

A LINK BETWEEN TWO ELLIPTIC QUANTUM GROUPS*

PAVEL ETINGOF[†] AND OLIVIER SCHIFFMANN[‡]

Abstract. We consider the category \mathcal{C}_B of meromorphic finite-dimensional representations of the quantum elliptic algebra \mathcal{B} constructed via Belavin’s R-matrix, and the category \mathcal{C}_F of meromorphic finite-dimensional representations of Felder’s elliptic quantum group $\mathcal{E}_{\tau, \frac{\gamma}{2}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. For any fixed $c \in \mathbb{C}$, we use a version of the Vertex-IRF correspondence to construct two families of (generically) fully faithful functors $\mathcal{H}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$ and $\mathcal{F}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$ where \mathcal{D}_B is a certain category of infinite-dimensional representations of \mathcal{B} by difference operators. We use this to construct an equivalence between the abelian subcategory of \mathcal{C}_B generated by tensor products of vector representations and the abelian subcategory of \mathcal{C}_F generated by tensor products of vector representations.

1. Categories of meromorphic representations. In this section, we recall the definitions of various categories of representations of quantum elliptic algebras.

Notations: Let us fix $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$, $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $n \geq 2$. Denote by $(v_i)_{i=1}^n$ the canonical basis of \mathbb{C}^n and by $(E_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ the canonical basis of $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, i.e. $E_{ij}v_k = \delta_{jk}v_i$. Let $\mathfrak{h} = \{\sum_i \lambda_i E_{ii} \mid \sum_i \lambda_i = 0\}$ be the space of diagonal traceless matrices. We have a natural identification $\mathfrak{h}^* = \{\sum_i \lambda_i E_{ii}^* \mid \sum_i \lambda_i = 0\}$. In particular, the weight of v_i is $\omega_i = E_{ii}^* - \frac{1}{n} \sum_k E_{kk}^*$.

Classical theta functions: The theta function $\theta_{\kappa, \kappa'}(t; \tau)$ with characteristics $\kappa, \kappa' \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined by the formula

$$\theta_{\kappa, \kappa'}(t; \tau) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\pi(m+\kappa)((m+\kappa)\tau + 2(t+\kappa'))}.$$

It is an entire function whose zeros are simple and form the (shifted) lattice $\{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa + (\frac{1}{2} - \kappa')\tau\} + \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$.

Theta functions satisfy (and are characterized up to renormalization by) the following fundamental monodromy relations

$$\theta_{\kappa, \kappa'}(t + 1; \tau) = e^{2i\pi\kappa} \theta_{\kappa, \kappa'}(t; \tau), \tag{1}$$

$$\theta_{\kappa, \kappa'}(t + \tau; \tau) = e^{-i\pi\tau - 2i\pi(t+\kappa')} \theta_{\kappa, \kappa'}(t; \tau). \tag{2}$$

Theta functions with different characteristics are related to each other by shifts of t :

$$\theta_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2, \kappa'_1 + \kappa'_2}(t; \tau) = e^{i\pi\kappa_2^2\tau + 2i\pi\kappa_2(t+\kappa'_1 + \kappa'_2)} \theta_{\kappa_1, \kappa'_1}(t + \kappa_2\tau + \kappa'_2; \tau). \tag{3}$$

In particular, we set $\theta(t) = \theta_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}(t; \tau)$.

1.1. Meromorphic representations of the Belavin quantum elliptic algebra. Consider the two $n \times n$ matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \xi & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \xi^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \vdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

*Received March 20, 1998; accepted for publication June 16, 1998. The authors were supported by the NSF grant DMS-9700477. The second author would like to thank Harvard University Mathematics Department for its hospitality without which this work would not have been possible.

[†]Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge MA 02138 USA (etingof@math.harvard.edu).

[‡]Department of Mathematics, ENS Paris, 45 rue d’Ulm, 75005 Paris, FRANCE (schiffma@sequoia.ens.fr).

where $\xi = e^{2i\pi/n}$. We have $A^n = B^n = Id$, $BA = \xi AB$, i.e A, B generate the Heisenberg group. Belavin ([3]) introduced the matrix $R^B(z) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, uniquely determined by the following properties:

1. Unitarity: $R^B(z)R_{21}^B(-z) = 1$,
2. $R^B(z)$ is meromorphic, with simple poles at $z = \gamma + \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$,
3. $R^B(0) = P : x \otimes y \mapsto y \otimes x$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ (permutation),
4. Lattice translation properties:

$$R^B(z + 1) = A_1 R^B(z) A_1^{-1} = A_2^{-1} R^B(z) A_2,$$

$$R^B(z + \tau) = e^{-2i\pi \frac{n-1}{n} \gamma} B_1 R^B(z) B_1^{-1} = e^{-2i\pi \frac{n-1}{n} \gamma} B_2^{-1} R^B(z) B_2.$$

In particular, $R^B(z)$ commutes with $A \otimes A$ and $B \otimes B$. The matrix $R^B(z)$ satisfies the quantum Yang-Baxter equation with spectral parameters:

$$R_{12}^B(z - w) R_{13}^B(z) R_{23}^B(w) = R_{23}^B(w) R_{13}^B(z) R_{12}^B(z - w).$$

The category \mathcal{C}_B : Following Faddeev, Reshetikhin, Takhtajan and Semenov-Tian-Shansky, one can define an algebra \mathcal{B} from $R^B(z)$, using the RLL formalism-see [4], [12]. However, we will only need to consider a certain category of modules over this algebra, defined as follows.

Let \mathcal{C}_B be the category whose objects are pairs $(V, L(z))$ where V is a finite dimensional vector space and $L(z) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \text{End}(V)$ is an invertible meromorphic function (the L-operator) such that $L(z + n) = L(z)$ and $L(z + n\tau) = L(z)$, satisfying the following relation in the space $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \text{End}(V) \otimes \text{End}(V)$:

$$R_{12}^B(z - w) L_{13}(z) L_{23}(w) = L_{23}(w) L_{13}(z) R_{12}^B(z - w) \tag{4}$$

(as meromorphic functions of z and w); morphisms $(V, L(z)) \rightarrow (V', L'(z))$ are linear maps $\varphi : V \rightarrow V'$ such that $(1 \otimes \varphi)L(z) = L'(z)(1 \otimes \varphi)$ in the space $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes V, \mathbb{C}^n \otimes V')$. The quantum Yang-Baxter relation for R^B implies that $(\mathbb{C}^n, \chi(z)R^B(z - w)) \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_B)$ for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$, where we set $\chi(z) = \frac{\theta(z - (1 - \frac{1}{n})\gamma)}{\theta(z)}$. This object is called the vector representation and will be denoted simply by $V_B(w)$.

The category \mathcal{C}_B is naturally a tensor category with tensor product

$$(V, L(z)) \otimes (V', L'(z)) = (V \otimes V', L_{12}(z)L'_{13}(z)) \tag{5}$$

at the level of objects and with the usual tensor product at the level of morphisms.

There is a notion of a dual representation in the category \mathcal{C}_B : the (right) dual of $(V, L(z))$ is $(V^*, L^*(z))$ where $L^*(z) = L^{-1}(z)^{t_2}$ (first apply inversion, then apply the transposition in the second component t_2). If $V, W \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_B)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(V, W)$ then $\varphi^t \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(W^*, V^*)$, and the functor $\mathcal{C}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_B, V \mapsto V^*$ is a contravariant equivalence of categories. Moreover, for $V, W, Z \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_B)$, we have canonical isomorphisms $(V \otimes W)^* \simeq (W^* \otimes V^*)$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(V \otimes W, Z) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(V, Z \otimes W^*)$.

We will also need an extended category $\mathcal{C}_B^{\mathbb{F}}$ defined as follows: objects of $\mathcal{C}_B^{\mathbb{F}}$ are objects of \mathcal{C}_B but we set

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B^{\mathbb{F}}}(V, V') = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(V, V') \otimes M_{\mathbb{C}}$$

where $M_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the field of meromorphic functions of a complex variable x . In other words, morphisms in $\mathcal{C}_B^{\mathbb{F}}$ are meromorphic 1-parameter families of morphisms in \mathcal{C}_B .

The category \mathcal{D}_B : We now define a difference-operator variant of the categories $\mathcal{C}_B, \mathcal{C}_B^x$. Let us denote by $M_{\mathfrak{h}^*}$ the field of $(n\omega_i)$ -periodic meromorphic functions $\mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and by $D_{\mathfrak{h}^*}$ the \mathbb{C} -algebra generated by $M_{\mathfrak{h}^*}$ and shift operators $T_\mu : M_{\mathfrak{h}^*} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{h}^*}, f(\lambda) \mapsto f(\lambda + \mu)$ for $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. If V is a finite-dimensional vector space, we set $V_{\mathfrak{h}^*} = M_{\mathfrak{h}^*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$, and $D(V) = D_{\mathfrak{h}^*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{End}(V)$. Let \mathcal{D}_B be the category whose objects are pairs $(V, L(z))$ where V is a finite-dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space and $L(z) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes D(V)$ is an invertible operator with meromorphic coefficients satisfying (4) in $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes D(V) \otimes D(V)$; morphisms $(V, L(z)) \rightarrow (V', L'(z))$ are $(n\omega_i)$ -periodic meromorphic functions $\varphi : \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, V')$ such that $(1 \otimes \varphi)L(z) = L'(z)(1 \otimes \varphi)$ in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes V_{\mathfrak{h}^*}, \mathbb{C}^n \otimes V'_{\mathfrak{h}^*})$ (i.e morphisms are $M_{\mathfrak{h}^*}$ -linear).

The category \mathcal{D}_B is a right-module category over \mathcal{C}_B , i.e we have a (bi)functor $\otimes : \mathcal{D}_B \times \mathcal{C}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$ defined by (5), and for any $V, W \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D}_B), Z \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_B)$, we have a canonical isomorphism $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(V \otimes Z, W) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(V, W \otimes Z^*)$. The category \mathcal{D}_B^x is defined in an analogous way: objects are pairs $(V, L(z, x))$ as in \mathcal{D}_B but the L-operator is now a meromorphic function of z and x , and morphisms $(V, L(z, x)) \rightarrow (V', L'(z, x))$ are meromorphic maps $\varphi(\lambda, x) : \mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, V')$ satisfying $(1 \otimes \varphi)L(z, x) = L'(z, x)(1 \otimes \varphi)$.

1.2. Meromorphic representations of the elliptic quantum group

$\mathcal{E}_{\tau, \gamma/2}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$.

Felder's dynamical R-matrix: let us consider the functions of two complex variables

$$\alpha(z, l) = \frac{\theta(l + \gamma)\theta(z)}{\theta(l)\theta(z - \gamma)}, \quad \beta(z, l) = \frac{\theta(z - l)\theta(\gamma)}{\theta(l)\theta(z - \gamma)}.$$

As functions of z , α and β have simple poles at $z = \gamma + \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$ and satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(z + 1, l) &= \alpha(z, l), & \alpha(z + \tau, l) &= e^{-2i\pi\gamma}\alpha(z, l), \\ \beta(z + 1, l) &= \beta(z, l), & \beta(z + \tau, l) &= e^{-2i\pi(\gamma-l)}\beta(z, l). \end{aligned}$$

Felder introduced in [5] the matrix $R^F(z, \lambda) : \mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$:

$$R^F(z, \lambda) = \sum_i E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha(z, \lambda_i - \lambda_j) E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj} + \sum_{i \neq j} \beta(z, \lambda_i - \lambda_j) E_{ji} \otimes E_{ij}$$

where $\lambda = \sum_i \lambda_i E_{ii}^* \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

This matrix is a solution of the quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation with spectral parameters

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}^F(z - w, \lambda - \gamma h_3) R_{13}^F(z, \lambda) R_{23}^F(w, \lambda - \gamma h_1) \\ = R_{23}^F(w, \lambda) R_{13}^F(z, \lambda - \gamma h_2) R_{12}^F(z - w, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following convention: if V_i are diagonalizable \mathfrak{h} -modules with weight decomposition $V_i = \bigoplus_{\mu} V_i^{\mu}$ and $a(\lambda) \in \text{End}(\bigotimes_i V_i)$ then

$$a(\lambda - \gamma h_l)|_{\bigotimes_i V_i^{\mu_i}} = a(\lambda - \gamma \mu_l).$$

As usual, indices indicate the components of the tensor product on which the operators act.

In addition, $R^F(z, \lambda)$ satisfies the following two conditions:

1. Unitarity: $R_{12}^F(z, \lambda) R_{21}^F(-z, \lambda) = Id$,

2. Weight zero: $\forall h \in \mathfrak{h}, [h_1 + h_2, R^F(z, \lambda)] = 0$.

The category \mathcal{C}_F : It is possible to use $R^F(z, \lambda)$ to define an algebra by the RLL-formalism (see [5]): the elliptic quantum group $\mathcal{E}_{\tau, \gamma/2}(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$. However, we will only need the following category of its representations \mathcal{C}_F , introduced by Felder in [5] and studied by Felder and Varchenko in [6]: objects are pairs $(V, L(z, \lambda))$ where V is a finite-dimensional diagonalizable \mathfrak{h} -module and $L(z, \lambda) : \mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \text{End}(V)$ is an invertible meromorphic function which is $(n\omega_i)$ -periodic in λ and which satisfies the following two conditions:

$$[h_1 + h_2, L(z, \lambda)] = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}^F(z - w, \lambda - \gamma h_3) L_{13}(z, \lambda) L_{23}(w, \lambda - \gamma h_1) \\ = L_{23}(w, \lambda) L_{13}(z, \lambda - \gamma h_2) R_{12}^F(z - w, \lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Morphisms $(V, L(z, \lambda)) \rightarrow (V', L'(z, \lambda))$ are $(n\omega_i)$ -periodic meromorphic weight zero maps $\varphi(\lambda) : V \rightarrow V'$ such that $L'(z, \lambda)(1 \otimes \varphi(\lambda - \gamma h_1)) = (1 \otimes \varphi(\lambda))L(z, \lambda)$. The quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter relation for $R^F(z, \lambda)$ implies that we have $(\mathbb{C}^n, R^F(z - w, \lambda)) \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_F)$ for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$. This is the vector representation and it will be denoted by $V_F(w)$.

The category \mathcal{C}_F is naturally equipped with a tensor structure: it is defined on objects by

$$(V, L(z, \lambda)) \otimes (V', L'(z, \lambda)) = (V \otimes V', L_{12}(z, \lambda - \gamma h_3) L'_{13}(z, \lambda)),$$

and if $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(V, W), \varphi' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(V', W')$ then

$$(\varphi \otimes \varphi')(\lambda) = \varphi(\lambda - \gamma h_2) \otimes \varphi'(\lambda) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(V \otimes V', W \otimes W').$$

There is a notion of a dual representation in the category \mathcal{C}_F : the (right) dual of $(V, L(z, \lambda))$ is $(V^*, L^*(z, \lambda))$ where $L^*(z, \lambda) = L^{-1}(z, \lambda + \gamma h_2)^{t_2}$ (apply inversion, shifting and then apply the transposition in the second component t_2). If $V, W \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_F)$ and $\varphi(\lambda) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(V, W)$ then $\varphi^*(\lambda) := \varphi(\lambda + \gamma h_1)^t \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(W^*, V^*)$, and the functor $\mathcal{C}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_F, V \mapsto V^*$ is a contravariant equivalence of categories. Moreover, for any $V, W \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_F)$, there is a canonical isomorphism $(V \otimes W)^* \simeq (W^* \otimes V^*)$.

The extended category $\mathcal{C}_F^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_F^{\mathbb{Z}}) = \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_F)$ and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F^{\mathbb{Z}}}(V, V') = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(V, V') \otimes M_{\mathbb{C}}$$

i.e morphisms in $\mathcal{C}_F^{\mathbb{Z}}$ are meromorphic 1-parameter families of morphisms in \mathcal{C}_F .

2. The functor $\mathcal{F}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$. In this section, we define a family of functors from meromorphic (finite-dimensional) representations of $\mathcal{E}_{\tau, \frac{\gamma}{2}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ to infinite-dimensional representations of the quantum elliptic algebra \mathcal{B} .

2.1. Twists by difference operators. For any finite-dimensional diagonalizable \mathfrak{h} -module V , let $e^{\gamma D} \in \text{End}(V_{\mathfrak{h}^*})$ denote the shift operator: $e^{\gamma D} \cdot \sum_{\mu} f_{\mu}(\lambda) v_{\mu} = \sum_{\mu} f(\lambda + \gamma \mu) v_{\mu}, v_{\mu} \in V_{\mu}$. Now let $(V, L(z, \lambda)) \in \mathcal{C}_F$, and let $S(z, \lambda), S'(z, \lambda) : \mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be meromorphic and nondegenerate. Define the difference-twist of $(V, L(z, \lambda))$ to be the pair $(V, L^{S, S'}(z))$ where

$$L^{S, S'}(z) = S_1(z, \lambda - \gamma h_2) L(z, \lambda) e^{-\gamma D_1} S'_1(z, \lambda)^{-1} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes D(V). \tag{7}$$

This is a difference operator acting on $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes V_{\mathfrak{h}^*}$.

LEMMA 1. *The difference operator $L^S(z, \lambda)$ satisfies the following relation in $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes D(V) \otimes D(V)$:*

$$T_{12}(z, w, \lambda - \gamma h_3) L_{13}^{S, S'}(z) L_{23}^{S, S'}(w) = L_{23}^{S, S'}(w) L_{13}^{S, S'}(z) T'_{12}(z, w, \lambda)$$

where

$$T(z, w, \lambda) = S_2(w, \lambda) S_1(z, \lambda - \gamma h_2) R_{12}^F(z - w, \lambda) S_2(w, \lambda - \gamma h_1)^{-1} S_1(z, \lambda)^{-1}, \quad (8)$$

$$T'(z, w, \lambda) = S'_1(z, \lambda) S'_2(w, \lambda + \gamma h_1) R_{12}^F(z - w, \lambda) S'_1(z, \lambda + \gamma h_1)^{-1} S'_2(w, \lambda)^{-1}. \quad (9)$$

Proof. The proof is straightforward, using relation (6) for $L(z, \lambda)$ and the weight zero property of $R^F(u, \lambda)$ and $L(u, \lambda)$. \square

2.2. The Vertex-IRF transform. Let $\phi_l(u) = e^{2i\pi(\frac{l^2\tau}{n} + \frac{lu}{n})} \theta_{0,0}(u + l\tau; n\tau)$ for $l = 1, \dots, n$. Then the vector $\Phi(u) = (\phi_1(u), \dots, \phi_n(u))$ is, up to renormalization, the unique holomorphic vector in \mathbb{C}^n satisfying the following monodromy relations:

$$\Phi(u + 1) = A\Phi(u), \quad (10)$$

$$\Phi(u + \tau) = e^{-i\pi\frac{\tau}{n} - 2i\pi\frac{u}{n}} B\Phi(u). \quad (11)$$

Now let $S(z, \lambda) : \mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the matrix whose columns are $(\Phi_1(z, \lambda), \dots, \Phi_n(z, \lambda))$ where $\Phi_j(z, \lambda) = \Phi(z - n\lambda_j)$. Using (10)-(11), it is easy to see that we have $\det(S(z, \lambda)) = \text{Const}(\lambda)\theta(z)$ where $\text{Const}(\lambda) \neq 0$ and hence that $S(z, \lambda)$ is invertible for $z \neq 0$ and generic λ .

LEMMA 2. *We have*

$$R^B(z - w) S_1(z, \lambda) S_2(w, \lambda - \gamma h_1) = S_2(w, \lambda) S_1(z, \lambda - \gamma h_2) R^F(z - w, \lambda),$$

$$R^B(z - w) S_2(w, \lambda) S_1(z, \lambda + \gamma h_2) = S_1(z, \lambda) S_2(w, \lambda + \gamma h_1) R^F(z - w, \lambda).$$

Proof. The first relation is equivalent to the following identities for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$:

$$R^B(z - w) \Phi_i(z, \lambda) \otimes \Phi_i(w, \lambda - \gamma\omega_i) = \Phi_i(z, \lambda - \gamma\omega_i) \otimes \Phi_i(w, \lambda),$$

$$R^B(z - w) \Phi_i(z, \lambda) \otimes \Phi_j(w, \lambda - \gamma\omega_i) = \alpha(z - w, \lambda_i - \lambda_j) \Phi_i(z, \lambda - \gamma\omega_j) \otimes \Phi_j(w, \lambda) \\ + \beta(z - w, \lambda_i - \lambda_j) \Phi_j(z, \lambda - \gamma\omega_i) \otimes \Phi_i(w, \lambda).$$

These identities are proved by comparing poles and transformation properties under lattice translations as functions of z and w , and using the uniqueness of Φ . The second relation of the lemma is proved in the same way. These identities are essentially the Vertex/Interaction-Round-a-Face transform of statistical mechanics (see [9],[11] and [7] for the case $n = 2$). \square

The Vertex-IRF transform first appeared in the work of Baxter [1] and was subsequently generalized to the Belavin R-matrix by Jimbo, Miwa and Okado in [10].

2.3. Construction of the functor $\mathcal{F}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$. Let us fix some $c \in \mathbb{C}$. We now define the family of functors $\mathcal{F}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_B$ indexed by $x \in \mathbb{C}$: for $(V, L(z, \lambda)) \in \mathcal{C}_F$, set $\mathcal{F}_x^c((V, L(z, \lambda))) = (V, L^{S_x, S_{x+c}}(z))$ with $S_u(z, \lambda) = S(z - u, \lambda)$ as above and let \mathcal{F}_x^c be trivial at the level of morphisms.

PROPOSITION 1. *$\mathcal{F}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$ is a functor.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2 that $(V, L^{S_x, S_{x+c}}(z)) \in \mathcal{O}b(\mathcal{D}_B)$. Furthermore, if $\varphi(\lambda) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}((V, L(z, \lambda)), (V', L'(z, \lambda)))$ then by definition we have $L'(z, \lambda)(1 \otimes \varphi(\lambda - \gamma h_1)) = (1 \otimes \varphi(\lambda))L(z, \lambda)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(z-x, \lambda - \gamma h_2)L'(z, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1}(1 \otimes \varphi(\lambda)) \\ = S_1(z-x, \lambda - \gamma h_2)L'(z, \lambda)(1 \otimes \varphi(\lambda - \gamma h_1))e^{-\gamma D_1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1} \\ = S_1(z-x, \lambda - \gamma h_2)(1 \otimes \varphi(\lambda))L'(z, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1} \\ = (1 \otimes \varphi(\lambda))S_1(z-x, \lambda - \gamma h_2)L'(z, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

since $\varphi(\lambda)$ is of weight zero. Thus $\mathcal{F}_x^c(\varphi(\lambda))$ is an intertwiner in the category \mathcal{D}_B . \square

We can also think of the family of functors \mathcal{F}_x^c as a single functor $\mathcal{F}^c : \mathcal{C}_F^x \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B^x$.

REMARK. We can think of the difference-twist and the relations in Lemma 2 as a dynamical analogue of the notion of equivalence of R-matrices due to Drinfeld and Belavin-see [2].

3. The image of the trivial representation and the functor $\mathcal{H}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$. Applying the functor \mathcal{F}_x^c to the trivial representation $(\mathbb{C}, \text{Id}) \in \mathcal{O}b(\mathcal{C}_F)$ yields

$$\mathcal{F}_x^c((\mathbb{C}, \text{Id})) = (\mathbb{C}, S(z-x, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1}S(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1}).$$

We will denote this object by I_x^c . For instance, when $n = 2$, we obtain a representation of the Belavin quantum elliptic algebra as difference operators acting on the space of periodic meromorphic functions in one variable λ , i.e given by an L-operator

$$L(z) = \begin{pmatrix} a(z) & b(z) \\ c(z) & d(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a(z), b(z), c(z), d(z)$ are operators of the form $f(z)T_{-\gamma} + g(z)$ where $T_{-\gamma}$ is the shift by $-\gamma$.

Such representations of \mathcal{B} by difference operators already appeared in the work of Krichever, Zabrodin ([11]) (for $n = 2$) and Hasegawa ([8],[9])(for the general case), where they were also derived by some Vertex-IRF correspondence.

DEFINITION. Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and let $\mathcal{H}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$ be the functor defined by the assignment $V \rightarrow I_x^c \otimes V$ and which is trivial at the level of morphisms. The family of functors \mathcal{H}_x^c gives rise to a functor $\mathcal{H}^c : \mathcal{C}_B^x \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B^x$.

4. Full faithfulness of the functor $\mathcal{H}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$. In this section, we prove the following result

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $V, V' \in \mathcal{O}b(\mathcal{C}_B)$. Then for all but finitely many values of $x \bmod \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$, the map*

$$\mathcal{H}_x^c : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(V, V') \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(\mathcal{H}_x^c(V), \mathcal{H}_x^c(V'))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(V, V') \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(\mathbb{C}, V' \otimes V^*)$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(I_x^c \otimes V, I_x^c \otimes V') \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(I_x^c, I_x^c \otimes V' \otimes V^*)$, it is enough to show that the map $\mathcal{H}_x^c : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(\mathbb{C}, W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(I_x^c, I_x^c \otimes W)$ is an isomorphism for all $W \in \mathcal{O}b(\mathcal{C}_B)$. Since \mathcal{H}_x^c is trivial at the level of morphisms, this map is injective. Now let $W \in \mathcal{O}b(\mathcal{C}_B)$ and let $\varphi(\lambda) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(I_x^c, I_x^c \otimes W)$, that is, $\varphi(\lambda)$ is a $(n\omega_i)$ -periodic meromorphic function $\mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow W$ satisfying the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2(\lambda)S_1(z-x, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1} \\ = S_1(z-x, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1}L_{12}(z)\varphi_2(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

where $L(z)$ is the L-operator of W . This is equivalent to

$$L_{12}(z)\varphi_2(\lambda) = S_1(z - x - c, \lambda)\varphi_2(\lambda + \gamma h_1)S_1(z - x - c, \lambda)^{-1} \quad (12)$$

Now $L(z)$ is an elliptic function (of periods n and $n\tau$) so it is either constant or it has a pole. Restricting W to the subrepresentation $\text{Span}(\varphi(\lambda), \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*)$, we see that the latter case is impossible for generic x as the RHS of (12) has a pole at $z = x + c$ only; hence $L(z)$ is constant. Furthermore, from (12) we see that the matrix

$$M(\lambda) = S_1(z - x - c, \lambda)^{-1}L_{12}S_1(z - x - c, \lambda)$$

is independent of z . In particular, setting $z \mapsto z + 1$ and using the transformation properties (10) of $S(z, \lambda)$, we obtain $[A_1, L_{12}] = 0$. This implies that $L = \sum_i E_{ii} \otimes D_i$ for some $D_i \in \text{End}(W)$.

LEMMA 3. *Let U be a finite dimensional vector space, let $T \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \text{End}(U)$ be an invertible solution of the equation*

$$R_{12}^B(z)T_{13}T_{23} = T_{23}T_{13}R_{12}^B(z) \quad (13)$$

such that $T = \sum_i E_{ii} \otimes D_i$ for some $D_i \in \text{End}(U)$. Then $[D_i, D_j] = 0$ for all i, j and there exists $X \in \text{End}(U)$ such that $X^n = 1$ and $D_{i+1} = XD_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Let us write $R^B(z) = \sum_{p,q,r,s} R_{p,q,r,s}(z)E_{pq} \otimes E_{rs}$. Then equation (13) is equivalent to $R_{p,q,r,s}(z)D_p \times D_q = R_{p,q,r,s}(z)D_s D_r$ for all p, q, r, s . But it follows from the general formula for $R^B(z)$ that $R_{p,q,r,s}(z) \neq 0$ if and only if $p + q \equiv r + s \pmod{n}$. Thus we have $[D_i, D_j] = 0$ for all i, j and $X := D_i D_{i+1}^{-1}$ is independent of i , and satisfies $X^n = 1$. \square

By the above lemma, there exists $X \in \text{End}(W)$ such that $X^n = 1$ and $D_{i+1} = XD_i$. Suppose that $X \neq 1$ and choose $e \in W$ such that $X(e) = \xi^k e$ with $\xi^k \neq 1$. Now we apply the transformation $z \mapsto z + \tau$ to the matrix $M(\lambda)$. Noting that, by (11), $S(z - x - c + \tau, \lambda) = e^{-i\pi\tau/2 - 2i\pi(z-x-c)/n} BS(z - x - c, \lambda)F(\lambda)$ where $F(\lambda) = \text{diag}(e^{-2i\pi\lambda}, \dots, e^{-2i\pi\lambda_n})$, we obtain the equality

$$\begin{aligned} F(\lambda)^{-1}S_1(z - x - c, \lambda)^{-1}B_1^{-1}L_{12}B_1S_1(z - x - c, \lambda)F(\lambda) \\ = S_1(z - x - c, \lambda)^{-1}L_{12}S_1(z - x - c, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Applying this to the vector e yields $\text{Ad}F(\lambda)(M(\lambda))(e) = \xi^{-k}M(\lambda)(e)$. This is possible for all λ only if $k \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Hence $X = 1$ and (12) reduces to the equation $D\varphi_2(\lambda) = \varphi_2(\lambda + \gamma h_1)$. In particular $\varphi(\lambda)$ is $\gamma(\omega_i - \omega_j)$ -periodic. But by our assumption, $\varphi(\lambda)$ is $(n\omega_i)$ -periodic and γ is real and irrational. Therefore $\varphi(\lambda)$ is constant and it is a morphism in the category \mathcal{C}_B . \square

Now, considering x as a parameter, we obtain:

COROLLARY 1. *The functor $\mathcal{H}^c : \mathcal{C}_B^x \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B^x$ is fully faithful.*

REMARK. Equation (12) shows that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(I_x^c, I_x^c \otimes V) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(V^*, I_{x+c}^0)$. Thus the above proposition states that for any finite-dimensional representation $V \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_F)$ and for all but finitely many $x \pmod{\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}}$, we have $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(V^*, I_x^0) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(V^*, \mathbb{C})$, where the isomorphism is induced by the embedding $\mathbb{C} \subset I_x^0$ (constant functions). However, for finitely many values of $x \pmod{\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}}$, this may not be true: see [11] and [9] where some finite-dimensional subrepresentations of I_x^0 are considered.

5. Full faithfulness of the functor $\mathcal{F}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$. In this section, we prove the following result:

PROPOSITION 3. *The functor $\mathcal{F}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$ is fully faithful.*

Proof. We have to show that for any two objects V, V' in \mathcal{C}_F there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_x^c : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(V, V') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(\mathcal{F}_x^c(V), \mathcal{F}_x^c(V'))$. Since \mathcal{F}_x^c is trivial at the level of morphisms, this map is injective. Now let $V, W \in \mathcal{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_F)$ and let $\varphi(\lambda) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(\mathcal{F}_x^c(V), \mathcal{F}_x^c(W))$. By definition, $\varphi(\lambda) : V \rightarrow W$ satisfies the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2(\lambda)S_1(z-x, \lambda-\gamma h_2)L_{12}^V(z, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1} \\ = S_1(z-x, \lambda-\gamma h_2)L_{12}^W(z, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1}\varphi_2(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

where $L^V(z, \lambda)$ (resp. $L^W(z, \lambda)$) is the L-operator of V (resp. W). This is equivalent to

$$\varphi_2(\lambda)S_1(z-x, \lambda-\gamma h_2)L_{12}^V(z, \lambda) = S_1(z-x, \lambda-\gamma h_2)L_{12}^W(z, \lambda)\varphi_2(\lambda-\gamma h_1) \quad (14)$$

Introduce the following notations: write $W = \bigoplus_{\xi} W_{\xi}$, $V = \bigoplus_{\mu} V_{\mu}$, $\varphi(\lambda) = \sum_{\nu} \varphi_{\nu}(\lambda)$ for the weight decompositions (so that $\varphi_{\nu} : V_{\xi} \rightarrow W_{\xi+\nu}$). Also let $S(z-x, \lambda) = \sum_{i,j} S^{ij}(z-x, \lambda)E_{ij}$, $L_{12}^V(z, \lambda) = \sum_{i,j} E_{ij} \otimes L_V^{ij}(z, \lambda)$ and use the same notation for $L^W(z, \lambda)$. Applying (14) to $v_i \otimes \zeta_{\mu}$ for some i and $\zeta_{\mu} \in V_{\mu}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j,k,\nu} S^{kj}(z-x, \lambda-\gamma(\mu+\omega_i-\omega_j))v_k \otimes \varphi_{\nu}(\lambda)(L_V^{ji}(z, \lambda)\zeta_{\mu}) \\ = \sum_{l,k,\sigma} S^{kl}(z-x, \lambda-\gamma(\mu+\omega_i-\omega_l+\sigma))v_k \otimes L_W^{li}(z, \lambda)\varphi_{\sigma}(\lambda-\gamma\omega_i)\zeta_{\mu} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where we used the weight-zero property of $L^V(z, \lambda)$ and $L^W(z, \lambda)$. Applying v_k^* to (15) and projecting on the weight space $W_{\mu+\omega_i+\xi}$ gives the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\nu,j \\ \nu-\omega_j=\xi}} S^{kj}(z-x, \lambda-\gamma(\mu+\omega_i-\omega_j))\varphi_{\nu}(\lambda)(L_V^{ji}(z, \lambda)\zeta_{\mu}) \\ = \sum_{\substack{\sigma,l \\ \sigma-\omega_l=\xi}} S^{kl}(z-x, \lambda-\gamma(\mu+\omega_i-\omega_j+\sigma))L_W^{li}(z, \lambda)(\varphi_{\sigma}(\lambda-\gamma\omega_i)\zeta_{\mu}) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

for any i, k, ξ and $\zeta_{\mu} \in V_{\mu}$. Now let $A = \{\chi \mid \varphi_{\chi}(\lambda) \neq 0\}$. Fix some j and let $\beta \in A$ be an extremal weight in the direction $-\omega_j$ (i.e $\beta - \omega_j + \omega_k \notin A$ for $k \neq j$). Then (16) for $\xi = \beta - \omega_j$ reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} S^{kj}(z-x, \lambda-\gamma(\mu+\omega_i-\omega_j))\varphi_{\beta}(\lambda)(L_V^{ji}(z, \lambda)\zeta_{\mu}) \\ = S^{kj}(z-x, \lambda-\gamma(\mu+\omega_i-\omega_j+\beta))L_W^{ji}(z, \lambda)\varphi_{\beta}(\lambda-\gamma\omega_i)\zeta_{\mu} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

CLAIM. *There exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, μ and $\zeta_{\mu} \in V_{\mu}$ such that $\varphi_{\beta}(\lambda)(L_V^{ji}(z, \lambda)\zeta_{\mu}) \neq 0$ for generic z and λ .*

Proof. Recall the central element $\text{Qdet}(z, \lambda) \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \frac{\gamma}{2}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. By definition, its action on V is invertible. Expanding $\text{Qdet}(z, \lambda)$ along the j^{th} -line, we have $\text{Qdet}(z, \lambda) = \sum_i L_V^{ji}(z, \lambda)P_i(z, \lambda)$ for some operators $P_i(z, \lambda) \in \text{End}(V)$. In particular,

$$\sum_i \text{Im } L^{ji}(z, \lambda) = V,$$

and the claim follows.

Thus, the ratio $S^{kj}(z-x, \lambda - \gamma(\mu + \omega_i - \omega_j + \beta)) / S^{kj}(z-x, \lambda - \gamma(\mu + \omega_i - \omega_j))$ is independent of k . This is possible only if $\beta \in \sum_{r \neq j} \mathbb{C}E_{\tau r}^*$. Applying this to $j = 1, \dots, n$, we see that $A = \{0\}$. Hence $\varphi(\lambda)$ is an \mathfrak{h} -module map. But then relation (14) reduces to $\varphi_2(\lambda)L_{12}^V(z, \lambda) = L_{12}^W(z, \lambda)\varphi_2(\lambda - \gamma h_1)$, and $\varphi(\lambda)$ is an intertwiner in the category \mathcal{C}_F . \square

COROLLARY 2. *The functor $\mathcal{F}^c : \mathcal{C}_F^x \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B^x$ is fully faithful.*

6. The image of the vector representation. Let us denote

$$\tilde{V}_F(w) = (\mathbb{C}^n, \chi(w)R^F(w, \lambda)).$$

It is an object of \mathcal{C}_F which equals the tensor product of the vector representation $V_F(w)$ by the one-dimensional representation $(\mathbb{C}, \chi(z))$.

PROPOSITION 4. *For any $x, w, x + c \not\equiv w \pmod{\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}}$, we have $\mathcal{F}_x^c(\tilde{V}_F(w)) \simeq \mathcal{H}_x^c(V_B(w))$.*

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_x^c(\tilde{V}_F(w)) = (\mathbb{C}^n, \chi(z)S_1(z-x, \lambda - \gamma h_2)R^F(z-w, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1} \times S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1}),$$

$$I_x^c \otimes V_B(w) = (\mathbb{C}^n, \chi(z)S_1(z-x, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1} S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)R^B(z-w))$$

We claim that the map $\varphi(\lambda) = e^{-\gamma D}(S(w-x-c, \lambda)^{-1})e^{\gamma D} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is an intertwiner $\mathcal{H}_x^c(V_B(w)) \simeq I_x^c \otimes V_B(w) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_x^c(\tilde{V}_F(w))$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & S_1(z-x, \lambda - \gamma h_2)R^F(z-w, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1} S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1}(1 \otimes \varphi(\lambda)) \\ &= e^{-\gamma D_2} S_1(z-x, \lambda)e^{\gamma D_2} R^F(z-w, \lambda)e^{-\gamma(D_1+D_2)} \\ & \quad S_1(z-x-c, \lambda + \gamma h_2)^{-1} S_2(w-x-c, \lambda)^{-1} e^{\gamma D_2} \\ &= e^{-\gamma D_2} S_1(z-x, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1} R^F(z-w, \lambda) \\ & \quad S_1(z-x-c, \lambda + \gamma h_2)^{-1} S_2(w-x-c, \lambda)^{-1} e^{\gamma D_2} \\ &= e^{-\gamma D_2} S_1(z-x, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1} S_2(w-x-c, \lambda + \gamma h_1)^{-1} \\ & \quad S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1} R^B(z-w)e^{\gamma D_2} \\ &= e^{-\gamma D_2} S_1(z-x, \lambda)S_2(w-x-c, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1} S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1} R^B(z-w)e^{\gamma D_2} \\ &= (1 \otimes \varphi(\lambda))S_1(z-x, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1} S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1} R^B(z-w) \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 2 and the zero-weight property of $R^F(u, \lambda)$. \square

LEMMA 4. *Let $V, V' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_F)$, $W, W' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_B)$ and suppose that $\mathcal{F}_x^c(V) \simeq \mathcal{H}_x^c(W)$ and $\mathcal{F}_x^c(V') \simeq \mathcal{H}_x^c(W')$. Then $\mathcal{F}_x^c(V \otimes V') \simeq \mathcal{H}_x^c(W \otimes W')$.*

Proof. If $\varphi(\lambda) : V \rightarrow W$ and $\varphi'(\lambda) : V' \rightarrow W'$ are intertwiners then it is easy to check using the methods above that $\varphi'_2(\lambda - \gamma h_1)\varphi_1(\lambda) : V \otimes V' \rightarrow W \otimes W'$ is an intertwiner. \square

Applying this to tensor products of the vector representations, we obtain

COROLLARY 3. *For any $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and $w_1, \dots, w_r \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{x + c + \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}\}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{F}_x^c(\tilde{V}_F(w_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{V}_F(w_r)) \simeq \mathcal{H}_x^c(V_B(w_1) \otimes \dots \otimes V_B(w_r)).$$

COROLLARY 4. *For any $w_1, \dots, w_r \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{F}^c(\tilde{V}_F(w_1) \otimes \dots \tilde{V}_F(w_r)) \simeq \mathcal{H}^c(V_B(w_1) \otimes \dots V_B(w_r)).$$

Notice that in this case, we have a canonical intertwiner, given by the formula

$$\varphi_{1\dots r}(\lambda, w_1, \dots, w_r) = \tilde{S}_r^{-1}(w_r - x - c, \lambda - \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} h_i) \dots \tilde{S}_1^{-1}(w_1 - x - c, \lambda),$$

where we set $\tilde{S}(z, \lambda) = e^{-\gamma D} S(z, \lambda) e^{\gamma D}$.

7. Equivalence of subcategories. Let us summarize the results of sections 4-8. By proposition 2, we can identify \mathcal{C}_B^x with a full subcategory \mathcal{D}_1^x of \mathcal{D}_B^x . By proposition 3, we can identify \mathcal{C}_F^x with a full subcategory \mathcal{D}_2^x of \mathcal{D}_B^x . Moreover, \mathcal{D}_1^x and \mathcal{D}_2^x intersect (at least if we replace \mathcal{D}_B^x by the equivalent category $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_B^x$ whose objects are isomorphism classes of objects of \mathcal{D}_B^x), and the intersection contains objects of the form $\mathcal{F}^c(\otimes_i \tilde{V}_F(w_i)) \simeq \mathcal{H}^c(\otimes_i V_B(w_i))$, where $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $w_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence,

THEOREM *The abelian subcategory \mathcal{V}_B^x of \mathcal{C}_B^x generated by objects $\otimes_i V_B(w_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and the abelian subcategory \mathcal{V}_F^x of \mathcal{C}_F^x generated by objects $\otimes_j \tilde{V}_F(w_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, s$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w_j \in \mathbb{C}$ are equivalent.*

Note that for numerical values of x , $\mathcal{F}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$ is always fully faithful, and $\mathcal{F}_x^c(\mathcal{C}_F)$ a full subcategory of \mathcal{D}_B , but this is not true of \mathcal{H}_x^c , because of the existence of nontrivial finite-dimensional subrepresentations of I_x^0 .

REFERENCES

- [1] BAXTER R.J., *Eight-vertex model in lattice statistics and one-dimensional anisotropic Heisenberg chain. I*, Ann. Phys. **76** (1973) 1-24, *II, ibid.* 25-47, *III, ibid.* 48-71.
- [2] BELAVIN A.A., AND DRINFELD V.G., *Triangle equation and simple Lie algebras*, Soviet Sci. reviews, Sect C **4** 93-165 (1984).
- [3] BELAVIN A. A., *Dynamical symmetries of integrable quantum systems*, Nucl. Phys. B, **180**, 189-200 (1981).
- [4] FADDEEV L., RESHETIKHIN N., AND TAKTHADJAN L., *Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Algebraic Analysis, Vol **1** 129-139 Acad. Press (1988).
- [5] FELDER G., *Elliptic quantum groups*, preprint hep-th/9412207, to appear in the Proceedings of the ICMP, Paris 1994.
- [6] FELDER G., AND VARCHENKO A., *On representations of the elliptic quantum group $E_{\tau, \eta}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$* , Comm. Math. Phys. **181** (1996), 746-762.
- [7] FELDER G., AND VARCHENKO A., *Algebraic Bethe Ansatz for the elliptic quantum group $E_{\tau, \eta}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$* , preprint q-alg/9605024. Nuclear Physics B **480** (1996), 485-503.
- [8] HASEGAWA K., *Crossing symmetry in elliptic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation and a new L-operator for Belavin's solution*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **26** (1993) 3211-3228.
- [9] HASEGAWA K., *L-operator for Belavin's R-matrix acting on the space of theta functions*, J. Math. Phys. **35**(4) (1994) 6158-6171.
- [10] JIMBO M., MIWA T., AND OKADO M., *Local state probabilities of solvable lattice models: an $A_n^{(1)}$ family*, Nucl. Phys. B300 [FS22] 74-108 (1988).
- [11] KRICHEVER I., AND ZABRODIN A., *Spin generalization of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider model, non-abelian 2D Toda chain and representations of the Sklyanin algebra*, preprint hep-th/9505039.
- [12] RESHETIKHIN N.Y., AND SEMENOV-TIAN-SHANSKY M.A., *Central extensions of quantum current groups*, Lett. Math. Phys. **19** (1990) 133-142.