

Pull-back of singular Levi-flat hypersurfaces

Andrés Beltrán, Arturo Fernández-Pérez and Hernán Neciosup

Abstract. We study singular real analytic Levi-flat subsets invariant by singular holomorphic foliations in complex projective spaces. We give sufficient conditions for a real analytic Levi-flat subset to be the pull-back of a semianalytic Levi-flat hypersurface in a complex projective surface under a rational map or to be the pull-back of a real algebraic curve under a meromorphic function. In particular, we give an application to the case of a singular real analytic Levi-flat hypersurface. Our results improve previous ones due to Lebl and Bretas–Fernández-Pérez–Mol.

1. Introduction and statement of the results

Let M be a complex manifold of $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = N \geq 2$, a closed subset $H \subset M$ is a *real analytic subvariety* if for every $p \in H$, there are real analytic functions with real values $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$ defined in a neighborhood $U \subset M$ of p , such that $H \cap U$ is equal to the set where all $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$ vanish. A complex subvariety is precisely the same notion, considering holomorphic functions instead of real analytic functions. We say that a real analytic subvariety H is *irreducible* if whenever we write $H = H_1 \cup H_2$ for two subvarieties H_1 and H_2 of M , then either $H_1 = H$ or $H_2 = H$. If H is irreducible, it has a well-defined dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H$. Let H_{reg} denote its *regular part*, i.e., the subset of points near which H is a real analytic submanifold of dimension equal to $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H$. A set is *semianalytic* if it is locally constructed from real analytic sets by finite union, finite intersection, and complement. For a real analytic subvariety H , the set $\overline{H_{reg}}$ is a semianalytic subset where the closure is with the standard topology. In general, the inclusion $\overline{H_{reg}} \subset H$ is proper, which happens, for instance in the

This work was supported by the Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú project VRI-DGI-2018-0024. The second author is partially supported by CNPq-Brazil Grant Number 302790/2019-5.

Key words and phrases: Levi-flat subsets, holomorphic foliations.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: primary 32V40, 32S65.

Whitney umbrella. We really only study the set $\overline{H_{reg}}$, in this sense, we consider $\text{Sing}(H) := \overline{H_{reg}} \setminus H_{reg}$ as the *singular set* of H , this is not the usual definition of the singular set in the literature, see for instance [15].

If $H \subset M$ is a real analytic hypersurface i.e., a real analytic subvariety of real codimension one, then for each $p \in H_{reg}$, there is a unique complex hyperplane $\mathcal{L}_p \subset T_p H_{reg}$. This defines a real analytic distribution $p \mapsto \mathcal{L}_p$ of complex hyperplanes in TH_{reg} . When this distribution is *integrable* in the sense of Frobenius, we say that H is *Levi-flat*. Here, H_{reg} is foliated by codimension one immersed complex submanifolds. This foliation, denoted by \mathcal{L} , is known as *Levi foliation*. According to Cartan [4], \mathcal{L} can be extended to a non-singular holomorphic foliation in a neighborhood of H_{reg} in M , but in general, it is not possible to extend \mathcal{L} to a singular holomorphic foliation in a neighborhood of H . There are examples of singular Levi-flat hypersurfaces whose Levi foliations extend to singular *holomorphic webs* in the ambient space, see for instance [8] and [21]. When there is a singular holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} in the ambient space M that coincide with the Levi foliation on H_{reg} , we say either that H is *invariant* by \mathcal{F} or that \mathcal{F} is *tangent* to H . Cerveau and Lins Neto [6] proved that germs of singular foliations of codimension one at $(\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$ tangent to real analytic Levi-flat hypersurfaces have meromorphic (possibly holomorphic) first integrals. We recall that a non-constant function f is the *first integral* for a foliation \mathcal{F} if each leaf of \mathcal{F} is contained in a level set of f . In the global context, the same problem has been studied in [1] and [9].

The aim of this paper is to study holomorphic foliations tangent to real analytic Levi-flat subsets in complex manifolds. An irreducible real analytic subvariety $H \subset M$, where M is an N -dimensional complex manifold, $N \geq 2$, is a *Levi-flat subset* if it has real dimension $2n+1$ and its regular part H_{reg} is foliated by immersed complex manifolds of complex dimension n . Similarly to the case of hypersurfaces, this foliation is called *Levi foliation* of H and will be denoted by \mathcal{L} . The number n is the *Levi dimension* of H . We use the qualifier “*Levi*” for the foliation, its leaves, and its dimension. Since we deal with real analytic Levi-flat subsets in complex manifolds we shall consider that H is *coherent*. Coherence implies that H admits a global complexification [11, p. 40]. Here coherent means that its ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(H)$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}, M}$, the sheaf of germs of real analytic functions with real values in M , is a coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}, M}$ -modules. It follows from Oka’s theorem [17, p. 94, Proposition 5] that H is coherent if the sheaf $\mathcal{I}(H)$ is *locally finitely generated*, the latter means that for every point $p \in H$ there exists an open neighborhood $U \subset M$ and a finite number of functions φ_j , real analytic in U and vanishing on H , such that for any $q \in U$, the germs of φ_j at q generate the ideal $\mathcal{I}(H_q)$, where H_q is the germ of H at q . We remark that not every real analytic subset is coherent as we shall see in Section 3 of this paper.

In [3], singular Levi-flat subsets appear in the result of the lifting of a real analytic Levi-flat hypersurface to the projectivized cotangent bundle of the ambient space through the Levi foliation and in [20], the authors gave a complete characterization of dicritical singularities of local Levi-flat subsets in terms of their Segre varieties.

Let Y be a complex projective surface, $T \subset Y$ be a real analytic Levi-flat hypersurface, $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, $N \geq 3$, be a complex projective subvariety of complex dimension $k < N$ and $\rho: X \dashrightarrow Y$ be a dominant rational map. Then it is easy to show that $H = \overline{\rho^{-1}(T)}$ is a real analytic Levi-flat subset in \mathbb{P}^N and so H is a Levi-flat subset defined via pull-back. Therefore, one natural question is:

Given a real analytic Levi-flat subset $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$. Under what condition, H is given by the pull-back of a Levi-flat hypersurface in a projective complex surface via a rational map?

In [14], Lebl gave sufficient conditions for a real analytic Levi-flat hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^N to be a pull-back of a real algebraic curve in \mathbb{C} via a meromorphic function. In [2], Bretas et al. proved an analogous result for real analytic Levi-flat subsets in \mathbb{P}^N . The main hypothesis in these articles is that the Levi foliation has infinitely many *algebraic leaves*. In this paper, we give an answer to the question, assuming that H is invariant by a singular holomorphic foliation on \mathbb{P}^N with *quasi-invariant subvarieties* (see Section 2). An irreducible complex subvariety $S \subset X$ of complex dimension n is *quasi-invariant* by a global n -dimensional foliation \mathcal{F} on a complex projective manifold X if it is not \mathcal{F} -invariant, but the restriction to the foliation \mathcal{F} to S is an *algebraically integrable foliation* of dimension $n-1$, i.e. every leaf of $\mathcal{F}|_S$ is algebraic. The concept of *quasi-invariant subvarieties* was introduced by Pereira-Spicer [19] for codimension one holomorphic foliations on complex projective manifolds to prove a variant of the classical Darboux-Jouanolou Theorem. Here we shall use this concept for Levi foliations to prove our main result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, $N \geq 3$, be an irreducible real analytic Levi-flat subset of Levi dimension n invariant by an n -dimensional singular holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}^N . Suppose that H is coherent and $n > N/2$. If the Levi foliation has infinitely many quasi-invariant subvarieties of complex dimension n , then there exists a unique projective subvariety X of complex dimension $n+1$ containing H such that either there exists a rational map $R: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, and real algebraic curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\overline{H_{reg}} \subset \overline{R^{-1}(C)}$ or there exists a dominant rational map $\rho: X \dashrightarrow Y$ on a projective surface Y and a semianalytic Levi-flat subset $T \subset Y$ such that $\overline{H_{reg}} \subset \overline{\rho^{-1}(T)}$.*

We emphasize that the hypothesis $n > N/2$ implies that H is necessarily a real analytic subvariety with singularities. In fact, Ni-Wolfson [18, Theorem 2.4] proved

that no nonsingular real analytic Levi-flat subset of the Levi dimension n exist in \mathbb{P}^N , $n > N/2$.

Applying Theorem 1.1 to $n=N-1$, we get the following corollary:

Corollary 1.2. *Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, $N \geq 3$, be an irreducible coherent real analytic Levi-flat hypersurface invariant by a codimension one holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}^N . If the Levi foliation has infinitely many quasi-invariant complex hypersurfaces, then either there exists a rational map $R: \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, and real algebraic curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\overline{H_{reg}} \subset R^{-1}(C)$ or there exists a dominant rational map $\rho: \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow Y$ on a projective surface Y and a semianalytic Levi-flat subset $T \subset Y$ such that $\overline{H_{reg}} \subset \rho^{-1}(T)$.*

When H is a real analytic hypersurface, the above corollary gives a nice characterization of coherent real analytic Levi-flat hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^N , $N \geq 3$, invariant by codimension one holomorphic foliations which admit infinitely many quasi-invariant complex hypersurfaces. Observe that, in order to improve our results, we need to extend the Levi foliation of a Levi-flat subset to a holomorphic foliation in the ambient space. Therefore, another interesting question is:

Given a real analytic Levi-flat subset $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ with Levi foliation \mathcal{L} . Under what condition, \mathcal{L} extend to a singular holomorphic foliation on \mathbb{P}^N ?

When H is a local real analytic Levi-flat hypersurface, Lebl solved the above question in the non-dicritical case in [15].

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we define the concept of quasi-invariant subvarieties of a foliation with complex leaves and state the main result of [19], such a result is key to prove Theorem 1.1. Section 3 is devoted to the study of real analytic Levi-flat subset in complex manifolds, using some results of [3] and [2], we prove the algebraic extension of the intrinsic complexification of H . In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1 and in Section 5 we prove Corollary 1.2. Finally, in Section 6, we give two examples. The first is an example of a Levi-flat hypersurface where Theorem 1.1 applies. In the second example, we construct a Levi-flat hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^3 that is not a pull-back of a Levi-flat hypersurface of \mathbb{P}^2 under a rational map. Moreover, this example also is not a pull-back of a real algebraic curve under a meromorphic function.

2. Foliations with complex leaves and quasi-invariant subvarieties

2.1. Foliations with complex leaves

A foliation with complex leaves of complex dimension n is a smooth foliation \mathcal{G} of dimension $2n$ whose local models are domains $U=W \times B$ of $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^k$, $W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$,

$B \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ and whose local transformations are of the form

$$(1) \quad \varphi(z, t) = (f(z, t), h(t)),$$

where f is holomorphic with respect to z . A domain U as above is said to be a *distinguished coordinate domain* of \mathcal{G} and $z=(z_1, \dots, z_n)$, $t=(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ are said to be *distinguished local coordinates*. As examples of such foliations we have the Levi foliations of Levi-flat hypersurfaces of \mathbb{C}^n , see for instance [5] and [10].

If we replace \mathbb{R}^k by \mathbb{C}^k and in (1) we assume $t \in \mathbb{C}^k$ and that f, h are holomorphic with respect to z, t then we get the notion of *holomorphic foliation of complex codimension k* .

Now we define foliations with singularities. Let M be a complex manifold. A *singular foliation with complex leaves \mathcal{G} of dimension n* on M is a foliation with complex leaves of dimension n on $M \setminus E$, where E is a real analytic subvariety of M of real dimension $< 2n$. A point $p \in E$ is called a *removable singularity* of \mathcal{G} if there is a chart (U, φ) around p , compatible with the atlas \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{G} restricted to $M \setminus E$, in the sense that $\varphi \circ \varphi_i^{-1}$ and $\varphi_i \circ \varphi^{-1}$ have the form (1) for all $(U_i, \varphi_i) \in \mathcal{A}$ with $U \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$. The set of non-removable singularities of \mathcal{G} in E is called the *singular set* of \mathcal{G} , and is denoted by $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{G})$.

2.2. Quasi-invariant subvarieties

Let Z be a projective manifold of complex dimension $N \geq 2$ and let \mathcal{G} be a foliation with complex leaves of dimension n on Z .

Definition 2.1. We say that \mathcal{G} is an *algebraically integrable foliation* on Z if every leaf of \mathcal{G} is algebraic, i.e. every leaf of \mathcal{G} is a projective complex subvariety in Z .

Motivated by [19], we define the concept of a *subvariety quasi-invariant* by a real analytic foliation with complex leaves.

Definition 2.2. An irreducible subvariety $S \subset Z$ of complex dimension n is *quasi-invariant* by a foliation \mathcal{G} if it is not \mathcal{G} -invariant, but the restriction of the foliation \mathcal{G} to S is an algebraically integrable foliation.

We note that the restriction foliation $\mathcal{G}|_S$ is a codimension one foliation on S and when $\mathcal{G}|_S$ is an algebraically integrable foliation, we have that every leaf of $\mathcal{G}|_S$ are projective complex hypersurfaces in S . Codimension one holomorphic foliations on Z which admit infinitely many quasi-invariant hypersurfaces have been studied in [19] and its main result is the following.

Theorem 2.3. (Pereira-Spicer [19]) *Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one holomorphic foliation on a projective manifold Z . If \mathcal{F} admits infinitely many quasi-invariant hypersurfaces then either \mathcal{F} is an algebraically integrable foliation, or \mathcal{F} is a pull-back of a foliation of dimension one on a projective surface under a dominant rational map.*

3. Real analytic subsets

3.1. Coherent real analytic subsets.

We present some of the fundamental results concerning coherent real analytic subsets.

Let H be a real analytic subset in an open set $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and let $\mathcal{I}(H)$ be its ideal sheaf, it is the sheaf of germs of real analytic functions with real values vanishing on H .

Definition 3.1. H is said to be coherent if $\mathcal{I}(H)$ is a coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R},U}$ -modules, where $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R},U}$ is the sheaf of germs of real analytic functions with real values in U .

Proposition 3.2. ([17, p. 95]) *If H is a coherent real analytic subset and the germ H_p of H at p is irreducible, then for q near p , we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H_p = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} H_q.$$

It is well known that locally, a real analytic subset always admits a complexification (see for instance [11, p. 40]) and it is not true for global real analytic subsets. It is shown in [11, p. 54] that the global complexification of a coherent real analytic subset in a complex manifold always exists.

Theorem 3.3. ([11, p. 54]) *A real analytic subset in a complex manifold is coherent if and only if it admits a global complexification.*

Now we build an irreducible real analytic hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^3 which is not coherent. Let $[z_0:z_1:z_2:z_3]$ be the homogeneous coordinates in \mathbb{P}^3 and set $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the complex cone whose equation is

$$H = \{(z_3\bar{z}_0 + \bar{z}_3z_0) \left((z_1\bar{z}_0 + \bar{z}_1z_0)^2 + (z_2\bar{z}_0 + \bar{z}_2z_0)^2 \right) - (z_1\bar{z}_0 + \bar{z}_1z_0)^3 = 0\}.$$

The germ H_p of H at $p=[1:0:0:0]$ is irreducible and of real dimension 5 at p . However, in a neighborhood of $[1:0:0:z]$, $z \neq 0$, H reduces to the complex line $z_1 = z_2 = 0$, which is of real dimension 2. By Proposition 3.2, it follows that H is not coherent.

3.2. Levi-flat subset in complex manifolds.

We give a brief resume of definitions and some known results about real analytic Levi-flat subsets in complex manifolds. Let H be an irreducible real analytic Levi-flat subset of Levi dimension n in an N -dimensional complex manifold M . The notion of Levi-flat subset germifies and, in general, we do not distinguish a germ at $(\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$ from its realization in some neighborhood U of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^N$. If $p \in H_{reg}$ then, according to [2, Proposition 3.1], there exists a holomorphic coordinate system $z = (z', z'') \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{N-n-1}$ such that $z(p) = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and the germ of H at p is defined by

$$(2) \quad H = \{z = (z', z'') \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{N-n-1} : \text{Im}(z_{n+1}) = 0, \quad z'' = 0\},$$

where $z' = (z_1, \dots, z_{n+1})$ and $z'' = (z_{n+2}, \dots, z_N)$ and the Levi foliation is given by

$$\{z = (z', z'') \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{N-n-1} : z_{n+1} = c, \quad z'' = 0, \text{ with } c \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

This trivial model is, in fact, a local form for a non-singular real analytic Levi-flat subset. Note that in the local form (2), $\{z'' = 0\}$ corresponds to the unique local $(n+1)$ -dimensional complex subvariety of the ambient space containing the germ of H_{reg} at p . These local subvarieties glue together forming a complex variety defined in a whole neighborhood of H_{reg} . It is analytically extendable to a neighborhood of $\overline{H_{reg}}$ by the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4. (Brunella [3]) *Let M be an N -dimensional complex manifold and $H \subset M$ be a real analytic Levi-flat subset of Levi dimension n . Then, there exists a neighborhood $V \subset M$ of $\overline{H_{reg}}$ and a unique complex variety $X \subset V$ of dimension $n+1$ containing H .*

The variety X is the realization in the neighborhood V of a germ of complex analytic variety around H . We denote it — or its germ — by H^v and call it *intrinsic complexification* or *v -complexification* of H . It plays a central role in the theory of real analytic Levi-flat subsets. The notion of intrinsic complexification also appears in [22] with the name of the *Segre envelope*. If H is invariant by a holomorphic foliation on M , the same holds for its v -complexification, see for instance [2, Proposition 3.3].

Proposition 3.5. *Let $H \subset M$ be a real analytic Levi-flat subset of Levi dimension n , where M is a complex manifold of dimension N . If H is invariant by an n -dimensional holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} on M , then its v -complexification H^v is also invariant by \mathcal{F} .*

As a consequence, if we denote by $\mathcal{F}^\iota := \mathcal{F}|_{H^\iota}$ (the restriction of \mathcal{F} to H^ι), we have \mathcal{F}^ι has codimension one in H^ι . The following proposition shows the importance of the assumption of the *coherence* of a Levi-flat subset.

Proposition 3.6. ([2, Proposition 3.6]) *Let M be an N -dimensional complex manifold and $H \subset M$ be an irreducible real analytic Levi-flat subset of Levi dimension n . Suppose that H is coherent. Then, there exist an open neighborhood $V \subset M$ of H and a unique irreducible complex subvariety X of V of complex dimension $n+1$ containing H .*

The variety X is the small variety of complex dimension $n+1$ that contains H . Again, let us denote this variety by H^ι , the intrinsic complexification of H .

3.3. Levi-flat subsets in complex projective spaces

In this subsection, we state some results of real analytic Levi-flat subset in \mathbb{P}^N . Let $\sigma: \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ be the natural projection. Suppose that H is a real-analytic subvariety of \mathbb{P}^N . Define the set $\tau(H)$ to be the set of points $z \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ such that $\sigma(z) \in H$ or $z=0$. A real analytic subvariety $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is said to be *algebraic* if $H = \sigma(V)$ for some real algebraic complex cone V in \mathbb{C}^{N+1} . A set V is a complex cone when $p \in V$ implies $\lambda p \in V$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

The following construction offers several examples of Levi-flat subsets in \mathbb{P}^N .

Proposition 3.7. [2, Proposition 6.1] *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be an irreducible $(n+1)$ -dimensional algebraic variety, R be a rational function in X and $C \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ be a real algebraic one-dimensional subvariety. Then the set $\overline{R^{-1}(C)}$ is a real algebraic Levi-flat subset of Levi dimension n whose ι -complexification is X .*

When we add the hypothesis that the Levi-flat subset is invariant by a singular holomorphic foliation in the ambient space, we can state a reciprocal result.

Proposition 3.8. ([2, Proposition 6.3]) *Let \mathcal{F} be a singular holomorphic foliation in \mathbb{P}^N tangent to a real analytic Levi-flat subset H of Levi dimension n . Suppose that H is coherent and its ι -complexification extends to an algebraic subvariety H^ι in \mathbb{P}^N . If \mathcal{F}^ι has a rational first integral R , then there exists a real algebraic one-dimensional subvariety $C \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\overline{H_{reg}} \subset \overline{R^{-1}(C)}$.*

Now, since H is coherent, the intrinsic complexification H^ι is well-defined as a complex subvariety in a neighborhood of H . Our aim is to extend H^ι to an algebraic subvariety in \mathbb{P}^N . To get this, we use the following extension theorem.

Theorem 3.9. (Chow [7]) *Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a complex algebraic subvariety of dimension k and V be a connected neighborhood of Z in \mathbb{P}^N . Then any complex*

analytic subvariety of dimension higher than $N - k$ in V that intersects Z extends algebraically to \mathbb{P}^N .

Under certain hypotheses, we can prove that the ι -complexification H^ι can be extended to \mathbb{P}^N .

Proposition 3.10. *Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, $N \geq 3$, be an irreducible coherent real analytic Levi-flat subset of Levi dimension n such that $n > N/2$. If the Levi foliation \mathcal{L} has a quasi-invariant complex algebraic subvariety of complex dimension n , then H^ι extends algebraically to \mathbb{P}^N .*

Proof. Denote by L such quasi-invariant algebraic complex subvariety with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} L = n - 1$. Since L algebraic with $L \subset H^\iota$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^\iota = n + 1 > N - (n - 1)$, we can apply Theorem 3.9 to prove that H^ι extends algebraically to \mathbb{P}^N . \square

To end this section, we shall prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.11. *Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be an irreducible coherent real analytic Levi-flat subset of Levi dimension n invariant by an n -dimensional singular holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} in \mathbb{P}^N . Suppose that the ι -complexification H^ι extends to an algebraic variety in \mathbb{P}^N . If the Levi-foliation \mathcal{L} has infinitely many quasi-invariant algebraic subvarieties of complex dimension $n - 1$. Then, either the foliation $\mathcal{F}^\iota = \mathcal{F}|_{H^\iota}$ has a rational first integral in H^ι , or \mathcal{F}^ι is a pull-back of a foliation on a projective surface under a dominant rational map.*

Proof. First of all, we need to desingularize the ι -complexification H^ι . According to Hironaka desingularization theorem, there exist a complex manifold \widetilde{H}^ι and a proper bimeromorphic morphism $\pi: \widetilde{H}^\iota \rightarrow H^\iota$ such that

1. $\pi: \widetilde{H}^\iota \setminus (\pi^{-1}(\text{Sing}(H^\iota))) \rightarrow H^\iota \setminus \text{Sing}(H^\iota)$ is a biholomorphism,
2. $\pi^{-1}(\text{Sing}(H^\iota))$ is a simple normal crossing divisor.

Since H^ι is compact then \widetilde{H}^ι is too. We lift \mathcal{F}^ι to an n -dimensional singular holomorphic foliation $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^\iota$ on \widetilde{H}^ι . Since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{H}^\iota = n + 1$, we have $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^\iota$ has codimension one on \widetilde{H}^ι and the tangency condition between $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^\iota$ and H implies that $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^\iota$ has infinitely many quasi-invariant closed subvarieties (these are algebraic and of codimension one in \widetilde{H}^ι). Thus the same holds for $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^\iota$. By Theorem 2.3, either $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^\iota$ has a rational first integral or there exist a dominant rational map $\tilde{\rho}: \widetilde{H}^\iota \dashrightarrow Y$, where Y is a projective complex surface, \mathcal{G} is a foliation by curves on Y and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^\iota = \tilde{\rho}^*(\mathcal{G})$. If $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^\iota$ admits a rational first integral in \widetilde{H}^ι , then all leaves of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^\iota$ are compact and so their π -images are compact leaves of \mathcal{F}^ι in H^ι . Applying Gómez-Mont's theorem [12], we have that there exists a one-dimensional projective manifold S and a rational map $f: H^\iota \dashrightarrow S$ whose fibers contain the leaves of \mathcal{F}^ι . A rational first integral is obtained by composing f with any non-constant rational map $r: S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. If $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^\iota$ is

a pull-back of a foliation \mathcal{G} on a projective complex surface Y under a dominant rational map $\tilde{\rho}:\widetilde{H}^i \dashrightarrow Y$ then \mathcal{F}^i is the pull-back of \mathcal{G} under $\rho:=\tilde{\rho}\circ\pi^{-1}:H^i \dashrightarrow Y$, since π is a birational map. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

With all the above results, we can prove Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $H\subset\mathbb{P}^N$, $N\geq 3$, be an irreducible real analytic Levi-flat subset of Levi dimension n invariant by an n -dimensional singular holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}^N . Suppose that H is coherent and $n>N/2$. If the Levi foliation has infinitely many quasi-invariant subvarieties of complex dimension n , then there exists a unique projective subvariety X of complex dimension $n+1$ containing H such that either there exists a rational map $R:X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, and real algebraic curve $C\subset\mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\overline{H_{reg}}\subset\overline{R^{-1}(C)}$ or there exists a dominant rational map $\rho:X \dashrightarrow Y$ on a projective surface Y and a semianalytic Levi-flat subset $T\subset Y$ such that $\overline{H_{reg}}\subset\overline{\rho^{-1}(T)}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.6, there exist an open neighborhood $V\subset\mathbb{P}^N$ of H and a unique irreducible complex subvariety H^i of V of complex dimension $n+1$ containing H . The Proposition 3.5 implies that H^i is invariant by \mathcal{F} and moreover it extends algebraically to \mathbb{P}^N by Proposition 3.10. We denote $\mathcal{F}^i:=\mathcal{F}|_{H^i}$ the restrict foliation to H^i . Observe now that \mathcal{F}^i is a foliation of codimension one on H^i which admit infinitely many quasi-invariant subvarieties of complex dimension $n-1$. Therefore, either \mathcal{F}^i has a rational first integral in H^i , or \mathcal{F}^i is a pull-back of a foliation on a projective surface under a dominant rational map by Proposition 3.11.

If \mathcal{F}^i has a first integral R then there exists a real algebraic curve $C\subset\mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\overline{H_{reg}}\subset\overline{R^{-1}(C)}$ by Proposition 3.8. Now if we assume that \mathcal{F}^i is a pull-back of a foliation \mathcal{G} on a projective complex surface Y under a dominant rational map $\rho:H^i \dashrightarrow Y$. Then we can take $X=H^i$. Let us prove that there exists a semianalytic Levi-flat subset $T\subset Y$. Indeed, let $z\in H_{reg}\setminus Ind(\rho)$ (here $Ind(\rho)$ denotes the indeterminacy set of ρ). Then there exists a neighborhood $U\subset H^i\setminus Ind(\rho)$ of z and a non-singular real analytic curve $\gamma:(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)\rightarrow U$ such that $\gamma(0)=z$, $\{\gamma\}\subset H_{reg}$, and such that γ is transverse to the Levi foliation \mathcal{L} on H_{reg} . Let $L_{\gamma(t)}$ be the leaf of \mathcal{L} through $\gamma(t)$. Since $L_{\gamma(t)}$ is also a leaf of \mathcal{F}^i and $\mathcal{F}^i=\rho^*(\mathcal{G})$, then $\rho(L_{\gamma(t)})$ is a leaf of \mathcal{G} . Let us denote $A_t=\overline{\rho(L_{\gamma(t)})}\subset Y$ and define

$$T_z := \bigcup_{t\in(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)} A_t \subset V_z,$$

where V_z is a neighborhood of T_z on Y . Note that T_z is a union of complex subvarieties parametrized by t such that each A_t contains leaves of \mathcal{G} , thus T_z is a semian-

alytic Levi-flat subset on V_z . These local constructions are sufficiently canonical to be patched together when z varies on H_{reg} : if $T_{z_1} \subset V_{z_1}$ and $T_{z_2} \subset V_{z_2}$ are as above, with $V_{z_1} \cap V_{z_2} \neq \emptyset$, then $T_{z_1} \cap V_{z_1} \cap V_{z_2}$ and $T_{z_2} \cap V_{z_1} \cap V_{z_2}$ have some common leaves of \mathcal{G} because \mathcal{G} is a global foliation defined on Y , so T_{z_1} and T_{z_2} can be glued by identifying these leaves. In this way, we get a semianalytic Levi-flat subset T in Y .

Finally, we assert that $\overline{H_{reg}} \subset \overline{\rho^{-1}(T)}$. In fact, let $w \in \overline{H_{reg}}$, then there exists a sequence $z_k \rightarrow w$, $z_k \in H_{reg}$, so $\rho(z_k) \in T$ which imply that $z_k \in \rho^{-1}(T)$ and $w \in \overline{\rho^{-1}(T)}$. This finishes the proof. \square

5. Proof of Corollary 1.2

Corollary 5.1. *Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, $N \geq 3$, be an irreducible coherent real analytic Levi-flat hypersurface invariant by a codimension one holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}^N . If the Levi foliation has infinitely many quasi-invariant complex hypersurfaces, then either there exists a rational map $R: \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, and real algebraic curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\overline{H_{reg}} \subset \overline{R^{-1}(C)}$ or there exists a dominant rational map $\rho: \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow Y$ on a projective complex surface Y and a semianalytic Levi-flat subset $T \subset Y$ such that $\overline{H_{reg}} \subset \overline{\rho^{-1}(T)}$.*

Proof. If H is an irreducible real analytic Levi-flat hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^N , $N \geq 3$, then the Levi dimension of H is $N - 1$. Moreover

$$N - 1 > N/2 \iff N > 2.$$

Thus, we can apply Theorem 1.1 to H , so there exist a unique projective subvariety X of complex dimension N containing H such that either there exists a rational map $R: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and real algebraic curve $C \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\overline{H_{reg}} \subset \overline{R^{-1}(C)}$ or there exists a dominant rational map $\rho: X \dashrightarrow Y$ on a projective complex surface Y and a semianalytic Levi-flat subset $T \subset Y$ such that $\overline{H_{reg}} \subset \overline{\rho^{-1}(T)}$. Since $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ has complex dimension N , we must have $X = \mathbb{P}^N$ and hence we conclude the proof. \square

6. Examples

Example 6.1. We give an example of a real analytic Levi-flat hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^3 where Theorem 1.1 applies. Let

$$H = \{[z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] \in \mathbb{P}^3 : z_0 z_1 \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_3 - z_2 z_3 \bar{z}_0 \bar{z}_1 = 0\},$$

then H is Levi-flat because it is foliated by the complex hypersurfaces

$$(3) \quad z_0 z_1 = c z_2 z_3, \quad \text{where } c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let \mathcal{F} be the codimension one holomorphic foliation on \mathbb{P}^3 of degree two defined by

$$\omega = z_1 z_2 z_3 dz_0 + z_0 z_2 z_3 dz_1 - z_0 z_1 z_3 dz_2 - z_0 z_1 z_2 dz_3,$$

then \mathcal{F} has a rational first integral $R: \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ given by

$$R[z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] = [z_0 z_1 : z_2 z_3].$$

Since the leaves of $\mathcal{F}|_H$ coincide with the leaves of the Levi foliation (3), H must be invariant by \mathcal{F} . On the other hand, note that $H = \overline{R^{-1}(C)}$, where

$$C = \{[t : u] \in \mathbb{P}^1 : t\bar{u} - u\bar{t} = 0\}.$$

Example 6.2. In the following example, we construct a real analytic Levi-flat hypersurface H in \mathbb{P}^3 that is not a pull-back of a Levi-flat hypersurface of \mathbb{P}^2 under a rational map, furthermore, H also is not a pull-back of a real algebraic curve under a meromorphic function.

Consider $z = (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)$, $\bar{z} = (\bar{z}_0, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_3)$ and

$$F(z, \bar{z}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} z_0 & z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z_0 & z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z_0 & z_1 & z_2 & z_3 \\ \bar{z}_0 & \bar{z}_1 & \bar{z}_2 & \bar{z}_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{z}_0 & \bar{z}_1 & \bar{z}_2 & \bar{z}_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{z}_0 & \bar{z}_1 & \bar{z}_2 & \bar{z}_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Define $H = \{[z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] \in \mathbb{P}^3 : F(z, \bar{z}) = 0\}$, H is a real analytic hypersurface well defined since F is a bihomogeneous polynomial of bi-degree $(3, 3)$. Moreover, H is Levi-flat, because it is foliated by the complex hyperplanes

$$(4) \quad z_0 + cz_1 + c^2 z_2 + c^3 z_3 = 0, \quad \text{where } c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let \mathcal{W} be the codimension one holomorphic 3-web on \mathbb{P}^3 given by the implicit differential equation $\Omega = 0$,

$$\Omega = \det \begin{pmatrix} z_0 & z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z_0 & z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z_0 & z_1 & z_2 & z_3 \\ dz_0 & dz_1 & dz_2 & dz_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & dz_0 & dz_1 & dz_2 & dz_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & dz_0 & dz_1 & dz_2 & dz_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since the leaves of $\mathcal{W}|_H$ and \mathcal{L} are the same, we get H is invariant by \mathcal{W} .

Now, we prove that H is not a pull-back of a Levi-flat hypersurface of \mathbb{P}^2 . To prove this fact, we use the following result of [13, Proposition 4.4]:

Proposition 6.3. *Let ω_1 , ω_2 and ω_3 be independent germs of integrable 1-forms at $(\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ with singular sets of codimension at least two. Suppose that there exists a non-zero holomorphic 2-form η , locally decomposable outside its singular set, that is tangent to each ω_i , for $i=1, 2, 3$. Then ω_1 , ω_2 and ω_3 define foliations that are in a pencil. Furthermore, η is integrable, defining the axis foliation of this pencil.*

Suppose by contradiction that H is a pull-back of a Levi-flat hypersurface under a dominant rational map $\rho: \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. Then pick a point $p \in U_0$, where U_0 is an open subset in \mathbb{P}^3 such that $\rho|_{U_0}: U_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is a holomorphic submersion. We may have needed to perhaps move to yet another point $p' \in U_0$ such that U_0 does not intersect the discriminant set of the web \mathcal{W} . We set $p=p'$ and works in a neighborhood of U_0 . Therefore, the germ of \mathcal{W} at p is a decomposable 3-web, defined by the superposition of three independent foliations \mathcal{F}_1 , \mathcal{F}_2 , and \mathcal{F}_3 . We can assume that these foliations are defined by independent germs of integrable 1-forms ω_1 , ω_2 , and ω_3 respectively. Since H is given by a pull-back, all the leaves of \mathcal{L} and, hence the leaves of \mathcal{W} in $H \cap U_0$ are tangent to the fibers of $\rho|_{U_0}$, these fibers define a non-zero holomorphic 2-form η_ρ that is tangent to each ω_i , for $i=1, 2, 3$. Then, according to Proposition 6.3, ω_1 , ω_2 , and ω_3 define foliations that are in a pencil, an absurd. Hence, the assertion is proved.

Now we assert that H is not a pull-back of a real algebraic curve under a meromorphic function. In fact, H is a Levi-flat hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^3 such that there does not exist a point contained in infinitely many leaves of \mathcal{L} , because, the leaves of \mathcal{L} are given by the equation (4) and through at a point only pass three leaves. If H is defined by a pull-back of a meromorphic function, there has to exist a point p of indeterminacy since the dimension is at least 2. Then through at p pass infinitely many leaves of \mathcal{L} . Since H does not satisfy this property, we finish the proof of the assertion.

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to express gratitude to Maria Aparecida Soares Ruas (ICMC – USP, São Carlos) and Judith Brinkschulte (Universität Leipzig) for many valuable conversations and suggestions.

References

1. BELTRÁN, A., FERNÁNDEZ-PÉREZ, A. and NECIOSUP, H., Existence of dicritical singularities of Levi-flat hypersurfaces and holomorphic foliations, *Geom. Dedic.* (2017). doi:[10.1007/s10711-017-0303-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10711-017-0303-4)
2. BRETAS, J., FERNÁNDEZ-PÉREZ, A. and MOL, R., Holomorphic foliations tangent to Levi-flat subsets, *J. Geom. Anal.* **29** (2019), 1407. doi:[10.1007/s12220-018-0043-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-018-0043-1)

3. BRUNELLA, M., Singular Levi-flat hypersurfaces and codimension one foliations, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci. (5)* **VI** (2007), 661–672.
4. CARTAN, E., Sur la géométrie pseudo-conforme des hypersurfaces de l'espace de deux variables complexes, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **11** (1933), 17–90.
5. CERVEAU, D. and SAD, P., Fonctions et feuilletages Levi-flat. étude locale, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci. (5)* **3** (2004), 427–445.
6. CERVEAU, D. and LINS NETO, A., Local Levi-flat hypersurfaces invariants by a codimension one holomorphic foliation, *Am. J. Math.* **133** (2011), 677–716. doi:[10.1353/ajm.2011.0018](https://doi.org/10.1353/ajm.2011.0018)
7. CHOW, W. L., On meromorphic maps of algebraic varieties, *Ann. Math.* **2** (1969), 391–403.
8. FERNÁNDEZ-PÉREZ, A., On Levi-flat hypersurfaces with generic real singular set, *J. Geom. Anal.* **23** (2013), 2020. doi:[10.1007/s12220-012-9317-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-012-9317-1)
9. FERNÁNDEZ-PÉREZ, A., Levi-flat hypersurfaces tangent to projective foliations, *J. Geom. Anal.* **24** (2014), 1959. doi:[10.1007/s12220-013-9404-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-013-9404-y)
10. FERNÁNDEZ-PÉREZ, A., MOL, R. and ROSAS, R., On singular real analytic Levi-flat foliations, *Asian J. Math.* (2020). doi:[10.4310/AJM.2020.v24.n6.a4](https://doi.org/10.4310/AJM.2020.v24.n6.a4)
11. GUARALDO, F., MACRÌ, P. and TANCREDI, A., *Topics on real analytic spaces*, Springer, Berlin, 2013.
12. GÓMEZ-MONT, X., Integrals for holomorphic foliations with singularities having all leaves compact, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **39** (1989), 451–458.
13. JUNCA, D. and MOL, R., Holomorphic vector fields tangent to foliations in dimension three, *An. Acad. Brasil. Ciênc.* **93** (2021). doi:[10.1590/0001-3765202020181390](https://doi.org/10.1590/0001-3765202020181390)
14. LEBL, J., Algebraic Levi-flat hypervarieties in complex projective space, *J. Geom. Anal.* **22** (2012), 410. doi:[10.1007/s12220-010-9201-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-010-9201-9)
15. LEBL, J., Singular set of a Levi-flat hypersurface is Levi-flat, *Math. Ann.* **355** (2013), 1177. doi:[10.1007/s00208-012-0821-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-012-0821-1)
16. LEBL, J., Singular Levi-flat hypersurfaces in complex projective space induced by curves in the Grassmannian, *Int. J. Math.* **26**, 1550036 (2015), 17 pp.
17. NARASIMHAN, R., *Introduction to the theory of analytic spaces*, Lectures Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1966, doi:[10.1007/BFb0077071](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0077071)
18. NI, L. and WOLFSON, J., The Lefschetz theorem for CR submanifolds and the nonexistence of real analytic Levi flat submanifolds, *Commun. Anal. Geom.* **11** (2003), 553–564.
19. PEREIRA, J. V. and SPICER, C., Hypersurfaces quasi-invariant by codimension one foliations, *Math. Ann.* (2019). doi:[10.1007/s00208-019-01833-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-019-01833-4)
20. PINCHUK, S., SHAFIKOV, R. and SUKHOV, A., On dicritical singularities of Levi-flat sets, *Ark. Mat.* **56** (2018), 395–408. doi:[10.4310/ARKIV.2018.v56.n2.a12](https://doi.org/10.4310/ARKIV.2018.v56.n2.a12)
21. SHAFIKOV, R. and SUKHOV, A., Germs of singular Levi-flat hypersurfaces and holomorphic foliations, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **90** (2015), 479–502.
22. SUKHOV, A., Levi-flat world: a survey of local theory, *Ufimsk. Mat. Zh.* **9** (2017), 172–185.

Andrés Beltrán
Dpto. Ciencias – Sección Matemáticas
Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú
Av. Universitaria 1801, San Miguel
Lima PE-32
Peru
abeltra@pucp.pe

Hernán Neciosup
Dpto. Ciencias – Sección Matemáticas
Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú
Av. Universitaria 1801, San Miguel
Lima PE-32
Peru
hneciosup@pucp.pe

Arturo Fernández-Pérez
Departamento de Matemática - ICEX
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais,
UFMG
Av. Antônio Carlos 6627
Belo Horizonte-MG BR-31270-901
Brasil
fernandez@ufmg.br

Received June 16, 2020