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Abstract

Suppose \((C, x)\) is a pointed locally connected small Grothendieck site, and let \((X, z)\) denote any connected locally fibrant simplicial sheaf \(X\) equipped with a “geometric” point \(z\). Following Artin-Mazur, an étale homotopy type of \(X\) may then be defined via the geometrically pointed hypercovers of \(X\) to yield a pro-object of the homotopy category, but this is not the only possible definition. In Étale homotopy of simplicial schemes, Friedlander defined another étale homotopy type of a simplicial scheme \(X\) by taking diagonals of geometrically pointed bisimplicial hypercovers. In this paper, these two types are shown to be pro-isomorphic by means of a direct comparison of the associated cocycle categories. Friedlander’s construction of étale homotopy types as actual pro-simplicial sets relies on a rigidity property of the étale topology that may not always be available for arbitrary sites; the cocycle methods employed here do not have this limitation. By consequence, the associated homotopy types constructed from hypercovers and bisimplicial hypercovers are shown to be pro-isomorphic on any locally connected small Grothendieck site, and the comparison at the level of cocycles shows, in particular, that both abelian and non-abelian sheaf cohomology may be computed via bisimplicial hypercovers on arbitrary small Grothendieck sites.

1. Introduction

In classical étale homotopy theory, the étale homotopy type of a geometrically pointed connected locally noetherian scheme \((X, z)\) is defined by taking objectwise connected components \(\pi(U, u)\) of the system of all pointed hypercovers \((U, u) \to X\) of \(X\) and pointed simplicial homotopy classes of maps between them. This system is cofiltered and thus results in a pro-object of \(\text{Ho}(\text{sSet}_*)\), the homotopy category of pointed path-connected simplicial sets. This is the classical étale homotopy type of Artin-Mazur [1]. On the other hand, if one starts with a geometrically pointed connected locally noetherian simplicial scheme \(X\), then one has to make a choice about...
what types of hypercovers of $X$ to consider. The choice taken by Friedlander was to define his \textit{étale topological type} via the system of (rigid) pointed \textit{bisimplicial} hypercovers $(U, u) \to X$ of $X$ and fibrewise (over $X$) pointed simplicial homotopy classes of maps between them, where a \textit{bisimplicial hypercover} $U \to X$ is a map of bisimplicial schemes such that each degreewise map $U_n \to X_n$ is a hypercover of the scheme $X_n$ for $n \geq 0$. There one must take diagonals $d(U, u)$ followed by connected components in order to produce a pro-object of $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet}_*)$, and it is obvious that this specializes to the Artin-Mazur definition for geometrically pointed schemes $X$ regarded as constant simplicial schemes. In [5], Isaksen introduced another model for this homotopy type by taking the “realization” of the diagram of (rigid) étale homotopy types $\text{Ét}(X_n)$ of the constituent schemes and showed, in particular, that his model (also taking values in pro-simplicial sets) is weakly equivalent to Friedlander’s. Regardless of the model in pro-simplicial sets, the resulting homotopy type of interest may be taken to be the pro-object $T_b(X, z)$ of $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet}_*)$ defined by means of connected components of diagonals of geometrically pointed bisimplicial hypercovers of $X$.

There is another possible choice for a system of geometrically pointed hypercovers of a geometrically pointed simplicial scheme: one knows (for various reasons) that a pointed hypercover $(U, u) \to (X, z)$ should be defined as a pointed local trivial fibration on the relevant site, so one may simply take these instead of the bisimplicial hypercovers. These were apparently first considered for the purpose of defining a homotopy type by Schmidt in [12]. From this point of view it is natural to drop the requirement that $X$ be representable by a simplicial scheme and instead consider the system of geometrically pointed hypercovers of $X$ and pointed simplicial homotopy classes of maps between them for any geometrically pointed connected locally fibrant simplicial sheaf $(X, z)$, where the words “geometrically pointed” are suitably defined. One way or another, it has been known for some time that this also results in a pro-object $T(X, z)$ of $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet}_*)$; the underlying ideas go back to Brown’s thesis [2].

Unfortunately, the rigidity techniques of [3] do not automatically extend to arbitrary small Grothendieck sites; in particular, there are Grothendieck toposes that do not possess any points at all, and one does not know \textit{a priori} that the required rigidity property of covers is automatically satisfied when one is not using the usual étale topology. However, the homotopy types $T(X, z)$ and $T_b(X, z)$ (and unpointed analogues) still exist, and one may ask how to compare them.

Here this comparison is achieved by working at the level of \textit{cocycle categories} in the sense of [10]: it is shown here (Theorem 4.18) that there are bijections

$$\pi_0H_{\text{hyp}}(x, y) \cong \pi_0H_{\text{bihyp}}(x, y) \cong [x, y]$$

between the path components of the cocycle categories for ordinary and bisimplicial hypercovers for any locally fibrant pointed simplicial sheaf $y$ on the ambient small Grothendieck site $C$. For these bijections one only requires that the site $C$ be pointed (and only if one desires to speak about \textit{pointed} hypercovers). They do not depend on “having enough points” or rigidity properties of the ambient small Grothendieck site, and therefore constitute the correct general argument.

As this is a foundational result about a comparison of pro-homotopy types, its applications are limited to the transfer of facts about the first type to the second. For
the sake of example, the identifications
\[ H^n(X, H) \cong \lim_{p : d(U) \to X} H^n(dU, H) \]
for sheaves of groups \( H \) are established in Proposition 5.2. These results are proven without spectral sequence arguments and work equally well for nonabelian \( H^1 \) ([3, 3.8] only addressed the abelian case). This identification is usually given for hypercovers using the ordinary Verdier hypercovering theorem; the fact that it also works for bisimplicial hypercovers is an immediate application of the comparison given above, and again it is true for arbitrary small Grothendieck sites, not just étale sites.

Finally, it is shown here in Theorem 5.3 that \( T_b(X, z) \) is indeed pro-isomorphic to \( T(X, z) \) whenever \((X, z)\) is a pointed connected locally fibrant simplicial sheaf on a pointed locally connected site where the distinguished “point” is determined by some object \( \Omega \) representing a sheaf (such as a geometric point). The resulting invariance of \( T_b(X, z) \) up to pro-isomorphism under (pointed) local weak equivalences is the subject of Corollary 5.5. The \( \text{Ex}^\infty \) functor is employed in Lemma 5.4 to demonstrate that this invariance holds without any fibrancy assumptions on \((X, z)\). One recovers, in particular, the fact that a bisimplicial hypercover \( U \to X \) determines a pro-isomorphism \( T_b(dU) \cong T_b(X) \) of bisimplicial étale homotopy types (cf. [3, 8.1]); the proof here is elementary and does not make use of the pro-Whitehead theorem from [1, §4].

2. Hypercovers and bisimplicial hypercovers

A map \( U \to X \) of simplicial (pre)sheaves on a small Grothendieck site \( C \) is called a hypercover if it is a local fibration and a local weak equivalence (cf. [6] for a definition and discussion of the local right lifting property defining local fibrations), and it is well known that when \( X = K(X, 0) \) is the discrete or “constant” simplicial (pre)sheaf associated to an object \( X \) of \( C \), the map \( U \to X \) as above is a hypercover in this sense exactly when the maps
\[
U_0 \to X_0 \\
U_n \to \cosk_{n-1} U_n
\]
are local epimorphisms of (pre)sheaves on \( C \) for \( n \geq 1 \), which may be taken as the “classical” definition. The fact that these definitions correspond follows from ([7, 1.12]) in the case where \( X \) is locally fibrant, or by a Boolean localization argument in the general case, following Jardine [9].

Suppose \( C \) is pointed in the sense that there is a geometric morphism
\[ x : \text{Set} \to \text{Shv}(C) \]
of topoi. For the purposes of this paper, a pointed simplicial sheaf \((X, z)\) on \( C \) will be a simplicial sheaf \( X \) on \( C \) together with a choice of section \( z \in x^*(X_0) \), and a pointed map \( f : (X, z) \to (Y, z') \) of pointed simplicial sheaves will be a map \( X \to Y \) of the underlying simplicial sheaves such that \( x^*(f)(z) = z' \). In the usual geometric setting for étale homotopy theory, such “points” \( z \) correspond to geometric points of \( X \) whenever \( X = K(X, 0) \) is a discrete representable simplicial sheaf. A pointed hypercover \((U, u) \to (X, z)\) of a pointed simplicial sheaf \((X, z)\) on a pointed small
Grothendieck site \((\mathcal{C}, x)\) will be a hypercover \(U \rightarrow X\) that is a pointed map (with respect to \(x\)) of simplicial sheaves, and a pointed map of pointed hypercovers of \((X, z)\) will be a pointed map over \(X\) of the underlying simplicial sheaves. It has been observed as early as [2] that the pointed hypercovers of any locally fibrant pointed simplicial sheaf \((X, z)\) together with pointed simplicial homotopy classes of maps between them over \((X, z)\) form a cofiltered category, here denoted \(HR_*(X, z)\).

A bisimplicial hypercover \(f: U \rightarrow X\) of a simplicial (pre)sheaf \(X\) on a small Grothendieck site \(\mathcal{C}\) is a map of bisimplicial (pre)sheaves \(f: U \rightarrow K_*(X, 0)\), where \(X\) is being regarded as simplicially discrete in the “vertical” direction, such that each of the constituent maps \(f_m: U_m \rightarrow X_m\) in “horizontal” degree \(m \geq 0\) is a hypercover. If \((\mathcal{C}, x)\) is a pointed site, then a pointed bisimplicial hypercover \(f: (U, u) \rightarrow (X, z)\) of a pointed simplicial sheaf \((X, z)\) is a bisimplicial hypercover \(f: U \rightarrow X\) in sheaves such that \(x^*(f)(u) = z\), where \(u \in x^*(U_{0,0})\) is the “point” associated to \(U\). A pointed map \(f: (V, v) \rightarrow (U, u)\) of pointed bisimplicial hypercovers of a pointed simplicial sheaf \((X, z)\) is a map of bisimplicial hypercovers \(f: V \rightarrow U\) over \(X\) such that \(x^*(f)(v) = u\). The most significant fact about these objects for the present purposes is given by

**Proposition 2.1.** If \(f: (U, u) \rightarrow (X, z)\) is a bisimplicial hypercover of a pointed simplicial sheaf \((X, z)\) on a pointed small Grothendieck site \((\mathcal{C}, x)\), then the map \((dU, u) \rightarrow (X, z)\) of simplicial sheaves induced by taking diagonals is a local weak equivalence.

**Proof.** Fixing a Boolean localization \(p: \text{Shv}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})\), it suffices to show that the induced map \(p^*(dU) \rightarrow p^*(X)\) is a sectionwise weak equivalence by techniques of [9], but this follows from the corresponding fact for simplicial sets ([4, 1.7, IV]). The pointedness of the induced map is trivial.

This proposition and ([3, 8.1]) serve as motivation for asking whether any local weak equivalence induces isomorphisms on the étale homotopy pro-groups of the associated bisimplicial étale homotopy types. Reader beware: the diagonal of a pointwise fibration of simplicial sets need not be a fibration in general, so one does not generally expect the diagonal of a bisimplicial hypercover to be a hypercover. This is a source of technical problems when comparing the differing definitions of étale homotopy types.

3. The étale homotopy type of a simplicial sheaf

Say that a small Grothendieck site \(\mathcal{C}\) is locally connected if there exists a functor \(\pi: \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Set}\) left adjoint to the constant sheaf functor \(\Gamma^*: \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})\), and say that a locally connected site \(\mathcal{C}\) is connected if \(\pi(*) = *\), where * denotes the terminal sheaf on \(\mathcal{C}\). In geometric situations the functor \(\pi\) is that induced by the functor which sends any scheme to its set of connected scheme-theoretic components. A simplicial sheaf \(X\) on a connected site \(\mathcal{C}\) will be called connected if \(\pi_0\pi(X) \cong *\); a quick argument using \(H^0(-, K(\Gamma^*S, 0))\) for variable sets \(S\) shows that \(U\) is connected whenever \(U \rightarrow X\) is a hypercover of a connected simplicial sheaf \(X\), and a similar statement is true for diagonals of bisimplicial hypercovers by the same argument.

Suppose \((\mathcal{C}, x)\) is a pointed locally connected small Grothendieck site and that \((X, z)\) is a pointed (with respect to \(x\)) connected locally fibrant simplicial sheaf on \(\mathcal{C}\). Then the pointed hypercovers of \((X, z)\) are cofiltered up to simplicial homotopy so one may proceed to define an “étale” homotopy type \(T(X, z)\) for \((X, z)\); it is the
pro-object in $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet})$ given by applying $\pi$ to the cofiltered diagram $HR_*(X, z)$ of pointed hypercovers of $(X, z)$ and pointed simplicial homotopy classes of maps between them. This definition applies, in particular, to pointed locally fibrant connected simplicial schemes $(X, z)$ on étale sites, and is clearly not the same as the étale topological type of Friedlander defined by means of diagonals of (rigid) pointed bisimplicial hypercovers of $(X, z)$. Nevertheless $T(X, z)$ has several good properties: firstly, it specializes to the classical étale homotopy type for geometrically pointed connected schemes (this is a matter of checking definitions). Secondly, the fact that it is defined in terms of not-necessarily-representable hypercovers does not matter:

**Proposition 3.1.** For any geometrically pointed connected scheme $(X, z)$ on a locally connected étale site, the étale homotopy type $T(X, z)$ defined here is pro-isomorphic to the classical étale homotopy type $\text{Et}(X, z)$ of Artin-Mazur defined by means of pointed representable hypercovers of $(X, z)$.

**Proof.** The only point is to show that any pointed hypercover $(U, u) \to (X, z)$ of a scheme $X$ can be refined by a pointed representable hypercover (as then the result follows by a cofinality argument in $HR_*(X, z)$). This construction was given by Jardine in [8] based on the work of Artin-Mazur [1, 58]).

Next, one may show directly that the type $T(U, u)$ is pro-isomorphic to the type $T(X, z)$ for any pointed hypercover $(U, u) \to (X, z)$ of $(X, z)$. The corresponding (actually weaker) statement for the étale topological type of Friedlander requires some work to establish (cf. [3, 8.1]) but is easy to prove for $T(-, -)$:

**Lemma 3.2.** Suppose $(C, x)$ is a pointed locally connected small Grothendieck site, $(X, z)$ a pointed connected locally fibrant simplicial sheaf on $C$, and $f: (U, u) \to (X, z)$ a pointed hypercover of $(X, z)$. Then $f$ induces a pro-isomorphism $T(U, u) \cong T(X, z)$.

**Proof.** Consider the slice category $HR_*(X, z)/f$ whose objects are the commutative triangles

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(V, v) & \xrightarrow{g} & (U, u) \\
\downarrow h & & \downarrow f \\
(X, z) & & \\
\end{array}
\]

over $(X, z)$, where $h$ is a hypercover. The maps $g$ may not be hypercovers themselves, but as any such $V$ and $U$ are locally fibrant (since $X$ is), any such object has a functorial refinement up to weak equivalence by an object $g': (Z, z) \to (U, u)$ over $(X, z)$ such that $g'$ is a pointed hypercover of $(U, u)$: this is determined by the usual factorization

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(Z, z) & \xrightarrow{g'} & (U, u) \\
\downarrow w & & \\
(V, v) & \xrightarrow{g} & (U, u) \\
\end{array}
\]

where $g'$ is a local fibration (and local weak equivalence by closed model axiom CM2) and $w$ is a local weak equivalence that is a section of the local trivial fibration $k$. This
determines a full cofinal subcategory
\[ i: (\text{HR}_*(X)/f)_{\text{hyp}} \to \text{HR}_*(X)/f \]
as one can see by equalizing any two maps of pointed hypercovers over \( X \) up to pointed simplicial homotopy and replacing the resulting equalizer \((E, e) \to (U, u)\) over \( X \) by a hypercover \((E', e') \to (U, u)\) by factorization as above. There is an equivalence \( f_*: \text{HR}_*(U) \simeq (\text{HR}_*(X)/f)_{\text{hyp}} \) defined by composing with \( f \) or likewise forgetting the maps to \( f \). Further, the functor \( p: \text{HR}_*(X)/f \to \text{HR}_*(X) \) defined by forgetting the maps to \( f \) is cofinal since \( \text{HR}_*(X) \) is cofiltered. It follows that the composite
\[ \text{HR}_*(U) \xrightarrow{f_*} (\text{HR}_*(X)/f)_{\text{hyp}} \xrightarrow{p_*} \text{HR}_*(X) \]
is cofinal, and this composite induces the desired pro-isomorphism.

This cofinality argument works essentially because \( T(\cdot, \cdot) \) treats both the base simplicial sheaf \( X \) and its pointed hypercovers on the same footing; such an argument therefore fails for bisimplicial hypercovers of simplicial sheaves. It almost immediately follows that \( T(X, z) \) is invariant up to pro-isomorphism under pointed local weak equivalences:

**Proposition 3.3.** Suppose \((C, x)\) is a pointed locally connected small Grothendieck site, \((X, z)\) and \((Y, y)\) pointed connected locally fibrant simplicial sheaves on \( C \), and \( f: (Y, y) \to (X, z) \) a pointed local weak equivalence. Then \( f \) induces a pro-isomorphism \( T(Y, y) \cong T(X, z) \).

**Proof.** As \( Y \) and \( X \) are locally fibrant there is a factorization
\[ (Y, y) \xrightarrow{g} (W, w) \xrightarrow{h} (X, z) \]
of the map \( f \), where \( g \) and \( h \) are pointed hypercovers and \( i \) is right inverse to \( g \). The induced map \( i_*: T(Y, y) \to T(W, w) \) is right inverse to the induced map \( g_* \), which is a pro-isomorphism by Lemma 3.2, so \( i_* \) is also left inverse to \( g_* \) and a pro-isomorphism. The map \( h_* \) is also a pro-isomorphism by the lemma so \( h_*i_* = f_*: T(Y, y) \to T(X, z) \) is a pro-isomorphism.

In an earlier work [12], Schmidt observed that this latter fact is implied by an application of the generalized Verdier hypercovering theorem; the present proof is included because it may be of independent interest. To give another comparison with known results, recall that in ([5, 2]) Isaksen shows this his étale realization functor \( \text{Re}^{\text{ét}} \) is left Quillen for the local projective structure so that it sends local weak equivalences between local projective cofibrant simplicial presheaves to weak equivalences of pro-simplicial sets. The type \( T(\cdot, \cdot) \) defined here sends pointed local weak equivalences between pointed connected locally fibrant simplicial sheaves to pro-isomorphisms in \( \text{Ho}(\text{sSet}_*) \). Obviously the points are only there to make a comparison of homotopy pro-groups; this general line of argument continues to work in the unpointed case.
4. Cocycles for bisimplicial sheaves

In what follows \( C \) will be an arbitrary small Grothendieck site and \( \textbf{Set} \to \text{Shv}(C) \) a point of \( C \) (or no point at all in the unpointed situation). Familiarity with the definitions of [10] and [11] will be assumed. The word “pointed” will always mean with respect to the chosen point of \( C \) rather than with respect to the terminal sheaf. Pointed (bi)simplicial sheaves on \( C \) will be denoted from now on simply with lowercase letters to reduce the notational burden, and unless otherwise specified any map \( x \to y \) between pointed (bi)simplicial sheaves will be pointed. The underlying site will always be \( C \).

For any two pointed simplicial sheaves \( x, z \) on \( C \), there is a category \( H_{bihyp}(x, z) \) of cocycles of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
x & \xleftarrow{d(f) \simeq} d(u) \xrightarrow{p} z, \\
d(f) & \quad d(u) \\
x & \xleftarrow{d(f')} d(u') \\
d(m) & \quad d(z),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( m: u \to u' \) is any pointed map of bisimplicial hypercovers of \( x \).

As these categories turn out to be a bit tricky to study directly, one may also consider categories \( H_d(x, z) \) whose objects are cocycles of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
x & \xleftarrow{d(f) \simeq} d(u) \xrightarrow{p} z, \\
d(f) & \quad d(u) \\
x & \xleftarrow{d(f')} d(u') \\
d(m) & \quad d(z),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( f: u \to x \) is any pointed map of bisimplicial sheaves that is a diagonal local weak equivalence in the sense that \( d(f) \) is a local weak equivalence of simplicial sheaves, and whose morphisms are similarly defined; it is immediate from the definition that \( H_{bihyp}(x, z) \) is a full subcategory of \( H_d(x, z) \) for any fixed \( x \) and \( z \).

Recall the Moerdijk closed model structure for bisimplicial sets: the fibrations (resp. weak equivalences) are, by definition, the diagonal fibrations (resp. diagonal weak equivalences), and the cofibrations are defined by the left lifting property with respect to all trivial fibrations (cf. [4, 3.15, IV]). Every Moerdijk cofibration is a monomorphism of bisimplicial sets and therefore a diagonal cofibration in particular.

The diagonal functor \( d \) has a right adjoint \( d_* \) ([4, 3.13, IV]) so that any object

\[
\begin{align*}
x & \xleftarrow{d(f) \simeq} d(u) \xrightarrow{p} z \\
d(f) & \quad d(u) \\
x & \xleftarrow{f} u \xrightarrow{d_*} d_*(x),
\end{align*}
\]

of \( H_d(x, z) \) is uniquely identified with a diagram

\[
\begin{align*}
x & \xleftarrow{f} u \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} d_*(z),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( f \) is the underlying map of bisimplicial sheaves and \( \tilde{p} \) is the adjoint of \( p \). In other words any such cocycle \((d(f), p)\) may be identified with a “cocycle” \((f, \tilde{p})\).
Lemma 4.1. If \( f: X \to Y \) is a trivial fibration of simplicial sets, then the induced map \( d_*(f): d_*X \to d_*Y \) is a trivial fibration for the Moerdijk closed model structure on bisimplicial sets.

Proof. It must be shown that \( d_*(f) \) has the right lifting property with respect to any Moerdijk cofibration \( i: A \to B \). By adjointness, this is equivalent to showing that \( f \) has the right lifting property with respect to \( d(i) \), but \( d(i) \) is a cofibration of simplicial sets since \( i: A \to B \) is, in particular, a monomorphism of bisimplicial sets ([4, 3.15, IV]). The required lift exists since \( f \) is a trivial fibration.

Corollary 4.2. If \( f: X \to Y \) is a weak equivalence of fibrant simplicial sets, then the induced map \( d_*(f): d_*X \to d_*Y \) is a diagonal weak equivalence of bisimplicial sets.

Proof. Factor the map \( f \) as a weak equivalence \( g \) followed by trivial fibration \( h \) such that \( d_*(h) \) and \( d_*(g) \) are trivial fibrations for the Moerdijk structure by Lemma 4.1, so \( d_*(f) \) is a diagonal equivalence.

The “localized” version of this is then given by

Lemma 4.3. If \( \beta: z \to z' \) is a local weak equivalence of pointed locally fibrant simplicial sheaves, then \( d_*(\beta) \) is a pointed diagonal local weak equivalence.

Proof. Fix a Boolean localization \( p: \text{Shv}(\mathcal{B}) \to \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C}) \). Then the map \( p^*(\beta) \) is a sectionwise weak equivalence of sectionwise fibrant simplicial sheaves on \( \mathcal{B} \) so that \( d_*(p^*(\beta)) \) is a sectionwise diagonal weak equivalence of bisimplicial sheaves by Lemma 4.2. In bisimplicial degree \((m,n)\) this map is given by the sheaf map \( (p^*(\beta))^{\Delta^m \times \Delta^n} \) where \( \Delta^m \times \Delta^n \) is the constant sheaf associated to the corresponding simplicial set. As \( p^* \) is exact one has \( p^*(\Delta^m \times \Delta^n) = \Delta^m \times d_*(\beta) \) determines a diagonal local weak equivalence of bisimplicial sheaves by Lemma 4.3, and by adjunction the associated “cocycle” \((f, \tilde{\beta})\) is sent to \( \tilde{\beta} := d_*(\beta)\).

Suppose one has a pointed “cocycle” of the form

\[
x \xleftarrow{\ell} u \xrightarrow{\tilde{\beta}} d_*(z'),
\]

where \( f \) is a diagonal local weak equivalence. Emulating the proof of Lemma 1 of [10], this is equivalent to giving a map \((f, \tilde{\beta}): u \to x \times d_*(z')\) which may be factored using

Lemma 4.4. If \( \beta: z \to z' \) is a local weak equivalence of pointed locally fibrant simplicial sheaves, then the induced functor

\[
\beta_*: BH_d(x, z) \to BH_d(x, z')
\]

is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. The functor \( \beta_* \) is defined by composition with \( \beta \), sending the right-hand map from \( p \) to \( p' := \beta p \). The local weak equivalence \( \beta \) determines a diagonal local weak equivalence \( d_*(\beta) \) by Lemma 4.3, and by adjunction the associated “cocycle” \((f, \tilde{\beta})\) is sent to \( \tilde{\beta} := d_*(\beta)\).

Suppose one has a pointed “cocycle” of the form

\[
x \xleftarrow{\ell} u \xrightarrow{\tilde{\beta}} d_*(z'),
\]

where \( f \) is a diagonal local weak equivalence. Emulating the proof of Lemma 1 of [10], this is equivalent to giving a map \((f, \tilde{\beta}): u \to x \times d_*(z')\) which may be factored using
the Moerdijk structure in sections as

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  w & \rightarrow & \langle p_x, \tilde{p}_z \rangle \\
  \downarrow \uparrow c & \Downarrow & \uparrow \downarrow \\
  x \times d_*(z') & \rightarrow & \langle p_x, \tilde{p}_z \rangle \\
\end{array}
\]

where \( c \) is a sectionwise diagonal equivalence and \( \langle p_x, \tilde{p}_z \rangle \) is a sectionwise diagonal fibration. Observe that \( p_x \) is a diagonal local weak equivalence since both \( f \) and \( c \) are. Pull back along the diagonal equivalence \( 1 \times d_*((\beta)) \) to get a commutative square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  w' & \rightarrow & \langle 1 \times d_*((\beta)) \rangle \\
  \downarrow \uparrow \langle p_x, \tilde{p}_z \rangle & \Downarrow & \uparrow \downarrow \langle p_x, \tilde{p}_z \rangle \\
  x \times d_*(z) & \rightarrow & x \times d_*(z') \\
\end{array}
\]

defining \( w' \). The map \( \langle p_x^*, \tilde{p}_z^* \rangle \) is a sectionwise diagonal fibration since \( \langle p_x, \tilde{p}_z \rangle \) was, and passing to a Boolean localization \( p^* \) preserves pullbacks (and \( d \) is exact) so the map \( 1 \times d_*((\beta)) \) is a diagonal local weak equivalence and therefore so is the map \( p_x^* \).

This determines a functor \( \tilde{\beta} \) from the category of pointed “cocycles” of the form

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  x \leftarrow u \rightarrow d_*(z') \\
\end{array}
\]

to the analogous category of such objects with target \( d_*(z) \). The canonical maps

\[
(f, \tilde{p}) \rightarrow (p_x, \tilde{p}_z) \leftarrow \beta_* \tilde{\psi}(f, \tilde{p})
\]

and

\[
(g, \tilde{q}) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi} \beta_* (g, \tilde{q})
\]

determine natural transformations (use that \( w' \) is a pullback for the latter). The aforementioned categories are therefore homotopy equivalent. These categories are isomorphic to \( H_d(x, z) \) and \( H_d(x, z') \), respectively, so the result follows.

**Corollary 4.5.** If \( \beta: y \rightarrow z \) is a globally fibrant model of a pointed locally fibrant simplicial sheaf \( y \), then the induced map

\[
\pi_0(\beta_*): \pi_0 H_d(x, y) \rightarrow \pi_0 H_d(x, z)
\]

is a bijection.

**Proof.** Globally fibrant objects are locally fibrant. Apply Lemma 4.4. \( \square \)

In Lemma 4 of [11], Jardine established that if

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  x \leftarrow u \rightarrow z \\
\end{array}
\]

is any cocycle of pointed simplicial (pre)sheaves with \( z \) locally fibrant, then it may be functorially replaced by a cocycle of the form

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  x \leftarrow u' \rightarrow z \\
\end{array}
\]

where \( f' \) is a hypercover such that the new cocycle is in the same path component of
$H(x, z)$ as the original. It follows that the inclusion functor

$$j : H_{\text{hyp}}(x, z) \hookrightarrow H(x, z)$$

of the full subcategory $H_{\text{hyp}}(x, z)$ into $H(x, z)$ induces a bijection on path components for any locally fibrant simplicial (pre)sheaf $z$.

To give an analogue for $H_d(x, z)$, let $H_{d-hyp}(x, z)$ denote the category of cocycles of the form

$$x \xleftarrow{d(f)} d(u) \rightarrow z,$$

where $f : u \rightarrow x$ is any map of pointed bisimplicial sheaves to a pointed simplicial sheaf $x$ such that the induced map $d(f)$ is a hypercover, whose morphisms are given by maps $m : u \rightarrow u'$ inducing maps of such cocycles in the usual way. Then $H_{d-hyp}(x, z)$ is another full subcategory of $H_d(x, z)$ so that the inclusion functor

$$i' : H_{d-hyp}(x, z) \hookrightarrow H_d(x, z)$$

is injective on path components.

Say that a map $f : x \rightarrow y$ of pointed bisimplicial sheaves is a diagonal local fibration if the induced map $d(f)$ is a local fibration of simplicial sheaves.

**Lemma 4.6.** If $z$ is a pointed locally fibrant simplicial sheaf on $\mathcal{C}$, then $d_*(z) \rightarrow *$ is a diagonal local fibration.

**Proof.** Fix a Boolean localization $p : \text{Shv}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$. The map $p^*(z) \rightarrow *$ is a sectionwise fibration since $z$ is locally fibrant, so the induced map $d_*(p^*(z)) \rightarrow *$ is a sectionwise diagonal fibration by ([4, 3.14, IV]). By the proof of Lemma 4.3 this implies that the map $p^*(d_*(z)) \rightarrow *$ is also a sectionwise diagonal fibration, but by exactness this implies that $p^*(d(d_*(z))) \rightarrow *$ is a sectionwise fibration so that $d(d_*(z)) \rightarrow *$ is a local fibration; thus $d_*(z) \rightarrow *$ is a diagonal local fibration. \qed

**Lemma 4.7.** If $z$ is locally fibrant, then the induced map $\pi_0(i')$ is a bijection.

**Proof.** As above, identify any object

$$x \xleftarrow{d(f)} u \xrightarrow{p} z$$

of $H_d(x, z)$ with the corresponding map

$$(f, \tilde{p}) : u \rightarrow x \times d_*(z)$$

and factor $(f, \tilde{p})$ as a sectionwise trivial Moerdijk cofibration $c : u \rightarrow w$ followed by a sectionwise Moerdijk fibration $(p_x, \tilde{p}_z) : w \rightarrow x \times d_*(z)$. Then $c$ and $f$ are diagonal local weak equivalences so $p_x$ is a diagonal local weak equivalence. The map $p_x$ is the composite

$$w \xrightarrow{(p_x, p_z)} x \times d_*(z) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_x} x,$$

where the first map is a sectionwise diagonal fibration and the second map is a diagonal local fibration since $d_*(z) \rightarrow *$ is a diagonal local fibration. Thus $d(p_x)$ is a hypercover, and the result follows by adjunction. \qed
The category $H_{d-hyp}(x, z)$ is a (not a priori full) subcategory of $H_{hyp}(x, z)$, the full subcategory of $H(x, z)$ whose objects are cocycles of the form

$$x \xleftarrow{\sim} v \to z,$$

where $f$ is any hypercover. Let

$$i'' : H_{d-hyp}(x, z) \to H_{hyp}(x, z)$$

denote the inclusion.

**Lemma 4.8.** For any pointed locally fibrant simplicial sheaf $z$ the induced map $\pi_0(i'')$ is surjective.

**Proof.** Let

$$x \xleftarrow{\sim} v \xrightarrow{p} z$$

be any object of $H_{hyp}(x, z)$ and identify it with the map $(f, p) : v \to x \times z$. Factor $(f, p)$ as a sectionwise trivial Moerdijk cofibration $c : v \to w$ followed by a sectionwise Moerdijk fibration $(p_x, p_z) : w \to x \times z$. The maps $f$ and $c$ are diagonal local weak equivalences so $p_x$ is a diagonal local weak equivalence. The map $p_x$ is the composite

$$w \xrightarrow{(p_x, p_z)} x \times z \xrightarrow{pr_x} x,$$

where the first map is a sectionwise diagonal fibration and the second map is a diagonal local fibration since $z$ is locally fibrant. Thus $d(p_x)$ is a hypercover, so the result follows.

Recall that the diagonal functor $d$ also has a left adjoint $d^*$ (3.3, IV, [4]). To show injectivity of $\pi_0(i'')$ one may use a roundabout argument beginning with

**Lemma 4.9.** For any simplicial set $X$, the unit map $\eta : X \to dd^*(X)$ is a weak equivalence.

**Proof.** Any Moerdijk cofibration $c$ is a monomorphism [4, 3.15, IV], so $d(c)$ is a monomorphism and hence a cofibration of simplicial sets. If $c$ is any Moerdijk weak equivalence, then $d(c)$ is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets by definition; thus $d$ preserves cofibrations, weak equivalences, and colimits (it is left adjoint to a functor $d_*$: cf. [4]). Its right adjoint $d^*$ preserves trivial fibrations, hence pointwise trivial fibrations of diagrams of simplicial sets.

The left adjoint $d^*$ of $d$ preserves colimits by definition, and if $c : A \to B$ is any trivial cofibration of simplicial sets, then $d^*(c)$ is a trivial Moerdijk cofibration by adjointness and CM4 for the Moerdijk closed model structure. Any weak equivalence $e : X \to Y$ of simplicial sets factors as

$$X \xleftarrow{e} Z \xrightarrow{p} Y,$$

where $c$ is a trivial cofibration and $p$ is left inverse to a trivial cofibration $c'$, thus $d^*$ sends weak equivalences of simplicial sets to Moerdijk weak equivalences (also, $d^*$
sends cofibrations to Moerdijk cofibrations by another adjointness plus CM4 argument). The right adjoint $d$ of $d^*$ preserves trivial fibrations by definition of the Moerdijk structure, so it preserves pointwise trivial fibrations of diagrams of bisimplicial sets.

The composite $dd_*$ therefore preserves pointwise trivial fibrations of diagrams of simplicial sets, so its left adjoint $dd^*$ preserves projective cofibrations. As $d$ and $d^*$ both preserve weak equivalences and colimits, $dd^*$ preserves projective cofibrant models of diagrams of simplicial sets and therefore homotopy colimits.

Observe that

$$dd^*(\Delta^n) = d\Delta^{n,n} = \Delta^n \times \Delta^n \simeq *$$

for $n \geq 0$ so that the canonical maps $\eta: \Delta^n \to dd^*(\Delta^n)$ are weak equivalences for $n \geq 0$. For any simplicial set $X$ there is a canonical weak equivalence

$$\text{holim}_{\Delta/X} \Delta^n \simeq X$$

(cf. [4, 5.2, IV]). Consider the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{holim}_{\Delta/X} \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & X \\
\eta \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta \\
\text{dd}^*\text{holim}_{\Delta/X} \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & dd^*(X).
\end{array}$$

The top and bottom maps are weak equivalences, and the left-hand map is a weak equivalence as it is weakly equivalent to the map $\text{holim}_{\Delta/X} \eta_n$ where the

$$\eta_n: \Delta^n \to dd^*(\Delta^n)$$

are the unit maps for $(d^*, d)$ applied to $\Delta^n$ for $n \geq 0$.

**Corollary 4.10.** The adjunction $(d^*, d)$ is a Quillen equivalence between the standard closed model structure on simplicial sets, and the Moerdijk closed model structure on bisimplicial sets.

**Proof.** The functor $d^*$ preserves cofibrations and weak equivalences by the proof of the previous lemma, and $d$ preserves fibrations and weak equivalences by definition of the Moerdijk closed model structure; hence $(d^*, d)$ is a Quillen adjunction. If $f: X \to dY$ is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets, then the adjoint map $\tilde{f}: d^*X \to Y$ defined by the adjunction diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\eta} & dd^*X \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow \text{d(\tilde{f})} \\
& & \downarrow \text{dY}
\end{array}$$

is a diagonal weak equivalence since $\eta$ is a weak equivalence. Conversely, suppose $\tilde{f}: d^*X \to Y$ is a diagonal weak equivalence. Then $d(\tilde{f})$ is a weak equivalence so the composite $f = d(\tilde{f})\eta$ is a weak equivalence, as was to be shown. □
Let \( i'' : H_d(x, z) \to H(x, z) \).

Again, \( H_d(x, z) \) is not a priori a full subcategory of \( H(x, z) \).

**Lemma 4.11.** For any two pointed simplicial sheaves \( x \) and \( z \), the induced map \( \pi_0(i'') \) is injective.

**Proof.** Suppose \( m : (f, p) \to (g, q) \) is any morphism of \( H(x, z) \). Then \( x \times z = d(x \times z) \) so that the map \( (f, p) : u \to x \times z \) uniquely factors as

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  & dd^*(u) & \\
\eta & \downarrow & \downarrow d(f, \tilde{p}) \\
\begin{array}{c}
  \vdots \\
  u \\
  (f, p)
\end{array} & \to & \begin{array}{c}
  x \times z
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

for some maps \( \tilde{f}, \tilde{p} \) from \( d^*(u) \) to \( x, z \) by adjointness, and similarly \( (g, q) : v \to x \times z \) factors as \( \eta \) followed by a uniquely determined pair \( (\tilde{g}, \tilde{q}) \) from \( d^*(v) \) to \( x \times z \). There is then a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  u & \xrightarrow{\eta} & dd^*(u) \\
\downarrow m & & \downarrow m \\
  v & \xrightarrow{\eta} & dd^*(v)
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  & dd^*(u) & \\
\eta & \downarrow & \downarrow d(f, \tilde{p}) \\
\begin{array}{c}
  \vdots \\
  u \\
  (f, p)
\end{array} & \to & \begin{array}{c}
  x \times z
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  & dd^*(u) & \\
\eta & \downarrow & \downarrow d(g, \tilde{q}) \\
\begin{array}{c}
  \vdots \\
  v \\
  (g, q)
\end{array} & \to & \begin{array}{c}
  x \times z
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

where both maps \( \eta \) and the map \( m \) are local weak equivalences (for \( \eta \) use Lemma 4.9 in sections), so \( dd^*(m) \) is a local weak equivalence. Further, \( d(f) \) is a local weak equivalence since \( f \) and \( g \) are local weak equivalences, so \( \tilde{f} \) is a diagonal local weak, and equivalence and similarly for \( \tilde{g} \). The zigzag

\[
(f, p) \xrightarrow{d} (d(\tilde{f}), d(\tilde{p})) \xrightarrow{dd^*(m)} (d(\tilde{g}), d(\tilde{q})) \xrightarrow{d} (g, q)
\]

in \( H(x, z) \) shows that the original map \( m \) is in the same path component as \( dd^*(m) \), thus any morphism \( m \) of objects in \( H(x, z) \) naturally lifts to a morphism \( dd^*(m) \) in \( H_d(x, z) \). It follows that any zigzag of maps in \( H(x, z) \) naturally lifts to a zigzag of maps in \( H_d(x, z) \), so the result follows. \( \square \)

**Corollary 4.12.** Suppose \( x \) and \( z \) are two pointed simplicial sheaves as above such that \( z \) is locally fibrant. Then the induced maps

\[
\pi_0(i'') : \pi_0H_d(x, z) \to \pi_0H(x, z)
\]

and

\[
\pi_0(i'') : \pi_0H_{d-hyp}(x, z) \to \pi_0H_{hyp}(x, z)
\]

are bijections.
Proof. The map $\pi_0(i''')$ is injective by the previous lemma. Consider the commutative square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_0 H_{d-hyp}(x,z) & \pi_0 H_d(x,z) \\
\pi_0(i''') & \pi_0(i''') \\
\pi_0 H_{hyp}(x,z) & \pi_0 H(x,z)
\end{array}
$$

induced by the corresponding inclusions. The top and bottom maps are bijections and the left-hand vertical map is surjective, so $\pi_0(i''')$ is surjective, hence bijective by the previous lemma. But then the composite $\pi_0(i''')\pi_0(i')$ is bijective so $\pi_0(i')$ must also be injective, hence bijective.

Recall as above that $H_{bihyp}(x,y)$ is a full subcategory of $H_d(x,y)$ for any fixed choice of pointed simplicial sheaves $x$ and $y$. Letting

$$i: H_{bihyp}(x,y) \hookrightarrow H_d(x,y)$$

denote the inclusion functor, one therefore knows that the induced map $\pi_0(i)$ on path components is injective. To move towards bijectivity one begins with an analogue of Corollary 4.2:

**Lemma 4.13.** If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a weak equivalence of fibrant simplicial sets, then the induced map $d_*(f): d_*X \rightarrow d_*Y$ is a degreewise weak equivalence of bisimplicial sets.

**Proof.** By Brown’s factorization lemma it suffices to show that $d_*$ sends trivial fibrations of simplicial sets to degreewise trivial fibrations of bisimplicial sets. The induced map $d_*(f)$ is a degreewise trivial fibration if and only if it has the right lifting property with respect to all Bousfield-Kan cofibrations $c: A \rightarrow B$. By adjunction such lifting problems correspond to lifting problems

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
d(A) & \longrightarrow & X \\
d(c) & \downarrow & \downarrow f \\
d(B) & \longrightarrow & Y
\end{array}
$$

These all have solutions since $c$ is, in particular, a pointwise cofibration, hence $d(c)$ is a cofibration and the lift exists since $f$ was a trivial fibration by assumption.

Here is the local version:

**Lemma 4.14.** If $\beta: z \rightarrow z'$ is a pointed local weak equivalence of pointed locally fibrant simplicial sheaves, then $d_*(\beta)$ is a pointed degreewise local weak equivalence of bisimplicial sheaves.

**Proof.** Fix a Boolean localization $p: Shv(B) \rightarrow Shv(C)$. Then $p^*(\beta)$ is a sectionwise weak equivalence of sectionwise fibrant simplicial sheaves on $B$ so $d_*(p^*(\beta))$ is a degreewise weak equivalence in each section by Lemma 4.13. By the argument of Lemma 4.3 it follows that $p^*(d_*(\beta))$ is also a degreewise weak equivalence in each section, or equivalently a sectionwise weak equivalence in each degree, so $d_*(\beta)$ is a local weak equivalence in each degree. The map $d_*(\beta)$ is automatically pointed so the result follows.
Lemma 4.15. If \( f : x \to y \) is a pointed local fibration of simplicial sheaves, then \( d_*(f) \) is a pointed degreewise local fibration of bisimplicial sheaves.

Proof. Fix a Boolean localization \( p : \text{Shv}(\mathcal{B}) \to \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C}) \). Then \( p^*(f) \) is a sectionwise fibration of simplicial sheaves on \( \mathcal{B} \). An adjunction argument (starting from the fact that the diagonal of a degreewise trivial cofibration is a trivial cofibration) shows that \( d_*(p^*(f)) \) is a degreewise fibration in each section, or alternatively a sectionwise fibration in each degree. Thus \( p^*(d_*(f)) \) is a sectionwise fibration in each degree so \( d_*(f) \) is a local fibration in each degree, as was to be shown.

One has the following analogue of Lemma 4.4:

Lemma 4.16. If \( \beta : z \to z' \) is a local weak equivalence of pointed locally fibrant simplicial sheaves, then the induced functor

\[
\beta_* : BH_{\text{bihyp}}(x, z) \to BH_{\text{bihyp}}(x, z')
\]

is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 4.4: the functor \( \beta_* \) is defined by composition with \( \beta \), and the induced map \( d_*(\beta) \) is a degreewise local weak equivalence by Lemma 4.14. Supposing one has a “cocycle” of the form

\[
x \xleftarrow{f} u \xrightarrow{p} d_*(z'),
\]

where \( f \) is a bisimplicial hypercover, one factors the map \( (f, \tilde{p}) \) as a sectionwise degreewise weak equivalence \( c \) followed by a sectionwise degreewise fibration \( (p_x, \tilde{p}_x z') \).

The map \( p_x \) is a degreewise local weak equivalence since \( c \) and \( f \) are and is a degreewise local fibration since it equals the composite

\[
w (p_x, \tilde{p}_x z') \xrightarrow{w} x \times d_*(z') \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_x} x,
\]

where the former map is a sectionwise fibration in each degree and the latter map is a degreewise local fibration by Lemma 4.15; thus \( p_x \) is again a bisimplicial hypercover. The map \( 1_x \times d_*(\beta) \) is a degreewise local weak equivalence so its pullback \( (1_x \times d_*(\beta))_* \) along \( (p_x, \tilde{p}_x z') \) is also a degreewise local weak equivalence by a Boolean localization argument. The other pullback map \( (p^*_x, \tilde{p}^*_x z') \) is a sectionwise degreewise fibration since \( (p_x, \tilde{p}_x z') \) was, so \( p^*_x \) is also a degreewise local fibration. The map \( p^*_x \) is also a degreewise local weak equivalence since \( p_x, 1_x \times d_*(\beta) \), and \( (1_x \times d_*(\beta))_* \), are, so \( p^*_x \) is also a bisimplicial hypercover. This construction determines the functor \( \psi \), and the remainder of the argument follows the proof of Lemma 4.4 verbatim.

Lemma 4.17. Suppose \( x \) and \( y \) are pointed simplicial sheaves on a pointed small Grothendieck site \( \mathcal{C} \) with \( y \) locally fibrant. Then the map

\[
\pi_0(i) : \pi_0 H_{\text{bihyp}}(x, y) \to \pi_0 H_d(x, y)
\]

induced by inclusion is a bijection.

Proof. First assume that \( y \) is globally fibrant. Starting with any “cocycle”

\[
x \xleftarrow{f} u \xrightarrow{p} d_*(y)
\]

corresponding to an object of \( H_d(x, y) \), factor \( f \) as a sectionwise degreewise cofibration \( c \) followed by a sectionwise degreewise trivial fibration \( h \). Then \( h \) is a bisimplicial
hypercover, and one has an induced map of cocycles

where \( d(f) \) and \( d(h) \) are local weak equivalences so \( d(c) \) is a local weak equivalence as well as a cofibration and the lift therefore exists since \( y \) was globally fibrant by assumption.

More generally, suppose \( y \) is locally fibrant and fix a pointed globally fibrant replacement \( \beta: y \rightarrow z \) for \( y \). Then there is a commutative square

where both vertical maps \( \beta \), are induced by composition with \( \beta \) so they are bijections by Lemmas 4.16 and 4.4, and the bottom map is a bijection by the previous paragraph so the top map is a bijection as well, as was to be shown.

The results above may be summarized as follows:

**Theorem 4.18.** Suppose \( x \) and \( y \) are pointed simplicial sheaves on a pointed small Grothendieck site \( C \), where \( y \) is locally fibrant. Then there are canonical bijections

\[
\pi_0H_{bihyp}(x,y) \cong \pi_0H_d(x,y) \cong \pi_0H_{hyp}(x,y) \cong \pi_0H(x,y) \cong \pi_0H_{bihyp}(x,z)
\]

**Proof.** The latter bijection is a consequence of Theorem 1 of [10]. The remaining bijections have already been established.

**Corollary 4.19.** With the hypotheses of Theorem 4.18, there are canonical bijections

\[
\pi_0H_{bihyp}(x,y) \cong \pi_0H_{bihyp}(x',y')
\]

induced by any two local weak equivalences \( \alpha: x \rightarrow x' \), \( \beta: y \rightarrow y' \) of pointed locally fibrant simplicial sheaves on \( C \).

**Proof.** Use the analogous property for \( H(x,y) \), proven in Lemma 1 of [10].

**5. Applications to étale homotopy theory**

Étale homotopy types are constructed using hypercovers and *simplicial homotopy classes* of maps between them, so it becomes necessary to consider some cocycle categories that use these classes of maps in their definitions.
Inspired by [11], consider the cocycle category $H^{bihyp}_{bihyp}(x, y)$ whose objects are cocycles of the form

$$x \xleftarrow{d(f)} d(u) \xrightarrow{[p]} y$$

for pointed bisimplicial hypercovers $u$ of $x$ and whose morphisms are diagrams

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
   & d(u) & \\
   d(f) & \downarrow{[p]} & \\
x & d([m]) & y, \\
   d(f') & \downarrow{[p']}
\end{array}
$$

where square brackets indicate simplicial homotopy classes of maps, the middle maps are induced by fibrewise simplicial homotopy classes $[m]: u \to u'$ of maps of pointed bisimplicial hypercovers of $x$, and $[p'][d(m)] = [p]$ as pointed simplicial homotopy classes. The set of path components $\pi_0 H^{bihyp}_{bihyp}(x, y)$ is given by the colimit

$$\lim_{d(f): d(u) \to x} \pi(d(u), y)$$

whose index category is that of pointed bisimplicial hypercovers of $x$ and pointed fibrewise simplicial homotopy classes of maps between them. The functor $\omega: H^{bihyp}_{bihyp}(x, y) \to H^{bihyp}_{bihyp}(x, y)$ defined on objects by $(d(f), p) \mapsto (d(f), [p])$ is obviously surjective on path components.

Introduce another cocycle category $H^{bihyp}_{bihyp}(x, y)$ whose objects are of the form

$$x \xleftarrow{d(f)} d(u) \xrightarrow{[p]} y$$

and whose morphisms are commutative diagrams

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
   & d(u) & \\
   [d(f)] & \downarrow{[p]} & \\
x & [d([m])] & y, \\
   [d(f')] & \downarrow{[p']}
\end{array}
$$

where the middle maps are induced by maps $m: u \to u'$ of pointed bisimplicial hypercovers of $x$. One readily verifies that the maps $(d(f), [p]) \mapsto ([d(f)], [p])$ on objects and $d([m]) \mapsto [d(m)]$ on morphisms determine a functor $\omega': H^{bihyp}_{bihyp}(x, y) \to H^{bihyp}_{bihyp}(x, y)$ which again is obviously surjective on path components.

To relate these observations back to a homotopy category, suppose that the point $x: \text{Set} \to \text{Shv}(C)$ comes from an object $\Omega$ of $C$ representing a sheaf in the sense that the inverse image functor $x^*$ is given by a composite

$$
\text{Shv}(C) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\Omega}} \text{Shv}(C/\Omega) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \text{Set}
$$

defined by first restricting to the site $C/\Omega$ and then taking global sections. This is exactly the situation in étale homotopy theory when one works on a “big” étale site
containing the separably closed field $\Omega := \text{Spec} \Omega$ which is used to give the geometric point $x$ of the base scheme or DM stack $S$. A pointed (bi)simplicial sheaf $(X, z)$ on such a site $(\mathcal{C}, x)$ then corresponds exactly to a section

$$\Omega \xrightarrow{z} X,$$

where $\Omega = \Omega \xrightarrow{z} S$ is the object of $\mathcal{C}$ corresponding to the point $x$, and a pointed map $(X, z) \to (Y, z')$ corresponds exactly to a map $X \to Y$ respecting the sections $z$ and $z'$. By general nonsense there is a closed model structure on the category $\Omega/\mathbf{sShv}(\mathcal{C})$ of pointed simplicial sheaves, where the fibrations (resp. cofibrations, resp. weak equivalences) are those maps $(X, z) \to (Y, z')$ under $\Omega$ such that the underlying maps $X \to Y$ are fibrations (resp. cofibrations, resp. weak equivalences). Lemma 1 of [11] then says that the canonical map

$$\pi_0 H(x, y) \to [x, y]$$

defined by sending any pointed cocycle $(f, g)$ to the composite $gf^{-1}$ is a bijection, where $[x, y]$ denotes morphisms in $\text{Ho}(\Omega/\mathbf{sShv}(\mathcal{C}))$.

**Lemma 5.1.** Suppose $(\mathcal{C}, x)$ is a pointed small Grothendieck site such that the point $x: \text{Set} \to \mathbf{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$ is determined by an object $\Omega$ of $\mathcal{C}$ as above which represents a sheaf (or suppose $\Omega = \emptyset$). Then for any two pointed simplicial sheaves $x$ and $y$ on $\mathcal{C}$ with $y$ locally fibrant there are canonical bijections

$$\pi_0 H_{\text{bihyp}}(x, y) \xrightarrow{\pi_0(\omega)} \pi_0 H_{\text{bihyp}}^h(x, y) \xrightarrow{\pi_0(\omega')} \pi_0 H_{\text{bihyp}}^h(x, y) \xrightarrow{p} [x, y].$$

**Proof.** The displayed composite $c = p\pi_0(\omega')\pi_0(\omega)$ factors as

$$\pi_0 H_{\text{bihyp}}(x, y) \xrightarrow{\pi_0(j')} \pi_0 H(x, y) \xrightarrow{\pi_0} [x, y],$$

where $j': H_{\text{bihyp}}(x, y) \to H(x, y)$ is the inclusion functor and the second arrow is the canonical map sending any cocycle $(f, g)$ to the composite $gf^{-1}$ in the homotopy category. As $j' = i^n i$, $\pi_0(j')$ is a bijection by Corollary 4.12 and Lemma 4.17 so that $c$ is also a bijection. This implies that the canonical map $p$ is a surjection and that $\pi_0(\omega)$ is an injection, hence a bijection. But then $p\pi_0(\omega')$ is also a bijection, so $\pi_0(\omega')$ is an injection, hence a bijection, and thus $p$ is also a bijection, as was to be shown. \qed

Here is an immediate application:

**Proposition 5.2.** Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a small Grothendieck site, $H$ a sheaf of groups on $\mathcal{C}$, $n \geq 0$ if $H$ is abelian or $0 \leq n \leq 1$ otherwise, and $X$ a simplicial sheaf on $\mathcal{C}$. Then there are canonical bijections

$$H^n(X, H) \cong \lim_{p: \delta(U) \to X} H^n(dU, H),$$

where the colimit is indexed over the category of bisimplicial hypercovers of $X$ and fibrewise simplicial homotopy classes of maps between them. If $X$ is representable, then these extend to bijections

$$H^n(\mathcal{C}/X, H|_X) \cong \lim_{p: \delta(U) \to X} H^n(dU, H),$$

where $H|_X$ is defined by $H|_U(U \to X_n) := H(U)$. 

Proof. There is a series of identifications

\[ H^n(X, H) := [X, K(H, n)] \]
\[ \cong \lim_{p: d(U) \to X} \pi(dU, GK(H, n)) \]
\[ \cong \lim_{p: d(U) \to X} [dU, GK(H, n)] \]
\[ = \lim_{p: d(U) \to X} H^n(dU, H), \]

where \( GK(H, n) \) is a globally fibrant model for \( K(H, n) \), the first isomorphism is by Lemma 5.1 and the identification of \( \pi_0 H^b_{\text{hyper}}(X, GK(H, n)) \), and the final identification is, by definition, of cohomology of \( dU \) with coefficients in \( H \). The latter statement follows from the proof of Theorem 3.10 of [7].

One may compare this with the statement ([3, 3.8]), keeping in mind that the bisimplicial hypercovers \( U \to X \) here are not assumed to be representable. This argument works even for nonabelian cohomology ([3, 3.8] only addressed the abelian case).

5.1. Étale homotopy types from bisimplicial hypercovers

To return to the étale homotopy type \( T(X, z) \) defined in Section 2, one is motivated by the above results to consider the diagram \( T_b(x, z) \) for any pointed connected simplicial sheaf \( x = (X, z) \) on a pointed locally connected small Grothendieck site \( C \) given by the simplicial sets \( nd(u) \) for pointed bisimplicial hypercovers \( u \to x \), with maps induced by the pointed fibrewise simplicial homotopy classes of maps between the bisimplicial hypercovers over \( x \). That this determines a pro-object in \( \text{Ho}(sSet^*) \) is a consequence of the functoriality of the construction of equalizers for simplicial homotopy classes of maps after noting that the sheaves \( X_m \) are globally, hence locally, fibrant.

The following result, which is the main point of this work, gives the relationship between \( T(X, z) \) and \( T_b(x, z) \) for locally fibrant \( X \):

**Theorem 5.3.** Suppose \( C \) is a pointed locally connected small Grothendieck site such that the point \( \text{Set} \to \text{Shv}(C) \) is determined by some object \( \Omega \) representing a sheaf, and \( x = (X, z) \) a pointed connected locally fibrant simplicial sheaf on \( C \). Then the pro-object \( T(X, z) \) of \( \text{Ho}(sSet^*) \) is canonically pro-isomorphic to the pro-object \( T_b(x, z) \), and similarly for the unpointed variants in \( \text{Ho}(sSet) \). Furthermore, these pro-isomorphisms \( T(X, z) \cong T_b(x, z) \) are functorial in \( (X, z) \), and similarly for the unpointed case.

**Proof.** For the first part it suffices to give a canonical natural isomorphism between the functors that these pro-objects pro-represent. On the one hand one has canonical
isomorphisms

\[
[T_b(X, z), y] := \lim_{p: d(u) \to x} [nd(u), y]
\]

\[
\cong \lim_{p: d(u) \to x} \pi(nd(u), y)
\]

\[
\cong \lim_{p: d(u) \to x} \pi(d(u), \Gamma^* y)
\]

\[
\cong \pi_0 H(x, \Gamma^* y)
\]

\[
\cong [x, \Gamma^* y]
\]

natural in \(y\) by Theorem 4.18 and Lemma 5.1, where one may assume \(y\) is fibrant by applying the \(\text{Ex}^{\infty}\) functor. By a similar calculation one has \([T(X, z), y] \cong [x, \Gamma^* y]\) naturally in \(y\). Therefore there are canonical natural bijections \([T_b(X, z), y] \cong [T(X, z), y]\), so the functors \([T_b(X, z), -]\) and \([T(X, z), -]\) are canonically naturally isomorphic and thus the representing pro-objects are canonically pro-isomorphic, as was to be shown. Forgetting the points yields the analogous statement for the unpointed case.

For functoriality, observe that the cocycle categories

\[
H_{\text{bihyp}}(x, \Gamma^* y) \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\text{hyp}}(x, \Gamma^* y)
\]

determine contravariant functors \(f^*\) in \(x\) by sending any cocycle of the form

\[
x \xleftarrow{d(p)} d(u) \xrightarrow{q} \Gamma^* y
\]

to the cocycle \((d(p_*), q \cdot d(pr_u))): d(u') \to x' \times \Gamma^* y\) induced by pulling back \(p\) to \(p_*\) along any pointed map \(f: x' \to x\) of pointed simplicial sheaves, and similarly for \(H_{\text{hyp}}\). Consider the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
[T_b(x), y] & \xrightarrow{f^*} & [T_b(x'), y] \\
\cong & \cong & \cong \\
[x, \Gamma^* y] & \xrightarrow{\pi_0 H_{\text{bihyp}}(x, \Gamma^* y)} & \pi_0 H_{\text{bihyp}}(x', \Gamma^* y) \\
\cong & \cong & \cong \\
[x', \Gamma^* y] & & \\
\end{array}
\]

where the two triangles on either end are canonical factorizations of the canonical natural isomorphisms above. The back square commutes if the top and the front squares commute. Any element of the set

\[
\lim_{p: d(u) \to x} \pi(d(u), \Gamma^* y)
\]

is represented by some pair \((p: u \to x, [q]: d(u) \to \Gamma^* y)\), where \(p\) is a pointed bisimplicial hypercover, and \(f^*\) (precomposition with \(f\)) on \([T_b(x), y]\) induces a map \(f^*\) sending such a pair to the element of

\[
\lim_{p: d(u) \to x'} \pi(d(u), \Gamma^* y)
\]

represented by the pair \((p_*: u' \to x', [q \cdot d(pr_u)]):\) clearly this is compatible with the
functor $\pi_0 H_{bihyp}(-, \Gamma^* y)$ so the top square commutes. Chasing the cocycle $(d(p), q)$ around the front square gives

$$(d(p), q) \mapsto [qd(p)^{-1}] \mapsto [qd(p)^{-1} f]$$
on the left side and

$$(d(p), q) \mapsto (d(p_*), q \cdot d(pr_u)) \mapsto [qd(pr_u)d(p_*)^{-1}]$$
on the other, but these are equal since $d(p)d(pr_u) = f d(p_*)$ by definition of $p_*$. Thus the front square also commutes, so the back square commutes. A similar computation with $\pi_0 H_{hyp}(\Gamma y)$ shows that the analogous square commutes, giving the functoriality in $x$. The unpointed case follows by the same argument.

To move towards a statement about the invariance of $T_b(X, z)$ under local weak equivalences, there is

**Lemma 5.4.** Under the same assumptions on $C$ as in Theorem 5.3, the pro-object $T_b(X, z)$ associated to any pointed connected simplicial sheaf $x = (X, z)$ on $C$ is canonically pro-isomorphic to the pro-object $T_b(\text{Ex}^\infty(X), z')$ in $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet}_{*/})$ where $z'$ is induced by the canonical sectionwise weak equivalence $\eta_X : X \to \text{Ex}^\infty(X)$, and similarly for the unpointed variants in $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet})$. Furthermore, these pro-isomorphisms $T_b(X, z) \cong T_b(\text{Ex}^\infty(X), z')$ are functorial in $(X, z)$, and similarly for the unpointed case.

**Proof.** For the first statement, observe that there are canonical bijections

$$[T_b(\text{Ex}^\infty(X), z'), y] := \lim_{p : d(u) \to \text{Ex}^\infty(X)} [nd(u), y]$$

$$\cong \pi_0 H_{bihyp}^h(\text{Ex}^\infty(X), z'), \Gamma^* y)$$

$$\cong \pi_0 H(\text{Ex}^\infty(X), z'), \Gamma^* y)$$

$$\cong [\text{Ex}^\infty(X, z'), \Gamma^* y]$$

$$\cong [x, \Gamma^* y]$$

$$\cong [T_b(X, z), y]$$

natural in $y$ for any fibrant simplicial set $y$ by Lemma 5.1, Theorem 4.18, Lemma 1 of [10], and Theorem 1 of [10]. These naturally extend to canonical bijections for arbitrary $y$ via $\text{Ex}^\infty$. After the proof of Theorem 5.3, establishing functoriality in $x$ reduces to verifying that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_0 H_{bihyp}(\text{Ex}^\infty(x), \Gamma^* y) & \overset{f^*}{\longrightarrow} & \pi_0 H_{bihyp}(\text{Ex}^\infty(x'), \Gamma^* y) \\
\cong & \downarrow & \cong \\
[x, \Gamma^* y] & \overset{f^*}{\longrightarrow} & [x', \Gamma^* y]
\end{array}$$

commutes. Chasing a cocycle $(d(p), q) : d(u) \to \text{Ex}^\infty(x) \times \Gamma^* y$ around this square
gives
\[(d(p), q) \mapsto |qd(p)^{-1}\eta_x| \mapsto |qd(p)^{-1}\eta_x f|\]
on the left side and
\[(d(p), q) \mapsto (d(p_\ast), q \cdot d(pr_\ast)) \mapsto |q \cdot d(pr_\ast)d(p_\ast)^{-1}\eta_x|\]
on the right side, but these are equal by the definition of \(p_\ast\) and the fact that \(\text{Ex}^\infty\) is a functor.

The key point here is that \(T_b(X, z)\) exists without the requirement that \(X\) be locally fibrant, and the cocycle category techniques of [10] make no fibrancy assumptions on \(X\). Here is the major consequence:

**Corollary 5.5.** With the same hypotheses as Theorem 5.3 on \(C\), suppose \(x = (X, z)\) and \(y = (Y, y)\) are pointed connected simplicial sheaves on \(C\), and \(f: (Y, y) \to (X, z)\) a pointed local weak equivalence. Then the strict morphism of pro-objects \(f_*: T_b(Y, y) \to T_b(X, z)\) induced from \(f\) by pulling back bisimplicial hypercovers along \(f\) is a pro-isomorphism, and similarly for the unpointed setting.

**Proof.** The pointed map \(f\) induces a pointed map \(\eta_f: \text{Ex}^\infty(Y) \to \text{Ex}^\infty(X)\) by functoriality of \(\text{Ex}^\infty\). As \(\text{Ex}^\infty(X)\) and \(\text{Ex}^\infty(Y)\) are locally fibrant and connected there are canonical natural isomorphisms
\[ [T_b(\text{Ex}^\infty(X)), -] \cong [T(\text{Ex}^\infty(X)), -] \]
and similarly for \(\text{Ex}^\infty(Y)\) by Theorem 5.3. Then there is a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
[T_b(X), -] & \xleftarrow{\eta_x^f} & [T_b(\text{Ex}^\infty(X)), -] \\
\downarrow f^* & & \downarrow \cong \\
[T_b(Y), -] & \xleftarrow{\eta_y^f} & [T_b(\text{Ex}^\infty(Y)), -] \\
& \eta_y^f & \cong
\end{array}
\]
where \(\eta_x^f\) is a natural isomorphism by Proposition 3.3. The right-hand square is commutative by the functoriality in Theorem 5.3 so the middle \(f^*\) is also a natural isomorphism. The left-hand square commutes by the functoriality in Lemma 5.4, and the maps \(\eta_x^f\) and \(\eta_y^f\) are natural isomorphisms since \(\eta_x\) and \(\eta_y\) are local weak equivalences, so the map \(f^*\) on the left is a natural isomorphism. This map \(f^*\) was induced by precomposition with the map \(f_*: T_b(Y, y) \to T_b(X, z)\) induced by \(f\) itself, so \(f_*\) is a pro-isomorphism, as was to be shown.
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