, 2) \oplus A_2(2, 1) \oplus A_2(3, 0)}{x^2(0, 1, 0, 0) = y^2(0, 0, 0, 1)}.
\]

The spectral sequence for calculating \(\text{Tor}^A_{\bullet \bullet}(C_\bullet(X_\bullet), k)\) has \(E^1\)-term

\[
k(0, 0)^2 \xleftarrow{0} k(0, 0)^2 \oplus k(2, 1) \xleftarrow{0} k(0, 3) \oplus k(1, 2) \oplus k(2, 1) \oplus k(3, 0).
\]
Since the horizontal differential is zero, this is the $E^\infty$-term as well. Note that we have isomorphisms $\varphi: C_0(X; k) \to T_0$ and $\varphi: C_1(X; k) \to T_1$, while the inclusion $\varphi: C_2(X; k) \to T_2$ is given by

$\sigma_1 \mapsto \sigma_1(0, 3),$

$\sigma_2 \mapsto \sigma_2(1, 2),$

$\tau \mapsto \tau(2, 1),$

where $\sigma_1$ is the 2-cell entering in degree $(0, 3)$, $\sigma_2$ enters at $(1, 2)$ and $\tau$ enters at $(2, 1)$ to create the cylinder. For clarity, we have indicated the degree of each element in $T_i$, but we have dropped the grading for calculations. We therefore have $Q_0 = 0$, $Q_1 = 0$, $Q_2 = k(3, 0)$, and $Q_3 = k(3, 2)$. The map $\partial: Q_3 \to Q_2$ is the identity; the group $Q_3$ is generated by a virtual 3-cell filling in the two copies of $\sigma_2$. Note that $H_\bullet(Q_\bullet) \equiv 0$, and so $\varphi: C_\bullet(X; k) \to T_\bullet$ is a quasi-isomorphism.
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