**p-MEMS EQUATION ON A BALL**

D. CASTORINA†, P. ESPOSITO‡, AND B. SCIUNZI§

Abstract. We investigate qualitative properties of the MEMS equation involving the \( p \)-Laplace operator, \( 1 < p \leq 2 \), on a ball \( B \) in \( \mathbb{R}^N \), \( N \geq 2 \). We establish uniqueness results for semi-stable solutions and stability (in a strict sense) of minimal solutions. In particular, along the minimal branch we show monotonicity of the first eigenvalue for the corresponding linearized operator and radial symmetry of the first eigenfunction.
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1. Introduction and statement of the main results. Let us consider the problem

\[
\begin{cases}
-\Delta_p u = \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2} & \text{in } \Omega \\
u < 1 & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \Delta_p(\cdot) = \text{div}(\nabla(\cdot))^{p-2}(\nabla(\cdot)) \), \( p > 1 \), denotes the \( p \)-Laplace operator, \( \lambda > 0 \) and \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \), \( N \geq 2 \), is a smooth domain.

For \( p = 2 \) equation (1) arises in the study of Micro-Electromechanical Systems (MEMS), where electronics combines with micro-size mechanical devices to design various types of microscopic components of modern sensors in various areas. Mathematical modeling of MEMS devices has been studied rigorously just recently, see [7, 8, 9, 14, 15, 16, 19] and [10, 11, 12, 13] for the corresponding parabolic version.

We are interested here to establish some qualitative properties of semi-stable solutions of the quasilinear version (1) of the MEMS equation. In the semilinear context, this follows by comparison arguments which become highly non trivial when \( p \)-Laplace operator, \( p \neq 2 \), is involved.

Due to the singular/degenerate character of the elliptic operator \( \Delta_p \), by [6, 17, 20] the best regularity for a weak-solution \( u \) of (1) is \( u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega) \), for some \( \alpha \in (0,1) \). A classical solution \( u \) of (1) then will be a \( C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega) \)–function, \( \alpha \in (0,1) \), which satisfies the equation in a weak sense

\[
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} (\nabla u, \nabla \phi) \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi}{(1-u)^2} \, dx \quad \forall \phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).
\]

Throughout the paper, a solution \( u \) of (1) is always assumed to be in a classical sense as specified here. Let us remark that for \( 1 < p < 2 \) solutions might be of class \( C^2 \)
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but the term $|\nabla u|^{p-2}$ is singular where $\nabla u$ vanishes. Therefore, also in this case, a classical solution is meant to satisfy the equation just in a weak sense.

We continue here the investigation of (1) we started in [2]. Setting

$$\lambda^* = \sup \{ \lambda > 0 : (1) \text{ has a solution} \},$$

in [2] we showed that $\lambda^* < +\infty$ and for every $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ there is a minimal (and semi-stable) solution $u_\lambda$ (i.e. $u_\lambda$ is the smallest positive solution of (1) in a pointwise sense). Further, the family $\{u_\lambda\}$ is non-decreasing in $\lambda$ and the function

$$u^* = \lim_{\lambda \uparrow \lambda^*} u_\lambda$$

is a weak solution (in a suitable sense) of (1) at $\lambda = \lambda^*$. In low dimensions the function $u^*$ satisfies $\|u^*\|_\infty < 1$ and is then a classical solution.

To make things more precise, let us recall a few definitions. For $1 < p \leq 2$ (the case we will be later concerned with) let $\rho = |\nabla u|^{p-2}$ and introduce a weighted $L^2$-norm of the gradient: $|\phi| = \left( \int_\Omega \rho |\nabla \phi|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. According to [4, 5], define $A_u$ as the following subspace of $H^1_0(\Omega)$:

$$A_u = \{ \phi \in H^1_0(\Omega) : |\phi| < +\infty \}.$$

Since $\int_\Omega |\nabla \phi|^2 \leq \|\nabla u\|_\infty^{-p} |\phi|^2$, the space $(A_u, \cdot, \cdot)$ is an Hilbert space. We can then give the following

**Definition 1.1.** A solution $u$ of (1) is semi-stable (resp. stable) if

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla \phi|^2 \ dx + (p-2) \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-4} (\nabla u, \nabla \phi)^2 \ dx - 2\lambda \int_\Omega \frac{\phi^2}{(1-u)^3} dx \geq 0 \ (\text{resp.} > 0)$$

for every $\phi \in A_u \setminus \{0\}$.

The space $A_u$ allows to define the pair first eigenvalue/eigenfunction in the p-Laplace context as given by the following

**Theorem 1.2.** ([2]) Let $u$ be a solution of (1). The infimum

$$\mu_{1,\lambda}(u) := \inf_{\phi \in A_u \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla \phi|^2 \ dx + (p-2) \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-4} (\nabla u, \nabla \phi)^2 \ dx - 2\lambda \int_\Omega \frac{\phi^2}{(1-u)^3} dx}{\int_\Omega \phi^2}$$

is attained at some function $\phi_1 = \phi_{1,\lambda,u} > 0$ a.e. in $\Omega$, and any other minimizer is proportional to $\phi_1$.

By duality a linearized operator $L_u$ can be defined as an operator from $A_u$ into itself. The first eigenfunction solves $L_u(\phi_1) = \mu_{1,\lambda}(u) \phi_1$ in a weak sense:

$$L_u(\phi_1)[\psi] := \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} (\nabla \phi_1, \nabla \psi) \ dx + (p-2) \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-4} (\nabla u, \nabla \phi_1) (\nabla u, \nabla \psi) \ dx - 2\lambda \int_\Omega \frac{\phi_1 \psi}{(1-u)^3} dx = \mu_{1,\lambda}(u) \int_\Omega \phi_1 \psi \ dx.$$

There are the following issues which were left open in [2]:
• uniqueness of $u_\lambda$ among the semi-stable solutions of (1);
• stability of the minimal solution $u_\lambda$.

On the ball $B := B(0, 1)$ there is a positive answer to these questions for $1 < p \leq 2$. In this case, by [3] any solution of (1) is radial and radially decreasing. Since $u' \leq 0$, the key property will be that the function $s \rightarrow g(s) := |s|^{p-2}s$ is convex in $(-\infty, 0]$ whenever $1 < p \leq 2$.

Some of our results make use of first eigenfunctions for the linearized operator. This is a first application of theorem 1.2 which in our opinion might have other useful consequences.

Our arguments work as well if we replace $(1 - u)^{-2}$ with a general nondecreasing and nonnegative convex nonlinearity $f(u)$:

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_p u &= \lambda f(u) \quad \text{in } B \\
u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B.
\end{align*}
$$

The function $f(u)$ can be either smooth on $[0, +\infty)$ or singular at $u = 1$. A classical solution $u$ of (3) is meant to be bounded in the first case and to be $< 1$ in the second one. Moreover, in the definition 1.1 we have to replace $2(1 - u)^{-3}$ with $f'(u)$.

We have the following uniqueness result

**Theorem 1.3.** Let us assume $1 < p \leq 2$ and let $u$ be a semi-stable solution of problem (3) on $B$. Then $u \equiv u_\lambda$ where $u_\lambda$ is the minimal solution.

We now investigate the properties of the first eigenvalue $\mu_{1,\lambda}(u)$ and the corresponding eigenfunction $\phi_{1,\lambda,u}$, which is the content of the following

**Theorem 1.4.** On $B \phi_{1,\lambda,u}$ is radial and radially decreasing with $\phi_{1,\lambda,u}'(r) < 0$ for $r \in (0, 1]$. The first eigenvalue is strictly decreasing along the minimal branch: $\mu_\lambda := \mu_{1,\lambda}(u_\lambda) \downarrow$ as $\lambda \uparrow \lambda^*$. In particular, $\mu_\lambda > 0$ for every $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ and $u_\lambda$ is a stable solution of (3) on $B$.

We are able to prove a stronger uniqueness property for problem (3) when the first eigenvalue is zero, as highlighted by this

**Theorem 1.5.** Let $1 < p \leq 2$. Let $u$ be a solution of problem (3) so that $\mu_{1,\lambda}(u) = 0$. Then, $\lambda = \lambda^*$, $u = u^*$ and any other solution $v$ of (3) coincides with $u$.

Let us stress that theorem 1.5 might be established in a more general way by the arguments in [1, 18] based directly on the definition of $\lambda^*$. We do not pursue this approach since we prefer a more classical one based on comparison arguments.

In the next sections we will give the proofs of theorems 1.3 through 1.5.

**2. Proof of theorem 1.3.** Let $u$ be a semi-stable solution of (3). By [3] we know that $u$ is radial, radially decreasing and have an unique critical point at the origin with $u'(r) \approx r^{p-1}$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. In particular, $u' < 0$ in $(0, 1)$.

Since $u_\lambda'$ and $u'$ behave as $r^{p-1}$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, it is easily seen that $u, u_\lambda \in A_u \cap W_0^{1,p}(B)$.

Therefore, $u_\lambda - u$ can be used as a test function both in the equation and in the linearized operator at $u$.

By taking $u_\lambda - u$ as test function in (2) we get

$$
\int_B |\nabla u|^{p-2}(\nabla u, \nabla (u_\lambda - u)) \, dx = \lambda \int_B f(u)(u_\lambda - u) \, dx
$$
and
\[ \int_B |\nabla u_\lambda|^p \nabla u_\lambda, \nabla (u_\lambda - u) | \, dx = \lambda \int_B f(u_\lambda)(u_\lambda - u) \, dx. \]

Taking into account radial symmetry, the difference leads to
\[ 0 = \int_B (|u'_\lambda|^p - 2u'_\lambda - |u'|^p - 2u')(u'_\lambda - u') \, dx - \lambda \int_B (f(u_\lambda) - f(u))(u_\lambda - u) \, dx. \]

Since \( f(u_\lambda) \geq f(u) + f'(u)(u_\lambda - u) \) by convexity, we have that
\[ 0 \geq \int_B (|u'_\lambda|^p - 2u'_\lambda - |u'|^p - 2u')(u'_\lambda - u') \, dx - \lambda \int_B f'(u)(u_\lambda - u)^2 \, dx \]
in view of \( u_\lambda \leq u \) by minimality of \( u_\lambda \). Since in \((0, 1)\)
\[ -(r^{N-1}|u'_\lambda|^p - 2u'_\lambda)' = \lambda r^{N-1} f(u_\lambda) \leq \lambda r^{N-1} f(u) = -(r^{N-1}|u'|^p - 2u')', \]
for \( 0 < \varepsilon < r < 1 \) we get
\[ r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^p - 2u'(r) - \varepsilon^{N-1}|u'\varepsilon|^p - 2u'\varepsilon \]
\[ \leq r^{N-1}|u'_\varepsilon|^p - 2u'_\varepsilon(r) - \varepsilon^{N-1}|u'_\varepsilon|^p - 2u'_\varepsilon(r) \]
and by letting \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) it follows
\[ |u'(r)|^p - 2u'(r) \leq |u'_\varepsilon(r)|^p - 2u'_\varepsilon(r) \quad \text{in } (0, 1). \]

Since \( u', u'_\varepsilon < 0 \) in \((0, 1)\), it gives \( |u'(r)| > |u'_\varepsilon(r)| \) or equivalently \( u'(r) \leq u'_\varepsilon(r) \) for every \( r \in (0, 1) \).

We now take into account that the function \( g(s) = |s|^p - 2s \) is strictly convex in \((-\infty, 0)\) for \( 1 < p < 2 \). Therefore, in \((0, 1)\) we have
\[ (\nabla_j |u'_\lambda|^p - 2u'_\lambda - |u'|^p - 2u')(u'_\lambda - u'_\varepsilon) > (p - 1)|u'_\lambda|^p - 2(u'_\lambda - u') \]
whenever \( u' < u'_\lambda \). Since \( u' \leq u'_\varepsilon \) in \((0, 1)\), if \( u \neq u_\lambda \) in turn we get
\[ 0 > \int_B (p - 1)|u'|^p - 2(u'_\lambda - u')^2 - \lambda f'(u)(u_\lambda - u)^2 \, dx. \]

At the same time, by the semi-stability of \( u \) we have
\[ \int_B (p - 1)|u'|^p - 2(u'_\lambda - u')^2 - \lambda f'(u)(u_\lambda - u)^2 \, dx \geq 0 \]
and a contradiction arises unless \( u = u_\lambda \).

Consider now the case \( p = 2 \). Since now \( g(s) \) is linear, we have only \( \geq \) in (5). However, if \( \mu_{1,\lambda}(u) > 0 \) we have a strict inequality in (6) and a contradiction still arises unless \( u = u_\lambda \).

We have therefore to deal with the case \( p = 2 \), \( \mu_{1,\lambda}(u) = 0 \) and \( u \neq u_\lambda \): by the variational characterization of the first eigenvalue it follows that \( u - u_\lambda = \beta \phi_1, \beta > 0, \)
where $\phi_1$ is the (positive) first eigenfunction of the linearized operator $L_u$. We define in this case
\[
G(t) = -\Delta(tu + (1-t)u_\lambda) - \lambda f(tu + (1-t)u_\lambda) - \lambda [tf'(u) + (1-t)f'(u_\lambda) - f(tu + (1-t)u_\lambda)].
\]
Since $f$ is convex, then $G(t) \geq 0$. Since
\[
G'(t) = -\Delta(u - u_\lambda) - \lambda f'(tu + (1-t)u_\lambda)(u - u_\lambda)
\]
and $u - u_\lambda = \beta \phi_1$, we have that
\[
G'(1) = -\Delta(u - u_\lambda) - \lambda f'(u)(u - u_\lambda) = 0.
\]
Also, $G''(t) = -\lambda f''(tu + (1-t)u_\lambda)(u - u_\lambda)^2 < 0$ thanks to the convexity of $f$. But this is not consistent with $G(1) = 0$, $G'(1) = 0$ and $G(t) \geq 0$. The proof is done. \[\]

3. **Proof of theorem 1.4.** Let us consider a hyperplane $P$, passing through the origin. Setting for simplicity $\phi_1 = \phi_{1,\lambda,u}$, define $\phi_P^t(x) = \phi_1(x_P)$ where $x_P$ is symmetric to $x$ with respect to the hyperplane $P$. Since $u$ is radial, it follows that $\phi_P^t$ still minimizes the quotient in theorem 1.2 and is then proportional to $\phi_1$: $\phi_P^t = \beta \phi_1$. Since $\phi_P^t$ and $\phi_1$ coincide on $P$, it follows that $\beta = 1$ and $\phi_P^t = \phi_1$, that is $\phi_1$ is symmetric with respect to $P$. Since $P$ is arbitrary chosen, it follows that $\phi_1$ is radial.

Let us now show that $\phi_1'(r) < 0$ for $r \in (0,1]$. Note that, since $\phi_1$ is radial as we showed above, then it fulfills the following equation
\[
-(p-1)r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r)' = r^{N-1}(\lambda f'(u(r))\phi_1(r) + \mu_\lambda \phi_1(r))
\]
where $\mu_\lambda := \mu_{1,\lambda}(u_\lambda) \geq 0$. Since $f'$ is positive, we therefore have that the term $r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r)$ is decreasing for $r \in (0,1]$. Also by (7), we get
\[
\frac{(r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r)')'}{r^{N-1}} \xrightarrow{r \to 0} c,
\]
and exploiting de l'Hôpital we get that
\[
\frac{r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r)}{r^N} \xrightarrow{r \to 0} c,
\]
and therefore
\[
\text{the term } r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r) \to 0 \text{ for } r \to 0.
\]
Since as showed above $r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r)$ is decreasing for $r \in (0,1]$, then $r^{N-1}|u'(\varepsilon)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon^{N-1}|u'(\varepsilon)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(\varepsilon)$ for $0 < \varepsilon < r \leq 1$. Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, we get
\[
r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r) < 0
\]
for $r \in (0,1]$, showing the thesis.

To prove monotonicity of the first eigenvalue, we start noticing that $u_\lambda \leq u_\beta$ for $\lambda < \beta$ yields to $u_\beta' \leq u_\lambda' < 0$ in $(0,1)$ with the same argument as in (4). Let us
assume that the first eigenfunctions $\phi_\lambda := \phi_{1,\lambda,u_\lambda}$ and $\phi_\beta := \phi_{1,\beta,u_\beta}$ are normalized to have
\[ \int_B \phi_\lambda^2 = \int_B \phi_\beta^2 = 1. \]
Since $u_\lambda$, $u_\beta$, $\phi_\lambda$ and $\phi_\beta$ are radial, we now have that
\[ \mu_\beta \leq (p-1) \int_B |u_\beta'|^{p-2}(\phi_\lambda')^2 \, dx - \beta \int_B f'(u_\beta)\phi_\lambda^2 \, dx \]
\[ < (p-1) \int_B |u_\lambda'|^{p-2}(\phi_\beta')^2 - \lambda \int_B f'(u_\lambda)\phi_\beta^2 \, dx = \mu_\lambda \]
in view of $u_\lambda \neq u_\beta$, and the thesis follows.

4. Proof of theorem 1.5. Let $u$ be a solution of (3) so that $\mu_{1,\lambda}(u) = 0$. First, we have that $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$. Indeed, for $\lambda < \lambda^*$ by theorem 1.3 we would have that $u \equiv u_\lambda$ and then $\mu_{1,\lambda}(u) > 0$ by theorem 1.4. Since by the definition of $\lambda^*$ $\lambda \leq \lambda^*$, we get that $\lambda = \lambda^*$. Since $u^* \leq u$ and $u$ is a classical solution, we get that also $u^*$ is a classical solution and by theorem 1.3 $u = u^*$.

Let $v$ be another solution of (3) and let $\phi_1$ be the first eigenfunction of $L_u$. Define
\[ \hat{G}(t) := \int_B |tv' + (1-t)u'|^{p-2}(tv' + (1-t)u')\phi_1' \, dx - \lambda \int_B f(tv + (1-t)u)\phi_1 \, dx. \]
By the radial symmetry of $u, v, \phi_1$ and the convexity of $g(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ in $(-\infty, 0)$ for $1 < p \leq 2$, we get that
\[ \hat{G}(t) = \int_B g(tv' + (1-t)u')\phi_1' \, dx - \lambda \int_B f(tv + (1-t)u)\phi_1 \, dx \]
\[ \geq t \int_B g(v')\phi_1' \, dx + (1-t) \int_B g(u')\phi_1' \, dx - \lambda \int_B f(tv + (1-t)u)\phi_1 \, dx \]
\[ = \lambda \int_B [tf(v) + (1-t)f(u) - f(tv + (1-t)u)]\phi_1 \, dx \geq 0 \]
in view of $\phi_1' \leq 0$ by theorem 1.4. Let us now note that $\hat{G}(0) = 0$ by the equation satisfied by $u$. Compute now the first derivative
\[ \hat{G}'(t) = (p-1) \int_B |tv' + (1-t)u'|^{p-2}(v' - u')\phi_1' \, dx - \lambda f'(tv + (1-t)u)(v - u)\phi_1 \, dx. \]
Since $L_u(\phi_1) = \mu_{1,\lambda}(u)\phi_1 = 0$ and $v - u \in \mathcal{A}_u$, we get that $\hat{G}'(0) = 0$. By $\hat{G}(0) = \hat{G}'(0) = 0$ and $\hat{G}(t) \geq 0$, it follows $\hat{G}''(0) \geq 0$. But
\[ \hat{G}''(0) = (p-1)(p-2) \int_B |u'|^{p-4}(v' - u')^2\phi_1' - \lambda f''(u)(v - u)^2\phi_1 \, dx \]
\[ \leq -\lambda \int_B f''(u)(v - u)^2\phi_1 \, dx \]
in view of $u', \phi_1' \leq 0$ and $1 < p \leq 2$. Since $f'' > 0$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\phi_1 > 0$ a.e. in $B$ it follows that $\hat{G}''(0) < 0$ unless $u = v$. Therefore the thesis follows.
REFERENCES
