The generalized Pohozaev-Schoen identity and some geometric applications

EZEQUIEL BARBOSA, ALLAN FREITAS, AND LEVI LOPES LIMA

In this note we show how a generalized Pohozaev-Schoen identity due to Gover and Orsted [GO] can be used to obtain some rigidity results for V-static manifolds and generalized solitons. We also obtain an Alexandrov type result for certain hypersurfaces in Einstein manifolds.

1. Introduction

In recent years, Riemannian metrics satisfying certain systems of partial differential equations involving a function (and/or a vector field) defined on the underlying manifold have been extensively studied. Examples include geometric structures related to the class of static metrics appearing in General Relativity, such as the critical metrics introduced in [MT1], and the generalized Ricci solitons discussed in [PRRS]. The dominant theme here is to obtain rigidity results making sure that the given metric necessarily belongs to a class of "trivial" solutions of the corresponding system.

The purpose of this note is to point out that some results in this area can be quickly derived from a Pohozaev-type integral identity due to Schoen [S]. In fact, we consider here a generalization of Schoen's identity due to Gover and Orsted [GO]. This remarkable formula, which is a natural outgrowth of E. Noether's brilliant insight that infinite dimensional symmetry groups lead to locally conserved quantities, actually encompasses a broad class of integral identities previously established in the literature. As illustrated below through examples, the identity can be used to access many interesting results on the topics mentioned above. Applications include rigidity statements for V-static manifolds in terms of the boundary behavior of the metric and certain classification results for generalized Ricci solitons under suitable assumptions on the underlying geometry.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe the generalized Pohozaev-Schoen integral identity due to Gover-Orsted [GO] and present a list of locally conserved tensor fields to which it applies. In Section 3 we apply the classical special case due to Schoen to discuss several results for V-static manifolds. Examples of such results include a sharp upper bound for the area of the boundary of a V-static 3-manifold (Theorem 3.9), which extends a classical estimate due to Boucher-Gibbons-Horowitz [BGH] for static manifolds, and a characterization in terms of the mean curvature of V-static manifolds in case the induced metric on the boundary is isometric to a geodesic sphere in a simply connected space form (Theorem 3.12), which extends a result due to Miao-Tam [MT1]. When the V-static manifold is spin and has non-positive scalar curvature, we show in Section 5 how ideas in [HMRa] can be used to generalize this latter result under the much weaker assumption that the induced metric on the boundary dominates the round metric on the geodesic sphere. Finally, in Section 4 we discuss applications of the generalized Pohozaev-Schoen identity to solitons. In particular, we provide alternative proofs to results appearing in [BBR] and [GWX] (Theorems 4.2 and 4.3). We also include in this section an Alexandrov-type result for a certain class of hypersurfaces in Einstein manifolds (Theorem 4.4).

2. The generalized Pohozaev-Schoen integral identity

In this section we discuss the generalized Pohozaev-Schoen identity presented in [GO]. An earlier manifestation of this identity appears in an old paper by Pohozaev [Po], where it is proved that Dirichlet solutions of certain semilinear elliptic equation in domains of Euclidean space satisfy an integral identity. As another installment of this same principle, Bourguignon and Ezin [BE] proved that, in the presence of a conformal vector field, the scalar curvature of a closed Riemannian manifold satisfies a similar integral identity. It turns out that both identities above are special cases of a more general identity due to Schoen [S]. In the following we will always denote by $\overset{\circ}{B}$ the trace free part of a symmetric 2-tensor *B*. Moreover, unless otherwise stated, all manifolds are assumed to be connected and oriented.

Theorem 2.1 (Pohozaev-Schoen integral identity [S]). Let (M^n, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary Σ and X a vector field on M. Then there holds

(2.1)
$$\int_{M} X(R_{g}) dM = -\frac{n}{n-2} \int_{M} \left\langle \operatorname{Ric}_{g}^{\circ}, \mathcal{L}_{X}g \right\rangle dM + \frac{2n}{n-2} \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{Ric}_{g}^{\circ}(X, \nu) d\Sigma,$$

where Ric_g is the Ricci tensor, R_g is the scalar curvature, \mathcal{L} is Lie derivative and ν is the outward unit normal vector field.

Given the relevance of (2.1) in connection with several deep questions in Geometric Analysis, it is natural to seek for a derivation of this identity from basic principles. This has been accomplished in [GO]. Realizing that the proof of (2.1) is a consequence of the contracted Bianchi identity and integration by parts, these authors were able to deduce a rather general version of (2.1). In this regard, the next definition isolates the key concept interpolating between the infinitesimal symmetries defined by vector fields and the corresponding integral identity.

Definition 2.2. A symmetric 2-tensor B on a Riemannian manifold is said to be *locally conserved* if it is divergence free, i.e. $\nabla^i B_{ij} = 0$.

We now display examples of tensor fields fitting into this definition.

Example 2.3. The contracted Bianchi identity in Riemannian (or Lorentzian) geometry says that the Einstein tensor

$$E_g = \operatorname{Ric}_g - \frac{R_g}{2}g$$

is locally conserved. This plays a central role in General Relativity and is of course at the core of the classical work by E. Noether on the relationship between symmetries and conservation laws. Notice that $\stackrel{\circ}{E}_g = \stackrel{\circ}{\operatorname{Ric}}_g$.

Example 2.4. Set $Q_g = P_g - Jg$, where

$$P_g = \frac{1}{n-2} \left(\operatorname{Ric}_g - \frac{R_g}{2(n-1)} g \right),$$

is the Schouten tensor and $J = g^{ij}P_{ij}$ is its trace. It follows once again from the contracted Bianchi identity that Q_g is locally conserved.

Example 2.5. Let (M, g) be a hypersurface of Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , II its second fundamental form and $H = g^{ij}II_{ij}$ the mean curvature. It follows

that II satisfies the contracted Codazzi equation

$$\nabla^i II_{ij} = \nabla_j H,$$

and therefore the symmetric 2-tensor A = II - Hg is locally conserved. This result holds more generally if \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is replaced by any Einstein manifold; see the proof of Theorem 4.4 below.

Example 2.6. (Lovelock tensors) Let (M^n, g) be a Riemannian manifold and dM the associated volume element. Given a vector field $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ we have

$$d(\mathbf{i}_X dM) = d(\mathbf{i}_X dM) + \mathbf{i}_X d(dM) = \mathcal{L}_X dM = (\operatorname{div}_q X) dM,$$

where \mathcal{L} is Lie derivative and \mathbf{i}_X is contraction with X. Thus, the correspondence $X \leftrightarrow \omega = \mathbf{i}_X dM$ defines an isomorphism between $\mathcal{A}^1(M)$ and $\mathcal{A}^{n-1}(M)$, where $\mathcal{A}^p(M)$ denotes the space of differential *p*-forms on M. Obviously, X is divergence free if and only if ω is closed. Similarly, the correspondence $X_1 \otimes X_2 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{i}_{X_1} dM \otimes \mathbf{i}_{X_2} dM$ defines an isomorphism between $\mathcal{T}^2(M)$, the space of covariant 2-tensors over M and $\mathcal{A}^{n-1}(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^0(M)} \mathcal{A}^{n-1}(M)$. By restriction we obtain an isomorphism between $\mathcal{S}^2(M) \subset$ $\mathcal{T}^2(M)$, the subspace of symmetric 2-tensors, and $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{A}^{n-1}(M)) \subset$ $\mathcal{A}^{n-1}(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^0(M)} \mathcal{A}^{n-1}(M)$, where by definition $\eta \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{A}^p(M))$ if and only if it is symmetric in the sense that

$$\eta(e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p} \otimes e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_p}) = \eta(e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_p} \otimes e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}).$$

It is easy to check that $B \in S^2(M)$ is locally conserved if and only if the corresponding element $\widetilde{B} \in S^2(\mathcal{A}^{n-1}(M))$ satisfies $d^{\nabla}\widetilde{B} = 0$, where d^{∇} is the covariant exterior differential. Now, for each $1 \leq k \leq [(n-1)/2]$ define $\widetilde{L}_{2k} \in S^2(\mathcal{A}^{n-1}(M))$ by

$$\widetilde{L}_{2k} = R_g \wedge \cdots \wedge R_g \wedge g \wedge \cdots \wedge g,$$

where R_g is the curvature tensor of g. Since $d^{\nabla}g = 0$ (g is parallel) and $d^{\nabla}R_g = 0$ (Bianchi identity) we see that $d^{\nabla}\widetilde{L}_{2k} = 0$. Thus, the corresponding symmetric two-tensor L_{2k} , called the *Lovelock tensor*, is locally conserved [L]. It is easy to check that L_2 is a multiple of E_q , the Einstein tensor.

We now state the generalized Pohozaev-Schoen identity considered in [GO].

Theorem 2.7. [GO] Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary Σ . If B is a locally conserved symmetric 2-tensor and X is a vector field on M there holds

(2.2)
$$\int_{M} X(b) dM = \frac{n}{2} \int_{M} \left\langle \overset{\circ}{B}, \mathcal{L}_{X}g \right\rangle dM - n \int_{\Sigma} \overset{\circ}{B}(X, \nu) d\Sigma,$$

where $b = g^{ij}B_{ij}$ is the trace of B.

For the sake of completeness we include here the simple proof of this result. First observe that integration by parts yields

$$\int_{\Sigma} B(X,\nu) d\Sigma = \int_{M} \nabla^{i} (B_{ij} X^{j}) dM.$$

Since B is locally conserved and symmetric we find that

$$\nabla^i (B_{ij} X^j) = B_{ij} \nabla^i X^j = \frac{1}{2} B_{ij} (\nabla^i X^j + \nabla^j X^i) = \frac{1}{2} \langle B, \mathcal{L}_X g \rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Sigma} B(X,\nu)d\Sigma &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \langle B, \mathcal{L}_{X}g \rangle dM \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \langle \overset{\circ}{B}, \mathcal{L}_{X}g \rangle dM + \frac{1}{2n} \int_{M} b \langle g, \mathcal{L}_{X}g \rangle dM \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \langle \overset{\circ}{B}, \mathcal{L}_{X}g \rangle d\mu_{g} + \frac{1}{n} \int_{M} b \operatorname{div}_{g} X d\mu_{g}. \end{split}$$

Since

$$\int_{M} b \operatorname{div} X dM = -\int_{M} X(b) dM + \int_{\Sigma} b g(X, \nu) d\Sigma,$$

the result follows.

We now list a few useful consequences of this result.

Corollary 2.8. If M is closed then

(2.3)
$$\int_M X(b) dM = \frac{n}{2} \int_M \left\langle \overset{\circ}{B}, \mathcal{L}_X g \right\rangle dM.$$

Corollary 2.9. If X is a conformal vector field, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_X g = fg$ for some function f on M, then

(2.4)
$$\int_{M} X(b) dM = -n \int_{\partial M} \overset{\circ}{B} (X, \nu) d\Sigma$$

In particular, $\int_M X(b) dM = 0$ if M is closed.

Remark 2.10. It is easy to check that the classical Pohozaev-Schoen identity (2.1) follows from (2.2) by taking $B = E_g$ as in Example 2.3.

3. Applications of the Pohozahev-Shoen identity to V-static manifolds

Let M be a connected, smooth n-manifold with (a possibly disconnected) boundary Σ . Fix a metric γ on Σ and let \mathcal{M}_{γ} be the set of all metrics on Msuch that $g|_{T\Sigma} = \gamma$. Next we consider the volume functional $V : \mathcal{M}_{\gamma} \to \mathbb{R}$. Fix a constant K and consider the set \mathcal{M}_{γ}^{K} of all metrics $g \in \mathcal{M}_{\gamma}$ such that $R_{g} = K$. In [MT1] it is proved that a metric $g \in \mathcal{M}_{\gamma}^{K}$ with the property that the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of $(n-1)\Delta_{g} + K$ is positive is a critical point for the volume functional in \mathcal{M}_{γ}^{K} if and only if there exists a smooth function λ on M such that

(3.1)
$$-(\Delta_g \lambda)g + \nabla_g^2 \lambda - \lambda \operatorname{Ric}_g = g \quad \text{on} \quad \operatorname{int} M,$$

and $\lambda|_{\Sigma} = 0$, where Δ_g and ∇_g^2 are the Laplacian and the Hessian operator with respect to g, respectively. We then say that g is a V-static metric with potential function λ and that (M, g) is a V-static manifold. We may assume that $R_g = K = \varepsilon n(n-1), \varepsilon = -1, 0, 1$. We then set $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma}^{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{M}_{\gamma}^K$. Also, it is known that $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\Sigma = \lambda^{-1}(0)$. In fact, each connected component of Σ is umbilical with mean curvature given by $H = -(\partial \lambda / \partial \nu)^{-1} > 0$. In the following we always assume that M is compact. For further results on the geometry of V-static manifolds see [MT1], [MT2] and [CEM].

In [MT1, Theorem 6] it is shown that among compact domains in simply connected space forms, geodesic balls are the only V-static manifolds (in the spherical case one has to assume that the ball is contained in an open hemisphere). A natural question here is to ask if this characterizations remains valid in a larger class of metrics. The next result provides a partial answer to this question.

Theorem 3.1. [MT2, Theorem 2.1, Theorem 4.1] Let (M, g) be a compact V-static manifold which is either Einstein or simply connected and locally

conformally flat. If Σ is connected then (M, g) is isometric to a geodesic ball in a simply connected space form.

Similar results in low dimensions have been obtained in [BDR]. Here we use the Pohozaev-Schoen integral identity (2.2) to characterize these examples as the limiting cases of certain geometric inequalities. The next definition provides some motivation for our results.

Definition 3.2. A complete and connected Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) with a (possibly nonempty) boundary Σ is said to be *static* if it there exists a non-negative function λ on M satisfying

(3.2)
$$-(\Delta_g \lambda)g + \nabla_q^2 \lambda - \lambda \operatorname{Ric}_g = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \operatorname{int} M,$$

and $\Sigma = \lambda^{-1}(0)$.

The left hand side of (3.2) happens to be the formal L^2 -adjoint of the linearization of the scalar curvature operator and as such it plays a central role in problems involving the prescription of this invariant. Also, the identity appears in General Relativity, where it defines static solutions of Einstein field equations. It is easy to check that the scalar curvature R_g of g in (3.2) is necessarily constant, so we may assume that $R_g = \varepsilon n(n-1), \varepsilon = 0, 1, -1$. Moreover, $\Sigma = \lambda^{-1}(0)$ is a totally geodesic hypersurface.

Let (M,g) be a static manifold with $\Sigma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \Sigma_i$, where Σ_i are the connected components of Σ . When $\varepsilon = 1$ Chruśchiel [Cr] showed that

(3.3)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} \kappa_i \int_{\Sigma_i} \left(R_{\gamma_i} - (n-2)(n-1) \right) d\Sigma_i \ge 0,$$

where $\gamma = g|_{\Sigma}$, $\gamma_i = \gamma|_{\Sigma_i}$ and κ_i is the restriction of $|\nabla_g \lambda|$ to Σ_i . Moreover, the equality implies that M is a round hemisphere and, a *fortiori*, l = 1. This inequality has important applications. For instance, if n = 3 and Σ is connected it leads to the famous Boucher-Gibbons-Horowitz [BGH] upper bound for the area $|\Sigma|$ of Σ :

$$(3.4) \qquad |\Sigma| \le 4\pi,$$

with the equality holding if and only if M is isometric to the round hemisphere (de Sitter space). Further results on static-type metrics can be found in [QY] and the references therein. We now give natural extensions of these results to V-static manifolds. We start with a general integral identity which is a direct consequence of (2.2).

Theorem 3.3. Let (M^n, g) be a compact V-static manifold with boundary $\Sigma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \Sigma_i$, and $R_g = \varepsilon n(n-1)$, where $\varepsilon = -1, 0, 1$. Then the following integral identity holds:

(3.5)
$$\int_{M} \lambda | \operatorname{Ric}_{g} |^{2} dM = -\sum_{i} \kappa_{i} \int_{\Sigma_{i}} \left(\operatorname{Ric}_{g}(\nu, \nu) - \varepsilon(n-1) \right) d\Sigma_{i},$$

where κ_i is the restriction of $|\nabla_g \lambda|$ to Σ_i . In particular,

(3.6)
$$\sum_{i} \kappa_{i} \int_{\Sigma_{i}} \left(\operatorname{Ric}_{g}(\nu, \nu) - \varepsilon(n-1) \right) d\Sigma_{i} \leq 0,$$

with the equality holding if and only if (M, g) is isometric to a geodesic ball in a simply connected space form.

Proof. We apply (2.2) with $B = E_g$, the Einstein tensor, and $X = \nabla_g \lambda$, so that $\overset{\circ}{E} = \overset{\circ}{\operatorname{Ric}}_g$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\nabla_g \lambda} g = 2 \nabla_g^2 \lambda$. Using (3.1) we see that

$$\langle \overset{\circ}{\operatorname{Ric}}_g, \nabla^2_g \lambda \rangle = \lambda \langle \overset{\circ}{\operatorname{Ric}}_g, \operatorname{Ric}_g \rangle = \lambda | \overset{\circ}{\operatorname{Ric}}_g |^2.$$

Thus, (3.5) follows immediately by observing that $\nu = -\nabla_g \lambda / |\nabla_g \lambda|_g$. Finally, if the equality holds in (3.6) then from (3.5) we conclude that (M, g) is Einstein and the rigidity statement follows from Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.4. Let (M,g) be as in the theorem and assume that

$$\operatorname{Ric}_q(\nu, \nu) \ge \varepsilon(n-1)$$

along Σ . Then (M, g) is isometric to a geodesic ball in a simply connected space form.

The next result is an immediate consequence of (3.5) and Gauss equation.

Theorem 3.5. Let (M^n, g) be a compact V-static manifold with boundary $\Sigma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \Sigma_i$, and $R_g = \varepsilon n(n-1)$, where $\varepsilon = -1, 0, 1$. Then the following integral identity holds:

(3.7)
$$\int_{M} |\overset{\circ}{\operatorname{Ric}}_{g}|^{2} dM = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \kappa_{i} \int_{\Sigma_{i}} \left(R_{\gamma_{i}} - \varepsilon(n-2)(n-1) - \frac{n-2}{n-1} H_{i}^{2} \right) d\Sigma_{i},$$

where $g_i = g|_{\Sigma_i}$ and H_i is the mean curvature of Σ_i . In particular,

(3.8)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} \kappa_i \int_{\Sigma_i} \left(R_{\gamma_i} - \varepsilon (n-2)(n-1) - \frac{n-2}{n-1} H_i^2 \right) d\Sigma_i \ge 0,$$

with the equality holding if and only if (M,g) is isometric to a geodesic ball in a simply connected space form.

Proof. Gauss equation applied to the totally umbilical embedding $\Sigma_i \subset M$ says that

$$2\operatorname{Ric}_g(\nu,\nu) + R_{\gamma_i} = \varepsilon n(n-1) + \frac{n-2}{n-1}H_i^2,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$2\left(\operatorname{Ric}_{g}(\nu,\nu) - \epsilon(n-1)\right) = \varepsilon(n-2)(n-1) + \frac{n-2}{n-1}H_{i}^{2} - R_{g_{i}}.$$

The result follows.

We now present an upper bound for the volume of V-static manifolds with $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and a connected boundary.

Theorem 3.6. Let (M^n, g) be a compact V-static manifold with a connected boundary Σ and $R_g = \varepsilon n(n-1)$, where $\varepsilon = 0, 1$. Then $\int_{\Sigma} R_{\gamma} d\Sigma > 0$ and the following upper bound for the volume |M| of M holds:

(3.9)
$$|M| \le \frac{n-1}{nH} \left(\varepsilon(n-1)(n-2) + \frac{n-2}{n-1} H^2 \right)^{-1} \int_{\Sigma} R_{\gamma} d\Sigma.$$

Proof. From (3.8) we have

(3.10)
$$\int_{\Sigma} R_{\gamma} d\Sigma \ge \left(\varepsilon(n-1)(n-2) + \frac{n-2}{n-1}H^2\right) |\Sigma|.$$

On the other hand, taking trace of (3.1) and integrating over M we get

$$(n-1)\int_{M}\Delta_{g}\lambda dM + \varepsilon n(n-1)\int_{M}\lambda dM + n|M| = 0.$$

$$\square$$

But

$$\int_{M} \Delta_{g} \lambda dM = \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \nu} d\Sigma = -\frac{|\Sigma|}{H},$$

so we obtain

(3.11)
$$|\Sigma| = \frac{H}{n-1} \left(n|M| + \varepsilon n(n-1) \int_M \lambda dM \right) \ge \frac{n}{n-1} H|M|,$$

and the result follows by combining (3.10) and (3.11).

Remark 3.7. If $\varepsilon = 0$ this theorem corresponds to [CEM, Proposition 2.5 (b)]. In this case, the equality implies that (M, g) is isometric to a geodesic ball in a simply connected space form. Clearly, if $\varepsilon = 1$ then the inequality (3.9) is always strict.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.5 we obtain a topological classification for the (connected) boundary of a positive V-static 3-manifold.

Theorem 3.8. Let (M^3, g) be a compact V-static manifold with a connected boundary Σ and $R_g = 6\varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon = -1, 0, 1$. If $\varepsilon = -1$ assume that H > 2. Then Σ is diffeormorphic to the 2-sphere.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.5 and Gauss-Bonnet formula that

(3.12)
$$4\pi\chi(\Sigma) \ge \left(2\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}H^2\right)|\Sigma|,$$

where $\chi(\Sigma)$ is the Euler characteristic of Σ . Thus, $\chi(\Sigma) > 0$.

It is worthwhile to state the following extension of (3.4), which is an immediate consequence of the proof above.

Theorem 3.9. Let (M^3, g) be a compact V-static manifold with a connected boundary Σ and $R_g = 6\varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon = -1, 0, 1$. If $\varepsilon = -1$ assume that H > 2. Then

$$|\Sigma| \le 4\pi \left(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{4}H^2\right)^{-1}$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if (M^3, g) is isometric to a geodesic ball in a simply connected space form.

Using Theorem 3.5 and Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula for closed 4-manifolds we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.10. Let (M^5, g) be a compact V-static manifold with connected boundary Σ and $R_g = 20\varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon = -1, 0, 1$. If $\varepsilon = -1$ we assume that H > 4. Assume also that Σ is Einstein. Then there holds

$$8\pi^2 \chi(\Sigma) \ge \frac{1}{24} \left(12\varepsilon + \frac{3}{4} H^2 \right)^2 |\Sigma|,$$

with the equality holding if and only if (M,g) is isometric to a geodesic ball in a simply connected space form. In particular, $\chi(\Sigma) > 0$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.5 that

$$\left(12\varepsilon + \frac{3}{4}H^2\right)|\Sigma| \le \int_{\Sigma} R_{\gamma}d\Sigma.$$

Using Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\left(12\varepsilon + \frac{3}{4}H^2\right)^2 |\Sigma| \le \int_{\Sigma} R_{\gamma}^2 d\Sigma.$$

Now recall that the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula says that

$$8\pi^2 \chi(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma} |W_{\gamma}|^2 d\Sigma + \frac{1}{24} \int_{\Sigma} R_{\gamma}^2 d\Sigma - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\stackrel{\circ}{\operatorname{Ric}}_{\gamma}|^2 d\Sigma,$$

where W is the Weyl tensor. Under our assumptions, this leads to

$$8\pi^2 \chi(\Sigma) \ge \frac{1}{24} \int_{\Sigma} R_{\gamma}^2 d\Sigma,$$

and the result follows.

Let us now recall a result proved in [MT1, Corollary 3] which, under suitable assumptions, characterizes certain V-static manifolds as the limiting cases of geometric inequalities involving the geometry of the boundary.

Theorem 3.11. Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional compact V-static manifold with a connected boundary Σ and $R_g = \varepsilon n(n-1)$.

(i) If ε = 0, (Σ, γ) is isometric to a geodesic sphere in ℝⁿ and M is spin if n ≥ 8, then Ric_g(ν, ν) is a non-positive constant along Σ, and Ric_g(ν, ν) = 0 if and only if (M, g) is isometric to a geodesic ball in ℝⁿ;

- (ii) If n = 3, ε = 0 and Ric_g(ν, ν) = 0 along Σ, then (M, g) is isometric to a geodesic ball in ℝ³.
- (iii) If n = 3, ε = -1 and (Σ, γ) is isometric to a geodesic sphere in H³, then Ric_g(ν, ν) is a constant satisfying Ric_g(ν, ν) ≤ -2 along Σ, and Ric_g(ν, ν) = -2 if and only if (M, g) is isometric to a geodesic ball in H³.

We extend this result in the following way; see Remark 3.13 below.

Theorem 3.12. Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional V-static manifold with a connected boundary and $R_g = \varepsilon n(n-1)$. Assume that (Σ, γ) is isometric to a totally umbilic hypersurface $(\Sigma_{\varepsilon}, \gamma_{\varepsilon})$ in a simply connected space form of curvature ε . Then there holds

$$H_{\varepsilon} \ge H$$

where H and H_{ε} are the mean curvature of Σ and Σ_{ε} , respectively. Equality holds if and only if (M, g) is isometric to a geodesic ball in the corresponding simply connected space form.

Proof. It follows from Gauss equation that

$$2\operatorname{Ric}_g(\nu,\nu) + R_{\gamma} = \varepsilon n(n-1) + \frac{n-2}{n-1}H^2$$

and

$$R_{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} = \varepsilon(n-2)(n-1) + rac{n-2}{n-1}H_{\varepsilon}^2$$
.

As (Σ, γ) is isometric to $(\Sigma_{\varepsilon}, \gamma_{\varepsilon})$, we have $R_{\gamma} = R_{\gamma_{\varepsilon}}$. Hence,

(3.13)
$$2\operatorname{Ric}_{g}(\nu,\nu) - 2\varepsilon(n-1) = \frac{n-2}{n-1}(H^{2} - H_{\varepsilon}^{2}).$$

By integrating over $(\Sigma, \gamma) = (\Sigma_{\varepsilon}, \gamma_{\varepsilon})$ and using (3.5), the inequality follows since H and H_{ε} are positive constants. Finally, if $H = H_{\varepsilon}$ we conclude that (M, g) is Einstein and the rigidity statement follows from Theorem 3.1. \Box

Remark 3.13. Notice that (3.13) justifies our claim above that Theorem 3.12 extends Theorem 3.11.

Corollary 3.14. Let (M^n, g) compact V-static manifold with a connected boundary Σ and $R_g = n(n-1)$. Then (Σ, γ) can not be isometric to the standard unit (n-1)-sphere. *Proof.* If the isometry exists then the theorem yields the contradiction H = 0.

Remark 3.15. If $\varepsilon = 0, -1$ and M is spin then Theorem 3.12 can be improved in the sense that it suffices to assume that $\gamma \ge \gamma_{\varepsilon}$; see Section 5.

4. Applications of the generalized Pohozahev-Schoen identity to generalized solitons and an Alexandrov-type theorem

So far we have presented applications of the Pohozaev-Schoen identity (2.1). We now discuss a few applications of the generalized Pohozaev-Schoen identity (2.2). In particular, we present an alternative approach to results appearing in [BBR] and [GWX]. Even though those results are not directly related to static-type metrics, which is our main focus here, we include them in order to illustrate the flexibility of the method. Even in the "classical" case where $B = E_g$, the Einstein tensor, the use of (2.1) substantially simplifies the proofs of results otherwise obtained by involved computations. We also include here an Alexandrov-type theorem for hypersurfaces suggested by Example 2.5.

We start with a definition which encompasses concepts introduced in [PRRS] and [GWX].

Definition 4.1. An *h*-generalized almost soliton is a complete Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) endowed with a locally conserved symmetric tensor *B*, a vector field *X* and two smooth functions μ and *h* satisfying

$$B + \frac{h}{2}\mathcal{L}_X g = \mu g$$

In case h = 1 we say that (M, g, B, X, μ) is a generalized almost soliton. If X is a gradient field then we say that the corresponding soliton is a *gradient*. Moreover, if X is a Killing field then we say that the soliton is trivial.

Theorem 4.2. Let (M, g, B, X, μ) be a generalized almost soliton with M closed.

- (i) If $b = g^{ij}B_{ij}$ is constant then X is a conformal vector field. Moreover, if X is a gradient field then (M, g) is conformally equivalent to a round sphere.
- (ii) If $B = E_g$ and the almost Ricci soliton (M, g) is non-trivial and has constant scalar curvature then it is a gradient and (M, g) is isometric

to a standard sphere. In fact, X decomposes as a sum of a Killing and a gradient field.

Proof. By definition we have that $\mathcal{L}_X g = -2 \stackrel{\circ}{B} + fg$ for some f. Then using the generalized Pohozaev-Schoen identity in the form (2.3) we obtain that $\int_M |\stackrel{\circ}{B}|^2 dM = 0$ and hence $\stackrel{\circ}{B} = 0$. It follows that $\mathcal{L}_X g = fg$ and therefore X is a conformal vector field. By setting $f = 2\varphi$ and taking trace we get $\varphi = \operatorname{div}_g X/n$. Thus, if X is a gradient field, $X = \nabla_g \psi$, then ψ satisfies

$$\nabla_g^2 \psi = \varphi g = \frac{\Delta_g \psi}{n} g.$$

That (M, g) is conformally equivalent to a round sphere now follows from [Y, Theorem 6.3].

Now, if $B = E_g$ then the argument above based on (2.3) shows that (M,g) is Einstein and X is a conformal vector field, $\mathcal{L}_X g = 2\varphi g$. With this information at hand, the assertions in (ii) follow easily from well-known results. For instance, we may proceed as follows. First observe that, under these conditions, it is known that the conformal potential φ satisfies the identity

(4.1)
$$\nabla_g^2 \varphi = -\frac{R_g}{n(n-1)} \varphi g$$

see the proof of [H1, Lemma 2.2]. Now assume that φ is a constant function. From (4.1) we see that either $R_g = 0$ or $\varphi = 0$. In the first case, it follows from [O, Theorem 6] that X is Killing, which contradicts the non-triviality of the soliton. Also, if $\varphi = 0$ we have again that X is Killing. Thus, φ is a non-constant function so that X is a non-homothetic conformal field and that (M, g) is isometric to a round sphere now follows from [NY].

Finally, take trace in (4.1) to get

$$\Delta_g \varphi = -\frac{R_g}{n-1}\varphi,$$

that is, $R_g/(n-1)$ is a non-trivial eigenvalue of Δ_g . In particular, $R_g > 0$. Now set

$$u = -\frac{n(n-1)}{R_g}\varphi$$

and check directly that $\mathcal{L}_X g = \mathcal{L}_{\nabla_g u} g$. This shows that $X - \nabla_g u$ is Killing.

Item (ii) above corresponds to [BBR, Corollary 1]. By using the same argument we can approach [GWX, Theorem 1]; see item (ii) in the next theorem.

Theorem 4.3. Let (M, g, B, X, λ, h) be a generalized h-almost soliton with M closed.

- (i) If $v = g^{ij}B_{ij}$ is constant and h has a fixed sign, i.e., h > 0 or h < 0, then X is a conformal vector field. In particular, if X is gradient field then (M, g) is conformally equivalent to a round sphere.
- (ii) If B = Eg, and the generalized almost Ricci soliton (M,g) is nontrivial, has constant scalar curvature and h has a fixed sign then it is a gradient and and (M,g) is isometric to a standard sphere. In fact, X decomposes as a sum of a Killing and a gradient field.

Based on Example 2.5 we now present an Alexandrov-type theorem for hypersurface (M^n, g) immersed in an Einstein manifold $(\widetilde{M}^{n+1}, \widetilde{g})$ satisfying an identity of the type

(4.2)
$$II + \nabla_q^2 f = \mu g,$$

where μ and f are smooth functions on M and II is the second fundamental form.

Theorem 4.4. Let (M,g) be as above and assume that the mean curvature $H = g^{ij}II_{ij}$ is constant. Then M is a totally umbilical hypersurface. In particular, if \widetilde{M} is a simply connected space form then M is a geodesic sphere.

Proof. We first construct a locally conserved tensor on M. Let $\{e_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ be a local orthonormal frame on M, which we extend to \widetilde{M} by setting $e_{n+1} = \nu$. Let $h_{ij} = II(e_i, e_j), 1 \leq i, j \leq n$. It follows from Codazzi equations that

$$\nabla^k h_{ij} - \nabla^j h_{ik} = \widetilde{R}_{n+1ijk}, \quad 1 \le i, j, k \le n,$$

where \widetilde{R}_{ijkl} is the curvature tensor of $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$. If we take j = i in this identity and sum over i we get

$$\nabla^k H = \sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii,k} = \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla^i h_{ik} + \sum_{i=1}^n \widetilde{R}_{n+1iik} = \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla^i h_{ik} + \widetilde{\operatorname{Ric}}_{n+1k}.$$

Since \widetilde{M} is Einstein, $\widetilde{\text{Ric}}_{n+1k} = \frac{\widetilde{R}}{n+1}\widetilde{g}_{n+1k} = 0$ for each $k = 1, \ldots, n$, and hence $\nabla^i II_{ij} = \nabla^j H$. Therefore, the tensor B = II - Hg is locally conserved, $\text{tr}_q B = (1-n)H$ and $\overset{\circ}{B} = \overset{\circ}{II}$. Thus, using (2.3) and (4.2) we obtain

$$0 = \int_M \langle \nabla_g H, \nabla_g f \rangle \, dM = \frac{n}{n-1} \int_M |\overset{\circ}{II}|^2 dM,$$

and the result follows.

5. V-static manifolds and spinors

In this section we show how Theorem 3.12 can be improved in case $\varepsilon = 0, -1$ if we assume that the V-static manifold (M, g) is spin. Here we follow closely the ideas in [HMZ] [HMR] [HMRa]. We retain the notation of Section 3.

We set $\epsilon = \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ so that $\epsilon = 0, i$ if $\varepsilon = 0, -1$, respectively. We consider a compact spin manifold M of dimension $n \ge 2$ endowed with a Riemannian metric g. Also, we assume that M carries a non-empty boundary Σ . Denote $\underline{H} = \inf_{\Sigma} H$, where H is the mean curvature of Σ . We fix a spin structure on M and denote by $\mathbb{S}M$ the corresponding spin bundle. If ∇^g is the spin connection on $\mathbb{S}M$ induced by g we set

$$\widetilde{\nabla}^g_X \psi = \nabla^g_X \psi + \frac{\epsilon}{2} X \cdot \psi,$$

where $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, $\psi \in \Gamma(\mathbb{S}M)$ and the dot means Clifford multiplication. The corresponding Dirac operator is

$$\widetilde{D}^g \psi = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \cdot \widetilde{\nabla}^g_{e_i} \psi = D^g \psi - \frac{n\epsilon}{2} \psi,$$

where $D^g \psi = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \cdot \nabla_{e_i}^g \psi$ is the standard Dirac operator. The integral Lichnerowicz formula reads

(5.1)
$$\int_{M} \left(|\widetilde{\nabla}^{g}\psi|^{2} - |\widetilde{D}^{g}\psi|^{2} + \frac{R_{g} - \varepsilon n(n-1)}{4} |\psi|^{2} \right) dM$$
$$= \int_{\Sigma} \left\langle \widetilde{D}^{\gamma}\psi - \frac{H}{2}\psi, \psi \right\rangle d\Sigma,$$

where

$$\widetilde{D}^{\gamma}\psi = D^{\gamma}\psi + \frac{n-1}{2}\epsilon\psi,$$

where D^{γ} is the Dirac operator on $\mathbb{S}\Sigma$, the induced spin bundle on (Σ, γ) , $\gamma = g|_{\Sigma}$.

Starting from (5.1), the following result is proved in [HMZ] [HMR].

Proposition 5.1. [HMZ] [HMR] Let (M, g) as above and assume that $R \ge \varepsilon n(n-1)|\varepsilon|^2$ and $H \ge 0$. Then there holds

$$\widetilde{\lambda}^{\gamma} \geq \frac{\underline{H}}{2},$$

where $\widetilde{\lambda}^{\gamma}$ be the lowest positive eigenvalue of \widetilde{D}^{γ} . Moreover, the equality implies that (M,g) is Einstein (with $R_g = \varepsilon n(n-1)$) and Σ has constant mean curvature.

Corollary 5.2. If λ^{γ} is the lowest positive eigenvalue of D^{γ} then

(5.2)
$$\lambda^{\gamma} \ge \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\underline{H}^2 + \varepsilon(n-1)^2}.$$

Moreover, the equality implies that (M, g) is Einstein (with $R_g = \varepsilon n(n-1)$) and Σ has constant mean curvature.

Proof. It is easy to check that

$$\widetilde{\lambda}^{\gamma} = \sqrt{(\lambda^{\gamma})^2 - \varepsilon \frac{(n-1)^2}{4}},$$

which proves the result.

Following [HMRa], we combine this with a Vafa-Witten-type bound due to Herzlich [H2]. Define $s_{\varepsilon}(r) = r$ if $\varepsilon = 0$ and $s_{\varepsilon}(r) = \sinh r$ if $\varepsilon = -1$. Also, define $c_{\varepsilon}(r) = s'_{\varepsilon}(r)$. Thus, if h_0 is the round metric on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} then $g_{\varepsilon} = dr^2 + s^2_{\varepsilon}(r)h_0$ is the standard metric on the simply connected space form $\mathbb{H}^n_{\varepsilon}$ of curvature ε . Let $B_{\varepsilon}(r) \subset \mathbb{H}^n_{\varepsilon}$ be the ball of radius r and $S_{\varepsilon}(r) = \partial B_{\varepsilon}(r)$. Note that $S_{\varepsilon}(r)$ has intrinsic metric $\gamma_{\varepsilon}(r) = s^2_{\varepsilon}(r)g_0$ and mean curvature $H_{\varepsilon}(r) = (n-1)f_{\varepsilon}(r)$, where $f_{\varepsilon} = c_{\varepsilon}/s_{\varepsilon}$.

Let γ be a metric on $S_{\varepsilon}(r)$ satisfying $\gamma \geq \gamma_{\varepsilon}(r)$. A result by Herzlich [H2] says that

(5.3)
$$\lambda^{\gamma} \le \frac{n-1}{2s_{\varepsilon}(r)}.$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $\gamma = \gamma_{\varepsilon}(r)$. Combining (5.2) with (5.3) and using that $\varepsilon s_{\varepsilon}^2 + c_{\varepsilon}^2 = 1$, we get the following result.

Theorem 5.3. Let (M, g) be a spin Riemannian manifold satisfying $R \ge \varepsilon n(n-1)$ and $H \ge 0$ on Σ . Assume also that Σ is diffeomorphic to $S_{\varepsilon}(r)$ and that $\gamma = g|_{\Sigma} \ge \gamma_{\varepsilon}(r)$ there. Then

$$\underline{H} \le H_{\varepsilon}(r).$$

Moreover, the equality implies that M is Einstein (with $R = \varepsilon n(n-1)$), $\gamma = \gamma_{\varepsilon}(r)$ and $H = H_{\varepsilon}(r)$.

As an immediate consequence we obtain the following extension of Theorem 3.12 when $\varepsilon = 0, -1$ and M is spin.

Theorem 5.4. Let (M, g) be a V-static spin manifold with $R_g = \varepsilon n(n-1)$, $\varepsilon = 0, -1$. Assume that Σ is diffeomorphic to $S_{\varepsilon}(r)$ and that $\gamma = g|_{\Sigma} \ge \gamma_{\varepsilon}(r)$ there. Then

$$H \le H_{\varepsilon}(r).$$

Moreover, the equality implies that $(M,g) = (B_{\varepsilon}(r), g_{\varepsilon})$.

Proof. Just observe that the equality $H = H_{\varepsilon}(r)$ implies that (M, g) is Einstein and use Theorem 3.1.

This corresponds to [HMRa, Theorem 2] in our setting. Note also that for n = 3 the spin condition is superfluous.

References

- [BBR] A. Barros, R. Batista, and E. Ribeiro Jr., Compact almost Ricci solitons with constant scalar curvature are gradient, Monatsh. Math. (2014). DOI:10.1007/s00605-013-0581-3.
- [BDR] A. Barros, R. Diogenes, and E. Ribeiro, Bach-flat critical metrics of the volume functional on 4-dimensional manifolds with boundary, The Journal of Geometric Analysis 25 (2015), no. 4, 2698–2715.
- [BGH] W. Boucher, G. W. Gibbons, and G. T. Horowitz, Uniqueness theorem for anti-de Sitter spacetime, Phisical Review D (3) 30 (1984), no. 12, 2447–2451.
 - [BE] J.-P. Bourguignon and J.-P. Ezin, Scalar curvature functions in a conformal class of metrics and conformal transformations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 301 (1987), 723–736.

- [Cr] P. T. Chruściel, Remarks on rigidity of the de Sitter metric, https://homepage.univie.ac.at/piotr.chrusciel/papers/ deSitter/deSitter2.pdf.
- [CEM] J. Corvino, M. Eichmair, and P. Miao, Deformation of scalar curvature and volume, Mathematische Annalen 357 (2013), 551–584.
- [GWX] J. N. Gomes, Q. Wang, and C. Xia, On the h-almost Ricci soliton, arXiv:1411.6416.
 - [GO] A. R. Gover and B. Orsted, Universal principles for Kazdan-Warner and Pohozaev-Schoen type identities, Commun. Contemp. Math. 15 (2013), no. 4, 1350002, 27 pp.
 - [H1] M. Herzlich, Computing asymptotic invariants with the Ricci tensor on asymptotically flat and hyperbolic manifolds, arXiv: 1503.00508.
 - [H2] M. Herzlich, Extremality for the Vafa-Witten bound on the sphere, Geom. Funct. Anal. 15 (2005), no. 6, 1153–1161.
- [HMRa] O. Hizagi, S. Montiel, and S. Raulot, Uniqueness of the de Sitter spacetime among static vacua with positive cosmological constant, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 47 (2014), 167–178.
- [HMR] O. Hijazi, S. Montiel, and A. Roldán, Dirac operators on hypersurfaces of manifolds with negative scalar curvature, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 23 (2003), no. 3, 247–264.
- [HMZ] O. Hijazi, S. Montiel, and X. Zhang, Dirac operator on embedded hypersurfaces, Math. Res. Lett. 8 (2001), no. 1-2, 195–208.
 - [L] D. Lovelock, The Einstein tensor and its generalizations, J. Mathematical Phys. 12 (1971), 498–501.
- [MT1] P. Miao and L.-F. Tam, On the volume functional of compact manifolds with boundary with constant scalar curvature, Calc. Var. PDE. 36 (2009), 141–171.
- [MT2] P. Miao and L.-F. Tam, Einstein and conformally flat critical metrics of the volume functional, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011), no. 6, 2907–2937.
 - [NY] T. Nagano and K. Yano, Einstein spaces admitting a one-parameter group of conformal transformations, Ann. of Math. (2) 69 (1959), 451–461.

- [O] M. Obata, Conformal transformations of compact Riemannian manifolds, Illinois J. Math. 6 (1962), 292–295.
- [PRRS] S. Pigola, M. Rigoli, M. Rimoldi, and A. G. Setti, *Ricci almost solitons*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa (5) 10 (2011), no. 4, 757–799.
 - [Po] S. Pohozaev, On the eigenfunctions of the equation $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **165** (1965), 36–39. (Translation: Soviet Math. Dokl. **6** (1965), 1408–1411).
 - [QY] J. Qing and W. Yuan, A note on static spaces and related problems, J. Geom. Phys. 74 (2013), 18–27.
 - [S] R. Schoen, The existence of weak solutions with prescribed singular behavior for a conformally invariant scalar equation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1988), no. 3, 317–392.
 - [Y] K. Yano, Integral Formulas in Riemannian Geometry, Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 1 Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York 1970.

INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS EXATAS-UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE MINAS GERAIS 30161-970-BELO HORIZONTE-MG-BR, BRAZIL *E-mail address*: ezequiel@mat.ufmg.br

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA PARAÍBA 58059-900 JOÃO PESSOA, PARAÍBA, BRAZIL *E-mail address*: allan@mat.ufpb.br

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO CEARÁ R. HUMBERTO MONTE, S/N, 60455-760, FORTALEZA/CE, BRAZIL *E-mail address*: levi@mat.ufc.br

RECEIVED APRIL 5, 2017 ACCEPTED OCTOBER 23, 2017