

B-STRUCTURES ON G -BUNDLES AND LOCAL TRIVIALITY

V. G. DRINFELD AND CARLOS SIMPSON

1. Let G be a split reductive group scheme over \mathbb{Z} (recall that for any algebraically closed field k there is a bijection $G \mapsto G \otimes k$ between isomorphism classes of such group schemes and isomorphism classes of connected reductive algebraic groups over k). Let B be a Borel subgroup of G . Let S be a scheme and X a smooth proper scheme over S with connected geometric fibers of pure dimension 1. Our goal is to prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1. *Any G -bundle on X admits a B -structure after a suitable surjective étale base change $S' \rightarrow S$.*

Theorem 2. *Any G -bundle on X becomes Zariski-locally trivial after a suitable étale base change $S' \rightarrow S$.*

Theorem 3. *Suppose that G is semisimple. Let D be a subscheme of X such that the projection $D \rightarrow S$ is an isomorphism. Set $U := X \setminus D$. Then for any G -bundle F on X its restriction to U becomes trivial after a suitable faithfully flat base change $S' \rightarrow S$ with S' being locally of finite presentation over S . If S is a scheme over $\mathbb{Z}[n^{-1}]$ where n is the order of $\pi_1(G(\mathbb{C}))$ then S' can be chosen to be étale over S .*

2. Remarks. a) Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1 because a B -bundle on any scheme is Zariski-locally trivial.

b) If S is the spectrum of an algebraically closed field Theorems 1-3 are well known (of course in this case base change is not necessary). In this situation Theorem 3 was proved in [6], while Theorems 1 and 2 follow from the triviality of G -bundles over the generic point of X . The triviality of the Galois cohomology $H^1(k(X), G)$ was conjectured by J. P. Serre and proved by R. Steinberg [9] and A. Borel and T. A. Springer [3]. Note that Steinberg's result is for a perfect field of dimension 1—inconvenient here since $k(X)$ is not perfect in characteristic p (whereas of course it is of dimension 1). The restriction to perfect fields was due to the need to have G

Received October 9, 1995.

The first author was partially supported by INTAS grant No.94-4720 and DKNT grant No. 11.3/21.

split, which doesn't matter here, and the need to apply Rosenlicht's density theorem. The density theorem was improved by A. Grothendieck [4] and A. Borel and T.A. Springer ([2] Theorem A) to eliminate the perfect hypothesis. The resulting stronger version of Steinberg's result is announced in [3] section 8.6.

c) Theorem 3 is an answer to a question by A. Beauville and Y. Laszlo. They proved Theorem 3 for $G = \mathrm{SL}(n)$ ([1], Lemma 3.5). They showed that in this case it is enough to localize with respect to the Zariski topology of S . Theorem 3 is used in [1, 7] to prove the "uniformization" theorem.

d) Since we localize with respect to the étale topology of S the splitness assumption on G is not essential in Theorems 1-3 (in the situation of Theorem 1 one can define the notion of B -structure even if G has no Borel subgroups).

e) If S is a scheme over an algebraically closed field k then Theorems 1-3 hold for \mathcal{G} -bundles where \mathcal{G} is a connected affine algebraic group over k which in the case of Theorem 3 must have the property $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, G_m) = 0$. This easily follows from Theorems 1-3 for G -bundles (the unipotent radical of \mathcal{G} does not matter).

3. Theorem 1 for G follows from Theorem 1 for G/Z^0 where Z^0 is the connected component of the center $Z \subset G$. The same holds for Theorem 2, which also follows from Theorem 1. So we will suppose hereinafter that G is semisimple. We will also assume that all the fibers of $X \rightarrow S$ have the same genus g .

4. Fix a Cartan subgroup $H \subset B$. Let $\alpha_i : H \rightarrow G_m$, $i \in \Delta$, be the simple roots. Denote by $\bar{\alpha}_i$ the corresponding morphisms $B \rightarrow G_m$. For a B -bundle E on a smooth projective curve over a field denote by $\deg_i(E)$ the degree of the G_m -bundle associated to E and $\bar{\alpha}_i$.

Let F be a principal G -bundle on X (G acts on the scheme F from the right). B -structures on F can be identified with sections of $F/B \rightarrow X$. Consider the functor Φ that associates to a scheme T over S the set of B -structures on $F \times_S T$ considered as a G -bundle on $X \times_S T$. Identifying a section with its graph, the theory of Hilbert schemes shows that Φ is representable by a scheme M_F locally of finite presentation over S . To a point $y \in M_F$ there corresponds a B -bundle E_y on the fiber of X over the image of y in S . Set $d_i(y) := \deg_i(E_y)$. The functions d_i are locally constant. Set $M_F^+ := \{y \in M_F : d_i(y) < \min(1, 2 - 2g), i \in \Delta\}$.

Proposition 1. *The morphism $M_F^+ \rightarrow S$ is smooth.*

Proposition 2. *The morphism $M_F^+ \rightarrow S$ is surjective.*

These propositions imply that for some surjective étale morphism $S' \rightarrow S$ there exists an S -morphism $S' \rightarrow M_F$. This is precisely Theorem 1.

Proof of Proposition 1. Let k be a field, $y \in M_F(k)$. Let $s \in S(k)$ be the image of y and X_s the fiber of X over s . The point y corresponds to a section $\sigma : X_s \rightarrow F/B$ of the morphism $F/B \rightarrow X$. Standard deformation theory shows that the morphism $M_F \rightarrow S$ is smooth in a neighbourhood of y provided $H^1(X_s, \sigma^* \Theta) = 0$ where Θ denotes the relative tangent bundle of F/B over X . $\sigma^* \Theta$ is the vector bundle associated to the B -bundle E_y on X_s corresponding to y and the B -module $\mathrm{Lie}(G)/\mathrm{Lie}(B)$. So it is easy to see that if $\deg_i(E_y) < \min(1, 2 - 2g)$ for all $i \in \Delta$ then $H^1(X_s, \sigma^* \Theta) = 0$, Q.E.D.

5. The following statement is stronger than Proposition 2.

Proposition 3. *Let Y be a smooth projective curve over an algebraically closed field k and F a G -bundle on Y . Then for any number N there is a B -structure on F such that for the corresponding B -bundle E one has $\deg_i(E) < -N$ for all $i \in \Delta$.*

Proof. 1) It does not matter which F to consider. Indeed, according to [9] [3] a G -bundle on Y is trivial over the generic point of Y . So if F and F' are G -bundles on Y there is an isomorphism h between the restrictions of F and F' to $Y \setminus R$ for some finite $R \subset Y$. If h is fixed then a B -structure on F induces a B -structure on F' and for the corresponding B -bundles E and E' the inequalities $-c < \deg_i(E) - \deg_i(E') < c$ hold for some c depending only on the singularities of h .

2) So we can assume that F is trivial.

3) Since F is trivial we can assume that G is simply connected (otherwise the inverse image B' of B in the universal covering G' is a Borel; let F' be the trivial G' -bundle, then a B' -bundle which induces the G' -bundle F' must induce a B -bundle which induces F) and $Y = P^1$ (choose a finite morphism $f : Y \rightarrow P^1$ and consider those B -structures on the trivial G -bundle on Y which are pullbacks via f of B -structures on the trivial G -bundle on P^1).

4) Denote by Bun_B the stack of B -bundles on P^1 . Let Bun_B^n be the open subset of Bun_B corresponding to B -bundles E such that $\deg_i(E) = n$ for all $i \in \Delta$. There is an integer $m > 0$ such that $\mathrm{Bun}_B^n \neq \emptyset$ if $m \mid n$. Choose n so that $\mathrm{Bun}_B^n \neq \emptyset$, $n < -N$, $n < 0$. According to Proposition 1 the natural morphism $\mathrm{Bun}_B^n \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_G$ is smooth. So its image U is open and non-empty. Since $Y = P^1$ trivial G -bundles form an open substack V of Bun_G . Since G is simply connected Bun_G is irreducible (see Appendix). So $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, Q.E.D.

Remark. If $\text{char } k = 0$ one can replace steps 3 and 4 of the above proof by the following argument. There is a homomorphism $r : \text{SL}(2) \rightarrow G \otimes Q$ such that 1) r maps diagonal matrices to $H \otimes Q$ and upper-triangular matrices to $B \otimes Q$, 2) $\alpha_i(r(\text{diag}(t, t^{-1}))) = t^{m_i}$, $i \in \Delta$, where m_i are *positive* (e.g., the “principal” homomorphism $\text{SL}(2) \rightarrow G \otimes Q$ has these properties). Using r one reduces the problem for the trivial G -bundle to that for the trivial $\text{SL}(2)$ -bundle. The latter problem is trivial: if $G = \text{SL}(2)$ then a B -structure on the trivial G -bundle is just a morphism $f : Y \rightarrow (G/B) \otimes k = P_k^1$ and the only condition is that $\deg f$ should be big enough. We do not know whether this argument works if $\text{char } k \neq 0$.

6. Proof of Theorem 3. We can assume that S is affine. According to Theorem 1 one can also suppose that F comes from a B -bundle E' . Denote by E the H -bundle obtained from E' via the homomorphism $B \rightarrow H$ and by F_1 the G -bundle coming from E . Since U is affine E' and the B -bundle obtained from E via the embedding $H \rightarrow B$ are isomorphic over U . So the restrictions of F and F_1 to U are isomorphic. Therefore one can assume that F comes from an H -bundle E .

Let us reduce the problem to the case where G is simply connected. Set $A = \text{Hom}(H, G_m)$. E defines a section $u : S \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, \text{Pic } X)$ where $\text{Pic } X$ denotes the relative Picard scheme of X/S and Hom denotes the *scheme* of homomorphisms. One can assume that $u(S) \subset \text{Hom}(A, \text{Pic}^0 X)$ (otherwise change E without changing its restriction to U). Let G' be the universal cover of G , H' the preimage of H in G' , $A' := \text{Hom}(H', G_m)$. A is a subgroup of A' and its index is equal to the order n of $\text{Ker}(G' \rightarrow G)$. The morphism $\text{Hom}(A', \text{Pic}^0 X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, \text{Pic}^0 X)$ is flat, finite, and of finite presentation; if S is a scheme over $\mathbb{Z}[n^{-1}]$ it is étale. Denote by S' the fibered product of S and $\text{Hom}(A', \text{Pic}^0 X)$ over $\text{Hom}(A, \text{Pic}^0 X)$. The pullback of E to $X \times_S S'$ can be lifted to an H' -bundle locally with respect to the Zariski topology of S' .

So one can assume that G is simply connected and F comes from an H -bundle E . Since G is simply connected $\text{Hom}(G_m, H)$ is freely generated by simple coroots. So it suffices to show that if H -bundles E_1 and E_2 differ by the image of some G_m -bundle via a coroot $\check{\alpha} : G_m \rightarrow H$ then the G -bundles corresponding to E_1 and E_2 are isomorphic locally over S . In fact we will show that it is true for G replaced by the subgroup $L \subset G$ generated by H and $r(\text{SL}(2))$ where $r : \text{SL}(2) \rightarrow G$ corresponds to $\check{\alpha}$. It is easy to show that either $L = \text{SL}(2) \times T$ or $L = \text{GL}(2) \times T'$ where T and T' are tori. In the first case it suffices to show that the restriction to U of an $\text{SL}(2)$ -bundle on X is trivial locally over S . In the second case it is enough to show that the restriction to U of two $\text{GL}(2)$ -bundles on X with the same determinant are isomorphic locally over S . The first

statement is proved by Beauville-Laszlo ([1], Lemma 3.5). The proof of the second statement is quite similar (in both cases Zariski localization is enough).

7. In the proof of Theorems 2 and 3 we used Theorem 1. Actually we could use the following weaker version of Theorem 1, which can be proved without using Propositions 2 and 3.

Proposition 4. *In the situation of Theorem 3 for any G -bundle F on X there is a surjective étale base change $S' \rightarrow S$ and a G -bundle F' on $X \times_S S'$ such that the inverse images of F and F' on $U \times_S S'$ are isomorphic and F' has a B -structure.*

Proof (inspired by [5] p. 364, lines 26-34). We can assume that S is strictly henselian, i.e., S is the spectrum of a strictly henselian ring (in this case base change is not necessary). Let s be the closed point of S , X_s the fiber of X over s , and F_s the restriction of F to X_s . According to [9] [3] the restriction of F_s to the generic point of X_s is trivial. So F_s has a B -structure, i.e., it comes from a B -bundle E on X_s . Since the restriction of E to $U_s := U \cap X_s$ comes from an H -bundle it is easy to construct a B -bundle E' on X_s such that the restrictions of E and E' to U_s are isomorphic and $\deg_i(E') < \min(1, 2 - 2g)$ for all $i \in \Delta$ (see Section 4 for the definition of \deg_i). Denote by F'_s the G -bundle on X_s corresponding to E' . The restrictions of F'_s and F_s to U_s are isomorphic. We will construct a G -bundle F' on X such that the restrictions of F and F' to U are isomorphic and the restriction of F' to X_s is equal to F_s . According to Proposition 1 such F' automatically has a B -structure.

For every scheme \tilde{X} étale over X denote by $M(\tilde{X})$ the set of isomorphism classes of pairs consisting of a G -bundle F' on \tilde{X} and an isomorphism f between the inverse images of F and F' on $U \times_X \tilde{X}$. Then $M(\tilde{X}) = H^0(\tilde{X}, j_* j^* \mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G})$ where \mathcal{G} is the (étale) sheaf of automorphisms of F and $j : U \hookrightarrow X$. (To see this note first that pairs (F', f) have no nontrivial automorphisms, consequently that M is the étale sheaf associated to the presheaf M_0 where $M_0(\tilde{X}) \subset M(\tilde{X})$ is the subset of pairs (F', f) with F' isomorphic to the inverse image of F , and finally that $M_0(\tilde{X}) = H^0(\tilde{X}, j_* j^* \mathcal{G}) / H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{G})$.) In particular we have $M(X) = H^0(X, j_* j^* \mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G}) = H^0(D, i^*(j_* j^* \mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G}))$ where $i : D \hookrightarrow X$. Since $D \simeq S$ is strictly henselian $M(X) = (j_* j^* \mathcal{G})_w / \mathcal{G}_w$ where w is the point of D over s and \mathcal{G}_w is the stalk of \mathcal{G} at w . Fix a trivialization of F over an étale neighbourhood of w and a local equation $t = 0$ defining $D \subset X$. These data define isomorphisms $\mathcal{G}_w = G(A\{t\})$, $(j_* j^* \mathcal{G})_w = G(A\{t\}[t^{-1}])$ where $A = H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ and $A\{t\}$ is the henselization of $A[t]$ at the maximal ideal containing t . F_s defines an element of $G(k\{t\}[t^{-1}]) / G(k\{t\})$

where k is the residue field of A , and we have to lift it to an element of $G(A\{t\}[t^{-1}])/G(A\{t\})$. This can be done, e.g., using the Iwasawa decomposition $G(k\{t\}[t^{-1}]) = B(k\{t\}[t^{-1}])G(k\{t\})$.

Appendix

Let Y be a smooth connected projective curve over an algebraically closed field k . Denote by Bun_G the stack of G -bundles on Y . The following statement is well known but we could not find a reference with a proof valid for all G without the assumption $\text{char } k = 0$.

Proposition 5. *If G is simply connected then Bun_G is irreducible.*

Proof. A well known deformation-theoretic argument shows that Bun_G is smooth, so it suffices to show that Bun_G is connected. The morphism $\text{Bun}_B \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G$ is surjective, so the mapping $\pi_0(\text{Bun}_B) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Bun}_G)$ is also surjective. The mapping $\pi_0(\text{Bun}_H) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Bun}_B)$ is bijective (use the homomorphism $B \rightarrow H$ to prove injectivity; surjectivity follows from the existence of a family of endomorphisms $f_t : B \rightarrow B$, $t \in A^1$, such that $f_1 = \text{id}$ and $f_0(B) = H$: just set $f_t(b) := \lambda(t)b\lambda(t)^{-1}$ where $\lambda \in \text{Hom}(G_m, H)$ is a strictly dominant coweight). Since G is simply connected $\pi_0(\text{Bun}_H) = \text{Hom}(G_m, H)$ can be identified with the coroot lattice. So a standard argument (see, e.g., the end of Section 6) shows that it suffices to prove the connectedness of the stack of rank 2 vector bundles with a fixed determinant \mathcal{M} . Given two such vector bundles L_1 and L_2 there is a line bundle \mathcal{A} such that $L_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}$ and $L_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}$ are generated by their global sections. Then according to a lemma due to Serre ([8], p.27, Lemma 24) there exist exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\otimes(-1)} \rightarrow L_i \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

So L_1 and L_2 belong to a family of bundles parametrized by the space $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{\otimes(-1)})$, which is connected, Q.E.D.

Remark. In the proof of Theorem 3 we used Proposition 5 in the particular case $Y = P^1$. In this case there is another proof of Proposition 5: use the well known theorem that all G -bundles on P^1 come from H -bundles, then compute the dimension of the automorphism group of each G -bundle and use the fact that all components of Bun_G have the same dimension $d = -\dim G$.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to A. Beauville and Y. Laszlo for a stimulating question and to A. A. Beilinson and V. A. Ginzburg for useful discussions.

References

1. A. Beauville and Y. Laszlo, Conformal blocks and generalized theta functions, Commun. Math. Physics **164** (1994), 385-419
2. A. Borel and T.A. Springer, Rationality properties of linear algebraic groups, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. A.M.S. **9** (1966), 26-32.
3. A. Borel and T.A. Springer, Rationality properties of linear algebraic groups II, Tôhoku Math. J. **20** (1968), 443-497.
4. M. Demazure and A. Grothendieck, Schémas en groupes, S.G.A. 3.
5. G. Faltings, A proof for the Verlinde formula, Journal of Algebraic Geometry **3** (1994), 347-374.
6. G. Harder, Halbeinfache Gruppenschemata über Dedekindringen, Invent. Math. **4** (1967), 165-191
7. Y. Laszlo and C. Sorger, The line bundles on the moduli of parabolic G -bundles over curves and their sections, to appear
8. C. S. Seshadri, Fibrés vectoriels sur les courbes algébriques, Astérisque **96** (1982)
9. R. Steinberg, Regular elements of semisimple algebraic groups, Publ. Math. IHES **25** (1965), 281-312

PHYSICO-TECHNICAL INSTITUTE OF LOW TEMPERATURES, LENIN AVENUE 47,
KHARKOV-164, 310164 UKRAINE

LABORATOIRE EMILE PICARD, UFR-MIG, UNIVERSITÉ PAUL SABATIER,
31062 TOULOUSE CEDEX, FRANCE
E-mail address: simpson@cict.fr