

Enhanced homotopy theory for period integrals of smooth projective hypersurfaces

JAE-SUK PARK AND JEEHOON PARK

The goal of this paper is to reveal hidden structures on the singular cohomology and the Griffiths period integral of a smooth projective hypersurface in terms of BV(Batalin-Vilkovisky) algebras and homotopy Lie theory (so called, L_∞ -homotopy theory).

Let X_G be a smooth projective hypersurface in the complex projective space \mathbf{P}^n defined by a homogeneous polynomial $G(\underline{x})$ of degree $d \geq 1$. Let $\mathbb{H} = H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$ be the middle dimensional primitive cohomology of X_G . We explicitly construct a BV algebra $\mathbf{BV}_X = (\mathcal{A}_X, Q_X, K_X)$ such that its 0-th cohomology $H_{K_X}^0(\mathcal{A}_X)$ is canonically isomorphic to \mathbb{H} . We also equip \mathbf{BV}_X with a decreasing filtration and a bilinear pairing which realize the Hodge filtration and the cup product polarization on \mathbb{H} under the canonical isomorphism. Moreover, we lift $C_{[\gamma]} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to a cochain map $\mathcal{C}_\gamma : (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, where $C_{[\gamma]}$ is the Griffiths period integral given by $\omega \mapsto \int_\gamma \omega$ for $[\gamma] \in H_{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{Z})$.

We use this enhanced homotopy structure on \mathbb{H} to study an extended formal deformation of X_G and the correlation of its period integrals. If X_G is in a formal family of Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces X_{G_T} , we provide an explicit formula and algorithm (based on a Gröbner basis) to compute the period matrix of X_{G_T} in terms of the period matrix of X_G and an L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\kappa}$ which enhances $C_{[\gamma]}$ and governs deformations of period matrices.

1	Introduction	236
2	Lie algebra representations and period integrals	254
3	The descendant functor and homotopy invariants	262
4	Period integrals of smooth projective hypersurfaces	294

Mathematics Subject Classification: 18G55, 14J70, 14D15, 13D10.

5 Appendix

325

References

335

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to attempt to establish certain correspondences between the Griffiths period integrals of smooth algebraic varieties and period integrals, defined in Section 2, attached to representations of a finite dimensional Lie algebra on a polynomial algebra. Such correspondences allow us to reveal hidden BV(Batalin-Vilkovisky) algebra structures and L_∞ -homotopy structures in period integrals, leading to their higher generalization. We work out the correspondence in detail when the variety is a smooth projective hypersurface and when the representation is the Schrödinger representation of the Heisenberg Lie algebra twisted by Dwork's polynomial associated with the hypersurface. The period integrals of this kind of example have been studied extensively by Griffiths in [11]. We will enhance the Griffiths period integral into an L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\kappa}$ which governs correlations and deformations of period matrices.

1.1. Main theorems

Let n be a positive integer. Let X_G be a smooth hypersurface in the complex projective n -space \mathbf{P}^n defined by a homogeneous polynomial $G(\underline{x}) = G(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ of degree d in $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. Let $H_{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{Z})_0$ be the subgroup of the singular homology group $H_{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{Z})$ of X_G of degree $n-1$, which consists of vanishing $(n-1)$ -cycles, and let $H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$ be the primitive part of the middle dimensional cohomology group $H^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$, i.e. $H_{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{Z})_0 = \ker(H_{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathbb{Z}))$, and $H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C}) = \text{coker}(H^{n-1}(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C}))$. Then we are interested in the following period integrals

$$(1.1) \quad C_{[\gamma]} : H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad [\varpi] \mapsto \int_{\gamma} \varpi,$$

where γ and ϖ are representatives of the homology class $[\gamma] \in H_{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{Z})_0$ and the cohomology class $[\varpi] \in H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$, respectively. We shall often use the shorthand notation $\mathbb{H} = H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$ from now on. We also use the

notation that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_j \mathbb{H} = & H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1,0}(X_G, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H_{\text{prim}}^{n-2,1}(X_G, \mathbb{C}) \\ & \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1-j,j}(X_G, \mathbb{C}), \quad 0 \leq j \leq n-1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{H}^{p,q} = H_{\text{prim}}^{p,q}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$ is the (p, q) -th Hodge component of \mathbb{H} .

Let $\mathcal{H}(X_G)$ be the rational de Rham cohomology group defined as the quotient of the group of rational n -forms on \mathbf{P}^n regular outside X_G by the group of the forms $d\psi$ where ψ is a rational $n-1$ form regular outside X_G . For each $k \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{H}_k(X_G) \subset \mathcal{H}(X_G)$ be the cohomology group defined as the quotient of the group of rational n -forms on \mathbf{P}^n with a pole of order $\leq k$ along X_G by the group of exact rational n -forms on \mathbf{P}^n with a pole of order $\leq k$ along X_G . Griffiths showed that any rational n -form on \mathbf{P}^n with a pole of order $\leq k$ along X_G can be written as a rational differential n -form $\frac{F(\underline{x})\Omega_n}{G(\underline{x})^k}$, where $\Omega_n = \sum_j (-1)^j x_j dx_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$ and $F(\underline{x})$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $kd - (n+1)$. He also showed that $\mathcal{H}_n(X_G) = \mathcal{H}(X_G)$ and there is a natural injection $\mathcal{H}_k(X_G) \subset \mathcal{H}_{k+1}(X_G)$ for each $k \geq 1$. Moreover, Griffiths defined the isomorphism (the residue map)

$$Res : \mathcal{H}(X_G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$$

by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \frac{F(\underline{x})}{G(\underline{x})^k} \Omega_n = \int_{\gamma} Res \left(\frac{F(\underline{x})}{G(\underline{x})^k} \Omega_n \right),$$

where $\tau(\gamma)$ is the tube over γ , as in (3.4) of [11], such that Res takes the pole order filtration

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_1(X_G) \subset \mathcal{H}_2(X_G) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(X_G) \\ \subset \mathcal{H}_n(X_G) = \mathcal{H}_{n+1}(X_G) = \cdots = \mathcal{H}(X_G) \end{aligned}$$

of $\mathcal{H}(X_G)$ ($= \mathcal{H}_{n+\ell}(X_G)$ for all $\ell \geq 0$) onto the increasing Hodge filtration $\mathcal{F}_{\bullet} \mathbb{H}$

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{F}_0 \mathbb{H} \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \mathbb{H} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}$$

of the primitive middle dimensional cohomology $\mathbb{H} = H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$. The Griffiths theory provides us with an effective method of studying the period integrals $C_{[\gamma]}$ on the hypersurface X_G as well as an infinitesimal family of hypersurfaces.

In this article, we provide a new homotopy theoretic framework to understand $\mathcal{H}(X_G) \simeq \mathbb{H}$ and such period integrals. Our main result is to construct a BV algebra \mathbf{BV}_X whose 0-th cohomology is canonically isomorphic to \mathbb{H} and lift the polarized Hodge structure on \mathbb{H} to \mathbf{BV}_X . Moreover we enhance the period integral $C_{[\gamma]} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to a cochain map $\mathcal{C}_\gamma : \mathbf{BV}_X \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$.

Definition 1.1. *A BV (Batalin-Vilkovisky) algebra¹ over \mathbb{k} is a cochain complex $(\mathcal{A}, K = Q + \Delta)$ with the following properties:*

(a) $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}^m$ is a unital \mathbb{Z} -graded super-commutative and associative \mathbb{k} -algebra satisfying $K(1_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0$.

(b) $Q^2 = \Delta^2 = Q\Delta + \Delta Q = 0$, and (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, Q) is a commutative differential graded algebra:

$$Q(a \cdot b) = Q(a) \cdot b + (-1)^{|a|} a \cdot Q(b),$$

for any homogeneous elements $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

(c) Δ is a differential operator² of order 2 and $(\mathcal{A}, K, \ell_2^K)$ is a differential graded Lie algebra (DGLA), where $\ell_2^K(a, b) := K(a \cdot b) - K(a) \cdot b - (-1)^{|a|} a \cdot K(b)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_2^K(a, b) - (-1)^{|a||b|} \ell_2^K(b, a) &= 0, \\ \ell_2^K(a, \ell_2^K(b, c)) + (-1)^{|a|} \ell_2^K(\ell_2^K(a, b), c) + (-1)^{(|a|+1)|b|} \ell_2^K(b, \ell_2^K(a, c)) &= 0, \\ K\ell_2^K(a, b) + \ell_2^K(Ka, b) + (-1)^{|a|} \ell_2^K(a, Kb) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for any homogeneous elements $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

(d) $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \ell_2^K)$ is a Gerstenhaber algebra³:

$$\ell_2^K(a \cdot b, c) = (-1)^{|a|} a \cdot \ell_2^K(b, c) + (-1)^{|b||c|} \cdot \ell_2^K(a, c) \cdot b, \quad a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}.$$

In Section 4, we explicitly construct a BV algebra $\mathbf{BV}_X := (\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, Q_X, K_X)$ associated to X_G . In the introduction, we briefly summarize the construction

¹We normalize so that the Lie bracket and the differential have degree 1, and the binary product has degree 0.

²This means that $\ell_3^\Delta = \ell_4^\Delta = \dots = 0$ in our terminology of the descendant functor. We will explain the notion of the descendant functor later in Subsection 3.2.

³In fact, this condition (d) follows from (c).

and some of its features. Let

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{A}_X := \mathbb{C}[y, x_0, \dots, x_n][\eta_{-1}, \eta_0, \dots, \eta_n],$$

be the \mathbb{Z} -graded super-commutative polynomial algebra, where y, x_0, \dots, x_n are formal variables of degree 0 and η_{-1}, \dots, η_n are formal variables of degree -1 . We define three additive gradings on \mathcal{A}_X with respect to the multiplication, called *ghost number* $gh \in \mathbb{Z}$, *charge* $ch \in \mathbb{Z}$ and *weight* $wt \in \mathbb{Z}$, by the following rules:

$$\begin{aligned} gh(y) &= 0, & gh(x_j) &= 0, & gh(\eta_{-1}) &= -1, & gh(\eta_j) &= -1, \\ ch(y) &= -d, & ch(x_j) &= 1, & ch(\eta_{-1}) &= d, & ch(\eta_j) &= -1, \\ wt(y) &= 1, & wt(x_j) &= 0, & wt(\eta_{-1}) &= 0, & wt(\eta_j) &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $j = 0, \dots, n$. The ghost number is same as the (cohomology) degree. Write such a decomposition as follows:

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{A}_X = \bigoplus_{gh, ch, wt} \mathcal{A}_{X, ch, (wt)}^{gh} = \bigoplus_{-n-2 \leq j \leq 0} \bigoplus_{w \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{X, \lambda, (w)}^j.$$

We define a differential K_X (of degree 1)

$$(1.6) \quad K_X := \left(G(\underline{x}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{-1}} + \sum_{i=0}^n \left(y \frac{\partial G(\underline{x})}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i}$$

and let $\Delta := \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{-1}} + \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i}$ and $Q_X := K_X - \Delta$. The wt grading turns out to give a (decreasing) filtered subcomplex $(F^\bullet \mathcal{A}_X, K_X)$ of (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X) defined by

$$F^0 \mathcal{A}_X = \mathcal{A}_X, \quad F^i \mathcal{A}_X = \bigoplus_{k \leq n-1-i} \mathcal{A}_{X, (k)}, \quad i \geq 1.$$

Let π_0 be the projection map from \mathcal{A}_X to \mathcal{A}_X^0 . We define two cochain maps $\mathcal{C}_\gamma : (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ and $\mathcal{f} : (\mathcal{A}_X, Q_X) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ as follows:

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{C}_\gamma(u) := -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \left(\int_0^\infty \pi_0(u) \cdot e^{yG(\underline{x})} dy \right) \Omega_n,$$

for homogeneous elements $u \in \mathcal{A}_X$ of charge $d - (n + 1)$, $\mathcal{C}_\gamma(u) := 0$ for other homogeneous elements with respect to charge, where $[\gamma] \in H_{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{Z})_0$

and $\tau : H_{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(\mathbf{P}^n - X_G, \mathbb{Z})$ is the tubular neighborhood map (see (3.4) in [11]),⁴ and

$$\oint u := \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{n+2}} \int_{X(\varepsilon)} \left(\oint_C \frac{\pi_0(u)}{y^n} dy \right) \frac{dx_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n}{\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_0} \cdots \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_n}}, \quad u \in \mathcal{A}_X,$$

where C is a closed path on \mathbb{C} with the standard orientation around $y = 0$ and

$$X(\varepsilon) = \left\{ \underline{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \left| \left| \frac{\partial G(\underline{x})}{\partial x_i} \right| = \varepsilon > 0, i = 0, 1, \dots, n \right. \right\}.$$

Then \oint defines a symmetric bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X$ on \mathcal{A}_X by

$$(1.8) \quad \langle u, v \rangle_X := \oint \pi_0(u \cdot v), \quad u, v \in \mathcal{A}_X.$$

Theorem 1.2. *The triple $\mathbf{BV}_X := (\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, Q_X, K_X)$ becomes a BV algebra over \mathbb{C} with following properties:*

(a) *We have a decomposition $\mathcal{A}_X = \bigoplus_{-(n+2) \leq m \leq 0} \mathcal{A}_X^m$ and there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$J : H_{K_X}^0(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}$$

where $H_{K_X}^0(\mathcal{A})$ is the 0-th cohomology module.

(b) $Q_X(f) = \ell_2^{K_X}(yG(\underline{x}), f)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{A}_X$.⁵

Moreover, the followings hold:

(c) *The map J sends the decreasing filtration $(F^\bullet \mathcal{A}_X, K_X)$ on (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X) to the Hodge filtration on \mathbb{H} .*

(d) *The pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X$ on \mathcal{A}_X induces a polarization (the cup product pairing) on \mathbb{H} (up to sign) under J .*

(e) *The cochain map $\mathcal{C}_\gamma : (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ induces the period integral $C_{[\gamma]}$ under J .*

The novel feature here is that we are able to put an associative and super-commutative binary product \cdot on the cochain complex (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X) which turns out to govern *correlations* and *deformations* of the period integral

⁴We will see that $\pi_0(u)$ is homogeneous of charge $d - (n + 1)$ if and only if the integral $(\int_0^\infty \pi_0(u) \cdot e^{yG(\underline{x})} dy) \Omega_n$ defines a differential n -form on $\mathbf{P}^n - X_G$.

⁵It is easy to see that the homogeneous coordinate ring of X_G is isomorphic to the weight zero part of the cohomology ring $H_{Q_X}^0(\mathcal{A}_X)_{(0)}$. Since (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X) can be viewed as a quantization of (\mathcal{A}_X, Q_X) , the singular cohomology \mathbb{H} may be regarded as a quantization of the homogenous coordinate ring of X_G .

$C_{[\gamma]} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.⁶ In addition, we simultaneously realize the Hodge theoretic information on \mathbb{H} (the Hodge filtration and the cup product polarization) at the BV algebra level.

A consequence of the BV structure on \mathbb{H} is that the period integral $C_{[\gamma]} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ can be enhanced to an L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\kappa} = \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots$, where $\kappa_1 = C_{[\gamma]}$ and κ_m is a linear map from the m -th symmetric power $S^m \mathbb{H}$ of \mathbb{H} into \mathbb{C} , which is a composition of two non-trivial L_∞ -morphisms such that $\underline{\kappa}$ depends only on the L_∞ -homotopy types of each factor. We recall that an L_∞ -algebra, or homotopy Lie algebra, $(V, \underline{\ell})$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space V with an L_∞ -structure $\underline{\ell} = \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \dots$, where ℓ_1 is a differential such that (V, ℓ_1) is a cochain complex, ℓ_2 is a graded Lie bracket which satisfies the graded Jacobi identity up to homotopy ℓ_3 etc. An L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\phi} = \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots$ is a morphism between L_∞ -algebras, say $(V, \underline{\ell})$ and $(V', \underline{\ell}')$, such that ϕ_1 is a cochain map of the underlying cochain complex, which is a Lie algebra homomorphism up to homotopy ϕ_2 , etc. An L_∞ -homotopy $\underline{\lambda} = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ is a homotopy of L_∞ -morphisms such that λ_1 is a cochain homotopy of the underlying cochain complex, etc.⁷ We use this hidden L_∞ -homotopy theoretic structure to study certain extended deformations and correlations of period integrals; we develop a new formal deformation theory of X_G which leaves the realm of infinitesimal variations of Hodge structures of X_G . This new formal deformation theory has directions that do *not* satisfy Griffiths transversality.

Theorem 1.3. *There is a non-trivial L_∞ -algebra $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\underline{\ell}})_X$ associated to X_G with the following properties:*

(a) *The cohomology $\mathbb{H} := H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$, regarded as an L_∞ -algebra $(\mathbb{H}, \underline{0})$ concentrated in degree 0 with zero L_∞ -structure $\underline{0}$, is quasi-isomorphic to the L_∞ -algebra $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\underline{\ell}})_X$.*

(b) *For each representative γ of $[\gamma] \in H_{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{Z})_0$ there is an L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\phi}^\gamma = \phi_1^\gamma, \phi_2^\gamma, \dots$ from $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\underline{\ell}})_X$ into $(\mathbb{C}, \underline{0})$ – the ground field \mathbb{C}*

⁶Since $\mathcal{H}(X_G)$ is defined as the cohomology of the de Rham complex with the wedge product, one might think to play a similar game to find hidden correlations. But if one wedges two n -forms then the resulting differential form is a $2n$ -form which can **not** be integrated against a fixed cycle γ .

⁷ Any \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space may be regarded as an L_∞ -algebra with zero L_∞ -structure. The cohomology of an L_∞ -algebra is defined to be the cohomology of the underlying cochain complex. An L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism is a L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\phi} = \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots$ such that ϕ_1 is a cochain quasi-isomorphism. See Appendix 5.2 for the definitions of L_∞ -algebras, L_∞ -morphisms, and L_∞ -homotopies as well as the category and the homotopy category of L_∞ -algebras.

regarded as an L_∞ -algebra $(\mathbb{C}, \underline{0})$ with zero L_∞ -structure $\underline{0}$ – whose L_∞ -homotopy type $[\underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{E}_\gamma}]$ is determined uniquely by the homology class $[\gamma]$ of γ .

(c) There is an explicitly constructible L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\kappa} = \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots$ from $(\mathbb{H}, \underline{0})$ into $(\mathbb{C}, \underline{0})$ which is the composition $\underline{\kappa} := \underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{E}_\gamma} \bullet \underline{\varphi}^{\mathbb{H}}$ of the L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism $\underline{\varphi}^{\mathbb{H}}$ from (a) and the L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{E}_\gamma}$ associated to γ from (b) such that

(i) $\underline{\kappa} := \underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{E}_\gamma} \bullet \underline{\varphi}^{\mathbb{H}}$ depends only on the L_∞ -homotopy types of $\underline{\varphi}^{\mathbb{H}}$ and $\underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{E}_\gamma}$ and

(ii) $\kappa_1 = C_{[\gamma]} = \phi_1^{\mathcal{E}_\gamma} \circ \varphi_1^{\mathbb{H}}$:

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{C_{[\gamma]} = \phi_1^{\mathcal{E}_\gamma} \circ \varphi_1^{\mathbb{H}}} & \\ (\mathbb{H}, \underline{0}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1^{\mathbb{H}}} (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\ell})_X \xrightarrow{\phi_1^{\mathcal{E}_\gamma}} & (\mathbb{C}, \underline{0}) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\varphi}^{\mathbb{H}}} & \xrightarrow{\underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{E}_\gamma}} \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\kappa} = \underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{E}_\gamma} \bullet \underline{\varphi}^{\mathbb{H}}} & \end{array}$$

Note that $\varphi_1^{\mathbb{H}}$ is a cochain quasi-isomorphism from $(\mathbb{H}, 0)$ to $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\ell}_1)_X$, $\phi_1^{\mathcal{E}_\gamma}$ is a cochain map from $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\ell}_1)_X$ to $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ and both are defined up to cochain homotopies. Within their own homotopy types, a choice of $\varphi_1^{\mathbb{H}}$ corresponds to a choice of representative ϖ of the cohomology class $[\varpi] \in H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$, while a choice of $\phi_1^{\mathcal{E}_\gamma}$ corresponds to, after dualization, a choice of representative γ of the homology class $[\gamma] \in H_{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{Z})_0$ in the integral $\int_\gamma \varpi$ in (1.1) such that $\kappa_1([\varpi]) = \phi_1^{\mathcal{E}_\gamma} \circ \varphi_1^{\mathbb{H}}([\varpi]) = \int_\gamma \varpi$ and $\mathcal{E}_\gamma = \phi_1^{\mathcal{E}_\gamma}$.

1.2. Applications

Note that \mathbf{BV}_X is very explicit (a super-commutative polynomial ring with derivative operators) and amenable to computation. Here we explain some applications how to use the theorems in Subsection 1.1 to analyze the period integral and the period matrix of X_G and their deformations. More precisely, if X_G is in a formal family of hypersurfaces $X_{G_{\mathcal{T}}}$, we provide an explicit formula and an algorithm (based on a Gröbner basis) to compute the period integral (see Theorem 1.4) of $X_{G_{\mathcal{T}}}$ in terms of the period integral of X_G and the L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\kappa} = \underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{E}_\gamma} \bullet \underline{\varphi}^{\mathbb{H}}$ in Theorem 1.3. We also do the same work for the period matrix (see Theorem 1.5) of $X_{G_{\mathcal{T}}}$. This explicit formula can be viewed as an explicit solution to Picard-Fuchs type differential equations for period integrals of a formal family of hypersurfaces using the L_∞ -homotopy

data and the initial solution. We assume that X_G is Calabi-Yau, i.e. $d = n + 1$, in Theorems 1.4 and 1.5; our deformation theory works especially well in this case. If X_G is not Calabi-Yau, some of statements are still literally true and some can be modified to be true. But we decided to postpone the non-Calabi-Yau deformation in another paper for the sake of simplicity of presentation and for technical reasons.⁸

For our deformation theory, we define the following formal power series

$$(1.10) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}([\varphi^{\mathbb{H}}]) := \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} t^{\alpha_n} \dots t^{\alpha_1} (\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\gamma} \bullet \varphi^{\mathbb{H}})_n(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n})\right) - 1 \in \mathbb{C}[[t]],$$

which depends only on the homology class $[\gamma] \in H_{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{Z})_0$ of γ and the L_{∞} -homotopy type $[\varphi^{\mathbb{H}}]$ of the L_{∞} -quasi-isomorphism

$$\varphi^{\mathbb{H}} : (\mathbb{H}, \underline{0}) \dashrightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\ell})_X .$$

This generating power series shall be used to determine the period integral and the period matrix of a projective hypersurface deformed from X_G .

Let $\{e_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a \mathbb{C} -basis of \mathbb{H} , where I is an index set, and denote the \mathbb{C} -dual of e_{α} by t^{α} . Let $X_{G_{\underline{T}}} \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ be a formal family of smooth hypersurfaces defined by

$$G_{\underline{T}}(\underline{x}) = G(\underline{x}) + F(\underline{T}),$$

where $F(\underline{T}) \in \mathbb{C}[[\underline{T}]][\underline{x}]$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[[\underline{T}]]$ with $F(\underline{0}) = 0$ and $\underline{T} = \{T^{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I'}$ are formal variables with some index set $I' \subset I$.

By a standard basis of \mathbb{H} we mean a choice of basis $e_1, \dots, e_{\delta_0}, e_{\delta_0+1}, \dots, e_{\delta_1}, \dots, e_{\delta_{n-2}+1}, \dots, e_{\delta_{n-1}}$ for the flag $\mathcal{F}_{\bullet} \mathbb{H}$ in (1.3) such that e_1, \dots, e_{δ_0} gives a basis for the subspace $\mathbb{H}^{n-1-0,0} := H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1,0}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$ and $e_{\delta_{k-1}+1}, \dots, e_{\delta_k}$, $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$, gives a basis for the subspace $\mathbb{H}^{n-1-k,k} = H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1-k,k}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$. We also denote such a basis by $\{e_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$ where $I = I_0 \sqcup I_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup I_{n-1}$ with the notation $\{e_a^j\}_{a \in I_j} = e_{\delta_{j-1}+1}, \dots, e_{\delta_j}$ and $\{t_a^j\}_{a \in I_j} = t^{\delta_{j-1}+1}, \dots, t^{\delta_j}$. We

⁸If $c_X := d - (n + 1) \neq 0$, then one can find a homogeneous polynomial $g(\underline{x})$ of the minimal degree and minimal $i \geq 0$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{\gamma}(y^i g(\underline{x})) \neq 0$ and $y^i g(\underline{x}) \in \mathcal{A}_{c_X}^0$ and then use $\mathcal{C}_{\gamma}(y^i g(\underline{x})(e^{\Gamma_{\varphi^{\mathbb{H}}}/y^i g(\underline{x})} - 1))$ instead of $\mathcal{C}_{\gamma}(e^{\Gamma_{\varphi^{\mathbb{H}}} - 1}) = \mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}([\varphi^{\mathbb{H}}])$; this amounts to twisting of "the measure $e^{yG(\underline{x})} dy \Omega_n$ " in (1.7) by a new measure " $y^i g(\underline{x}) e^{yG(\underline{x})} dy \Omega_n$ " which is invariant under the classical charge symmetry $y \mapsto \lambda^{-d} y, x_i \mapsto \lambda x_i, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$.

assume that $I' \subset I_1$, since $\mathbb{H}^{n-2,1}$ governs the deformations of complex structures of X .

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that X is Calabi-Yau. For any standard basis $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ of \mathbb{H} with $I = I_0 \sqcup I_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_{n-1}$, there is an L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism $f : (\mathbb{H}, \underline{0}) \xrightarrow{\cdots} (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\ell})_X$ such that*

(a) *for each $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, the set $\{f_1(e_a^k)\}_{a \in I_k}$ corresponds to a set $\{F_{[k]a}(\underline{x})\}_{a \in I_k}$ of homogeneous polynomials of degree $dk = d(k+1) - (n+1)$ such that*

$$\left\{ \left[\operatorname{Res} \frac{(-1)^k k! F_{[k]a}(\underline{x})}{G(\underline{x})^{k+1}} \Omega_n \right] \right\}_{a \in I_k} = \{e_a^k\}_{a \in I_k}.$$

(b) *We have the following equation*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \frac{\Omega_n}{G_{\underline{T}}(\underline{x})} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \frac{\Omega_n}{G(\underline{x})} + \mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}([f]) \Big|_{\substack{t^\beta=0, \beta \in I \setminus I' \\ t^\alpha=T^\alpha, \alpha \in I'}}.$$

Note that $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \frac{\Omega_n}{G_{\underline{T}}(\underline{x})}$ in (b) above is a geometrically defined invariant of the formal family of hypersurfaces $X_{G_{\underline{T}}}$; recall that the image of $\frac{\Omega_n}{G_{\underline{T}}(\underline{x})}$ under the residue map represents a holomorphic $(n-1)$ -form on $X_{G_{\underline{T}}}$. Thus the L_∞ -homotopy invariant $\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}([f]) \Big|_{\substack{t^\beta=0, \beta \in I \setminus I' \\ t^\alpha=T^\alpha, \alpha \in I'}}$ tells us how to compute the period integral of a deformed hypersurface $X_{G_{\underline{T}}}$ from the period integral of X_G .

Now we explain how to use L_∞ -homotopy theory to compute the period matrix of a deformed hypersurface. Let $\{\gamma_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a basis of $H_{n-1}(X, \mathbb{C})_0$ by noting that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{n-1}(X, \mathbb{C})_0 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}.$$

Let $\Omega(X) = \omega_\beta^\alpha(X)$ be the period matrix of X , i.e.

$$\omega_\beta^\alpha(X) := \int_{\gamma_\alpha} e_\beta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tau(\gamma_\alpha)} \frac{(-1)^k k! F_{[k]\beta}(\underline{x})}{G(\underline{x})^{k+1}} \Omega_n, \quad \alpha, \beta \in I.$$

Let $\Omega(X_{G_{\underline{T}}}) = \omega_\beta^\alpha(X_{G_{\underline{T}}})$ be the period matrix of a formal hypersurface $X_{G_{\underline{T}}}$ defined by $G_{\underline{T}}(\underline{x})$. Note that smooth projective hypersurfaces with fixed degree d have same topological types and their singular homologies (consisting of vanishing cycles) and primitive cohomologies are isomorphic.

Theorem 1.5. *Assume that X is Calabi-Yau. The L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism $\underline{f} : (\mathbb{H}, \underline{0}) \dashrightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\underline{0}})_X$ in Theorem 1.4 induces a 1-tensor $\{T^\alpha(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}}\} \in \mathbb{C}[[\underline{t}]]$, which is explicitly computable and depends only on the L_∞ -homotopy type of \underline{f} , such that*

- (a) $\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}([\underline{f}]) (\underline{t}) = \sum_{\alpha \in I} T^\alpha(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}} C_{[\gamma]}(e_\alpha)$,
- (b) $T^\alpha(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}} = t^\alpha + \mathcal{O}(\underline{t}^2), \quad \forall \alpha \in I.$

In addition, we have the following formula between $\omega_\beta^\alpha(X_{G_{\underline{T}}})$ and $\omega_\alpha^\beta(X_G)$ via $\{T^\alpha(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}}\}$;

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\beta^\alpha(X_{G_{\underline{T}}}) &= \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\beta} (\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma_\alpha]}([\underline{f}]) (\underline{t})) \right|_{\substack{t^\beta=0, \beta \in I \setminus I' \\ t^\alpha=T^\alpha, \alpha \in I'}} \\ &= \sum_{\rho \in I} \left(\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\beta} T^\rho(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}} \right) \omega_\rho^\alpha(X_G) \right|_{\substack{t^\beta=0, \beta \in I \setminus I' \\ t^\alpha=T^\alpha, \alpha \in I'}} \end{aligned}$$

for each $\alpha, \beta \in I$.

This theorem says that $\Omega(X)$ and $\Omega(X_{G_{\underline{T}}})$ are “transcendental” invariants but their relationship is “algebraically computable up to desired precision”; if we know the period matrix $\Omega(X) = \Omega(X_{G_0})$ and the polynomials $G_{\underline{T}}(\underline{x})$, then there is an algebraic algorithm to compute the period matrix $\Omega(X_{G_{\underline{T}}})$. The matrix $\left(\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\beta} T^\rho(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}} \right) \right|_{\substack{t^\beta=0, \beta \in I \setminus I' \\ t^\alpha=T^\alpha, \alpha \in I'}}$ gives a linear transformation formula from $\omega_\beta^\alpha(X_G)$ to $\omega_\beta^\alpha(X_{G_{\underline{T}}})$. Note that

$$\left(\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\beta} T^\rho(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}} \right) \right|_{t^a=0, a \in I} = \delta_\beta^\rho, \quad \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\beta} (\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma_\alpha]}([\underline{f}]) (\underline{t})) \right|_{t^a=0, a \in I} = \omega_\beta^\alpha(X_G),$$

where δ_β^ρ is the Kronecker delta. Thus the matrix $\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\beta} (\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma_\alpha]}([\underline{f}]) (\underline{t})) \right|_{t^a=0, a \in I}$ can be thought of as a generalization of the period matrix of a formal deformation of X_G . We will sometimes call the matrix

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t^\beta} (\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma_\alpha]}([\underline{f}]) (\underline{t}))_{\{\alpha, \beta \in I\}}$$

the *period matrix of an extended formal deformation (or an extended period matrix) of X_G* , associated to the L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism \underline{f} .

From property (a) above, we see that the 1-tensor $\{T^\alpha(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}}\}$ determines the generating series $\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}([\underline{f}]) (\underline{t})$ completely if it is combined with the period integral $C_{[\gamma]} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Property (b) in Theorem 1.5 also allows us to define an invertible matrix (2-tensor) $\mathcal{G}_\alpha^\beta(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}} := \partial_\alpha T^\beta(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}} = \delta_\alpha^\beta + \mathcal{O}(\underline{t})$, where

∂_α means the partial derivative with respect to t^α , with inverse $\mathcal{G}_\alpha^{-1\beta}(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}}$ in $\mathbb{C}[[\underline{t}]]$. We can further define a 3-tensor $\{A_{\alpha\beta\sigma}(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}}\} \in \mathbb{C}[[\underline{t}]]$ depending only on the L_∞ -homotopy type of \underline{f} such that for all $\alpha, \beta, \sigma \in I$,

$$(1.11) \quad A_{\alpha\beta\sigma}(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}} = \sum_{\rho \in I} \left(\partial_\alpha \mathcal{G}_{\beta\rho}(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}} \right) \mathcal{G}_\rho^{-1\sigma}(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}}.$$

Our approach shall provide an effective algorithm (using a Gröbner basis) for computing the 3-tensor $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}}$; see Subsection 4.13. Also there is a concrete algorithm to determine the 1-tensor $\{T^\alpha(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}}\}$ from the 3-tensor $\{A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}}\}$ (its calculation can be implemented in a computer algebra system such as SINGULAR by our new homotopy method). This means that, for an arbitrary homogeneous polynomial $F(\underline{x})$ of degree $kd - (n + 1), k \geq 1$, there is an effective algorithm to compute the period integral $\int_\gamma \text{Res} \left(\frac{F(\underline{x})}{G(\underline{x})^k} \Omega_n \right)$ from the finite data $\{C_{[\gamma]}(e_\alpha) : \alpha \in I\}$. Specializing the generating series $\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}([\underline{f}])(\underline{t})$ for any 1-parameter family, by setting $t^\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha \in I$ except for one parameter t^β with $\beta \in I'$, one can derive an ordinary differential equation of higher order, which turns out to be the usual Picard-Fuchs equation. In fact, $A_{\alpha\beta\sigma}(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}}$ can be regarded as a generalization of the Gauss-Manin connection; see Subsection 4.12.

As another application of our new approach to understanding \mathbb{H} and $C_{[\gamma]}$, we were able to prove the existence of a cochain level realization of the Hodge filtration and a polarization on \mathbb{H} (statements (c) and (d) of Theorem 1.2). We put a *weight filtration* on $(\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, K_X)$ which induces the Hodge filtration on \mathbb{H} under the isomorphism $H_{K_X}^0(\mathcal{A}_X) \simeq \mathbb{H}$ and analyze this filtered complex to obtain a certain spectral sequence, which we call *the classical to quantum spectral sequence*; see Propositions 4.11 and 4.12. Moreover, we lift a polarization of \mathbb{H} to a bilinear pairing on \mathcal{A}_X ; see Definition 4.15 and Theorem 4.16. This might provide a new optic for understanding the period domain of *homotopy polarized Hodge structures* and the (infinitesimal) variation of polarized Hodge structures at the level of cochains.

1.3. Approach to the proofs of the theorems

We turn to the general framework behind the theorems. In this subsection, we will briefly indicate how we approach their proofs. We associate to X_G a representation of a finite dimensional abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of dimension $n + 2$ on a polynomial algebra with $n + 2$ variables;

$$\rho_X : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(A), \quad A := \mathbb{k}[y, x_0, \dots, x_n] = \mathbb{k}[y, \underline{x}].$$

This Lie algebra representation comes from the Schrödinger representation of the abelian Lie subalgebra of the Heisenberg Lie algebra of dimension $2(n + 2) + 1$ twisted by the Dwork polynomial $y \cdot G(\underline{x}) \in \mathbb{k}[y, x_0, \dots, x_n]$. Let $\alpha_{-1}, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$ be a \mathbb{k} -basis of \mathfrak{g} . We also introduce variables $y_{-1} = y, y_0 = x_0, y_1 = x_1, \dots, y_n = x_n$ for notational convenience. If we consider the formal operators (twisting ρ by $y \cdot G(\underline{x})$),

$$\rho_X(\alpha_i) := \exp(-y \cdot G(\underline{x})) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \cdot \exp(y \cdot G(\underline{x})), \quad i = -1, 0, \dots, n,$$

then we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_X(\alpha_i) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} + \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, y \cdot G(\underline{x}) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, y \cdot G(\underline{x}) \right], y \cdot G(\underline{x}) \right] + \dots \\ &\equiv \frac{\partial(y \cdot G(\underline{x}))}{\partial y_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}. \end{aligned}$$

With the notion of *period integrals* of Lie algebra representations, we will show that the period integrals of such representations are the Griffiths period integrals of the hypersurface X_G . If we use the dual Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complex $(\mathcal{A}_{\rho_X}, \cdot, K_{\rho_X})$, which computes the Lie algebra homology associated to ρ_X , then we can realize $C_{[\gamma]}$ as the homotopy type of a cochain map $\mathcal{C}_\gamma : (\mathcal{A}_{\rho_X}, \cdot, K_{\rho_X}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, \cdot, 0)$ of cochain complexes equipped with a super-commutative product. In fact, (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X) in Theorem 1.2 is $(\mathcal{A}_{\rho_X}, K_{\rho_X})$.

This leads us to study the category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ of cochain complexes over a field \mathbb{k} equipped with a super-commutative product. An object of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is a unital \mathbb{Z} -graded associative and super-commutative \mathbb{k} -algebra \mathcal{A} with differential K , denoted (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) . A morphism in $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is a cochain map (note that a morphism is not necessarily a ring homomorphism).

- The basic principle here is that all Theorems in this article can be derived systematically from a pair $(\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, K_X) \in \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}})$ and $\mathcal{C}_\gamma : (\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, K_X) \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}, \cdot, 0) \in \text{Mor}(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}})$.

Note that a BV algebra in Definition 1.1 can be regarded as an object of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$. This category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is studied in the context of *homotopy probability theory* by the first named author in [19]. The failure of ring homomorphism (with respect to the product \cdot) of a morphism in $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is related to the notion of independence (so called, cumulants) in probability theory and the differential K is related to homotopy theory. But here we will not touch any issues related to probability theory. Instead we will provide a self-contained

argument and proofs regarding $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$. The category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ can be seen as a bridge between period integrals of X_G and L_∞ -homotopy theory. The relationship between the period integral of X_G and $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is made by the representation ρ_X , and the relationship between $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ and L_∞ -homotopy theory will be given by the descendant functor \mathfrak{Des} .

The descendant functor is a homotopy functor from the category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ to the category \mathfrak{L} of L_∞ -algebras (we include Appendix 5.2 explaining notations for the homotopy category of unital L_∞ -algebras suitable for our purpose), which is defined by using the binary product \cdot of an object of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$. See Definition 3.1 and Theorem 3.11 for details. This functor can be regarded as an organizing principle (or tool) to understand the *correlations* among $\mathcal{C}_\gamma(x_1), \mathcal{C}_\gamma(x_1 \cdot x_2), \dots, \mathcal{C}_\gamma(x_1 \cdots x_m)$, where x_1, \dots, x_m are homogeneous elements in \mathcal{A}_X and $m \geq 1$. This functor unifies two different failures of compatibility of algebraic structures into one language; we show that measuring how much the product \cdot fails to be a derivation of K induces an L_∞ -algebra structure on \mathcal{A}_X , denoted $(\mathcal{A}_X, \underline{\ell}^{K_X})$, and measuring how much \mathcal{C}_γ fails to be a \mathbb{k} -algebra homomorphism induces an L_∞ -morphism from $(\mathcal{A}_X, \underline{\ell}^{K_X})$ to $(\mathbb{k}, \underline{0})$, denoted $\underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{C}_\gamma}$. Note that the descendant functor is independent of hypersurfaces and their period integrals and is a general notion which measures incompatibilities of mathematical structures of the category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$.

Once we get a descendant L_∞ -algebra $(\mathcal{A}_X, \underline{\ell}^{K_X})$, we can study an extended formal deformation functor attached to it. This deformation includes the classical geometric deformation and has new directions which violate Griffiths transversality. Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.4, and Theorem 1.5 can be derived by a careful analysis of $(\mathcal{A}_X, \underline{\ell}^{K_X})$ and the descendant L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{C}_\gamma}$.

1.4. Physical motivation; (0+0)-dimensional field theory

We briefly explain the physical motivation behind the article. We decided to include it because the physical viewpoint was crucial to the conception of the paper. Even if the description is not entirely precise from a mathematical point of view, our hope is that it will be more helpful than confusing in guiding the reader through the rather elaborate constructions to follow. What we prove regarding X_G and its period integrals in the article is essentially to work out the details of the simplest possible field theory, a (0+0)-dimensional field theory with the Dwork polynomial $S_{cl} = y \cdot G(\underline{x})$ as the classical action.

Here $(0+0)$ means 0-dimensional time and 0-dimensional space.⁹ The basic principle is that any space can be viewed as the space of fields of a classical field theory of dimension 0. A key point of the paper is that this principle is very useful in putting classical objects into an illuminating context that makes them amenable to natural generalizations.

Let $CFT_{S_{cl}}$ be such a $(0+0)$ -dimensional classical field theory. Then we can view the smooth hypersurface X_G as (the reduced component) of the classical equations of motion space of $CFT_{S_{cl}}$ ¹⁰, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial S_{cl}}{\partial y} = G(\underline{x}) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial S_{cl}}{\partial x_i} = y \cdot \frac{\partial G(\underline{x})}{\partial x_i} = 0, \quad \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

The space of classical fields is $\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ whose ring of regular algebraic functions is isomorphic to $A = \mathbb{C}[y, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] = \mathbb{C}[y]$. We call y_i 's classical fields for each $i = -1, \dots, n$. The gauge group \mathbb{C}^* acts on $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ by $\lambda \cdot (y, x_0, \dots, x_n) := (\lambda^{-d}y, \lambda x_0, \dots, \lambda x_n)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ (note that $ch(y) = -d$ and $ch(x_i) = 1$), so that S_{cl} is invariant under the gauge action. Then the ring \mathcal{R}_{cl} of classical observables modulo physical equivalence is isomorphic to the charge zero part¹¹ of the Jacobian ring $J(S_{cl}) := \mathbb{C}[y] / \left(\frac{\partial S_{cl}}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial S_{cl}}{\partial x_0}, \dots, \frac{\partial S_{cl}}{\partial x_n} \right)$. This motivates us to construct the commutative differential graded algebra $(\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, Q_X)$ whose cohomology is isomorphic to \mathcal{R}_{cl} (when X_G is Calabi-Yau) or $J(S_{cl})$ (when X_G is not Calabi-Yau). Roughly speaking, one can regard the passage from the ring \mathcal{R}_{cl} or $J(S_{cl})$ to the CDGA $(\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, Q_X)$ as an enhancement of classical algebraic geometry to derived algebraic geometry.

We like to emphasize that if one just applies natural field theoretic constructions to the variety viewed as the classical equations of motion space,

⁹Every physical quantity has physical dimension $[\text{mass}]^a [\text{length}]^b [\text{time}]^c$, $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. In the present case, we are dealing with $(0 + 0)$ -dimensional space-time so that there is only one unit, which is converted to the *weight wt* of y . Note that a natural filtration generated by y induces the Hodge filtration on \mathbb{H} ; see (c) of Theorem 1.2.

¹⁰This physical view point suggests that we can use the potential $S_{cl} = \sum_{i=1}^k y_k G_k(\underline{x})$ when we deal with a smooth projective complete intersection X in \mathbf{P}^n given by homogeneous polynomials $G_1(\underline{x}), \dots, G_k(\underline{x})$.

¹¹If X_G is Calabi-Yau ($d = n + 1$), then classical observables (elements of charge zero) lift to quantum observables; this is the *anomaly-free* case. If X_G is not Calabi-Yau ($d \neq n + 1$), then classical observables (elements of charge zero) do not lift to quantum observables; there is an *anomaly* in this case. In fact, quantum observables have the background charge $c_X = d - (n + 1)$.

all classical constructions in the paper follow. We summarize the correspondence between the structures in $CFT_{S_{cl}}$ and the structures arising in our paper in Table 1.

(0+0)-dimensional classical field theory $CFT_{S_{cl}}$	enhanced homotopy theory of hypersurfaces
the space of classical fields modulo the gauge group	the weighted projective space $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}(-d, 1, \dots, 1)$
the classical equations of motion space	the union of one point and the hypersurface X_G
the space of classical observables modulo physical equivalence	the charge zero part of the Jacobian ring $\mathbb{C}[y] / \left(\frac{\partial S_{cl}}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial S_{cl}}{\partial x_0}, \dots, \frac{\partial S_{cl}}{\partial x_n} \right)$
homotopy enhancement of $CFT_{S_{cl}}$	the CDGA $(\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, Q_X)$

Table 1: Classical field theory.

Then we quantize $CFT_{S_{cl}}$ by essentially following the Batalin-Vilkovisky (BV) quantization scheme in [3], to construct a (0+0)-dimensional quantum field theory $QFT_{S_{cl}}$ whose partition function is the Griffiths period integral of X_G ; this leads us to the construction of $\mathbf{BV}_X = (\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, Q_X, K_X)$ and the cochain map \mathcal{C}_γ which enhances $C_{[\gamma]}$. We call η_i the anti-field of the classical field y_i and Δ is the BV operator in [3]. We view the differential K_X as a BV quantization of the differential operator Q_X . In this case, the space of quantum observables modulo physical equivalence is isomorphic to the middle dimensional primitive cohomology \mathbb{H} of X_G , since the 0-th K_X -cohomology group $H_{K_X}^0(\mathcal{A}_X)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{H} . For each middle dimensional homology class $[\gamma] \in H_{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{Z})_0$, the cochain map \mathcal{C}_γ becomes a Feynman path integral, in the sense of Batalin-Vilkovisky in [3], such that the expectation value $\mathcal{C}_\gamma(O)$ of a quantum observable O is the period integral $\int_\gamma \omega$, where ω is a representative of cohomology class $[\omega] \in \mathbb{H}$; recall that

$$\mathcal{C}_\gamma(O) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \left(\int_0^\infty \pi_0(O) \cdot e^{yG(x)} dy \right) \Omega_n, \quad O \in \mathcal{A}_X,$$

and the measure $-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \left(\int_0^\infty \pi_0(\bullet) \cdot e^{yG(x)} dy \right) \Omega_n$ can be regarded as a path integral measure in $QFT_{S_{cl}}$. In addition, $QFT_{S_{cl}}$ has a smooth formal based moduli space \mathcal{M}_{X_G} whose tangent space is isomorphic to \mathbb{H} and, if X_G

is Calabi-Yau (anomaly-free in physical terminology), the tangent space has a structure of the formal Frobenius manifold. The quantum master equation in $QFT_{S_{cl}}$

$$\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta e^\Gamma = \sum_{\gamma \in I} A_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \partial_\gamma e^\Gamma + K(\Lambda_{\alpha\beta} \cdot e^\Gamma) \text{ for } \forall \alpha, \beta \in I,$$

can be seen as a vast generalization of the Picard-Fuchs type differential equations. We also have worked out the generating functions of every quantum correlation (see (1.10) for their definition) up to finite ambiguity by an explicitly executable algebraic algorithm.

If we just apply a natural algebraic homotopy theoretical quantization, which is proposed by the first named author in [18] and enhances the BV quantization in [3], to the classical field theory $CFT_{S_{cl}}$, then all quantum constructions in our paper follow. We also summarize the correspondence between the structures in $QFT_{S_{cl}}$ and the structures appearing in the paper in Table 2.

(0+0)-dimensional quantum field theory $QFT_{S_{cl}}$	enhanced homotopy theory of hypersurfaces
the space of quantum observables modulo physical equivalence	the middle dimensional cohomology $\mathbb{H} = H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$
BV quantization of $CFT_{S_{cl}}$	the BV algebra \mathbf{BV}_X
Feynman path integral and partition function	the Griffith period integrals \mathcal{C}_γ
the quantum master equation	a generalization of the Picard-Fuchs equations
the generating functions of every quantum correlation	the generating power series $\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}([\varphi^{\mathbb{H}}]) = \mathcal{C}_\gamma(e^{\Gamma_{\varphi^{\mathbb{H}}}} - 1)$

Table 2: Quantum field theory.

1.5. Plan of the paper

Now we explain the contents of each section of the paper. The paper consists of 3 main sections and the appendix. In the first main section, Section 2, we explain the general theory of *period integrals* associated to a Lie algebra representation. In Subsection 2.1, we define the notion of *period integrals* of a

Lie algebra representation ρ (see Definition 2.1). Then, in Subsection 2.2, we explain how to construct a cochain complex associated to ρ which is *dual* to the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex, and a way of associating a morphism into $(\mathbb{k}, 0)$ in the category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ to its period integral. Then we illustrate by an example why the dual Chevalley-Eilenberg complex is crucial and more suitable to understand the period integral of ρ than the cohomology Chevalley-Eilenberg complex attached to ρ in Subsection 2.3.

The second main section, Section 3, is about the general theory of the category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$. The key concepts are the *descendant functor*, *generating power series*, and *flat connections*. In Subsection 3.1, we explain the basic philosophy of the descendant functor. In Subsection 3.2, we provide a way to understand the category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ in terms of L_{∞} -homotopy theory; we construct the homotopy *descendant functor* from the category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ to the category \mathfrak{L} of L_{∞} -algebras. Then we show that a descendant L_{∞} -algebra is formal in Subsection 3.3. In Subsection 3.4, we attach a deformation problem to the descendant L_{∞} -algebra of (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) and explain what we deform. In Subsection 3.5, we define a notion of the generating power series, which organizes various *correlations and deformations* of period integrals into one power series in the deformation parameters, and show that they are L_{∞} -homotopy invariants. Then we verify that the generating power series attached to a versal formal deformation satisfies a system of partial differential equations (Theorem 3.23) with respect to derivatives of deformation parameters and show the coefficients $A_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}(\underline{t})$ appearing in the differential equations are L_{∞} -homotopy invariants, in Subsection 3.6. In Subsection 3.7, we provide a way to compute the generating power series explicitly. Finally, in Subsection 3.8, this system of partial differential equations is interpreted as the existence of a flat connection on the tangent bundle of a formal deformation space attached to $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)$. In light of this, Section 2 can be regarded as a general way to provide examples of objects along with morphisms to the initial object (the period integrals of Lie algebra representations) in the category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$.

In the third main section, Section 4, we apply all the general machinery of the previous sections to reveal hidden structures on the singular cohomologies and the Griffiths period integrals of smooth projective hypersurfaces. Section 4 can be viewed as a source of explicit examples of non-trivial period integrals of certain Lie algebra representations, and gives non-trivial examples of objects and morphisms into the initial object in $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$. In Subsection 4.1, we apply the general theory to the toy model to illustrate our homotopical viewpoint of understanding the Griffiths period integral. In Subsection 4.2, we explain how to attach a Lie algebra representation ρ_X to a projective smooth hypersurface X . In Subsection 4.3, we briefly recall Griffiths' theory

of period integrals of smooth projective hypersurfaces and construct a non-trivial period integral of its associated Lie algebra representation. Then, in Subsection 4.4, we explicitly construct a commutative differential graded algebra (\mathcal{A}_X, Q_X) whose cohomology essentially describes the coordinate ring of X_G and in Subsection 4.5 we construct the cochain complex (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X) with super-commutative product (a quantization of $(\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, Q_X)$) attached to the hypersurface X . As a consequence we prove (a), (b) and (e) of Theorem 1.2. We prove (c) and (d) of Theorem 1.2 in Subsections 4.6 and Subsection 4.8, respectively. In Subsection 4.7, we compute its K -cohomology $H_K^i(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. In Subsection 4.9, we prove Theorem 1.3. We verify Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 in Subsections 4.10 and 4.11. We provide a precise relationship between the Gauss-Manin connection and our flat connection on the tangent bundle of a formal deformation space in Subsection 4.12. Finally, in Subsection 4.13, we explain how to compute (extended formal) deformations of the Griffiths period integrals and the period matrices via the ideal membership problem based on the Gröbner basis.

The main idea of this paper originated from the first named author's work on the algebraic formalism of quantum field theory, [18]. Thus, in the appendix, Section 5, we decided to add an explanation of the quantum origin of the Lie algebra representation attached to a given hypersurface X_G (Subsection 5.1). Finally, we include Subsection 5.2 on L_∞ -algebras, L_∞ -morphisms, and L_∞ -homotopies in order to explain the notations and conventions used throughout the paper.

Before finishing the introduction, we mention two things. Firstly, our theory can be generalized to toric complete intersections from hypersurfaces and conjecturally to any algebraic varieties. We will carry out the details for (toric) complete intersections in another papers and the relevant references which play a similar role as [11] would be [1], [8], and [25]. Secondly, it may seem artificial to study \mathfrak{C}_k at a first glance: we study the category \mathfrak{C}_k whose objects are (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) , where we *do not require compatibility* between the super-commutative product \cdot and the differential K , and whose morphisms are *not structure preserving maps* in the sense that they preserve only additive and differential structures (i.e., they are cochain maps), not super-commutative ring structure (note a difference between the category \mathfrak{C}_k and the category of CDGAs, i.e. commutative differential graded algebras, where all the structures are *compatible*). But this category \mathfrak{C}_k is worth investigating and studying; the objects in \mathfrak{C}_k include BV algebras, and the Griffiths period integral of the hypersurface X_G can be interpreted (very neatly) as a morphism from $(\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, K_X)$ to the initial object $(\mathbb{k}, \cdot, 0)$ in the

category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$. Further the shadow L_{∞} -homotopy information obtained by applying the descendant functor *measures the failure of compatibilities among structures* and reveals hidden structures on the period integral $C_{[\gamma]}$.

Acknowledgments

The work of Jae-Suk Park was supported by IBS-R003-G1 and Mid-career Researcher Program through NRF grant funded by the MEST (No. 2010-0000497). The work of Jeehoon Park was partially supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology(2013023108) and was partially supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education(2013053914). The authors would like to thank Minhyong Kim for helpful comments and Gabriel C. Drummond-Cole for proofreading. The first author thanks John Terilla for useful discussions on related subjects. Finally, the authors thank for the anonymous referee for many helpful comments and suggestions to improve the article.

2. Lie algebra representations and period integrals

2.1. Period integrals of Lie algebra representations

Let \mathbb{k} be a field of characteristic 0 and \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over \mathbb{k} . Let $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(A)$ be a \mathbb{k} -linear representation of \mathfrak{g} . We assume that A is a commutative associative \mathbb{k} -algebra (with unity) throughout the paper.

Definition 2.1. *We call a \mathbb{k} -linear map $C : A \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ a period integral¹² attached to ρ if $C(x) = 0$ for every x in the image of $\rho(g)$ for every $g \in \mathfrak{g}$.*

Note that such a map C is necessarily zero if A is an irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module. For a given Lie algebra representation ρ , it would be an interesting question to find non-trivial period integrals. Here we present a simple non-trivial example.

¹²We use this terminology in a different sense than arithmetic geometers (a comparison of rational structures of relevant cohomology groups); we simply choose this terminology since the period integrals of smooth hypersurfaces can be understood as an example.

Example 2.2. Let \mathfrak{g} be a one-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{R}$ generated by α . Let ρ be a Lie algebra representation on $A = \mathbb{k}[x]$ given by $\rho(\alpha) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(A)$. Then we consider the Gaussian probability measure $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$ and define a \mathbb{k} -linear map

$$(2.1) \quad C : \mathbb{k}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{k},$$

$$f(x) \mapsto C(f(x)) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx.$$

This is an example of a period integral of ρ , since

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} - x f(x) \right) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx = 0.$$

The above Gaussian period integral is a special example of a more general kind. Let

$$A = \mathbb{k}[q] = \mathbb{k}[q^1, q^2, \dots, q^m]$$

be a polynomial ring with m variables for $m \geq 1$. Let $S = S(\underline{q}) \in A$. Let $J_S \subset A$ be the Jacobian ideal of $S(\underline{q})$, i.e. the ideal generated by $\frac{\partial S(\underline{q})}{\partial q^1}, \frac{\partial S(\underline{q})}{\partial q^2}, \dots, \frac{\partial S(\underline{q})}{\partial q^m}$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_S$ be the finite dimensional abelian Lie algebra over \mathbb{k} of dimension m , generated by $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$. We define the following Lie algebra representation $\rho_S : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(A)$:

$$(2.2) \quad \rho_S(\alpha_i) = \exp(-S(\underline{q})) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} \cdot \exp(S(\underline{q}))$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \frac{\partial S(\underline{q})}{\partial q^i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

We remark that this representation ρ_S is obtained by twisting the Schrödinger representation of (a certain abelian Lie subalgebra) of the Heisenberg Lie algebra by the polynomial $S(\underline{q})$; see Subsection 5.1 for details. This motivates us to call ρ_S the *quantum Jacobian Lie algebra representation* associated to $S(\underline{q}) \in A$. It turns out that there are many interesting non-trivial examples of period integrals of ρ_S .

Example 2.3. We give an example for which $m = 1$, which generalizes the previous Gaussian example. Let $S(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x] = A$ be a polynomial such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) e^{S(x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) e^{S(x)} = 0$$

for every $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. Let \mathfrak{g} be a one-dimensional Lie algebra generated by α . Then the \mathbb{R} -linear map

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} C : \mathbb{R}[x] &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ f(x) &\mapsto C(f(x)) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{S(x)}dx, \end{aligned}$$

is an example of a period integral of ρ_S , since

$$C(\rho_S(\alpha)(f(x))) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x} f(x) \right) e^{S(x)}dx = 0, \quad \forall f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x].$$

Such a period integral C attached to $\rho = \rho_S$ gives rise to a map $\overline{C} : A/\mathcal{N}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$, where $\mathcal{N}_\rho := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}} \text{im } \rho(\alpha)$. Note that, in general, C fails to be an algebra homomorphism and \mathcal{N}_ρ fails to be an ideal of A . This failure will play a pivotal role in studying the period integral C via L_∞ -homotopy theory.

Our main example, which we will focus on in Section 4, is when the Lie algebra representation ρ_S is constructed out of $S = y \cdot G(\underline{x})$, the so-called Dwork polynomial of $G(\underline{x})$, where $G(\underline{x})$ is the defining equation of a smooth projective hypersurface. Then the rational period integral of a smooth projective hypersurface X_G , which was extensively studied by Griffiths and Dwork, can be interpreted as the period integral of ρ_S (see Subsection 4.3).

We remark that studying a non-trivial period integral of a Lie algebra representation of a *non-abelian* Lie algebra would be a very interesting question, though we limit all the examples to the abelian case in this article.

2.2. Cochain map attached to a period integral

We now explain our strategy to study period integrals, assuming such a nonzero period integral C is given. The main idea is to enhance the \mathbb{k} -linear map $C : A \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ to the cochain complex level (we do this in this subsection) and develop an infinity homotopy theory (see Section 3) by analyzing the failure of C to be an algebra homomorphism systematically. In this paper, the relevant homotopy theory will be the L_∞ -homotopy theory (this fact is related to the assumption that A is a commutative \mathbb{k} -algebra).

Let $C : A \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ be a nontrivial period integral attached to $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(A)$. We will construct a cochain complex $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) = (\mathcal{A}_\rho, \cdot, K_\rho)$ with supercommutative product \cdot whose degree 0 part is A , and a cochain map $\mathcal{C} : (\mathcal{A}, K) \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}, 0)$, where we view $(\mathbb{k}, 0)$ as a cochain complex which has only degree 0 part and zero differential.

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be a \mathbb{k} -basis of \mathfrak{g} where n is the dimension of \mathfrak{g} . We consider \mathfrak{g} as a \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathbb{k} -vector space with only degree 0 part. Then $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathbb{k} -vector space with only degree -1 part. Let η_1, \dots, η_n be the \mathbb{k} -basis of $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ corresponding to $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, so that they have degree -1. We consider the following \mathbb{Z} -graded super-commutative algebra

$$(2.4) \quad S(\mathfrak{g}[1]) = T(\mathfrak{g}[1])/J$$

where $T(\mathfrak{g}[1])$ is the tensor algebra of $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ and J is the ideal of $T(\mathfrak{g}[1])$ generated by the elements of the form $x \otimes y - (-1)^{|x||y|}y \otimes x$ with $x, y \in T(\mathfrak{g}[1])$. Note that $|x|$ here means the degree of x . We can also view A as a \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra concentrated in degree zero part. Then we define the \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra \mathcal{A}_ρ as the supersymmetric tensor product of A and $S(\mathfrak{g}[1])$.

Proposition 2.4. *The \mathbb{k} -algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_\rho$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded super-commutative algebra and we have a decomposition of \mathcal{A} into*

$$\mathcal{A}^{-n} \oplus \mathcal{A}^{-(n-1)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{A}^{-1} \oplus \mathcal{A}^0$$

where \mathcal{A}^m is the \mathbb{k} -subspace of \mathcal{A} consisting of degree m elements, with $\mathcal{A}^0 = A$.

Proof. The fact that \mathcal{A} is super-commutative ($xy = (-1)^{|x||y|}yx$ for every homogeneous $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$) follows from the construction. It is clear that $\eta_i^2 = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, since $2\eta_i^2 = 0$ (recall that η_1, \dots, η_n is a \mathbb{k} -basis of $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ whose degree is -1) and the characteristic of \mathbb{k} is not 2. Therefore the smallest degree which the elements of \mathcal{A} can have is $-n$ (for example, $\eta_1 \cdots \eta_2 \cdots \eta_n$ has degree $-n$). □

Now we construct a differential $K = K_\rho$ coming from the Lie algebra representation ρ ;

$$K_\rho : \mathcal{A}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{m+1},$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define

$$(2.5) \quad K_\rho = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_{\alpha_i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i} - I \otimes \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} f_{ij}^k \eta_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_j} : \mathcal{A}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{m+1},$$

where $\{f_{ij}^k\} \in \mathbb{k}$ are the structure constants of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} defined by the relation $[\alpha_i, \alpha_j] = \sum_{k=1}^n f_{ij}^k \alpha_k$ and $\rho_{\alpha_i} = \rho(\alpha_i)$. Note that ρ_{α_i} only

acts on the degree zero part $A = \mathcal{A}^0$ via the representation ρ and the partial derivative operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i}$ increases degree 1, since η_i has degree -1. We shall often omit the tensor product symbol in the expression of K_ρ . Next, we show that K_ρ is actually a differential of \mathcal{A}_ρ .

Proposition 2.5. *We have that $K_\rho^2 = 0$ and $K_\rho(1_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(A)$ is a Lie algebra representation. □

We extend our period map $C : A \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ to $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ by setting $\mathcal{C}(x) = C(x)$ if $x \in \mathcal{A}^0 = A$ and $\mathcal{C}(x) = 0$ otherwise.

Proposition 2.6. *We can extend any period map C attached to ρ to a cochain map \mathcal{C} from $(\mathcal{A}_\rho, K_\rho)$ to $(\mathbb{k}, 0)$, i.e. $\mathcal{C} \circ K_\rho = 0$.*

Proof. Note that $A = \mathcal{A}_\rho^0$. We only have to check $\mathcal{C}(K_\rho(x)) = 0$ when $K_\rho(x) \in A = \mathcal{A}_\rho^0$. Let us write the general element x in \mathcal{A}_ρ^{-1} as

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n F_i \cdot \eta_i, \text{ where } F_i \in A.$$

Then $K_\rho(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(\alpha_i)(F_i) \in A$. By the definition of the period integral attached to ρ , we immediately see that $C(K_\rho(x)) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathcal{A}_\rho^{-1}$. □

Example 2.7. *We illustrate the above construction for Example 2.3. In this case, the cochain complex \mathcal{A}_ρ with super-commutative product, associated to $\rho = \rho_{S(x)}$, is given by*

$$\mathcal{A}_\rho = \mathbb{R}[x][\eta], \quad \eta^2 = 0, \quad \eta x = x\eta,$$

where η is an element of degree -1 (the so-called ghost component). Then $\mathcal{A}_\rho^m = 0$ unless $m = 0, -1$. The differential K_ρ is given by

$$K_\rho = \rho(\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}.$$

The period integral C in (2.3) can be enhanced to a cochain map $\mathcal{C} : (\mathcal{A}_\rho, \cdot, K_\rho) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \cdot, 0)$ by Proposition 2.6. Then \mathcal{C} induces the map $\overline{\mathcal{C}} : H_K(\mathcal{A}_\rho) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $H_K(\mathcal{A}_\rho) = \oplus_{i \leq 0} H_K^i(\mathcal{A}_\rho)$ with i -th degree cohomology $H_K^i(\mathcal{A}_\rho)$ of $(\mathcal{A}_\rho, K_\rho)$. Then it turns out that $H_K(\mathcal{A}_\rho) = H^0(\mathcal{A}_\rho)$ and is a

finite dimensional \mathbb{R} -vector space of dimension equal to the degree of $\frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x}$. In Section 3, we will provide a general machinery to understand important properties (correlations and deformations) of such an enhanced period integral \mathcal{C} by using L_∞ -homotopy theory. We refer to Subsection 4.1 for a detailed analysis of Example 2.7 via L_∞ -homotopy theory.

When we study the period integral of a Lie algebra representation ρ of \mathfrak{g} , we are particularly interested in the case where $\rho(\alpha)$ is a differential operator of order n for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}$. Recall the definition of Grothendieck:

Definition 2.8. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra. Let $\pi \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A})$. We call π a differential operator of order n , if n is the smallest positive integer such that $\ell_n^\pi \neq 0$ and $\ell_{n+1}^\pi = 0$, where*

$$\ell_n^\pi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = [[\dots [[\pi, L_{x_1}], L_{x_2}], \dots], L_{x_n}](1_{\mathcal{A}}),$$

for $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$. Here $L_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is left multiplication by x , and the commutator $[L, L'] := L \cdot L' - (-1)^{|L| \cdot |L'|} L' \cdot L \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A})$, and $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the identity element of \mathcal{A} .

If the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is non-abelian, then K_ρ for its arbitrary Lie algebra representation ρ has order at least 2, because of the term $\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i}$ in (2.5). In general, K_ρ for any Lie algebra representation ρ has order at least the order of $\rho(\alpha_i) + 1$ for any $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{g}$, because of the term $\rho(\alpha_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i}$ in (2.5).

2.3. The origin of the cochain complex associated to ρ

In Subsection 2.2, we constructed a cochain complex $(\mathcal{A}_\rho, K_\rho)$ associated to ρ and explained how to enhance the period integral C of ρ to a cochain map \mathcal{C} . This can be seen as a degree-twisted cochain complex of the (homology version of) the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex in [5]; see Proposition 2.9. For a given Lie algebra representation ρ , there are two kinds of standard complexes, the cochain complex for the Lie algebra cohomology $H^k(\mathfrak{g}, A)$ and the chain complex for the Lie algebra homology $H_k(\mathfrak{g}, A)$. It will be crucial to use the Lie algebra homology complex instead of the cohomology complex for our analysis of period integrals, for which we will explain the reason.

We briefly recall the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex for cohomology. We define a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space

$$C(\mathfrak{g}; \rho) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} C^p(\mathfrak{g}; \rho), \text{ where } C^p(\mathfrak{g}; \rho) := A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \Lambda^p \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

If $\beta \in \mathfrak{g}^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{k})$, define $\epsilon(\beta) : \Lambda^p \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \Lambda^{p+1} \mathfrak{g}^*$, for any integer $p \geq 0$, by wedging with β :

$$\epsilon(\beta)\omega = \beta \wedge \omega.$$

Similarly, if $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, then define $\iota(X) : \Lambda^p \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \Lambda^{p-1} \mathfrak{g}^*$, for any integer $p \geq 1$, by contracting with X :

$$\iota(X)\beta = \beta(X), \quad \text{for } \beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$$

and extending it as an odd derivation

$$\iota(X)(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \iota(X)\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha \wedge \iota(X)\beta$$

to the exterior algebra $\Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*$ of \mathfrak{g}^* . Here $\alpha \in \Lambda^p \mathfrak{g}^*$ if and only if $|\alpha| = p$. Notice that $\epsilon(\alpha)\iota(X) + \iota(X)\epsilon(\alpha) = \alpha(X) \text{id}$. If we let $\{\alpha_i\}$ and $\{\beta^i\}$ be canonically dual bases for \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}^* respectively, then it is well-known that the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential on $C(\mathfrak{g}; \rho)$ can be written as

$$(2.6) \quad d_\rho = d = \sum_i \rho(\alpha_i) \otimes \epsilon(\beta^i) - \text{id} \otimes \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k} f_{ij}^k \cdot \iota(\alpha_k) \epsilon(\beta^i) \epsilon(\beta^j) : C^p(\mathfrak{g}; \rho) \rightarrow C^{p+1}(\mathfrak{g}; \rho).$$

This construction gives the cochain complex $(C(\mathfrak{g}; \rho), d_\rho)$, called the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex attached to ρ , which computes the Lie algebra cohomology of ρ . If one compares d_ρ with K_ρ , then the wedging operator $\epsilon(\beta^i)$ corresponds to $\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i}$ and the contracting operator $\iota(\alpha_k)$ corresponds to multiplication by η_k . Note that the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex is obtained by adding degree 1 elements $\{\beta^i\}$ to A and the cochain complex (A_ρ, K_ρ) is obtained by adding degree -1 elements $\{\eta_i\}$ to A . Thus $C(\mathfrak{g}; \rho)$ has no negative degree components and \mathcal{A}_ρ has no positive degree components. This duality between K_ρ and d_ρ leads us to prove that $(\mathcal{A}_\rho, K_\rho)$ is, in fact, a degree-twisted version of the Lie algebra homology Chevalley-Eilenberg complex. In proving such a result, we also briefly recall the (dual) Chevalley-Eilenberg complex which computes the Lie algebra homology; we consider a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space

$$E(\mathfrak{g}; \rho) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} E_p(\mathfrak{g}; \rho), \quad \text{where } E_p(\mathfrak{g}; \rho) := A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \Lambda^p \mathfrak{g},$$

and equip it with the differential δ_ρ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.7) \quad & \delta_\rho(a \otimes (x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n)) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \rho(x_i)(a) \otimes (x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{x}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n) \\
 &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{i+j} a \otimes ([x_i, x_j] \wedge x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{x}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{x}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we twist ¹³ the degree of the chain complex $E(\mathfrak{g}; \rho)$ in order to make a cochain complex $\tilde{E}(\mathfrak{g}; \rho) = \bigoplus_{p \leq 0} \tilde{E}^p(\mathfrak{g}; \rho)$;

$$\tilde{E}^p(\mathfrak{g}; \rho) := E_{-p}(\mathfrak{g}; \rho), \quad p \leq 0.$$

Then $\tilde{E}(\mathfrak{g}; \rho)$ has only negative degrees up to the \mathbb{k} -dimension of \mathfrak{g} and becomes a cochain complex.

Proposition 2.9. *The cochain complex $(\mathcal{A}_\rho, K_\rho)$ is isomorphic to the cochain complex $(\tilde{E}(\mathfrak{g}; \rho), \delta_\rho)$.*

Proof. If we denote a \mathbb{k} -basis of \mathfrak{g} by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, the \mathbb{k} -linear map sending α_i to η_i , for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, clearly defines an A -module isomorphism (a \mathbb{k} -vector space isomorphism, in particular). The commutativity with differentials follows from a direct comparison between (2.5) and (2.7). \square

Since we assume that A has a commutative associative product in addition to the module structure, this induces a natural super-commutative product on $\tilde{E}(\mathfrak{g}; \rho)$, i.e. the tensor product algebra of A and the alternating algebra $\Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{g}$. Then it is clear that the module isomorphism in Proposition 2.9 also respects the algebra structure. The binary product of A has important information about correlation of period integrals.

The Chevalley-Eilenberg complex $(E(\mathfrak{g}; \rho), d_\rho)$ has been studied more often by algebraic topologists and algebraic geometers rather than $(E(\mathfrak{g}; \rho), \delta_\rho)$ (or equivalently $(\mathcal{A}_\rho, K_\rho)$).¹⁴ But, in our theory, $(E(\mathfrak{g}; \rho), \delta_\rho)$ is more useful

¹³The degree twisting is needed for consistency with degree convention of the L_∞ -morphisms which we will consider in Section 3; we want our L_∞ -morphisms have degree 1 instead of -1.

¹⁴For example, the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex $C(\mathfrak{g}; \rho_{y \cdot G(\underline{x})})$ of the *quantum Jacobian Lie algebra representation* $\rho_{y \cdot G(\underline{x})}$ in (2.2) where $q^1 = y, q^2 = x_0, q^3 = x_2, \dots, q^m = x_n$ and $G(\underline{x})$ is a defining polynomial of a smooth projective hypersurface X_G of dimension $n - 1$, turns out to be the *algebraic Dwork complex* studied in several articles, including [1], and [13].

and the failure of K_ρ being a derivation will play a key role in deriving an L_∞ -algebra from $(\mathcal{A}_\rho, \cdot, K_\rho)$ by the *descendant functor* (in Section 3) and studying the corresponding formal deformation theory.

We add a simple justification why $(\mathcal{A}_\rho, \cdot, K_\rho)$ is more suitable than $(C(\mathfrak{g}; \rho), \wedge, d_\rho)$ for understanding the period integral C of ρ . Observe that we can similarly enhance $C : A \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ to a cochain map $\mathcal{C}' : (C(\mathfrak{g}; \rho), d_\rho) \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}, 0)$ by setting $\mathcal{C}'(x) = C(x)$ if $x \in C^0(\mathfrak{g}; \rho) = A$ and $\mathcal{C}'(x) = 0$ otherwise; note that $\mathcal{C}' \circ d_\rho = 0$ merely by the definition of \mathcal{C}' . But this cochain map \mathcal{C}' loses the key information of the period integral C ; we illustrate this by using the toy example 2.2. The induced map of \mathcal{C}' on the 0-th cohomology $H^0(\mathfrak{g}, A) = H_d^0(C(\mathfrak{g}; \rho))$ contains all the information of C . In Example 2.2, we see that $H^0(\mathfrak{g}, A) := \ker(d_\rho) \cap C^0(\mathfrak{g}; \rho) = 0$, since the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} - xf(x) = 0$$

does not have a non-zero solution in $A = \mathbb{k}[x]$. This means that the map $\overline{\mathcal{C}'} : H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ induced from the cochain map \mathcal{C}' is zero; so it is not a good cochain level realization of C in (2.1). On the other hand, the 0-th cohomology $H_{K_\rho}^0(\mathcal{A}_\rho)$ is isomorphic to the \mathbb{k} -vector space $\mathbb{k}[x]/\mathcal{N}_\rho$, where

$$\mathcal{N}_\rho = \left\{ \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} - xf(x) : f(x) \in \mathbb{k}[x] \right\}.$$

The induced map $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ of \mathcal{C} on the cohomology $\mathbb{k}[x]/\mathcal{N}_\rho$ has substantial information about C . This justifies our use of the (twisted) homological version $(\mathcal{A}_\rho, K_\rho)$ of the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex rather than the cohomological version.

3. The descendant functor and homotopy invariants

This section is about the general theory of the *descendant functor* from the category $\mathfrak{C}_\mathbb{k}$ to the category $\mathfrak{L}_\mathbb{k}$ of L_∞ -algebras over \mathbb{k} . This theory will provide the general strategy for analyzing the period integrals of a Lie algebra representation and its associated cochain complex and cochain map via L_∞ -homotopy theory.

3.1. Why descendant functors?

Here we explain how the notion of a *descendant functor* arises in the study of period integrals of Lie algebra representations. This provides the general framework behind the main theorems of this paper. Let \mathbb{k} be a field of characteristic 0 and \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over \mathbb{k} . Let $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(A)$ be a \mathbb{k} -linear representation of \mathfrak{g} , where A is a commutative associative \mathbb{k} -algebra, such that $\rho(g)$ acts on A as a linear differential operator for all $g \in \mathfrak{g}$. We called a \mathbb{k} -linear map $C : A \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ a *period integral* attached to $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(A)$, if $C(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathcal{N}_{\rho} := \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{g}} \text{im } \rho(g)$. Hence such a period integral C induces a map $\mathcal{P}_C : A/\mathcal{N}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$. Two closely related properties of our period integrals are that, in general,

- (i) $C : A \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ fails to be an algebra homomorphism,
- (ii) \mathcal{N}_{ρ} fails to be an ideal of A .

The *descendant functor* \mathcal{Des} is designed to provide a general framework to understand such period integrals and their higher structures by exploiting those failures and successive failures systematically. The domain category of \mathcal{Des} is the category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ and the target category is the category $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{k}}$ of L_{∞} -algebras over \mathbb{k} .

The category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is defined such that objects are triples (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) , where the pair (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is a \mathbb{Z} -graded super-commutative associative \mathbb{k} -algebra while the pair (\mathcal{A}, K) is a cochain complex over \mathbb{k} , and morphisms are cochain maps. We note that two of the salient properties of the category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ are that

- (i) morphisms are not required to be algebra homomorphisms,
- (ii) the differential and multiplication in an object have no compatibility condition.

Then the functor $\mathcal{Des} : \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{k}}$ takes

- (i) a morphism f in $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ to an L_{∞} -morphism $\underline{\phi}^f = \phi_1^f, \phi_2^f, \phi_3^f, \dots$, where $\phi_1^f = f$ and $\phi_2^f, \phi_3^f, \dots$ measure the failure and higher failures of f being an algebra homomorphism,

- (ii) an object (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) in $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ to an L_{∞} -algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K = \ell_1^K, \ell_2^K, \ell_3^K, \dots)$, where $\ell_1^K = K$ and $\ell_2^K, \ell_3^K, \dots$ measure the failure and higher failures of K being a derivation of the multiplication in \mathcal{A} .

Morphisms in both categories $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ and $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{k}}$ come with a natural notion of homotopy and the descendant functor induces a well defined functor from the homotopy category $h\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ to the homotopy category $h\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{k}}$. We sometimes use \implies to denote the descendant functor $\mathcal{Des} : \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}} \implies \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{k}}$. The exposition given here regarding \mathcal{Des} is only a sketch and we refer to [19] for a full treatment.

The general construction, developed in Subsection 2.2, associates to a representation $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(A)$ an object $(\mathcal{A}_\rho, \cdot, K_\rho)$ of the category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ such that the subalgebra \mathcal{A}_ρ^0 is isomorphic to A and the 0-th cohomology $H_K^0(\mathcal{A}_\rho)$ of the cochain complex (\mathcal{A}_ρ, K_r) is isomorphic to the quotient A/\mathcal{N}_ρ . Then, a period integral $C : A \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ attached to ρ gives a morphism \mathcal{C} up to homotopy (see Proposition 2.6) from the object $(\mathcal{A}_\rho, \cdot, K_\rho)$ onto the initial object $(\mathbb{k}, \cdot, 0)$ of the category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$, i.e., \mathcal{C} is a \mathbb{k} -linear map of degree 0 from \mathcal{A} onto \mathbb{k} such that $\mathcal{C} \circ K = 0$. We then realize the period map $\mathcal{P}_C^\rho : A/\mathcal{N}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H_K(\mathcal{A}_\rho) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{C}(0)} & \mathbb{k} \\
 & \searrow f & \nearrow \mathcal{C} \\
 & & \mathcal{A}_\rho
 \end{array}$$

where f is a cochain quasi-isomorphism from $H_K(\mathcal{A}_\rho)$, considered as a cochain complex with zero differential, into the cochain complex $(\mathcal{A}, K)_\rho$ which induces the identity map on cohomology. Note that $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{C}(0) := f \circ \mathcal{C} : H_K(\mathcal{A}_\rho) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ depends only on the cochain homotopy types of f and \mathcal{C} . Note also that $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{C}(0)$ is a zero map on $H_K^i(\mathcal{A}_\rho)$ unless $i = 0$ so that it can be identified with \mathcal{P}_C^ρ via isomorphism between $H_K^0(\mathcal{A}_\rho)$ and A/\mathcal{N}_ρ .

Our general framework together with various propositions will allow us to enhance the above commutative diagram as follows;

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S(H_K(\mathcal{A}_\rho)) & \xrightarrow{\underline{\kappa}} & \mathbb{k} \\
 & \searrow \underline{\varphi} & \nearrow \underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C} \\
 & & S(\mathcal{A}_\rho)
 \end{array}$$

where $S(V)$ is the super-commutative algebra of V (see (2.4)) and the dotted arrows are the following L_∞ -morphisms

- 1) the L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C} = \phi_1^\mathcal{C}, \phi_2^\mathcal{C}, \dots$ is the descendant $\mathfrak{Des}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} such that $\phi_1^\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}$,
- 2) $\underline{\varphi} = \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots$ is an L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism from $H_K(\mathcal{A}_\rho)$ considered as a zero L_∞ -algebra into the L_∞ -algebra $\mathfrak{Des}(\mathcal{A}_\rho)$ such that $\varphi_1 = f$.
- 3) the L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\kappa} = \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots$ is the composition $\underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C} \bullet \underline{\varphi}$ in $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{k}}$ such that $\kappa_1 = \phi_1^\mathcal{C} \circ \varphi_1 = \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{C}(0) : H_K(\mathcal{A}_\rho) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$.

Note that the L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{C}} = \mathfrak{Des}(\mathcal{C})$ is determined by \mathcal{C} , while there are many different choices of L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism $\underline{\varphi}$. One of our main theorem shows that L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\kappa} := \underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{C}} \bullet \underline{\varphi}$ depends only on the cochain homotopy type of \mathcal{C} and the L_∞ -homotopy type of $\underline{\varphi}$.

3.2. Explicit description of the homotopy descendant functor

Here we shall give details about the *homotopy descendant functor* from the homotopy category of $\mathfrak{C}_\mathbb{k}$ to the homotopy category of $\mathfrak{L}_\mathbb{k}$ of L_∞ -algebras over \mathbb{k} by using the binary product as a crucial ingredient.

When the representation space of ρ has an associative and commutative binary product, $(\mathcal{A}_\rho, K_\rho)$ attached to a Lie algebra representation ρ also has a \mathbb{k} -algebra structure. This implies that $(\mathcal{A}_\rho, \cdot, K_\rho)$ and a period integral $\mathcal{C} : (\mathcal{A}_\rho, \cdot, K_\rho) \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}, \cdot, 0)$ is an object and a morphism of $\mathfrak{C}_\mathbb{k}$ respectively.

An L_∞ -algebra structure on \mathcal{A} is a sequence of \mathbb{k} -linear maps $\underline{\ell} = \ell_1, \ell_2, \dots$ such that $\ell_n : S^n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfy certain relations (see Definition 5.4) and an L_∞ -morphism from an L_∞ -algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell})$ to another L_∞ -algebra $(\mathcal{A}', \underline{\ell}')$ is a sequence of \mathbb{k} -linear maps $\underline{\phi} = \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots$ such that $\phi_n : S^n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ satisfy certain relations (see Definition 5.5). We use a variant of the standard L_∞ -algebra such that every \mathbb{k} -linear map ℓ_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is of degree 1. See Subsection 5.2 for our presentation of L_∞ -algebras, L_∞ -morphisms, and L_∞ -homotopies (suitable for the purpose of describing correlations), which is based on partitions of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,

As we already indicated, our algebraic analysis is based on two facts: the differential K is not a \mathbb{k} -derivation of the (super-commutative) product of \mathcal{A} and the cochain map (period integral) $f : (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}, \cdot, 0)$ is not a \mathbb{k} -algebra map in general. Therefore it is natural to propose the following definition for $\ell_1^K : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\ell_2^K : S^2(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \ell_1^K(x) &= Kx, \\ \ell_2^K(x, y) &= K(x \cdot y) - Kx \cdot y - (-1)^{|x|}x \cdot Ky. \end{aligned}$$

so that ℓ_2^K measures the failure of K to be a derivation of the product. In the case of morphisms, we propose the following definition for $\phi_1^f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$, $\phi_2^f : S^2(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ in the same vein:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_1^f(x) &= f(x), \\ \phi_2^f(x, y) &= f(x \cdot y) - f(x) \cdot f(y), \end{aligned}$$

so that ϕ_2^f measures the failure of f being an algebra map. But then there would be many choices for how to measure higher failures¹⁵, i.e., how to define $\ell_3^K, \ell_4^K, \dots$ and $\phi_3^f, \phi_4^f, \dots$ in a systematic way. We provide one particular way so that resulting $\underline{\ell}^K$ becomes an L_∞ -algebra and $\underline{\phi}^f$ becomes an L_∞ -morphism in a functorial way; see Theorem 3.11. For the definition of the descendant functor, let us set up some notation related to partitions. A partition $\pi = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots$ of the set $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a decomposition of $[n]$ into a pairwise disjoint non-empty subsets B_i , called blocks. Blocks are ordered by the minimum element of each block and each block is ordered by the ordering induced from the ordering of natural numbers. The notation $|\pi|$ means the number of blocks in a partition π and $|B|$ means the size of the block B . If k and k' belong to the same block in π , then we use the notation $k \sim_\pi k'$. Otherwise, we use $k \not\sim_\pi k'$. Let $P(n)$ be the set of all partitions of $[n]$. We refer to the appendix for more details regarding the partition $P(n)$ appearing in the following definition.

Definition 3.1. *For a given object (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) in \mathfrak{C}_k , we define $\mathfrak{Des}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) = (\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)$, where $\underline{\ell}^K = \ell_1^K, \ell_2^K, \dots$ is the family of linear maps $\ell_n^K : S^n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, inductively defined by the formula¹⁶*

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & K(x_1 \cdots x_n) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\pi \in P(n) \\ |B_i|=n-|\pi|+1}} \epsilon(\pi, i) \cdot x_{B_1} \cdots x_{B_{i-1}} \cdot \ell^K(x_{B_i}) \cdot x_{B_{i+1}} \cdots x_{B_{|\pi|}}, \end{aligned}$$

¹⁵ A homotopy associative algebra (so called, A_∞ -algebra) can be also used as a target category; we can construct a A_∞ -descendant functor from \mathfrak{C}_k to the category of A_∞ -algebras over \mathbb{k} , which even works if we drop the super-commutativity of the multiplication in an object of the category \mathfrak{C}_k . The descendant functor is a more general notion; we recently found that the pseudo character (a generalization of the trace of a group representation) is also a sort of a descendant which measures different higher failures. But we limit our study only to L_∞ -descendant functor in this article.

¹⁶This is a sum over all $\pi \in P(n)$ which have a block B_i of the given size, and moreover if there is more than one such block, then we sum over each of choice of such a block. Note that $\epsilon(\pi)$ depends on $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, even though \underline{x} is omitted for the sake of the notational simplicity. Such a dependence is explained in the appendix.

for any homogeneous elements $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$. Here we use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} x_B &= x_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{j_r} \text{ if } B = \{j_1, \dots, j_r\}, \\ \ell(x_B) &= \ell_r(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_r}) \text{ if } B = \{j_1, \dots, j_r\}, \\ \epsilon(\pi, i) &= \epsilon(\pi)(-1)^{|x_{B_1}| + \cdots + |x_{B_{i-1}}|}. \end{aligned}$$

For a given morphism $f : (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}', \cdot, K')$ in $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$, we define a morphism $\mathfrak{Des}(f)$ as the family $\underline{\phi}^f = \phi_1^f, \phi_2^f, \dots$ constructed inductively as

$$(3.4) \quad f(x_1 \cdots x_n) = \sum_{\pi \in P(n)} \epsilon(\pi) \phi^f(x_{B_1}) \cdots \phi^f(x_{B_{|\pi|}}), \quad n \geq 1,$$

where $\phi^f(x_B) = \phi_r^f(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_r})$ if $B = \{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$, $1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_r \leq n$, for any homogeneous elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$. Here $\phi_n^f : S^n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ is a \mathbb{k} -linear map defined on the super-commutative symmetric product of \mathcal{A} .

Remark. We will call the above $\mathfrak{Des}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K)$ and $\mathfrak{Des}(f)$ a descendant L_∞ -algebra and a descendant L_∞ -morphism respectively. These descendant L_∞ -structures will appear in the study of the period integrals of smooth projective hypersurfaces. Note that not every L_∞ -algebra over \mathbb{k} is a descendant L_∞ -algebra over \mathbb{k} ; for example, an induced minimal L_∞ -algebra structure on the cohomology $H_K(\mathcal{A})$ of a descendant L_∞ -algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)$ is always trivial; see Proposition 3.12.

According to the definition, we have (3.1). For $n \geq 2$, the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &\ell_n^K(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &= \ell_{n-1}^K(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1} \cdot x_n) - \ell_{n-1}^K(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \cdot x_n \\ &\quad - (-1)^{|x_{n-1}|(1+|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-2}|)} x_{n-1} \cdot \ell_{n-1}^K(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

If \mathcal{A} has a unit $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $K(1_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0$, then one can also easily check that

$$(3.5) \quad \ell_n^K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = [[\cdots [[K, L_{x_1}], L_{x_2}], \dots], L_{x_n}](1_{\mathcal{A}})$$

for any homogeneous elements $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$. Here $L_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is left multiplication by x and $[L, L'] := L \cdot L' - (-1)^{|L| \cdot |L'|} L' \cdot L \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A})$, where $|L|$ means the degree of L .

Unravelling the definition also shows (3.2). For $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n^f(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \phi_{n-1}^f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1} \cdot x_n) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{\pi \in P(n), |\pi|=2 \\ n-1 \not\sim_\pi n}} \phi^f(x_{B_1}) \cdot \phi^f(x_{B_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ denote the category of unital \mathbb{Z} -graded commutative Artinian local \mathbb{k} -algebras with residue field \mathbb{k} . Let $\gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$, where $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$, and let $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ denote the unique maximal ideal of \mathfrak{a} ; the tensor product $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ also has a natural induced \mathbb{Z} -grading and $(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^n$ denotes the \mathbb{k} -subspace of homogeneous elements of degree n .

Lemma 3.2. *For every $\gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ and for any homogeneous element $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ whenever $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$, we have identities in $\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A}$;*

$$(3.6) \quad K(e^\gamma - 1) = e^\gamma \cdot L^K(\gamma),$$

where $L^K(\gamma) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} \ell_n^K(\gamma, \dots, \gamma)$, and

$$(3.7) \quad K(\lambda \cdot e^\gamma) = L_\gamma^K(\lambda) \cdot e^\gamma + (-1)^{|\lambda|} \lambda \cdot K(e^\gamma - 1),$$

where $L_\gamma^K(\lambda) := K\lambda + \ell_2^K(\gamma, \lambda) + \sum_{n=3}^\infty \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \ell_n^K(\gamma, \dots, \gamma, \lambda)$.

Proof. Note that we use the following notation (see Definition 5.2);

$$\begin{aligned} &\ell_n^K(a_1 \otimes v_1, \dots, a_n \otimes v_n) \\ &= (-1)^{|a_1|+|a_2|(1+|v_1|)+\dots+|a_n|(1+|v_1|+\dots+|v_{n-1}|)} a_1 \cdots a_n \otimes \ell_n^K(v_1, \dots, v_n) \end{aligned}$$

for $a_i \otimes v_i \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ with $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence the above infinite sum is actually a finite sum, since $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is a nilpotent \mathbb{k} -algebra. Then the first formula follows from a simple combinatorial computation by plugging in $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes v_i$; the reader may regard (3.6) as an alternative definition of the L_∞ -descendant algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)$.

For the second equality, let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{k}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$ be the ring of dual numbers, which is an object of $\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Denote the power series $e^X - 1$ by $P(X)$ and let $P'(X) = e^X$ be the formal derivative of $P(X)$ with respect to X . Then it is easy to check that $P(\gamma + \varepsilon \cdot \lambda) = P(\gamma) + (\varepsilon \cdot \lambda) \cdot P'(\gamma)$ for $\varepsilon \cdot \lambda \in (\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$.

This says that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P'(\gamma + \varepsilon \cdot \lambda) \cdot L^K(\gamma + \varepsilon \cdot \lambda) \\
 &= K(P(\gamma + \varepsilon \cdot \lambda)) \quad \text{by (3.6)} \\
 &= K(P(\gamma)) + K((\varepsilon \cdot \lambda) \cdot P'(\gamma)) \\
 &= P'(\gamma) \cdot L^K(\gamma) + K((\varepsilon \cdot \lambda) \cdot P'(\gamma)) \quad \text{by (3.6)}
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P'(\gamma + \varepsilon \cdot \lambda) \cdot L^K(\gamma + \varepsilon \cdot \lambda) \\
 &= P'(\gamma) \cdot L^K(\gamma) + (\varepsilon \cdot \lambda) \cdot P'(\gamma) \cdot L^K(\gamma) + P'(\gamma) \cdot L^K_\gamma(\varepsilon \cdot \lambda)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $L^K_\gamma(\varepsilon \cdot \lambda) = K(\varepsilon \cdot \lambda) + \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \ell_n^K(\gamma, \dots, \gamma, (\varepsilon \cdot \lambda))$. By comparison, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 K((\varepsilon \cdot \lambda) \cdot P'(\gamma)) &= (\varepsilon \cdot \lambda) \cdot P'(\gamma) \cdot L^K(\gamma) + P'(\gamma) \cdot L^K_\gamma(\varepsilon \cdot \lambda) \\
 &= L^K_\gamma(\varepsilon \cdot \lambda) \cdot P'(\gamma) + (\varepsilon \cdot \lambda) \cdot K(P(\gamma)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then this implies the second identity according to our sign convention. \square

Lemma 3.3. *The descendants $\underline{\ell}^K$ define an L_∞ -algebra structure over \mathbb{k} on \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. For every $\gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$, we consider $L^K(\gamma) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} \ell_n^K(\gamma, \dots, \gamma)$. Then (3.6) says that

$$K(e^\gamma - 1) = e^\gamma \cdot L^K(\gamma) = L^K(\gamma) \cdot e^\gamma.$$

Applying K to the above, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= K(L^K(\gamma) \cdot e^\gamma) = L^K_\gamma(L^K(\gamma)) \cdot e^\gamma - L^K(\gamma) \cdot K(e^\gamma - 1) \\
 &= L^K_\gamma(L^K(\gamma)) \cdot e^\gamma,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $K^2 = 0$ for the 1st equality. The 2nd equality follows from Lemma 3.2 and the 3rd equality results from the fact that $L^K(\gamma) \cdot K(e^\gamma - 1) = L^K(\gamma)^2 \cdot e^\gamma$ vanishes, since $L^K(\gamma)^2 = 0$ by the super-commutativity of

the product (note that $L^K(\gamma)$ has degree 1). Hence the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\gamma) &:= L_\gamma^K(L^K(\gamma)) = K(L^K(\gamma)) + \ell_2^K(\gamma, L^K(\gamma)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \ell_n^K(\gamma, \dots, \gamma, L^K(\gamma)) \end{aligned}$$

vanishes for every $\gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ whenever $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$. We then consider a scaling $\gamma \rightarrow \lambda \cdot \gamma$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}^*$, and the corresponding decomposition $\chi(\gamma) = \chi_1(\gamma) + \chi_2(\gamma) + \chi_3(\gamma) + \dots$ such that $\chi_n(\lambda \cdot \gamma) = \lambda^n \chi_n(\gamma)$, i.e., we have for $n \geq 1$

$$\chi_n(\gamma) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(n-k)!k!} \ell_{n-k+1}^K(\ell_k^K(\gamma, \dots, \gamma), \gamma, \dots, \gamma).$$

It follows that $\chi_n(\gamma) = 0$, for all $n \geq 1$ and for all $\gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ and every $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$. Hence $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K, 1_{\mathcal{A}})$ is an L_∞ -algebra over \mathbb{k} by Definition 5.2. □

Lemma 3.4. *For every $\gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ and for any homogeneous element $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A}$, We have identities in $\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A}$;*

$$(3.8) \quad f(e^\gamma - 1) = e^{\Phi^f(\gamma)} - 1,$$

where $\Phi^f(\gamma) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} \phi_n^f(\gamma, \dots, \gamma)$, and

$$(3.9) \quad f(\lambda \cdot e^\gamma) = \Phi_\gamma^f(\lambda) \cdot e^{\Phi^f(\gamma)},$$

where $\Phi_\gamma^f(\lambda) := \phi_1^f(\lambda) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \phi_n^f(\gamma, \dots, \gamma, \lambda)$.

Proof. Recall the sign convention from Definition 5.3;

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_n(a_1 \otimes v_1, \dots, a_n \otimes v_n) \\ &= (-1)^{|a_2||v_1| + \dots + |a_n|(|v_1| + \dots + |v_{n-1}|)} a_1 \cdots a_n \otimes \phi_n(v_1, \dots, v_n). \end{aligned}$$

for $a_i \otimes v_i \in (\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ with $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, whenever $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$. The first equality is a simple combinatorial reformulation of Definition 3.1 with the above sign convention. We leave this as an exercise (plug in $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes v_i$); the reader can regard (3.8) as an alternative definition of a descendant L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\phi}^f$.

Again, let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{k}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2) \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ be the ring of dual numbers. Denote the power series $e^X - 1$ by $P(X)$ and let $P'(X) = e^X$ be the formal derivative

of $P(X)$ with respect to X . Note that $P(\gamma + \varepsilon \cdot \lambda) = P(\gamma) + (\varepsilon \cdot \lambda) \cdot P'(\gamma)$ for $\varepsilon \cdot \lambda \in (\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$. Inside $\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f(P(\gamma)) + f((\varepsilon \cdot \lambda) \cdot P'(\gamma)) &= f(P(\gamma + \varepsilon \cdot \lambda)) \\ &= P\left(\Phi^f(\gamma + \varepsilon \cdot \lambda)\right) \\ &= P\left(\Phi^f(\gamma) + \Phi_\gamma^f(\varepsilon \cdot \lambda)\right) \\ &= P(\Phi^f(\gamma)) + P'(\Phi^f(\gamma)) \cdot \Phi_\gamma^f(\varepsilon \cdot \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Then this finishes the proof of (3.9). □

Lemma 3.5. *The descendants $\underline{\phi}^f$ define an L_∞ -morphism from $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)$ into $(\mathcal{A}', \underline{\ell}^{K'})$.*

Proof. Applying K' to the relation $f(e^\gamma - 1) = e^{\Phi^f(\gamma)} - 1$ in (3.8), we obtain that

$$f(K(e^\gamma - 1)) = K'(e^{\Phi^f(\gamma)} - 1),$$

where we have used $K'f = fK$. Then (3.6) implies that

$$(3.10) \quad f(L^K(\gamma) \cdot e^\gamma) = L^{K'}\left(\Phi^f(\gamma)\right) \cdot e^{\Phi^f(\gamma)}.$$

Then (3.10) combined with (3.9) says that

$$(3.11) \quad \Phi_\gamma^f(L^K(\gamma)) \cdot e^{\Phi^f(\gamma)} = L^{K'}\left(\Phi^f(\gamma)\right) \cdot e^{\Phi^f(\gamma)}.$$

Therefore the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(\gamma) := & \left(\phi_1^f(L^K(\gamma)) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \phi_n^f(\gamma, \dots, \gamma, L^K(\gamma)) \right) \\ & - L^{K'}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \phi_n^f(\gamma, \dots, \gamma) \right) \end{aligned}$$

vanishes for every $\gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ whenever $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{A}_k)$. Then we consider a scaling $\gamma \rightarrow \lambda \cdot \gamma$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}^*$, and the corresponding decomposition $\zeta(\gamma) =$

$\zeta_1(\gamma) + \zeta_2(\gamma) + \zeta_3(\gamma) + \dots$ such that $\zeta_n(\lambda \cdot \gamma) = \lambda^n \zeta_n(\gamma)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_n(\gamma) &= \sum_{j_1+j_2=n} \frac{1}{j_1!j_2!} \phi^f_{j_1+1} (\ell_{j_2}^K(\gamma, \dots, \gamma), \gamma, \dots, \gamma) \\ &\quad - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_r=n} \frac{1}{r!} \frac{1}{j_1! \dots j_r!} \ell_r^{K'} \left(\phi_{j_1}^f(\gamma, \dots, \gamma), \dots, \phi_{j_r}^f(\gamma, \dots, \gamma) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\zeta_n(\gamma) = 0$, for all $n \geq 1$ and all $\gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ whenever $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$. Thus, by using Definition 5.3, it follows that the sequence $\underline{\phi}^f$ defines an L_{∞} -morphism between L_{∞} -algebras. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let $f : (\mathcal{A}, K) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}', K')$ and $f' : (\mathcal{A}', K') \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}'', K'')$ be two morphisms in $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$. Then we have*

$$\underline{\phi}^{f' \circ f} = \underline{\phi}^{f'} \bullet \underline{\phi}^f,$$

where \bullet is the composition of L_{∞} -morphisms (see Definition 5.6).

Proof. Consider $\gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ whenever $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$. Then (3.8) implies that

$$e^{\Phi^{f'}(\Phi^f(\gamma))} - 1 = f'(e^{\Phi^f(\gamma)} - 1) = (f' \circ f)(e^{\gamma} - 1) = e^{\Phi^{f' \circ f}(\gamma)} - 1.$$

Therefore, if we set $X(\gamma) = \Phi^{f'}(\Phi^f(\gamma))$ and $Y(\gamma) = \Phi^{f' \circ f}(\gamma)$, then we have $X(\gamma) = Y(\gamma)$ for every $\gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$. Consider the decomposition $X(\gamma) = X_1(\gamma) + X_2(\gamma) + \dots$ and $Y(\gamma) = Y_1(\gamma) + Y_2(\gamma) + \dots$, where $X_n(\lambda \cdot \gamma) = \lambda^n \cdot X_n(\gamma)$ and $Y_n(\lambda \cdot \gamma) = \lambda^n \cdot Y_n(\gamma)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}^*$. Then $X_n(\gamma) = Y_n(\gamma)$ for all $n \geq 1$. The equality (3.8) implies that

$$Y_n(\gamma) = \frac{1}{n!} \phi_n^{f' \circ f}(\gamma, \dots, \gamma).$$

The direct computation gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} X_n(\gamma) &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_r=n} \frac{1}{r!} \frac{1}{j_1! \dots j_r!} \phi_r^{f'}(\phi_{j_1}(\gamma, \dots, \gamma), \dots, \phi_{j_r}(\gamma, \dots, \gamma)) \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{n!} \left(\underline{\phi}^{f'} \bullet \underline{\phi}^f \right)_n(\gamma, \dots, \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

We hence conclude that $\phi_n^{f' \circ f}(\gamma, \dots, \gamma) = \left(\underline{\phi}^{f'} \bullet \underline{\phi}^f \right)_n(\gamma, \dots, \gamma)$ for all $n \geq 1$. It follows that $\underline{\phi}^{f' \circ f} = \underline{\phi}^{f'} \bullet \underline{\phi}^f$. \square

Now we turn our attention to cochain homotopies in $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ and L_{∞} -homotopies in $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{k}}$.

Definition 3.7. Two morphisms $f : (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}', \cdot, K')$ and $\tilde{f} : (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}', \cdot, K')$ in $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ are called homotopic, denoted by $f \sim \tilde{f}$, if there is a polynomial family $F(\tau) : (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}', \cdot, K')$ in τ of morphisms with $F(0) = f$ and $F(1) = \tilde{f}$ satisfying

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} F(\tau) = K' \sigma(\tau) + \sigma(\tau) K$$

for some polynomial family $\sigma(\tau)$ in τ belonging to $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')^{-1}$. In this case, we call $\sigma(\tau)$ a homotopy between f and \tilde{f} .

Definition 3.8. Given two morphisms $f : (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}', \cdot, K')$ and $\tilde{f} : (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}', \cdot, K')$ in $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$, and a homotopy $\sigma = \sigma(\tau)$ and a polynomial family $F = F(\tau)$ as in (3.12), we define the descendant homotopy $\lambda_n = \lambda_n^{F, \sigma} \in \text{Hom}(S^n \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')^{-1}$ for each $n \geq 1$, by the following formula

$$(3.13) \quad \sigma(e^{\gamma} - 1) = e^{\Phi^F(\gamma)} \cdot \Lambda^{F, \sigma}(\gamma),$$

where $\Lambda^{F, \sigma}(\gamma) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \lambda_n(\gamma, \dots, \gamma)$ and $\gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ whenever $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$.

Compare the formula (3.13) with the formula (3.6) for the descendant L_{∞} -algebra and the formula (3.8) for the descendant L_{∞} -morphism.

Lemma 3.9. Let $f, \tilde{f} : (\mathcal{A}, K, \cdot) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}', K', \cdot)$ be morphisms which are homotopic in $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ in the sense of Definition 3.7. For every $\gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ and any homogeneous element $\mu \in \mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A}$, we have the following identity in $\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A}$;

$$(3.14) \quad \sigma(e^{\gamma} \cdot \mu) = e^{\Phi^F(\gamma)} \cdot \Lambda_{\gamma}^{F, \sigma}(\mu) + \Phi_{\gamma}^F(\mu) \cdot \Lambda^{F, \sigma}(\gamma),$$

where $\Lambda_{\gamma}^{F, \sigma}(\mu) = \lambda_1(\mu) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \lambda_n(\gamma, \dots, \gamma, \mu)$.

Proof. Again, let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{k}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2) \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ be the ring of dual numbers. Denote the power series $e^X - 1$ by $P(X)$ and let $P'(X) = e^X$ be the formal

derivative of $P(X)$ with respect to X . For $\varepsilon \cdot \mu \in (\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$, we have the following identities in $\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma(P(\gamma)) + \sigma(P'(\gamma) \cdot \varepsilon \mu) \\ &= \sigma(P(\gamma + \varepsilon \cdot \mu)) \\ &= P'(\Phi^F(\gamma + \varepsilon \cdot \mu)) \cdot \Lambda^{F,\sigma}(\gamma + \varepsilon \cdot \mu) \\ &= (P'(\Phi^F(\gamma)) + P'(\Phi_\gamma^F(\varepsilon \cdot \mu)) - 1) \cdot (\Lambda^{F,\sigma}(\gamma) + \Lambda_\gamma^{F,\sigma}(\varepsilon \cdot \mu)) \\ &= \sigma(P(\gamma)) + P'(\Phi_\gamma^F(\varepsilon \cdot \mu)) \Lambda^{F,\sigma}(\gamma) + P'(\Phi^F(\gamma)) \Lambda_\gamma^{F,\sigma}(\varepsilon \cdot \mu) - \Lambda^{F,\sigma}(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

This translates into

$$\sigma(P'(\gamma) \cdot \varepsilon \mu) = P'(\Phi_\gamma^F(\varepsilon \cdot \mu)) \Lambda^{F,\sigma}(\gamma) + P'(\Phi^F(\gamma)) \Lambda_\gamma^{F,\sigma}(\varepsilon \cdot \mu) - \Lambda^{F,\sigma}(\gamma).$$

Then (3.14) follows from this computation combined with our sign convention. \square

Lemma 3.10. *The descendants of morphisms which are homotopic in \mathfrak{C}_k are L_∞ -homotopic in the sense of definition 5.11.*

Proof. By Definition 3.7, there is a polynomial family $F(\tau)$ in τ of morphisms with $F(0) = f$ and $F(1) = \tilde{f}$ satisfying

$$(3.15) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} F(\tau) = K' \sigma(\tau) + \sigma(\tau) K$$

for some polynomial family $\sigma(\tau)$ in τ belonging to $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')^{-1}$. Recall that

$$\Phi^F(\gamma) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} \phi_n^F(\gamma, \dots, \gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad F(e^\gamma - 1) = e^{\Phi^F(\gamma)} - 1,$$

where $\underline{\phi}^F = \underline{\phi}^{F(\tau)} = \phi_1^F, \phi_2^F, \dots$ is the descendant of $F = F(\tau)$. Then the identity (3.15) implies that

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} e^{\Phi^F(\gamma)} &= K' \sigma(e^\gamma - 1) + \sigma K(e^\gamma - 1) \\ &= K' (P'(\Phi^F(\gamma)) \cdot \Lambda^{F,\sigma}(\gamma)) + \sigma(P'(\gamma) \cdot L^K(\gamma)), \end{aligned}$$

where $F = F(\tau)$ and $\sigma = \sigma(\tau)$. The formulas (3.7) and (3.14) say that the right hand side of (3.16) is the same as (with cancellation of middle terms

due to (3.11))

$$L_{\Phi^F(\gamma)}^{K'} (\Lambda^{F,\sigma}(\gamma)) \cdot P'(\Phi^F(\gamma)) + P'(\Phi^F(\gamma)) \cdot \Lambda_{\gamma}^{F,\sigma}(L^K(\gamma)).$$

The left hand side of (3.16) is the same as $P'(\Phi^F(\gamma)) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \Phi^F(\gamma)$. Therefore we eventually get

$$(3.17) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \Phi^F(\gamma) = L_{\Phi^F(\gamma)}^{K'} (\Lambda^{F,\sigma}(\gamma)) + \Lambda_{\gamma}^{F,\sigma}(L^K(\gamma)).$$

Decomposing the equality (3.17) by \mathbb{k}^* -action $\gamma \rightarrow a\gamma, a \in \mathbb{k}^*$, we have the following form of the flow equation appearing in Definition 5.11 of L_∞ -homotopy of L_∞ -morphisms: for every $\gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_a \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ whenever $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \phi_n^F(\tau)(\gamma, \dots, \gamma) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_r=n-k} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{r!} \frac{1}{j_1! \dots j_r!} \ell_{r+1}^{K'} \\ & \quad (\phi_{j_1}^F(\gamma, \dots, \gamma), \dots, \phi_{j_r}^F(\gamma, \dots, \gamma), \lambda_k(\gamma, \dots, \gamma)) \\ & + \sum_{j_1+j_2=n} \frac{1}{j_1! j_2!} \lambda_{j_1+1}(\gamma, \dots, \gamma, \ell_{j_2}^K(\gamma, \dots, \gamma)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_n^F(0) = \phi_n^f$ and $\phi_n^F(1) = \phi_n^{\tilde{f}}$, for all $n \geq 1$. □

Remark. The explicit description of L_∞ -homotopies in Definition 5.11, which is hard to come up with in the literature, is motivated by our formalism of the descendant functor: we have defined L_∞ -homotopy (motivated by the derivation of the equality (3.17) from the natural notion of cochain homotopy σ) so that \mathfrak{Des} becomes a homotopy functor.

Let $h\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ be the homotopy category of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$, i.e., objects in $h\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ are the same as those in $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ and morphisms in $h\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ are homotopy classes of morphisms in $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ in the sense of Definition 3.7. We also define the category $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{k}}$ (respectively, $h\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{k}}$) to be the (respectively, homotopy) category of L_∞ -algebras over \mathbb{k} in the same way by using Definition 5.11 for L_∞ -homotopy. If we combine all of the above lemmas, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.11. *The assignment \mathfrak{Des} is a homotopy functor from the homotopy category $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ to the homotopy category $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{L}$, which we call the descendant functor.*

The functor \mathfrak{Des} is faithful but not fully faithful; two non-isomorphic objects in \mathfrak{C}_k can give isomorphic L_∞ -algebras under \mathfrak{Des} , the trivial L_∞ -algebra $(V, \underline{0})$ cannot be a descendant L_∞ -algebra (unless V has a commutative and associative binary product), and an arbitrary L_∞ -morphism between descendant L_∞ -algebras need not be a descendant L_∞ -morphism.

3.3. Cohomology of a descendant L_∞ -algebra

Here we prove that a descendant L_∞ -algebra is formal in the sense of Definition 5.10. By Theorem 5.9, on the cohomology of an L_∞ -algebra $(V, \underline{\ell})$ there is a minimal L_∞ -algebra structure $(H, \underline{\ell}^H)$ together with an L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\varphi}$ from H to V . If an L_∞ -algebra $(V, \underline{\ell})$ is a descendant L_∞ -algebra, i.e., $(V, \underline{\ell}) = (\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K) = \mathfrak{Des}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K)$ for some object (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) in \mathfrak{C}_k , then this minimal L_∞ -algebra structure on the cohomology H_K of (\mathcal{A}, K) is trivial.

Proposition 3.12. *Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) be an object of \mathfrak{C}_k and let $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)$ be its descendant L_∞ -algebra. Then the minimal L_∞ -algebra structure on the cohomology H_K of $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)$ is trivial, i.e. $\ell_2^{H_K} = \ell_3^{H_K} = \dots = 0$, and there is an L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism $\underline{\varphi}^H$ from $(H_K, \underline{0})$ into $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)$.*

Proof. Let $H = H_K$ for simplicity. Let $f : H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a cochain quasi-isomorphism between the cochain complexes $(H, 0)$ and (\mathcal{A}, K) , which induces the identity map on H . Note that f is defined up to cochain homotopy. We remark that choosing f amounts to choosing a splitting of the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im } K^{-i} \rightarrow \text{Ker } K^i \rightarrow H^i \rightarrow 0$$

for each i . Let $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow H$ be a homotopy inverse to f such that $h \circ f = I_H$ and $f \circ h = I_{\mathcal{A}} + K\beta + \beta K$ for some k -linear map β from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{A} of degree -1 . Let $1_H = h(1_{\mathcal{A}})$. We need to establish that (i) the minimal L_∞ -structure $\underline{\nu} = \nu_2, \nu_3, \dots$ on H is trivial (we use the notation $\nu_k = \ell_k^{H_K}$), i.e., $\nu_2 = \nu_3 = \dots = 0$, (ii) there is a family $\underline{\varphi}^H = \varphi_1^H, \varphi_2^H, \dots$, where $\varphi_1^H = f$ and φ_k^H is a k -linear map from $S^k(H)$ into \mathcal{A} of degree 0 for all $k \geq 2$ such that, for all homogeneous elements a_1, \dots, a_n of H and for all $n \geq 1$

$$\sum_{\pi \in P(n)} \epsilon(\pi) \ell_{|\pi|}^K \left(\varphi^H(a_{B_1}), \dots, \varphi^H(a_{B_{|\pi|}}) \right) = 0.$$

We shall use mathematical induction. Set $\varphi_1^H = f$. Then we have

$$K\varphi_1^H = 0.$$

Define a \mathbb{k} -linear map $L_2 : S^2(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ of degree 1 such that, for all homogeneous elements $a_1, a_2 \in H$,

$$L_2(a_1, a_2) := \ell_2^K(\varphi_1^H(a_1), \varphi_1^H(a_2)).$$

Then $\text{Im } L_2 \subset \text{Ker } K \cap \mathcal{A}$ by the definition of ℓ_2^K and thus we can define $\nu_2 : S^2(H) \rightarrow H$ by $\nu_2(a_1, a_2) := h \circ L_2(a_1, a_2)$. On the other hand, it follows that $h \circ L_2(a_1, a_2) = 0$ for every homogeneous elements $a_1, a_2 \in H$, because we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(a_1, a_2) &= K(\varphi_1^H(a_1) \cdot \varphi_1^H(a_2)) - K\varphi_1^H(a_1) \cdot \varphi_1^H(a_2) \\ &\quad - (-1)^{|a_1|} \varphi_1^H(a_1) \cdot K\varphi_1^H(a_2) \\ &= K(\varphi_1^H(a_1) \cdot \varphi_1^H(a_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\nu_2 = 0$. From $h \circ L_2(a_1, a_2) = 0$, we have $0 = f \circ h \circ L_2(a_1, a_2) = L_2(a_1, a_2) + K\varphi_2^H(a_1, a_2)$ where $\varphi_2^H(a_1, a_2) := \beta \circ L_2(a_1, a_2)$ is a \mathbb{k} -linear map from $S^2(H)$ to \mathcal{A} of degree 0. Hence we have, for all homogeneous $a_1, a_2 \in H$,

$$K\varphi_2^H(a_1, a_2) + \ell_2^K(\varphi_1^H(a_1), \varphi_1^H(a_2)) = 0.$$

Fix $n \geq 2$ and assume that $\nu_2 = \dots = \nu_n = 0$ and there is a family $\phi^{[n]} = \varphi_1^H, \varphi_2^H, \dots, \varphi_n^H$, where φ_k^H is a linear map $S^k(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ of degree 0 for $1 \leq k \leq n$, $\varphi_1^H = f$ and such that, for all $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$(3.18) \quad \sum_{\pi \in P(k)} \epsilon(\pi) \ell_{|\pi|}^K(\phi^{[n]}(a_{B_1}), \dots, \phi^{[n]}(a_{B_{|\pi|}})) = 0.$$

Define the linear map $L_{n+1} : S^{n+1}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ of degree 1 by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{n+1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) &:= \sum_{\substack{\pi \in P(n+1) \\ |\pi| \neq 1}} \epsilon(\pi) \ell_{|\pi|}^K(\phi^{[n]}(a_{B_1}), \dots, \phi^{[n]}(a_{B_{|\pi|}})) \\ &= K \sum_{\substack{\pi \in P(n+1) \\ |\pi| \neq 1}} \epsilon(\pi) \phi^{[n]}(a_{B_1}) \cdots \phi^{[n]}(a_{B_{|\pi|}}). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\text{Im } L_{n+1} \subset \text{Ker } K \cap \mathcal{A}$, and

$$(3.19) \quad h \circ L_{n+1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) = 0.$$

Define the linear map $\nu_{n+1} : S^{n+1}(H) \rightarrow H$ of degree 1 by

$$\nu_{n+1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) := h \circ L_{n+1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}).$$

It follows that, by the assumption, $\nu_2 = \dots = \nu_n = \nu_{n+1} = 0$. By applying the map $f : H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ to (3.19), we obtain

$$K \circ \beta \circ L_{n+1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) + L_{n+1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) = 0$$

Set $\varphi_{n+1}^H = \beta \circ L_{n+1} : S^{n+1}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, which is a \mathbb{k} -linear map of degree 0. Hence

$$L_{n+1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) + K\varphi_{n+1}^H(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) = 0.$$

If we set $\phi^{[n+1]} = \varphi_1^H, \dots, \varphi_n^H, \varphi_{n+1}^H$, then the above identity can be rewritten as follows:

$$\sum_{\pi \in P(n+1)} \epsilon(\pi) \ell_{|\pi|}^K \left(\phi^{[n+1]}(a_{B_1}), \dots, \phi^{[n+1]}(a_{B_{|\pi|}}) \right) = 0.$$

This finishes the proof. □

3.4. Deformation functor attached to a descendant L_∞ -algebra

In general, one can always consider a deformation functor associated to an L_∞ -algebra. Here we consider a deformation problem attached to the descendant L_∞ -algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)$ of an object (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) in $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$. Recall that $\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the category of \mathbb{Z} -graded commutative artinian local \mathbb{k} -algebras with residue field \mathbb{k} . Let $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$, and $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ denote the maximal ideal of \mathfrak{a} .

Definition 3.13. *Let $\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma} \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ such that*

$$K(e^\Gamma - 1) = 0 = K(e^{\tilde{\Gamma}} - 1).$$

Then we say that Γ is homotopy equivalent (or gauge equivalent) to $\tilde{\Gamma}$, denoted by $\Gamma \sim \tilde{\Gamma}$, if there is a one-variable polynomial solution $\Gamma(\tau) \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0[\tau]$ with $\Gamma(0) = \Gamma$ and $\Gamma(1) = \tilde{\Gamma}$ to the following flow equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} e^{\Gamma(\tau)} = K \left(\lambda(\tau) \cdot e^{\Gamma(\tau)} \right)$$

for some one-variable polynomial $\lambda(\tau) \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^{-1}[\tau]$.

Note that the above infinite sum is actually a finite sum, since \mathfrak{m}_a is a nilpotent \mathbb{k} -algebra. We used the product structure on \mathcal{A} to define the homotopy equivalence. Note that

$$K(e^\Gamma - 1) = 0 \quad \text{is equivalent to} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \ell_n^K(\Gamma, \dots, \Gamma) = 0$$

by (3.6). Define a covariant functor $\mathfrak{Def}_{(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)}$ from $\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ to \mathbf{Set} , where \mathbf{Set} is the category of sets, by

$$(3.20) \quad \mathfrak{a} \mapsto \mathfrak{Def}_{(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)}(\mathfrak{a}) = \left\{ \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0 : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \ell_n^K(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}, \dots, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}) = 0 \right\} / \sim$$

where \sim is the equivalence relation given in Definition 3.13. One can send a morphism in $\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ to a morphism in \mathbf{Set} in an obvious way. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{Def}}_{(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)}$ be the extension of $\mathfrak{Def}_{(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)}$ to the category of \mathbb{Z} -graded complete commutative noetherian local \mathbb{k} -algebras with residue field \mathbb{k} ; see [12], p 83. We want to study this deformation functor. Any L_{∞} -structure on $H_K = H_K(\mathcal{A})$, induced from the descendant $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)$ via Theorem 5.9, is trivial by Proposition 3.12, i.e., $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)$ is formal (see Definition 5.10). Theorem 5.9 also implies that there is an L_{∞} -quasi-isomorphism $\underline{\varphi}^H = \varphi_1^H, \varphi_2^H, \dots$ from $(H_K, \underline{0}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)$, i.e. φ_m^H is a \mathbb{k} -linear map from $S^m(H_K)$ into \mathcal{A} of degree 0 for all $m \geq 1$ such that

$$\sum_{\pi \in P(n)} \epsilon(\pi) \ell_{|\pi|}^K(\varphi^H(a_{B_1}), \dots, \varphi^H(a_{B_{|\pi|}})) = 0$$

for every set of homogeneous elements a_1, \dots, a_n of H_K and for all $n \geq 1$. In fact, the L_{∞} -homotopy types of L_{∞} -morphisms from $(H_K, \underline{0})$ into $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)$ are classified by $\widehat{\mathfrak{Def}}_{(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)}(\widehat{SH})$. To be more precise, we have the following results:

Proposition 3.14. *Assume that $H_K = H_K(\mathcal{A})$ is finite dimensional over \mathbb{k} .*

(a) *The deformation functor $\mathfrak{Def}_{(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)}$ is pro-representable by $\widehat{SH} := \varprojlim_n \bigoplus_{k=0}^n S^k(H_K^*)$ with $H_K^* = \text{Hom}(H_K, \mathbb{k})$, i.e., there is an isomorphic natural transformation from $\text{Hom}(\widehat{SH}, \cdot)$ to $\widehat{\mathfrak{Def}}_{(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)}(\cdot)$.*

(b) There is a bijection between $\widehat{\mathfrak{Def}}_{(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)}(\widehat{SH})$ and the set

$$\{\underline{\varphi} : \underline{\varphi} = \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots \text{ is an } L_\infty\text{-morphism from } (H_K, \underline{0}) \text{ to } (\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)\} / \sim$$

where \sim means the L_∞ -homotopy equivalence relation given in Def. 5.11.

Proof. (a) This is a special case of Theorem 5.5 of [16], because $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)$ is formal by Proposition 3.12.

(b) Let $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be a homogeneous \mathbb{k} -basis of the \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathbb{k} -vector space $H_K = H_K(\mathcal{A})$, where I is an index set. Let t^α be the \mathbb{k} -dual of e_α , where e_α varies in $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$. Then $\{t^\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ is the dual \mathbb{k} -basis of $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ and the degree $|t^\alpha| = -|e_\alpha|$ where $e_\alpha \in H_K^{|e_\alpha|}$. We consider the power series ring $\mathbb{k}[[t^\alpha]] = \mathbb{k}[[t^\alpha : \alpha \in I]]$. Let J be the unique maximal ideal of $\mathbb{k}[[t^\alpha]]$, so that

$$(3.21) \quad \mathfrak{a}_N := \mathbb{k}[[t^\alpha]]/J^{N+1} \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$$

for arbitrary $N \geq 0$. Then \widehat{SH} is isomorphic to $\varprojlim_N \mathfrak{a}_N$. For a given L_∞ -homotopy type of $\underline{\varphi}$, we define

$$[\Gamma] = [\Gamma(\underline{\varphi})] = \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} t^{\alpha_n} \dots t^{\alpha_1} \otimes \varphi_n(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n}) \right] \in \widehat{\mathfrak{Def}}_{(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)}(\widehat{SH}),$$

where $[\cdot]$ means the homotopy equivalence class. Let us check that this is a well-defined map, i.e., it sends L_∞ -homotopy types to homotopy equivalence classes. Let $\tilde{\varphi}$ be L_∞ -homotopic to $\underline{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma} := \Gamma(\tilde{\varphi})$. By Definition 5.11, there exists a polynomial solution $\underline{\Phi}(\tau) = \Phi_1(\tau), \Phi_2(\tau), \dots$ of the flow equation with respect to a polynomial family $\underline{\lambda}(\tau) = \lambda_1(\tau), \lambda_2(\tau), \dots$ such that $\underline{\Phi}(0) = \underline{\varphi}$ and $\underline{\Phi}(1) = \tilde{\varphi}$. If we set

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi(\tau) &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} t^{\alpha_n} \dots t^{\alpha_1} \otimes \Phi_n(\tau)(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n}), \\ \Lambda(\tau) &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} t^{\alpha_n} \dots t^{\alpha_1} \otimes \lambda_n(\tau)(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n}), \end{aligned}$$

then we have $\Xi(0) = \Gamma$ and $\Xi(1) = \tilde{\Gamma}$. Moreover, the flow equation implies (by a direct computation) that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} e^{\Xi(\tau)} = K(\Lambda(\tau)) \cdot e^{\Xi(\tau)}.$$

Hence $\Xi(0) = \Gamma$ and $\Xi(1) = \tilde{\Gamma}$ are homotopic to each other in the sense of Definition 3.13. Conversely, for a given equivalence class $[\Gamma]$ of a solution of the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$[\Gamma] = \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} t^{\alpha_n} \dots t^{\alpha_1} \otimes v_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} \right] \in \widehat{\mathfrak{Def}}_{(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)}(\widehat{SH}),$$

define $\varphi[\Gamma]_n(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n}) := v_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}$ for any $n \geq 1$ and extend it \mathbb{k} -linearly. Then $\underline{\varphi}[\Gamma]$ is an L_∞ -morphism and is also a well-defined map by a similar argument. \square

This proposition, combined with Proposition 3.12, says that there exists an L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism $\underline{\varphi}^H$ such that the pair (\widehat{SH}, Γ) where

$$\Gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} t^{\alpha_n} \dots t^{\alpha_1} \otimes \varphi_n^H(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n}) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{Def}}_{(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)}(\widehat{SH}),$$

is a *universal family* in the sense of Definition 14.3, [12]. If $\Gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\widehat{SH}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ corresponds to $\underline{\varphi}$, we will use the notation $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\underline{\varphi}}$.

Remark. (a) This proposition can be generalized to any L_∞ -algebra $(V, \underline{\ell})$, if we replace $\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by the category of unital Artinian local \mathbb{k} -CDGAs (commutative differential graded algebras) with residue field \mathbb{k} ; see Theorem 5.5, [16].

(b) Let $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}\mathfrak{A}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ whose objects consist of unital \mathbb{Z} -graded commutative artinian local \mathbb{k} -algebras with residue field \mathbb{k} and a differential which kills the unity (we do not require a compatibility between the differential and the multiplication). Then we can construct a (generalized) deformation functor $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{Def}_{(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K)}$ from $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}\mathfrak{A}$ to \mathbf{Set} , by associating, for any object (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$,

$$\mathfrak{a} \mapsto \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{Def}_{(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K)}(\mathfrak{a}) = \{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0 : Ke^{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}} = 0\} / \sim$$

where \sim is the equivalence relation given in Definition 3.13. The key point here is that a morphism $f : (\mathfrak{a}, \cdot, K_{\mathfrak{a}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{a}', \cdot, K_{\mathfrak{a}'})$, in $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}\mathfrak{A}$ (recall that these are not ring homomorphisms) sends the solution $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ of $Ke^{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}} = 0$ to the solution $\Phi^f(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}})$ of $Ke^{\Phi^f(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}})} = 0$. We will study this functor more carefully in a sequel paper.

Now we examine what we are deforming by the functor $\mathfrak{Def}_{(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)}$. A solution of the functor $\mathfrak{Def}_{(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)}$ gives a formal deformation of $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) \in$

$Ob(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}})$ inside the category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$; see Lemma 3.15 below. We use new notation K_{Γ} for L_{Γ}^K in (3.7)

$$(3.22) \quad K_{\Gamma}(\lambda) := L_{\Gamma}^K(\lambda) \\ := \left(K\lambda + \ell_2^K(\Gamma, \lambda) + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \ell_n^K(\Gamma, \dots, \Gamma, \lambda) \right),$$

for any homogeneous element $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 3.15. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \in Ob(\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $\Gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ be a solution of the Maurer-Cartan equation in (3.20). Then K_{Γ} is a \mathbb{k} -linear map on $\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ of degree 1 and satisfies*

$$K_{\Gamma}^2 = 0.$$

In other words, $(\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A}, \cdot, K_{\Gamma})$ is also an object of the category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$.

Proof. If we plug in $\lambda = K_{\Gamma}(v)$ for any homogeneous element $v \in \mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ in (3.7), then

$$K(K_{\Gamma}(v) \cdot e^{\Gamma}) = K_{\Gamma}(K_{\Gamma}(v)) \cdot e^{\Gamma} = K_{\Gamma}^2(v) \cdot e^{\Gamma}$$

since $Ke^{\Gamma} = 0$. But the left hand side of the above equality is

$$K(K(v \cdot e^{\Gamma}) - (-1)^{|v|}v \cdot Ke^{\Gamma}) = K^2(v \cdot e^{\Gamma}) = 0$$

by using (3.7) again. Therefore $K_{\Gamma}^2(v) \cdot e^{\Gamma} = 0$, which implies that $K_{\Gamma}^2 = 0$. It is obvious that K_{Γ} has degree 1 by its construction. \square

By the above lemma, we can consider the cohomology $H_{K_{\Gamma}}(\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A})$ of the cochain complex $(\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A}, \cdot, K_{\Gamma})$. Moreover, we can formally deform a morphism $\mathcal{C} : (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}, \cdot, 0)$ by using a solution for $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{f}_{(\mathcal{A}, \underline{K})}$.

Lemma 3.16. *Let $\mathcal{C} : (\mathcal{A}, K) \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}, 0)$ be a cochain map. Let $\Gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ be the solution of the Maurer-Cartan equation in (3.20). If we define*

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}(x) = \mathcal{C}(x \cdot e^{\Gamma}), \quad x \in \mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A},$$

then $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma} : (\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A}, K_{\Gamma}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathbb{k}, 0)$ is also a cochain map, where K_{Γ} is given in (3.22).

Proof. We have to check that $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma \circ K_\Gamma = 0$. This follows from (3.7);

$$(\mathcal{C}_\Gamma \circ K_\Gamma)(x) = \mathcal{C}(K_\Gamma(x) \cdot e^\Gamma) = \mathcal{C}(K(x \cdot e^\Gamma)) = 0,$$

for any homogeneous element $x \in \mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A}$. □

3.5. Invariants of homotopy types of L_∞ -morphisms

Throughout this subsection we assume that the cohomology space $H_K = H_K(\mathcal{A})$ is a finite dimensional \mathbb{k} -vector space: let $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be a homogeneous \mathbb{k} -basis of H_K where $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is a finite index set. Let $\{t^\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be the dual \mathbb{k} -basis of $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$. Recall that the degree of t^α is $-|e_\alpha|$ where $e_\alpha \in H_K^{|e_\alpha|}$.

Proposition 3.17. *Let $\mathcal{C} : (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}, \cdot, 0)$ be a morphism in the category $\mathfrak{C}_\mathbb{k}$. Let $C : H_K \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ be the induced map on cohomology. Then $C : H_K \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ can be enhanced to an L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C} \bullet \underline{\varphi}^H$ for some L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism $\underline{\varphi}^H$, i.e. in the following diagram*

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C} \bullet \underline{\varphi}^H & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ (H_K, \underline{0}) & \xrightarrow{\underline{\varphi}_1^H} & (\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K) & \xrightarrow{\underline{\phi}_1^\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}} & (\mathbb{k}, \underline{0}), \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ & & \underline{\varphi}^H & & \underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

we have $C = \mathcal{C} \circ \varphi_1^H$.

Proof. Theorem 3.11 gives us the descendant L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C}$ of the cochain map \mathcal{C} . Proposition 3.12 supplies us with an L_∞ -quasi-morphism $\underline{\varphi}^H$ (which is not a descendant L_∞ -morphism). Let $\underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C} \bullet \underline{\varphi}^H$ be the L_∞ -morphism from $(H_K, \underline{0})$ to $(\mathbb{k}, \underline{0})$ which is defined to be the composition of L_∞ morphisms $\underline{\varphi}^H$ and $\underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C}$. Then the desired result $C = \mathcal{C} \circ \varphi_1^H$ follows, since the first piece φ_1^H of $\underline{\varphi}^H$ is a cochain quasi-isomorphism and it induces the identity on H_K . □

Definition 3.18. *Let $\mathcal{C} : (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}, \cdot, 0)$ be a morphism in the category $\mathfrak{C}_\mathbb{k}$.*

(a) *Let $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Art}_\mathbb{k}^\mathbb{Z})$. A solution $\Gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ of the Maurer-Cartan equation $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n!} \ell_n(\Gamma, \dots, \Gamma) = 0$, i.e. $K(e^\Gamma - 1) = 0$, is called a versal solution, if the corresponding L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\varphi}[\Gamma]$ is an L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism.*

(b) Let $\Gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\widehat{SH}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ be a solution of $K(e^\Gamma - 1) = 0$ corresponding to an L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\varphi}$ (by Proposition 3.14):

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \Gamma(\underline{t})_{\underline{\varphi}} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} t^{\alpha_n} \dots t^{\alpha_1} \otimes \varphi_n(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n}) \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\widehat{SH}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0. \end{aligned}$$

We define the generating power series attached to \mathcal{C} and Γ , as the following power series in $\underline{t} = \{t^\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ of $\mathfrak{m}_{\widehat{SH}}$:

$$\mathcal{C}(e^\Gamma - 1) = \mathcal{C}(e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})_{\underline{\varphi}}} - 1) \in \widehat{SH}^0.$$

Lemma 3.19. Let $\mathcal{C} : (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}, \cdot, 0)$ be a morphism in the category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$. If we define $\Omega_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} \in \mathbb{k}$ by the following equality

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})_{\underline{\varphi}}} - 1) &= e^{\Phi^{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma(\underline{t})_{\underline{\varphi}})} - 1 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} t^{\alpha_n} \dots t^{\alpha_1} \otimes \Omega_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} \in \widehat{SH}^0, \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi^{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma(\underline{t})_{\underline{\varphi}}) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} t^{\alpha_n} \dots t^{\alpha_1} \otimes (\underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{C}} \bullet \underline{\varphi})_n(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n}) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\widehat{SH}}^0, \\ \Omega_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} &= \sum_{\pi \in P(n)} \epsilon(\pi) (\underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{C}} \bullet \underline{\varphi})(e_{B_1}) \dots (\underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{C}} \bullet \underline{\varphi})(e_{B_{|\pi|}}) \in \mathbb{k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $e_B = e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_r}$ for $B = \{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$.

Proof. We leave this combinatorial lemma as an exercise; it follows from Definition 5.6 of the composition of L_∞ -morphisms. □

Definition 3.20. For a given L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\kappa} = \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots$, from an L_∞ -algebra $(V, \underline{0})$ to $(\mathbb{k}, \underline{0})$, we define the following power series in $\underline{t} = \{t^\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ of $\mathfrak{m}_{\widehat{SV}}$:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{[\underline{\kappa}]}(\underline{t}) := \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} t^{\alpha_n} \dots t^{\alpha_1} \otimes \kappa_n(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n}) \right) - 1 \in \widehat{SV}^0,$$

where $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ is a basis of a \mathbb{k} -vector space V and $\{t^\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ is its dual \mathbb{k} -basis. Here we use the notation $\widehat{SV} := \varprojlim_n \bigoplus_{k=0}^n S^k(V^*)$ with $V^* = \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{k})$.

If we let $V = H_K$ and $\widehat{SV} = \widehat{SH}$, then (3.8) implies that

$$(3.27) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{[\underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C} \bullet \underline{\varphi}]}(\underline{t}) = \mathcal{C}(e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})_\mathcal{C}} - 1).$$

The main theorem here is that the generating power series $\mathcal{C}(e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})_\mathcal{C}} - 1)$ is an invariant of the homotopy types of \mathcal{C} and $\Gamma(\underline{t})_\mathcal{C}$. Accordingly, we show that $\mathcal{Z}_{[\underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C} \bullet \underline{\varphi}]}(\underline{t})$ is an invariant of the L_∞ -homotopy types of the two L_∞ -morphisms. Let $\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma} \in (\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{a} \otimes V)^0$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n!} \ell_n(\Gamma, \dots, \Gamma) = 0 = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n!} \ell_n(\tilde{\Gamma}, \dots, \tilde{\Gamma}).$$

Theorem 3.21. *Let Γ be homotopy equivalent to $\tilde{\Gamma}$ (see Definition 3.13). Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be a cochain map which is cochain homotopic to \mathcal{C} . Then we have*

$$(3.28) \quad \mathcal{C}(e^\Gamma - 1) = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(e^{\tilde{\Gamma}} - 1).$$

Similarly, if $\underline{\varphi}$ (corresponding to Γ) is L_∞ -homotopic to some $\tilde{\varphi}$ (corresponding to $\tilde{\Gamma}$) and $\underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C}$ is L_∞ -homotopic to some L_∞ -morphism $\tilde{\phi}$, then we have

$$(3.29) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{[\underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C} \bullet \underline{\varphi}]}(\underline{t}) = \mathcal{Z}_{[\tilde{\phi} \bullet \tilde{\varphi}]}(\underline{t}).$$

Proof. We first prove (3.29). If $\underline{\varphi}$ (corresponding to Γ) is L_∞ -homotopic to some $\tilde{\varphi}$ (corresponding to $\tilde{\Gamma}$) and $\underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C}$ is L_∞ -homotopic to some L_∞ -morphism $\tilde{\phi}$, then Lemma 5.12 implies that $\underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C} \bullet \underline{\varphi}$ is L_∞ -homotopic to $\tilde{\phi} \bullet \tilde{\varphi}$. Because both $\underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C} \bullet \underline{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\phi} \bullet \tilde{\varphi}$ are defined from $(H_K, \underline{0})$ into $(\mathbb{k}, \underline{0})$, i.e. both H_K and \mathbb{k} have zero L_∞ -algebra structures, they should be the same by Definition 5.11:

$$\underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C} \bullet \underline{\varphi} = \tilde{\phi} \bullet \tilde{\varphi}.$$

Therefore we have the equality (3.29):

$$\mathcal{Z}_{[\underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C} \bullet \underline{\varphi}]}(\underline{t}) = \mathcal{Z}_{[\tilde{\phi} \bullet \tilde{\varphi}]}(\underline{t}).$$

We now prove (3.28) by using the equalities (3.27) and (3.29). Since Proposition 3.14, (b) gives a correspondence between the homotopy types of

$\Gamma = \Gamma_{\underline{\varphi}}$ and the L_∞ -homotopy types of $\underline{\varphi}$ and Lemma 3.9 says that $\underline{\phi}^{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$ is L_∞ -homotopic to $\underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{C}}$, we see the invariance $\mathcal{C}(e^\Gamma - 1) = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(e^{\tilde{\Gamma}} - 1)$ as follows by using (3.27) and (3.29):

$$\mathcal{C}(e^{\Gamma_{\underline{\varphi}}} - 1) = \mathcal{Z}_{[\underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{C}} \bullet \underline{\varphi}]}(t) = \mathcal{Z}_{[\underline{\phi}^{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}} \bullet \underline{\varphi}]}(t) = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(e^{\Gamma_{\underline{\varphi}}} - 1) = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(e^{\tilde{\Gamma}} - 1).$$

We also provide an alternative proof of (3.28) in order to illustrate a key idea behind L_∞ -homotopy invariance in a better way. If $\mathcal{C} : (\mathcal{A}, K) \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}, 0)$ is cochain homotopic to $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{S} \circ K$ where \mathcal{S} is a cochain homotopy. Since $\mathcal{C} \circ K = 0$, we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(e^\Gamma - 1) = \mathcal{C}(e^\Gamma - 1).$$

If Γ is homotopy equivalent to $\tilde{\Gamma}$, Definition 3.13 says that there is a polynomial solution $\Gamma(\tau) \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\widehat{SH}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0[\tau]$ with $\Gamma(0) = \Gamma$ and $\Gamma(1) = \tilde{\Gamma}$ to the following flow equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} e^{\Gamma(\tau)} = K \left(\lambda(\tau) \cdot e^{\Gamma(\tau)} \right)$$

for some 1-variable polynomial $\lambda(\tau) \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\widehat{SH}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0[\tau]$. This implies that

$$e^{\tilde{\Gamma}} - e^\Gamma = K \left(\int_0^1 \lambda(\tau) \cdot e^{\Gamma(\tau)} d\tau \right),$$

which in turn implies that $\mathcal{C}(e^{\tilde{\Gamma}}) = \mathcal{C}(e^\Gamma)$. □

Let \mathcal{Z} be the quotient \mathbb{k} -vector space of all (the degree 0) cochain maps from (\mathcal{A}, K) to $(\mathbb{k}, 0)$ modulo the subspace of all the maps of the form $\mathcal{S} \circ K$ where $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ varies over \mathbb{k} -linear maps of degree -1. In other words, \mathcal{Z} is the space of cochain homotopy classes of maps from (\mathcal{A}, K) to $(\mathbb{k}, 0)$.

Proposition 3.22. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, K) = (\mathcal{A}_\rho, K_\rho)$ be associated to a Lie algebra representation ρ . The \mathbb{k} -vector space \mathcal{Z} is isomorphic to the \mathbb{k} -dual of $H_K^0(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. Since every representative \mathcal{C} of an element of \mathcal{Z} has degree 0 and $(\mathbb{k}, 0)$ is concentrated in degree 0, all the homogeneous elements except for degree 0 elements in \mathcal{A} vanish under the map \mathcal{C} . In fact, there is no \mathbb{k} -linear map $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ of degree -1, since \mathcal{A} does not have positive degree, i.e., $\mathcal{A}^1 = \mathcal{A}^2 = \dots = 0$. Then it is clear that \mathcal{Z} is isomorphic to the \mathbb{k} -dual of $\mathcal{A}^0/K(\mathcal{A}^{-1}) =: H_K^0(\mathcal{A})$. □

3.6. Differential equations attached to variations of period integrals

The main goal of this subsection is to prove that the generating power series $\mathcal{C}(e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})_{\underline{\varphi}^H}} - 1) = \mathcal{Z}_{[\underline{\varphi}^{\mathcal{C}}, \bullet, \underline{\varphi}^H]}(\underline{t})$ attached to \mathcal{C} and a Maurer-Cartan solution $\Gamma(\underline{t})_{\underline{\varphi}^H}$ corresponding to an L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism $\underline{\varphi}^H$ satisfies a system of second order partial differential equations.

These differential equations, which are obtained by analyzing the binary product structure on \mathcal{A} and the differential K , are governed by the underlying infinity homotopy structure on (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) , namely the descendant L_∞ -algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)$; we will show that the differential equations themselves are *invariants of the L_∞ -homotopy type* of the solution of the Maurer-Cartan equation. See Theorem 3.23 for details. Moreover, these differential equations will lead to a flat connection on the tangent bundle of the formal deformation space of $\mathfrak{D}\text{ef}_{(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)}$; see Theorem 3.27.

By Proposition 3.14, a (homotopy type of) solution $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\underline{\varphi}} \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\widehat{SH}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ of the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$(3.30) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \ell_n^K(\Gamma, \dots, \Gamma) = 0,$$

gives us an (L_∞ -homotopy type of) L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\varphi}$ from $(H_K, \underline{0})$ to $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)$. We will make differential equations with respect to the parameters $\{t^\alpha\}$ in the complete local \mathbb{k} -algebra \widehat{SH} . Here recall that $\widehat{SH} := \varprojlim_n \bigoplus_{k=0}^n S^k(H_K^*)$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}[[\underline{t}]]$.

Theorem 3.23. *Let $\mathcal{C} : (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}, \cdot, 0)$ be a morphism in the category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(\underline{t})_{\underline{\varphi}^H} \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\widehat{SH}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ be a solution of the Maurer-Cartan equation (3.30) corresponding to an L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism $\underline{\varphi}^H$ from $(H_K, \underline{0})$ to $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)$. Assume that $H_K(\mathcal{A})$ is a finite dimensional \mathbb{k} -vector space and $H_{K_\Gamma}(\widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A})$ is a free \widehat{SH} -module satisfying*

$$(3.31) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{k}} H_K(\mathcal{A}) = \text{rk}_{\widehat{SH}} H_{K_\Gamma}(\widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A}).$$

Then there exist elements $A_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t}) = A_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t})_\Gamma \in \widehat{SH}$ depending on Γ , where $\underline{t} = \{t^\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$, such that

$$(3.32) \quad \left(\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta - \sum_{\gamma} A_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t}) \partial_\gamma \right) \mathcal{C}(e^\Gamma - 1) = 0, \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in I,$$

where ∂_α means the partial derivative with respect to t^α where $\alpha \in I$. Moreover, if $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and Γ are homotopy equivalent, then $A_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t})_{\tilde{\Gamma}} = A_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t})_\Gamma$.

Proof. Note that $\Gamma = \Gamma(\underline{t})$ depends on \underline{t} . Then the condition $K(e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})} - 1) = 0$ implies that

$$K(\partial_\alpha e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})}) = K(\partial_\alpha \Gamma(\underline{t}) \cdot e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})}) = 0,$$

which says, by the equality (3.7), that

$$K_{\Gamma(\underline{t})}(\partial_\alpha \Gamma(\underline{t})) = 0.$$

Therefore, combined with the condition (3.31), we may assume that $\{[\partial_\alpha \Gamma(\underline{t})] : \alpha \in I\}$ is an \widehat{SH} -basis of $H_{K_\Gamma}(\widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A})$, since φ_1^H is a cochain quasi-isomorphism. Here $[\cdot]$ means the cohomology class. The condition $Ke^{\Gamma(\underline{t})} = 0$ also implies that

$$K(\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})}) = K\left((\partial_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma(\underline{t}) + \partial_\alpha \Gamma(\underline{t}) \partial_\beta \Gamma(\underline{t})) \cdot e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})}\right) = 0,$$

where $\partial_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_\alpha \partial t_\beta}$, and the equality (3.7) says that

$$K_{\Gamma(\underline{t})}(\partial_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma(\underline{t}) + \partial_\alpha \Gamma(\underline{t}) \partial_\beta \Gamma(\underline{t})) \cdot e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})} = 0.$$

Thus we should be able to write down $[\partial_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma(\underline{t}) + \partial_\alpha \Gamma(\underline{t}) \partial_\beta \Gamma(\underline{t})]$ as an \widehat{SH} -linear combination of $[\partial_\alpha \Gamma(\underline{t})]$'s, i.e. there exists a unique 3-tensor $A_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t}) \in \widehat{SH}$ such that

$$(3.33) \quad \partial_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma(\underline{t}) + \partial_\alpha \Gamma(\underline{t}) \partial_\beta \Gamma(\underline{t}) = \sum_\gamma A_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t}) \partial_\gamma \Gamma(\underline{t}) + K_{\Gamma(\underline{t})}(\Lambda_{\alpha\beta}(\underline{t}))$$

for some $\Lambda_{\alpha\beta}(\underline{t}) \in \widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A}$. Then this is equivalent to

$$(3.34) \quad \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})} - \sum_\gamma A_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t}) \partial_\gamma e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})} = K(\Lambda_{\alpha\beta}(\underline{t}) \cdot e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})}).$$

We finish the proof by applying \mathcal{C} to the above equality and using the fact that \mathcal{C} is a cochain map, i.e., $\mathcal{C} \circ K = 0$.

If $\tilde{\Gamma}(\underline{t})$ and $\Gamma(\underline{t})$ are homotopy equivalent, then, according to Definition 3.13, we have

$$(3.35) \quad e^{\tilde{\Gamma}(\underline{t})} - e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})} = K \left(\int_0^1 \lambda(\tau) e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})(\tau)} d\tau \right),$$

where $\Gamma(\underline{t})(1) = \tilde{\Gamma}(\underline{t})$ and $\Gamma(\underline{t})(0) = \Gamma(\underline{t})$. By using (3.34) we can derive the following;

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta (e^{\tilde{\Gamma}(\underline{t})} - e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})}) + \left(\sum_\gamma A_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma(\underline{t})_\Gamma \partial_\gamma e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})} - \sum_\gamma A_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma(\underline{t})_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \partial_\gamma e^{\tilde{\Gamma}(\underline{t})} \right) \\ &= K \left(\Lambda_{\alpha\beta}(\underline{t}) \cdot e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{\alpha\beta}(\underline{t}) \cdot e^{\tilde{\Gamma}(\underline{t})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (3.35) implies that

$$\sum_\gamma A_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma(\underline{t})_\Gamma \partial_\gamma e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})} - \sum_\gamma A_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma(\underline{t})_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \partial_\gamma e^{\tilde{\Gamma}(\underline{t})} \in \text{Im } K.$$

After we add $\sum_\gamma A_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma(\underline{t})_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \partial_\gamma e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})}$ and subtract to the above, we can apply (3.35) to prove that

$$D := \left(\sum_\gamma (A_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma(\underline{t})_\Gamma - A_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma(\underline{t})_{\tilde{\Gamma}}) \partial_\gamma \Gamma(\underline{t}) \right) \cdot e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})} \in \text{Im } K.$$

Note that D has the form $K(\xi \cdot e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})})$ for some ξ . Then (3.7) implies that

$$\sum_\gamma (A_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma(\underline{t})_\Gamma - A_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma(\underline{t})_{\tilde{\Gamma}}) \partial_\gamma \Gamma(\underline{t}) \in \text{Im } K_{\Gamma(\underline{t})}$$

Since $\{[\partial_\alpha \Gamma(\underline{t})] : \alpha \in I\}$ is an \widehat{SH} -basis of $H_{K_\Gamma}(\widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A})$, the desired result $A_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma(\underline{t})_\Gamma = A_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma(\underline{t})_{\tilde{\Gamma}}$ follows if we take the $K_{\Gamma(\underline{t})}$ -cohomology of the above. \square

The method used in the proof can be made into an effective algorithm (see Subsection 4.1 for a toy model case and Subsection 4.13 for the smooth projective hypersurface case) to compute $\mathcal{C}(e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})_\underline{z}})$. It leads to the Picard-Fuchs type differential equation for a family of hypersurfaces if we interpret the period integrals of hypersurfaces as *period integrals* of quantum Jacobian Lie algebra representations attached to hypersurfaces; see Subsection 4.10.

3.7. Explicit computation of the generating power series

The goal of this subsection is to reduce the problem of computing the generating power series $\mathcal{C}(e^\Gamma - 1)$ attached to \mathcal{C} and $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\varphi^H}$ to the problem of computing the 3-tensor $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\underline{t})_\Gamma$ for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in I$ that appeared in (3.32). We also provide the proof of Theorem 1.5 Let $\mathcal{C} : (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}, \cdot, 0)$ be a morphism in the category $\mathfrak{C}_\mathbb{k}$. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\varphi^H} \in (\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$ be a solution of the Maurer-Cartan equation (3.30) corresponding to an L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism φ^H from $(H_K, \underline{0})$ to $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)$. Assume that $H_K(\mathcal{A})$ is a finite dimensional \mathbb{k} -vector space and $H_{K_\Gamma}(\widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A})$ is a free \widehat{SH} -module satisfying (3.31). Let us write Γ as

$$(3.36) \quad \Gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} t^{\alpha_n} \dots t^{\alpha_1} \otimes \varphi_n^H(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n}) \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\widehat{SH}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0.$$

Lemma 3.24. *There exist $T^\gamma(\underline{t}) \in \widehat{SH} \simeq \mathbb{k}[[\underline{t}]]$ and $\Lambda \in \widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ such that*

$$(3.37) \quad e^\Gamma = 1 + \sum_{\gamma} T^\gamma(\underline{t}) \cdot \varphi_1^H(e_\gamma) + K(\Lambda) \in (\widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0.$$

Proof. Recall that $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded homogeneous basis of H_K . Since φ_1^H is a cochain quasi-isomorphism, $\{\varphi_1^H(e_\gamma)\}$ form a set of representatives of a basis of H_K . Therefore the result follows, because $K(e^\Gamma) = 0$ and K is \widehat{SH} -linear. □

Remark. Since $\mathcal{C} \circ K = 0$, we can express the generating power series as

$$(3.38) \quad \mathcal{C}(e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})_{\varphi^H}} - 1) = \sum_{\gamma} T^\gamma(\underline{t}) \cdot \mathcal{C}(\varphi_1^H(e_\gamma)).$$

So the above lemma, combined with Theorems 1.3 and 4.20, gives us Theorem 1.5. Moreover, its explicit expression (1.11) in terms of $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\underline{t})_\Gamma$ in (3.32) can be derived by a direct computation.

Note that $\mathcal{C}(\varphi_1^H(e_\gamma))$ does **not** depend on \underline{t} and $T^\gamma(\underline{t})$ does **not** depend on \mathcal{C} . Thus we only need to know the values $\mathcal{C}(\varphi_1^H(e_\gamma))$ on the basis $\{e_\gamma : \alpha \in I\}$ of the cohomology group H_K and the formal power series $T^\gamma(\underline{t}) = T^\gamma(\underline{t})_{\Gamma_{\varphi^H}}$, which depends only on the homotopy type of φ^H , in order to compute $\mathcal{C}(e^\Gamma - 1)$. Let us explain how to compute $T^\gamma(\underline{t})$. We reduce its computation to the computation of $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\underline{t})_\Gamma$. Let us write $T^\gamma(\underline{t})$ as a power

series in \underline{t} as follows:

$$T^\gamma(\underline{t}) = t^\gamma + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} t^{\alpha_n} \dots t^{\alpha_1} \cdot M_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n}^\gamma, \quad \text{for } \gamma \in I,$$

for a uniquely determined $M_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n}^\gamma \in \mathbb{k}$. We define a \mathbb{k} -multilinear operation $M_n : S^n(H_K) \rightarrow H_K$ as follows

$$M_n(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n}) = \sum_{\gamma} M_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n}^\gamma e_\gamma, \quad n \geq 2.$$

We also write that the 3-tensor $A_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t})_\Gamma$ in (3.32) as follows:

$$A_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t})_\Gamma = m_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} t^{\alpha_n} \dots t^{\alpha_1} m_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \alpha \beta}^\gamma, \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in I,$$

for a uniquely determined $m_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \alpha \beta}^\gamma \in \mathbb{k}$. We define a \mathbb{k} -multilinear operation $m_n : T^n(H_K) \rightarrow H_K$ as follows

$$m_n(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n}) = \sum_{\gamma} m_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n}^\gamma e_\gamma, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Proposition 3.25. *Let M_1 be the identity map on H_K . Then we have the following relationship between m_n and M_n :*

$$M_n(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in P(n) \\ |B_{|\pi|}|=n-|\pi|+1 \\ n-1 \sim_\pi n}} \epsilon(\pi) M_{|\pi|}(v_{B_1}, \dots, v_{B_{|\pi|-1}}, m(v_{B_{|\pi|}})).$$

for any homogeneous element $v_1, \dots, v_n \in H_K$ and $n \geq 2$. The following is also true:

- M_n is a \mathbb{k} -linear map from $S^n(H_K)$ into H_K of degree zero for all $n \geq 1$
- $M_{n+1}(v_1, \dots, v_n, 1_H) = M_n(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ for all $n \geq 1$, where 1_H is a distinguished element corresponding to 1_A .

Proof. The equality (3.32) and (3.38) imply that

$$\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \left(\sum_{\gamma} T^\gamma(\underline{t}) \cdot \mathcal{C}(\varphi_1^H(e_\gamma)) \right) = \sum_{\rho} A_{\alpha\beta}^\rho(\underline{t})_\Gamma \partial_\rho \left(\sum_{\gamma} T^\gamma(\underline{t}) \cdot \mathcal{C}(\varphi_1^H(e_\gamma)) \right),$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in I$. The combinatorial formula between m_n and M_n follows essentially from comparing the \underline{t} -coefficients of both sides. We leave readers to verify this formula and the remaining properties of M_n as exercises. \square

This explicit combinatorial formula says that the data of $m_n, n \geq 2$ completely determines $M_n, n \geq 2$, and vice versa; knowing $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\underline{t})_\Gamma$ for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in I$, is equivalent to knowing $T^\gamma(\underline{t})$ for $\gamma \in I$. By Proposition 3.25, it is enough to give an algorithm to compute the 3-tensor $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\underline{t})_\Gamma$ and to know the values $\mathcal{C}(\varphi_1^H(e_\alpha)), \alpha \in I$, in order to compute the generating power series $\mathcal{C}(e^\Gamma - 1)$. We will provide an effective algorithm for computing $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\underline{t})_\Gamma$, when a versal Maurer-Cartan solution Γ is associated to $(\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, K_X)$, which is attached to a projective smooth hypersurface X_G ; see Subsection 4.13.

3.8. A flat connection on the tangent bundle of a formal deformation space

Here we will show that the system of differential equations (3.32) can be reformulated to give a flat connection on the tangent bundle of a formal deformation space. The proposition 3.14, (a) says that the deformation functor $\mathfrak{Def}_{(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^\kappa)}$ is pro-representable by \widehat{SH} . Thus we can consider a formal deformation space \mathcal{M} attached to the universal deformation ring \widehat{SH} of $\mathfrak{Def}_{(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^\kappa)}$ so that $\Omega^0(\mathcal{M}) = \widehat{SH}$, i.e., \mathcal{M} is the formal spectrum of \widehat{SH} . Let \mathcal{TM} be the tangent bundle of \mathcal{M} and $T^*\mathcal{M}$ be the cotangent bundle of \mathcal{M} . Let $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{TM})$ be the \mathbb{k} -space of global sections of \mathcal{TM} and $\Omega^p(\mathcal{M})$ be the \mathbb{k} -space of differential p -forms on \mathcal{M} . We list some important properties of the 3-tensor $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\underline{t})_\Gamma$ in (3.32), which we use to prove the existence of a flat connection on \mathcal{TM} .

Lemma 3.26. *The 3-tensor $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} := A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\underline{t})_\Gamma$ in Theorem 3.23 satisfies the following properties.*

$$A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} - (-1)^{|e_\alpha||e_\beta|} A_{\beta\alpha\gamma} = 0,$$

$$\partial_\alpha A_{\beta\gamma}^\sigma - (-1)^{|e_\alpha||e_\beta|} \partial_\beta A_{\alpha\gamma}^\sigma + \sum_\rho \left(A_{\beta\gamma}^\rho A_{\alpha\rho}^\sigma - (-1)^{|e_\alpha||e_\beta|} A_{\alpha\gamma}^\rho A_{\beta\rho}^\sigma \right) = 0,$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \sigma \in I$, where ∂_α means the partial derivative with respect to t^α .

Proof. The first one follows from the super-commutativity of the binary product of \mathcal{A} . The second one can be proved by taking the derivatives of (3.32) with respect to \underline{t} and using the associativity of the binary product of \mathcal{A} . We leave this as an exercise. \square

Note that \mathcal{TM} is a trivial bundle and let us write $\mathcal{TM} = \mathcal{M} \times V$, where V is isomorphic to H_K^* . The most general connection is of the form $d + A$, where A is an element of $\Omega^1(\mathcal{M}) \hat{\otimes} \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$. Here $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the completed tensor product. We define a 1-form valued matrix A_Γ by

$$(3.39) \quad (A_\Gamma)_\beta^\gamma := - \sum_\alpha dt^\alpha \cdot A_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t})_\Gamma, \quad \beta, \gamma \in I,$$

where $A_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t})_\Gamma$ is given in (3.32). Then $A_\Gamma \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}) \hat{\otimes} \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$.

Theorem 3.27. *Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\underline{\varphi}^H} \in \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{f}_{(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)}(\widehat{SH})$ which corresponds to an L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism $\underline{\varphi}^H$. Then the \mathbb{k} -linear operator $D_\Gamma := d + A_\Gamma$ defined in (3.39)*

$$(3.40) \quad D_\Gamma := d + A_\Gamma : \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{TM}) \rightarrow \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\Omega^0(\mathcal{M})} \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{TM}),$$

is a flat connection on \mathcal{TM} .

Proof. We compute the curvature of the connection D_Γ as follows;

$$D_\Gamma^2 = dA_\Gamma + A_\Gamma^2.$$

Then a simple computation confirms that $dA_\Gamma + A_\Gamma^2 = 0$ is equivalent to the second equality of Lemma 3.26 by using the first equality of Lemma 3.26. Thus $dA_\Gamma + A_\Gamma^2 = 0$ and D_Γ is flat. \square

Proposition 3.28. *Let $\underline{\varphi}^H$ be an L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism from $(H_K, \underline{0})$ to $(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell}^K)$. The generating power series $\mathcal{C}(e^\Gamma - 1)$ attached to \mathcal{C} and $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\underline{\varphi}^H}$ satisfies the equation*

$$d(\partial_\gamma \mathcal{C}(e^\Gamma - 1)) = -A_\Gamma(\partial_\gamma \mathcal{C}(e^\Gamma - 1)), \quad \text{i.e. } D_\Gamma(\partial_\gamma \mathcal{C}(e^\Gamma - 1)) = 0,$$

where we view $\partial_\gamma \mathcal{C}(e^\Gamma - 1)$ as a column vector indexed by $\gamma \in I$.

Proof. If we multiply (3.32) by dt^α and sum over $\alpha \in I$, then we get

$$(3.41) \quad \sum_\gamma \left(\sum_\alpha dt^\alpha \partial_\alpha \delta_\beta^\gamma - \sum_\alpha dt^\alpha \cdot A_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t}) \right) \partial_\gamma \mathcal{C}(e^\Gamma - 1) = 0, \quad \text{for } \beta \in I,$$

where δ_β^γ is the Kronecker delta symbol. Then the desired equality follows from the definition of A_Γ in (3.39), by noting that $d = \sum_\alpha dt^\alpha \partial_\alpha$. \square

4. Period integrals of smooth projective hypersurfaces

In [11], P. Griffiths extensively studied the period integrals of smooth projective hypersurfaces. We use his theory to give a non-trivial example of a period integral of a certain Lie algebra representation and reveal its hidden structures, namely its correlations and variations, by applying the general theory we developed so far. We start with a toy example in order to illustrate our applications of the general theory more transparently.

4.1. Toy model

We go back to the example 2.3. We have a (quantum Jacobian) Lie algebra representation ρ_S attached to a polynomial $S(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ of degree $d + 1$. The associated cochain complex $(\mathcal{A}_{\rho_S}, \cdot, K_{\rho_S}) = (\mathcal{A}_S, \cdot, K_S) \in \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is given by $\mathcal{A}_S = \mathbb{R}[x][\eta] = \mathcal{A}_S^{-1} \oplus \mathcal{A}_S^0$ and $K_S = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + S'(x)\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}$. The map C defined by $C(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{S(x)}dx$ can be enhanced to $\mathcal{C}_S : (\mathcal{A}_S, \cdot, K_S) \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}, \cdot, 0)$ by Proposition 2.6.

Proposition 4.1. *The cohomology group $H_{K_S}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_S)$ vanishes and $H_{K_S}^0(\mathcal{A}_S)$ is a finite dimensional \mathbb{k} -vector space. Its dimension is the degree of the polynomial $S'(x)$.*

Proof. Even though the proof is straightforward, we decide to provide details in order to give some indication about the more complicated multi-variable version, Lemma 4.22. Note that K_S consists of the quantum part $\Delta = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}$ and the classical part $Q = S'(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}$. The image of \mathcal{A}^{-1} under the classical part Q defines the Jacobian ideal of $\mathbb{R}[x]$. We first solve an ideal membership problem (just the Euclidean algorithm in the one variable case); for a given any $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, there is an effective algorithm to find unique polynomials $q^{(0)}(x), r^{(0)}(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad f(x) = S'(x)q^{(0)}(x) + r^{(0)}(x), \quad \deg(r^{(0)}(x)) \leq d - 1.$$

Then we use the quantum part Δ to rewrite (4.1);

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= -\frac{\partial q^{(0)}(x)}{\partial x} + \left(S'(x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) q^{(0)}(x) + r^{(0)}(x) \\ &= -\frac{\partial q^{(0)}(x)}{\partial x} + r^{(0)}(x) + K_S(q^{(0)}(x) \cdot \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Then we again use the Euclidean algorithm to find unique $q^{(1)}(x), r^{(1)}(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ such that

$$-\frac{\partial q^{(0)}(x)}{\partial x} = S'(x)q^{(1)}(x) + r^{(1)}(x), \quad \deg(r^{(1)}(x)) \leq d - 1.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= S'(x)q^{(1)}(x) + r^{(1)}(x) + r^{(0)}(x) + K_S \left(q^{(0)}(x) \cdot \eta \right) \\ &= -\frac{\partial q^{(1)}(x)}{\partial x} + r^{(1)}(x) + r^{(0)}(x) + K_S \left(q^{(1)}(x) \cdot \eta + q^{(0)}(x) \cdot \eta \right). \end{aligned}$$

We can continue this process, which stops in finite steps, which shows that the dimension of $H_{K_S}^0(\mathcal{A}_S)$ is not bigger than d . But $1, x, \dots, x^{d-1}$ cannot be in the image of K_S because of degree. Thus the result follows. The vanishing of H^{-1} is trivially derived, since any solution $u(x)$ to the differential equation $\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x} + S'(x) \cdot u(x) = 0$ cannot be a polynomial. \square

This type of interaction between quantum and classical components of K_S will be the key technique to compute effectively (a fancy way of doing integration by parts) the generating power series $\mathcal{C}(e^\Gamma - 1)$ of period integrals of the quantum Jacobian Lie algebra representation. Therefore (\mathcal{A}_S, K_S) is quasi-isomorphic to the finite dimensional space $(H_{K_S}^0(\mathcal{A}_S), 0)$ with zero differential; this is a perfect example to apply all the results developed in Section 3. We record a general result in order to compute descendant L_∞ -algebras.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $S \in \mathbb{k}[q^1, \dots, q^m]$ be a multi-variable polynomial. The descendant L_∞ -algebra of the cochain complex $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K)_{\rho_S} = (\mathcal{A}_{\rho_S}, \cdot, K_{\rho_S})$ associated to the quantum Jacobian Lie algebra representation ρ_S in (2.2) is a differential graded Lie algebra over \mathbb{k} , i.e. $\ell_3^{K_{\rho_S}} = \ell_4^{K_{\rho_S}} = \dots = 0$. Moreover, $(\mathcal{A}_{\rho_S}, \cdot, \ell_2^{K_{\rho_S}})$ is a Gerstenhaber algebra over \mathbb{k} .*

Proof. The equality (3.5) implies the result, since K_{ρ_S} is a differential operator on \mathcal{A}_{ρ_S} of order 2. For the second claim, we have to show that $\ell_2^{K_{\rho_S}}$ is a derivation of the product:

$$(4.2) \quad \ell_2^{K_{\rho_S}}(a \cdot b, c) = (-1)^{|a|} a \cdot \ell_2^{K_{\rho_S}}(b, c) + (-1)^{|b| \cdot |c|} \ell_2^{K_{\rho_S}}(a, c) \cdot b,$$

for any homogeneous elements $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_S}$. This follows from a direct computation, which uses again the fact that K_{ρ_S} is a differential operator of order 2. \square

Thus, by Proposition 4.2, the descendant L_∞ -algebra $(\mathbb{R}[x][\eta], \ell_2)$, where

$$\ell_2(u, v) := \ell_2^{K_S}(u, v) = K_S(u \cdot v) - K_S(u) \cdot v - (-1)^{|u|} u \cdot K_S(v),$$

is a differential graded Lie algebra (no higher homotopy structure) which is quasi-isomorphic to $(H, 0)$ where $H = H_{K_S}(\mathcal{A}_S)$. But note that the descendant L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C}$ from $(\mathbb{R}[x][\eta], \ell_2)$ to $(\mathbb{R}, 0)$ does have a non-trivial higher homotopy structure: $\phi_3^\mathcal{C}, \phi_4^\mathcal{C}, \dots$ do not vanish. This higher structure governs the correlation of the period integral $\int_{-\infty}^\infty u(x)e^{S(x)}dx$. Let $\{e_0, \dots, e_{d-1}\}$ be an \mathbb{R} -basis of H . We define an L_∞ -morphism $\underline{f} = f_1, f_2, \dots$, from $(H, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{R}[x][\eta], \ell_2)$ by

$$f_1(e_i) = x^i, \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, d-1, \quad f_2 = f_3 = \dots = 0,$$

which is clearly an L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism. The version of Theorem 1.3 for the toy model (which follows from Proposition 3.17 and Theorem 3.21) can be summarized as the following commutative diagram:

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & C = \phi_1^\mathcal{C} \circ f_1 & & \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\ (H, \underline{0}) & \xrightarrow{f_1} & (\mathbb{R}[x][\eta], \ell_2) & \xrightarrow{\phi_1^\mathcal{C}} & (\mathbb{R}, \underline{0}) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{f}} & & \xrightarrow{\underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C}} & \\ & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright & \\ & & \underline{\kappa} = \underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C} \bullet \underline{f} & & \end{array}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}} &:= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} t^{\alpha_n} \dots t^{\alpha_1} \otimes f_n(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} t_i \cdot x^i \in \mathbb{R}[x][\underline{t}], \end{aligned}$$

where $\{t^\alpha\} = \{t_0, \dots, t_{d-1}\}$ is an \mathbb{R} -dual basis to $\{e_0, \dots, e_{d-1}\}$. Hence the generating power series for \mathcal{C} and \underline{f} is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{[\underline{\phi}^\mathcal{C} \bullet \underline{f}]}(\underline{t}) &= \mathcal{C}(e^{\Sigma(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}}} - 1) \\ &= \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n!} \phi_n^\mathcal{C} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} t_i \cdot x^i, \dots, \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} t_i \cdot x^i \right) \right) - 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is an L_∞ -homotopy invariant (Theorem 3.21). Lemma 3.24 says that there exists a power series $T^{[i]}(\underline{t}) = T^{[i]}(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}} \in \mathbb{R}[[\underline{t}]]$ for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, d - 1$ such that

$$\mathcal{Z}_{[\underline{\phi}^e \bullet \underline{f}]}(\underline{t}) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} T^{[i]}(\underline{t}) \cdot \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^i e^{S(x)} dx \right).$$

Note that the 1-tensor $T^{[i]}(\underline{t})$ has all the information of the integrals $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^m e^{S(x)} dx$ for $m \geq d$, and is completely determined by the cochain complex $(\mathbb{R}[x][\eta], (\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + S'(x))\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta})$ with super-commutative multiplication. The Euclidean algorithm enhanced with quantum component in Proposition 4.2, which we generalize to the Griffiths period integral (Lemma 4.22), can be used to compute $T^{[i]}(\underline{t})$ effectively and consequently compute all the moments $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^m e^{S(x)} dx, \forall m \geq d$ from the finite data

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{S(x)} dx, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \cdot e^{S(x)} dx, \dots, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{d-1} e^{S(x)} dx.$$

Our general theory says that this determination mechanism is governed by L_∞ -homotopy theory, more precisely, the interplay between the 1-tensor $T^\gamma(\underline{t})$ and the 3-tensor $A_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t})_\Sigma$ (Proposition 3.25).

4.2. The Lie algebra representation ρ_X associated to a smooth projective hypersurface X_G

Let n be a positive integer. We use $\underline{x} = [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ as a projective coordinate of the projective n -space \mathbf{P}^n . Let $G(\underline{x}) \in \mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]$ be the defining homogeneous polynomial equation of degree $d \geq 1$ for a smooth projective hypersurface, denoted $X = X_G$, of \mathbf{P}^n . Let \mathfrak{g} be an abelian Lie algebra of dimension $n + 2$. Let $\alpha_{-1}, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$ be a \mathbb{C} -basis of \mathfrak{g} . Let

$$A := \mathbb{C}[y, x_0, \dots, x_n] = \mathbb{C}[y, \underline{x}]$$

be a commutative polynomial \mathbb{C} -algebra generated by y, x_0, \dots, x_n . We also introduce variables $y_{-1} = y, y_0 = x_0, y_1 = x_1, \dots, y_n = x_n$ for notational convenience. For a given $G(\underline{x})$ of degree d , we associate a Lie algebra representation $\rho_X = \rho_{X_G}$ on A of \mathfrak{g} as follows:

$$(4.4) \quad \rho_i := \rho_X(\alpha_i) := \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} + \frac{\partial S(y, \underline{x})}{\partial y_i}, \text{ for } i = -1, 0, \dots, n,$$

where $S(y, \underline{x}) = y \cdot G(\underline{x})$. In other words, this is the quantum Jacobian Lie algebra representation $\rho_{S(y, \underline{x})}$ associated to $S(y, \underline{x}) = y \cdot G(\underline{x})$ in (2.2). Of course, we extend this \mathbb{C} -linearly to get a map $\rho_X : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$. This is clearly a Lie algebra representation of \mathfrak{g} . We will show that Griffiths' period integrals of the projective hypersurface X_G are, in fact, period integrals of ρ_X in the sense of Definition 2.1 .

4.3. Period integrals attached to ρ_X

We briefly review Griffiths' theory and find a nonzero period integral attached to ρ_X .

Proposition 4.3. *Let \mathbb{C} be a non negative integer. Every rational differential n -form ω on \mathbf{P}^n with a pole order of $\leq k$ along X_G (regular outside X_G with a pole order of $\leq k$) can be written as*

$$\omega = \frac{F(\underline{x})}{G(\underline{x})^k} \Omega_n,$$

where $\Omega_n = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i x_i (dx_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n)$ and F is a homogeneous polynomial such that $\text{deg } F + n + 1 = k \text{ deg } G = kd$.

Griffiths defined a surjective \mathbb{C} -linear map, called the tubular neighborhood map τ , (3.4) in [11]

$$\tau : H_{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(\mathbf{P}^n - X_G, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where H_i 's are singular homology groups of the topological spaces $X_G(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbb{C}) - X_G(\mathbb{C})$. It is known that this map is an isomorphism if n is even. He also studied the following \mathbb{C} -linear map, called the *residue map*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res} : \mathcal{H}(X_G) &\rightarrow H^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C}), \\ \omega &\mapsto \left(\gamma \mapsto \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \omega \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{H}(X_G)$ is the rational de Rham cohomology group defined as the quotient of the group of rational n -forms on \mathbf{P}^n regular outside X_G by the group of the forms $d\psi$ where ψ is a rational $n - 1$ form regular outside X_G .

It turns out that there is an increasing filtration of $\mathcal{H}(X_G)$ (see (6.1) of [11]):

$$\mathcal{H}_1(X_G) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(X_G) \subset \mathcal{H}_n(X_G) = \mathcal{H}_{n+1}(X_G) = \cdots \simeq \mathcal{H}(X_G),$$

where $\mathcal{H}_k(X_G)$ is the cohomology group defined as the quotient of the group of rational n -forms on \mathbf{P}^n with a pole of order $\leq k$ along X_G by the group of exact rational n -forms on \mathbf{P}^n with a pole of order $\leq k$ along X_G . The isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_n(X_G) \simeq \mathcal{H}(X_G)$ follows from Theorem 4.2, [11] and the fact that the natural map $\mathcal{H}_k(X_G) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{k+1}(X_G)$ is injective follows from Theorem 4.3, [11]. Moreover, Griffiths proved that *Res* sends this filtration given by pole orders to the Hodge filtration of $H^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$. For each $k \geq 1$, if we define $\mathcal{F}_k \subset H^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$ to be the \mathbb{C} -vector space consisting of all $(n-1, 0), (n-2, 1), \dots, (n-1-k, k)$ -forms. Then

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_{n-2} \subset \mathcal{F}_{n-1} = H^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C}).$$

Theorem 8.3, [11], says that $\mathcal{H}_n(X_G)$ goes into the primitive part of \mathcal{F}_{k-1} under *Res* and *Res* is a \mathbb{C} -vector space isomorphism from $\mathcal{H}(X_G)$ to the primitive part $H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$ of $H^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$.

Now we construct a \mathbb{C} -linear map $C_\gamma : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for each $\gamma \in H_{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{Z})$, which is a period integral attached to ρ_X . For $y^{k-1}F(\underline{x})$ where $F(\underline{x})$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $dk - (n + 1)$ and $k \geq 1$, define

$$\begin{aligned} C_\gamma(y^{k-1}F(\underline{x})) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \left(\int_0^\infty y^{k-1}F(\underline{x}) \cdot e^{yG(\underline{x})} dy \right) \Omega_n \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{k-1}(k-1)!}{2\pi i} \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \frac{F(\underline{x})}{G(\underline{x})^k} \Omega_n. \end{aligned}$$

In the second equality, we used the Laplace transform:

$$\int_0^\infty y^{k-1}e^{yT} dy = (-1)^k \frac{(k-1)!}{T^k}.$$

Note that $\frac{F(\underline{x})}{G(\underline{x})^k} \Omega_n$ is a representative of an element of $\mathcal{H}_k(X_G)$ and $\int_{\tau(\gamma)} \frac{F(\underline{x})}{G(\underline{x})^k} \Omega_n$ is well-defined. If $x \in A$ is of the form $y^{k-1}F(\underline{x})$, but with $F(\underline{x})$ homogeneous of degree not equal to $dk - (n + 1)$, we simply define $C_\gamma(x) = 0$. Because the elements $y^{k-1}F(\underline{x})$, where $k \geq 1$ and $F(\underline{x})$ varies over any homogeneous polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]$, constitute a \mathbb{C} -basis of A , the above procedure, extended \mathbb{C} -linearly, gives a map $C_\gamma : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then we claim that this is a period integral attached to the Lie algebra representation ρ_X .

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\gamma \in H_{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{Z})$. The \mathbb{C} -linear map C_γ is a period integral attached to ρ_X , i.e. $C_\gamma(\rho_i(f)) = 0$ for every $f \in A$.*

Proof. Recall that

$$\rho_{-1} = G(\underline{x}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad \rho_i = y \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} G(\underline{x}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad i = 0, \dots, n.$$

It is enough to check the statement when $\rho_i(x)$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of the forms $y^{k-1}F(\underline{x})$, where $k \geq 1$ and $F(\underline{x})$ varies over any homogeneous polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]$ such that $\deg F + n + 1 = kd$, since C_γ is already zero for other forms of polynomials. Let $f(\underline{x}) \in \mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $kd - n$. Then for each $k \geq 1$ we have

$$\rho_i(y^{k-1}f(\underline{x})) = \frac{\partial G(\underline{x})}{\partial x_i} \cdot y^k f(\underline{x}) + y^{k-1} \frac{\partial f(\underline{x})}{\partial x_i}.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\pi i \cdot C_\gamma \left(\frac{\partial G(\underline{x})}{\partial x_i} \cdot y^k f(\underline{x}) + y^{k-1} \frac{\partial f(\underline{x})}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ &= (-1)^k k! \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \frac{\frac{\partial G(\underline{x})}{\partial x_i} \cdot f(\underline{x})}{G(\underline{x})^{k+1}} \Omega_n + (-1)^{k-1} (k-1)! \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \frac{\frac{\partial f(\underline{x})}{\partial x_i}}{G(\underline{x})^k} \Omega_n \\ &= (-1)^k (k-1)! \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \frac{k \cdot f(\underline{x}) \cdot \frac{\partial G(\underline{x})}{\partial x_i} - G(\underline{x}) \cdot \frac{\partial f(\underline{x})}{\partial x_i}}{G^{k+1}} \Omega_n \\ &= \int_{\tau(\gamma)} d \left(\frac{(-1)^k (k-1)!}{G(\underline{x})^k} \sum_{0 \leq h < j \leq n} (x_h A_j(\underline{x}) - x_j A_h(\underline{x})) (\cdots \hat{d}x_h \cdots \hat{d}x_j \cdots) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $A_i(\underline{x}) = f(\underline{x})$ and $A_j(\underline{x}) = 0$ for $j \neq i$. The last equality is a simple differential calculation, which can be found in (4.4) and (4.5) of [11]. Therefore this expression has the form $\int_{\tau(\gamma)} d\omega$ and this is zero, which is what we want. Now we look at

$$\rho_{-1}(y^{k-1}f(\underline{x})) = G(\underline{x})y^{k-1}f(\underline{x}) + (k-1)y^{k-2}f(\underline{x}).$$

Then we can similarly check (this is much easier and follows from the factor $(-1)^{k-1}(k-1)!$ and sign) that

$$C_\gamma(G(\underline{x})y^{k-1}f(\underline{x}) + (k-1)y^{k-2}f(\underline{x})) = 0,$$

for all $k \geq 1$ and any homogeneous polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]$. □

4.4. The commutative differential graded algebra attached to a smooth hypersurface

We now have two data: the Lie algebra representation ρ_X attached to the smooth projective hypersurface X_G and a period integral $C_\gamma : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ attached to ρ_X for $\gamma \in H_{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{Z})$. In Subsection 2.2, we constructed a cochain complex $(\mathcal{A}_{\rho_X}, \cdot, K_{\rho_X})$ with super-commutative product \cdot whose degree 0 part is A (in fact, an object in the category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{C}}$) and a cochain map $(\mathcal{A}_{\rho_X}, \cdot, K_{\rho_X}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, \cdot, 0)$. We introduce another Lie algebra representation π_X of the abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of dimension $n + 2$ related to X_G . Let

$$\pi_i := \pi_X(\alpha_i) := \frac{\partial S(y, \underline{x})}{\partial y_i}, \quad i = -1, 0, \dots, n.$$

This defines a representation $\pi_i : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$. The same procedure gives a cochain complex $(\mathcal{A}_{\pi_X}, K_{\pi_X})$. From now on, we denote K_{π_X} by Q_X . Note that the \mathbb{C} -algebra \mathcal{A} appearing in the cochain complexes attached to ρ_X and π_X should be the same, since we use the same Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . But the differentials $K_X := K_{\rho_X}$ and $Q_X := K_{\pi_X}$ are different. The differentials K and Q are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_X &:= \mathcal{A}_{\rho_X} = \mathbb{C}[y][\eta] = \mathbb{C}[y_{-1}, y_0, \dots, y_n][\eta_{-1}, \eta_0, \dots, \eta_n] \\ K_X &:= K_{\rho_X} = \sum_{i=-1}^n \left(\frac{\partial S(y, \underline{x})}{\partial y_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, \\ Q_X &:= K_{\pi_X} = \sum_{i=-1}^n \frac{\partial S(y, \underline{x})}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\partial S(y, \underline{x})}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i}$ is a differential operator of order 1, the differential Q_X is a derivation of the product of \mathcal{A}_X . Thus $(\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, Q_X)$ is a CDGA (commutative differential graded algebra). But K is *not a derivation of the product*, as we have already pointed out: the differential operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i}$ has order 2. We also introduce the \mathbb{C} -linear map

$$\Delta := K_X - Q_X = \sum_{i=-1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}.$$

Note that Δ is also a differential of degree 1, i.e. $\Delta^2 = 0$. Therefore we have

$$\Delta Q_X + Q_X \Delta = 0.$$

It follows from this and Proposition 4.2 that $(\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, K_X, \ell_2^{K_X})$ satisfies all the axioms in Definition 1.1, and thus we get the following theorem:

Theorem 4.5. *The triple $(\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, K_X, \ell_2^{K_X})$ is a BV algebra over \mathbb{C} .*

Proposition 4.6. *Let $H_{Q_X}^n(\mathcal{A}_X)$ be the n -th cohomology group of the CDGA $(\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, Q_X)$. Then $H_{Q_X}^0(\mathcal{A}_X)$ has an induced \mathbb{C} -algebra structure and we have*

$$H_{Q_X}^0(\mathcal{A}_X) \simeq \mathbb{C}[y, \underline{x}]/J_S,$$

where J_S is the Jacobian ideal defined as the ideal of $A = \mathbb{C}[y, \underline{x}]$ generated by $G(\underline{x}), y \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_0}, \dots, y \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_n}$.

Proof. This is clear from the construction. □

Proposition 4.7. *The cohomology group $H_{Q_X}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_X)$ is both an \mathcal{A}^0 -module and an $H_{Q_X}^0(\mathcal{A}_X)$ -module.*

Proof. We consider $R \in \mathcal{A}_X^{-1}$ such that $Q_X R = 0$. For any $f \in \mathcal{A}_X^0 = A$, we have $Q_X(f \cdot R) = 0$, since $A \subseteq \text{Ker } Q_X$. Let $S = Q_X \sigma$ where $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_X^{-2}$. Then we have

$$S \cdot f = Q_X(\sigma \cdot f), \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{A}_X^0.$$

Therefore $H_{Q_X}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_X)$ is an A -module. Note that $H_{Q_X}^0(\mathcal{A}_X)$ has a \mathbb{C} -algebra structure inherited from \mathcal{A} , since Q_X is a derivation of the product of \mathcal{A}_X . Then one can similarly check that $H_{Q_X}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_X)$ is also a $H_{Q_X}^0(\mathcal{A}_X)$ -module. □

But notice that $H_{K_X}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_X)$ is not an \mathcal{A}_X^0 -module under the product \cdot of \mathcal{A} . Indeed, consider $R \in \mathcal{A}^{-1}$ such that $K_X(R) = 0$. For any $f \in \mathcal{A}_X^0$, the equation (3.1) says that

$$(4.6) \quad K_X(R \cdot f) = \ell_2^{K_X}(R, f) + K_X R \cdot f.$$

Because $\ell_2^{K_X}$ is not zero, $H_{K_X}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_X)$ does not necessarily have an A -module structure. In fact, this will play an important role in understanding the complex (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X) .

4.5. The BV algebra attached to a smooth hypersurface

Here we prove parts (a), (b), and (e) of Theorem 1.2. We drop X from the notation for simplicity if there is no confusion; $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) = (\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, K_X)$. We

start by recalling the decomposition of \mathcal{A} in (1.5);

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{gh, ch, wt} \mathcal{A}_{ch, (wt)}^{gh} = \bigoplus_{-n-2 \leq j \leq 0} \bigoplus_{w \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda, (w)}^j.$$

Associated with the charges ch , we define the corresponding Euler vector field

$$\hat{E}_{ch} = -dy \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + d\eta_{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{-1}} - \sum_{i=0}^n \eta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i}$$

Associated with the weights wt , we define the corresponding Euler vector field

$$\hat{E}_{wt} = y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \sum_{i=0}^n \eta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i}.$$

Then $u \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda, (w)}^j$ if and only if $\hat{E}_{ch}(u) = \lambda \cdot u$, $\hat{E}_{wt}(u) = w \cdot u$, and $gh(u) = j$. Note that Q preserves the charge and the weight, and commutes with \hat{E}_{ch} and \hat{E}_{wt} . The differential K also commutes with \hat{E}_{ch} and preserves the charge but K does *not* preserve the weight. The operator Δ decreases the weight wt by 1. Also note that $gh(S(\underline{y})) = 0$, $ch(S(\underline{y})) = \underline{0}$ and $wt(S(\underline{y})) = 1$.

If we define

$$(4.7) \quad R := -d \cdot y \eta_{-1} + \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \eta_i \in \mathcal{A}^{-1},$$

then

$$QR = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - d \cdot y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) S(y, \underline{x}) = 0,$$

which follows from the fact that $G(\underline{x})$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d . Moreover, R cannot be Q -exact for degree reasons. Then a straightforward computation says that

$$KR = n + 1 - d.$$

We define a \mathbb{C} -linear map $\delta_R : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by

$$(4.8) \quad \delta_R(x) = \ell_2^K(R, x) + KR \cdot x = \ell_2^K(R, x) + (n + 1 - d) \cdot x, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}.$$

It is clear that δ_R preserves the ghost number, since $R \in \mathcal{A}^{-1}$ and ℓ_2^K is a degree one map; for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\delta_R : \mathcal{A}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^m$. Proposition 4.2

implies that ℓ_2^K is a derivation of the product;

$$\ell_2^K(a \cdot b, c) = (-1)^{|a|} a \cdot \ell_2^K(b, c) + (-1)^{|b|+|c|} \ell_2^K(a, c) \cdot b,$$

for any homogeneous elements $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$. Using this one can compute that

$$\ell_2^K(R, F) = -d \cdot \left(y \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} - \eta_{-1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \eta_{-1}} \right) + \sum_{i=0}^n \left(x_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} - \eta_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial \eta_i} \right) = \hat{E}_{ch}(F),$$

for any $F \in \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 4.8. *The map δ_R preserves the degree of \mathcal{A} . Moreover, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_R \circ K &= K \circ \delta_R \\ \delta_R(\mathcal{A}^m) \cap \text{Ker } K &\subseteq K(\mathcal{A}^{m-1}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^m \end{aligned}$$

for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We compute, for $x \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} K(\delta_R(x)) &= K(\ell_2^K(R, x) + KR \cdot x) \\ &= K(K(R \cdot x) + R \cdot Kx - KR \cdot x) + K(KR \cdot x) \\ &= K(R \cdot Kx) \\ &= \ell_2^K(R, Kx) + KR \cdot Kx = \delta_R(Kx). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\delta_R(x) = \hat{E}_{ch}(x) + KR \cdot x = \hat{E}_{ch}(x) + (n+1-d)x$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$K(R \cdot x) = \ell_2^K(R, x) + KR \cdot x - R \cdot Kx = \delta_R(x) - \cdot Kx, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}^m.$$

This implies that $\delta_R(\mathcal{A}_c^m) \cap \text{Ker } K \subseteq K(\mathcal{A}_c^{m-1})$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any charge $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, since $\delta_R(u) = (c-d+(n+1)) \cdot u$ if and only if $u \in \mathcal{A}_c$. \square

We define the background charge c_X of (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) by

$$c_X := d - (n+1).$$

Then it is clear that $\text{Ker } \delta_R = \mathcal{A}_{c_X}$.

Lemma 4.9. *The pair $(\text{Ker } \delta_R, K) = (\mathcal{A}_{c_X}, K)$ is a cochain complex and the natural inclusion map from $(\text{Ker } \delta_R, K)$ to (\mathcal{A}, K) is a quasi-isomorphism. In other words, the K -cohomology is concentrated in the background charge c_X .*

Proof. The relation $\delta_R \circ K = K \circ \delta_R$, Lemma 4.8, says that if $x \in \text{Ker}(\delta_R)$ then $Kx \in \text{Ker}(\delta_R)$. Thus K is a \mathbb{C} -linear map from $\text{Ker} \delta_R$ to $\text{Ker} \delta_R$. Since $K^2 = 0$, we see that $(\text{Ker} \delta_R, K)$ is a cochain complex. If we index K by $K_m : \mathcal{A}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{m+1}$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the inclusion map from $(\text{Ker} \delta_R, K)$ to (\mathcal{A}, K) induces a \mathbb{C} -linear map

$$H_K^m(\text{Ker}(\delta_R)) := \frac{\text{Ker}(K_m) \cap \text{Ker}(\delta_R)}{K_{m-1}(\mathcal{A}^{m-1}) \cap \text{Ker}(\delta_R)} \longrightarrow \frac{\text{Ker}(K_m)}{K_{m-1}(\mathcal{A}^{m-1})} =: H_K^m(\mathcal{A}).$$

Injectivity is immediate from the definitions. Surjectivity follows from the decomposition $\mathcal{A} = \text{Ker}(\delta_R) \oplus \text{im}(\delta_R)$ and $\delta_R(\mathcal{A}^m) \cap \text{Ker} K \subseteq K_{m-1}(\mathcal{A}^{m-1})$ in Lemma 4.8. Therefore we conclude that the inclusion map is a quasi-isomorphism. \square

Let us denote the complex $(\text{Ker} \delta_R, K) = (\mathcal{A}_{c_x}, K)$ by (\mathcal{B}, K) . Then

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}_{c_x} = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}^m = \mathcal{B}^{-n-2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{B}^0$$

where \mathcal{B}^m is the degree m (ghost number m) part of \mathcal{B} . We use this complex (\mathcal{B}, K) to relate the 0-th cohomology group of (\mathcal{A}, K) to the middle dimensional primitive cohomology of the smooth projective hypersurface X_G . The main result of this subsection is the following:

Proposition 4.10. *Let $H_K^n(\mathcal{A})$ be the n -th cohomology group of the cochain complex $(\mathcal{A}, K) = (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X)$. Then $H_K^0(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{H} as a \mathbb{C} -vector space, where $\mathbb{H} = H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. A simple computation shows that \mathcal{B}^0 is spanned (as a \mathbb{C} -vector space) by homogeneous polynomials of the form $y^{k-1}F(\underline{x})$, where the degree of $F(\underline{x})$ is $kd - (n + 1)$ with $k \geq 1$. Then we define a \mathbb{C} -linear map J by

$$J : \mathcal{B}^0 \rightarrow H^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$$

$$y^{k-1}F(\underline{x}) \mapsto \left\{ \gamma \mapsto -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \left(\int_0^\infty y^{k-1} e^{yG(\underline{x})} dy \right) F(\underline{x}) \Omega_n \right\},$$

and extending it \mathbb{C} -linearly. Proposition 4.4 says that $K(\mathcal{B}^{-1})$ goes to zero under the map J and so J induces a \mathbb{C} -linear map $H_K^0(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$. Now recall that $\mathcal{H}(X_G)$ was defined to be the rational De Rham cohomology group defined as the quotient of the group of rational n -forms on \mathbf{P}^n regular outside X_G by the group of exact rational n -forms on \mathbf{P}^n regular outside X_G .

Theorem 8.3, [11], tells us that the residue map Res induces an isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}(X_G)$ and $H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$. Thus, to prove the proposition, it is enough to show that the following map (extended \mathbb{C} -linearly)

$$\begin{aligned}
 J' : \mathcal{B}^0 &\rightarrow \Omega(V)^n \\
 (4.9) \quad y^{k-1}F(\underline{x}) &\mapsto - \int_0^\infty y^{k-1}e^{yG(\underline{x})} dy \cdot F(\underline{x})\Omega_n \\
 &= (-1)^{k-1}(k-1)! \frac{F(\underline{x})}{G(\underline{x})^k} \Omega_n,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega(V)^n$ is the group of rational n -forms on \mathbf{P}^n regular outside X_G , induces an isomorphism $J' : H_K^0(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(X_G)$, i.e. J factors through the isomorphism J' . This follows from Corollary 2.11, (4.4), and (4.5) in [11] with a computation below. An arbitrary homogeneous (as a polynomial in \underline{x} and y) element of \mathcal{B}^{-1} can be written as $\Lambda = \sum_{i=0}^n A_i(y, \underline{x})\eta_i + B(y, \underline{x})\eta_{-1}$, where $A_i(y, \underline{x}) = y^k \cdot M_i(\underline{x})$ and $B(y, \underline{x}) = y^l \cdot N(\underline{x})$ are homogeneous polynomials of $A = \mathbb{C}[y, \underline{x}]$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 K\Lambda &= \sum_{i=0}^n A_i(y, \underline{x}) \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i} y + G(\underline{x})B(y, \underline{x}) + \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\partial A_i(y, \underline{x})}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial B(y, \underline{x})}{\partial y} \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^n y^{k+1} M_i(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i=0}^n y^k \frac{\partial M_i(\underline{x})}{\partial x_i} + G(\underline{x})y^l N(\underline{x}) + ly^{l-1}N(\underline{x}).
 \end{aligned}$$

If we apply J' to $K\Lambda$, then a simple computation shows that

$$(4.10) \quad J'(K\Lambda) = k!(-1)^{k-1} \frac{(k+1) \sum_{i=0}^n M_i(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial G(\underline{x})}{\partial x_i} - G(\underline{x}) \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\partial M_i(\underline{x})}{\partial x_i}}{G(\underline{x})^{k+2}} \cdot \Omega_n.$$

Note that $J'(G(\underline{x})y^l N(\underline{x}) + ly^{l-1}N(\underline{x})) = 0$. The relation (4.5), [11] says that $J'(K\Lambda)$ is an exact rational differential form. Thus J' induces a \mathbb{C} -linear map $J' : H_K^0(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(X_G)$. The surjectivity of J' follows from Proposition 4.3. Griffiths showed that any exact differential n -form $\frac{u(\underline{x})}{G(\underline{x})^k} \in \Omega(V)^n$, $k \geq 1$, can be written as¹⁷

$$\frac{u(\underline{x})}{G(\underline{x})^k} = d \left(\frac{\beta}{G(\underline{x})^{k-1}} \right)$$

¹⁷This fact fails to hold when X is not smooth: for a singular projective hypersurface X_G , Dimca proved that $\frac{u(\underline{x})}{G(\underline{x})^k} = d \left(\frac{\beta}{G(\underline{x})^{k+(n+1)m}} \right)$ for some positive integer m , in [7].

for some $(n - 1)$ -form β on $\mathbf{P}^n - X_G$: see the theorem 4.3, [11]. Because the right hand side of (4.10) is exactly of the form $d\left(\frac{\beta}{G(\underline{x})^{k-1}}\right)$ above, exact differential n -forms inside $\Omega(V)^n$ match precisely with the image of $K(\mathcal{B}^{-1})$ under the map J' . Thus J' is injective. \square

We see that Theorem 4.5, Proposition 4.10, and Proposition 4.6 give the proof of parts (a) and (b) of Theorem 1.2. Note that part (e) of Theorem 1.2 is straightforward by defining

$$(4.11) \quad \mathcal{E}_\gamma(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \bigoplus_{i \leq -1} \mathcal{A}^i \\ C_\gamma(x) & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{A}^0 \end{cases}$$

It is a simple computation that this definition matches with (1.7) and $\mathcal{E}_\gamma : (\mathcal{A}, K) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is a cochain map which induces C_γ after taking the 0-th cohomology.

4.6. A cochain level realization of the Hodge filtration and a spectral sequence

This subsection we prove part (c) of Theorem 1.2 and construct a certain spectral sequence. Let us define a decreasing filtration F^\bullet on $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) = (\mathcal{A}_{\rho_X}, \cdot, K_{\rho_X})$ by using the weight grading wt , such that the isomorphism $Res \circ J' : H_K^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$, where J' is given in (4.9), sends F^\bullet to the decreasing Hodge filtration on $\mathbb{H} = H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$. Then we analyze a spectral sequence, which we call *the classical to quantum spectral sequence*, associated to the filtered complex $(F^\bullet \mathcal{A}, K)$. Then this spectral sequence gives a precise relationship between the Q -cohomology $H_Q(\mathcal{A})$ (which we view as classical cohomology) and the K -cohomology $H_K(\mathcal{A})$ (which we view as quantum cohomology).

In this subsection we shift the degree of (\mathcal{A}, K) to consider $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}[-n - 2]$ so that $\mathcal{C}^i = \mathcal{A}^{i+n+2}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.¹⁸ Then we have a cochain complex (\mathcal{C}, K) ;

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0 \xrightarrow{K} \mathcal{C}^1 \xrightarrow{K} \dots \xrightarrow{K} \mathcal{C}^{n+2} \rightarrow 0.$$

We define a filtered complex as follows;

$$\mathcal{C} =: F^0 \mathcal{C} \supset F^1 \mathcal{C} \supset \dots \supset F^{n-1} \mathcal{C} \supset F^n \mathcal{C} = \{0\}$$

¹⁸The reason for ghost number shifting is to get a spectral sequence in the first quadrant.

where the decreasing filtration is given by the weights

$$F^i \mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{k \leq n-1-i} \mathcal{C}_{(k)}, \quad i \geq 1.$$

The associated graded complex to a filtered complex $(F^p \mathcal{C}, K)$ is the complex

$$(4.12) \quad Gr \mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} Gr^p \mathcal{C}, \quad Gr^p \mathcal{C} = \frac{F^p \mathcal{C}}{F^{p+1} \mathcal{C}},$$

where the differential is the obvious one d_0 induced from K . We observe that this differential d_0 is, in fact, induced from Q , because we have that $K = \Delta + Q$, $\Delta : F^p \mathcal{C} \rightarrow F^{p+1} \mathcal{C}$ and $Q : F^p \mathcal{C} \rightarrow F^p \mathcal{C}$.

The filtration $F^p \mathcal{C}$ on \mathcal{C} induces a filtration $F^p H_K(\mathcal{C})$ on the cohomology $H_K(\mathcal{C})$ by

$$F^p H_K^q(\mathcal{C}) = \frac{F^p Z^q}{F^p B^q},$$

where $Z^q = \ker(K : \mathcal{C}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{q+1})$ and $B^q = K(\mathcal{C}^{q-1})$. The associated graded cohomology is

$$(4.13) \quad Gr H_K(\mathcal{C}) = \bigoplus_{p,q} Gr^p H_K^q(\mathcal{C}), \quad Gr^p H_K^q(\mathcal{C}) = \frac{F^p H_K^q(\mathcal{C})}{F^{p+1} H_K^q(\mathcal{C})}.$$

Then the general theory of filtered complexes implies that there is a spectral sequence $\{E_r, d_r\}$ ($r \geq 0$) with

$$E_0^{p,q} = \frac{F^p \mathcal{C}^{p+q}}{F^{p+1} \mathcal{C}^{p+q}}, \quad E_1^{p,q} = H^{p+q}(Gr^p \mathcal{C}), \quad E_\infty^{p,q} = Gr^p H_K^{p+q}(\mathcal{C}).$$

Note that

$$E_r = \bigoplus_{p,q \geq 0} E_r^{p,q}, \quad d_r : E_r^{p,q} \rightarrow E_r^{p+r, q-r+1}, \quad d_r^2 = 0,$$

and $H(E_r) = E_{r+1}$.

Proposition 4.11. *The classical to quantum spectral sequence $\{E_r\}$ satisfies*

$$E_1^{p,q} \simeq Gr^p H_Q^{p+q}(\mathcal{C}),$$

$$E_2^{p,q} \xrightarrow{\simeq} E_\infty^{p,q} = Gr^p H_K^{p+q}(\mathcal{C}).$$

In particular, $\{E_r\}$ degenerates at E_2 .

Proof. Note that the differential d_0 of $E_0 = Gr\mathcal{C}$ is induced from Q , because we have that $K = \Delta + Q$, $\Delta : F^p\mathcal{C} \rightarrow F^{p+1}\mathcal{C}$ and $Q : F^p\mathcal{C} \rightarrow F^p\mathcal{C}$. Using this observation, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{p,q} &:= \frac{\{a \in F^p\mathcal{C}^{p+q} : K(a) \in F^{p+1}\mathcal{C}^{p+q+1}\}}{K(F^p\mathcal{C}^{p+q-1}) + F^{p+1}\mathcal{C}^{p+q}} \\ &\simeq \frac{\{a \in F^p\mathcal{C}^{p+q} : Q(a) = 0\}}{Q(F^p\mathcal{C}^{p+q-1}) + F^{p+1}\mathcal{C}^{p+q}} \simeq H_Q^{p+q}(Gr^p\mathcal{C}). \end{aligned}$$

Since Q preserves the weight and $Q \circ \hat{E}_{wt} = \hat{E}_{wt} \circ Q$, we conclude that $H_Q^{p+q}(Gr^p\mathcal{C}) \simeq Gr^p H_Q^{p+q}(\mathcal{C})$.

By a general construction of the spectral sequence we can also describe $E_2^{p,q}$:

$$:= \frac{\{a \in F^p\mathcal{C}^{p+q} : K(a) \in F^{p+2}\mathcal{C}^{p+q+1}\}}{(K(F^{p-1}\mathcal{C}^{p+q-1}) + F^{p+1}\mathcal{C}^{p+q}) \cap \{a \in F^p\mathcal{C}^{p+q} : K(a) \in F^{p+2}\mathcal{C}^{p+q+1}\}}.$$

Since $K(F^p\mathcal{C} \setminus F^{p+1}\mathcal{C}) \subseteq F^p\mathcal{C} \setminus F^{p+2}\mathcal{C}$ and $F^p\mathcal{C}^{p+q}/F^{p+1}\mathcal{C}^{p+q} = \mathcal{C}_{(n-1-p)}^{p+q}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{p,q} &\simeq \frac{\{a \in F^p\mathcal{C}^{p+q} : K(a) = 0\}}{(K(F^{p-1}\mathcal{C}^{p+q-1}) + F^{p+1}\mathcal{C}^{p+q}) \cap \{a \in F^p\mathcal{C}^{p+q} : K(a) = 0\}} \\ &\simeq \frac{\ker K \cap \mathcal{C}_{(n-1-p)}^{p+q}}{\mathcal{C}_{(n-1-p)}^{p+q} \cap K(\mathcal{C}_{(n-1-p)}^{p+q-1} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{(n-1-p+1)}^{p+q-1})} \simeq \frac{F^p H_K^{p+q}(\mathcal{C})}{F^{p+1} H_K^{p+q}(\mathcal{C})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{F^p H_K^{p+q}(\mathcal{C})}{F^{p+1} H_K^{p+q}(\mathcal{C})} = Gr^p H_K^{p+q}(\mathcal{C})$, we are done. \square

Since $\mathcal{C}[n+2] = \mathcal{A}$, we also define a filtered complex $(F^\bullet \mathcal{A}, K)$ in the same way:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &=: F^0 \mathcal{A} \supset F^1 \mathcal{A} \supset \dots \supset F^{n-1} \mathcal{A} \supset F^n \mathcal{A} = \{0\}, \\ F^i \mathcal{A} &= \bigoplus_{k \leq n-1-i} \mathcal{A}_{(k)}, \quad i \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$H_K^p(\mathcal{C}) = H_K^{p-n-2}(\mathcal{A}), \quad Gr^p H_K^{p+q}(\mathcal{C}) = Gr^p H_K^{p+q-n-2}(\mathcal{A}), \quad p, q \geq 0.$$

Recall the decreasing Hodge filtration on $\mathbb{H} = H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$:

$$\mathcal{F}^q \mathbb{H} = \bigoplus_{\substack{i+j=n-1 \\ i \geq q}} H^{i,j} = H^{n-1,0} \oplus H^{n-2,1} \oplus \dots \oplus H^{q+1,n-q-2} \oplus H^{q,n-1-q},$$

where $H^{i,j}$ is the cohomology of (i, j) -forms on the hypersurface X_G . Thus $\mathcal{F}^0 \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}$ and $\mathcal{F}^n \mathbb{H} = 0$. Then the following proposition is clear.

Proposition 4.12. *The isomorphism $\text{Res} \circ J' : H_K^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ sends $F^q H_K^0(\mathcal{A})$ to $\mathcal{F}^q \mathbb{H}$ for each $q \geq 0$.*

This proposition proves part (c) of Theorem 1.2.

4.7. Computation of the K_X -cohomology of (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X)

Here our goal is to compute $H_{K_X}^i(\mathcal{A}_X)$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ in addition to $H_{K_X}^0(\mathcal{A}_X) \simeq \mathbb{H}$. We achieve this by showing that (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X) is degree-twisted cochain isomorphic to a twisted de Rham complex appearing in [1]. Adolphson and Sperber computed the cohomology of a certain de Rham type complex, which we briefly review now. They considered the complex of differential forms $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}[y,\underline{x}]/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet$ with boundary map ∂_S defined by $\partial_S(\omega) = dS \wedge \omega$ where $S = y \cdot G(\underline{x})$. They introduced the bigrading $(\text{deg}_1, \text{deg}_2)$ on $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}[y,\underline{x}]/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet$ as follows;

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{deg}_1(x_i) &= \text{deg}_1(dx_i) = 1, & i = 0, \dots, n, \\ \text{deg}_2(x_i) &= \text{deg}_2(dx_i) = 0, & i = 0, \dots, n, \\ \text{deg}_1(y) &= \text{deg}_1(dy) = -d, & \text{deg}_2(y) = \text{deg}_2(dy) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}[y,\underline{x}]/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet, \partial_S)$ is a bigraded cochain complex of bidegree $(0, 1)$. One can also consider the following twisted de Rham complex $(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}[y,\underline{x}]/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet, D_S := d + \partial_S)$, a so-called algebraic Dwork complex, where d is the usual exterior derivative. For $(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, let us denote by $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}[y,\underline{x}]/\mathbb{C}}^{s,(u,v)}$ the submodule of homogeneous elements of bidegree (u, v) in $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}[y,\underline{x}]/\mathbb{C}}^s$.

Lemma 4.13. *We have the following relationship between $(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}[y,\underline{x}]/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet, D_S)$ and (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X) :*

(a) *For each $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, if we define a \mathbb{C} -linear map $\Phi : (\Omega_{\mathbb{C}[y,\underline{x}]/\mathbb{C}}^s, D_S) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}_X^{s-(n+2)}, K_X)$ by sending $dy_{i_1} \cdots dy_{i_s}$ to $(-1)^{i_1+\dots+i_s-s}(\cdots \hat{\eta}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{\eta}_{i_s} \cdots)$*

for $-1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n$ and extending it \mathbb{C} -linearly, then $\Phi \circ D_S = K_X \circ \Phi$ and Φ induces an isomorphism

$$H_{D_S}^s(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}[y,\underline{x]}/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet) \simeq H_{K_X}^{s-(n+2)}(\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet).$$

for every $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(b) The map Φ induces a \mathbb{C} -linear map from $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}[y,\underline{x]}/\mathbb{C}}^{s,(u,v)}$ to $\mathcal{A}_{X,c,(w)}^{s-(n+2)}$ where $c = u + c_X$ and $w + s - (n + 2) = v - 1$.

(c) The map Φ satisfies that $\Phi \circ \partial_S = Q_X \circ \Phi$ and $\Phi \circ d = \Delta \circ \Phi$.

Proof. These follow from straightforward computations. □

Remark. Lemma 4.13 implies that two cochain complexes $(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}[y,\underline{x]}/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet, D_S)$ and (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X) are degree-twisted isomorphic each other.¹⁹ But we emphasize that the natural product structure, the wedge product, on $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}[y,\underline{x]}/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet$ and the super-commutative product \cdot on \mathcal{A}_X are quite different and Φ is *not* a ring isomorphism. It is crucial for us to use the super-commutative product \cdot on \mathcal{A}_X to get all the main theorems of the current article.

$(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}[y,\underline{x]}/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet, \wedge, D_S)$ is a Dwork complex	$(\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, Q_X, K_X, \ell_2^{K_X})$ is a BV algebra
$(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}[y,\underline{x]}/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet, \wedge, \partial_S)$ is not a CDGA	$(\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, Q_X)$ is a CDGA
$(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}[y,\underline{x]}/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ is a CDGA	$(\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, \Delta)$ is not a CDGA

Table 3: Comparison with the algebraic Dwork complex

We proved that $H_{K_X}^0(\mathcal{A}_X)$ is canonically isomorphic to $H^n(\mathbf{P}^n \setminus X, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{H}$. Now we describe all the other cohomologies.

Proposition 4.14. *Assume that $n > 1$.²⁰ Then we have the following description of the total cohomology of (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X) :*

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{K_X}^s(\mathcal{A}_X) &= 0, \quad \text{for } s \neq -n, -1, 0, \\ H_{K_X}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_X) &\simeq H_{K_X}^0(\mathcal{A}_X), \quad \text{and } H_{K_X}^{-n}(\mathcal{A}_X) \simeq \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

¹⁹In fact, $(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}[y,\underline{x]}/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet, D_S)$ is the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex associated to the Lie algebra representation ρ_X which computes the Lie algebra cohomology and, on the other hand, (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X) is the dual Chevalley-Eilenberg complex which computes the Lie algebra homology.

²⁰If $n = 1$, then the same result holds except $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{K_X}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_X) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{K_X}^0(\mathcal{A}_X) + 1$; see (1.12), [1].

Proof. This follows from the classical to quantum spectral sequence associated to the filtered cochain complex $(F_{wt}^\bullet \mathcal{A}_X, K_X)$ and Theorem 1.6 in [1]. We shift the degree of (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X) to consider $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}_X[-n-2]$ so that $\mathcal{C}^i = \mathcal{A}_X^{i+(n+2)}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. According to Proposition 4.11 we have the classical to quantum spectral sequence $\{E_r\}$ which satisfies

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} E_1^{p,q} &\simeq Gr^p H_Q^{p+q}(\mathcal{C}), \\ E_2^{p,q} &\xrightarrow{\simeq} E_\infty^{p,q} = Gr^p H_K^{p+q}(\mathcal{C}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\{E_r\}$ degenerates at E_2 . Then we have

$$H_K^p(\mathcal{C}) = H_{K_X}^{p+n+2}(\mathcal{A}_X), \quad Gr^p H_K^{p+q}(\mathcal{C}) = Gr^p H_{K_X}^{p+q+n+2}(\mathcal{A}_X), \quad p, q \geq 0.$$

Note that Lemma 4.13 implies that $H_Q^p(\mathcal{C}) \simeq H_{Q_S}^p(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}[y, \underline{z}]/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet)$ for $p \geq 0$ and Lemma 4.9 says that $H_{K_X}^q(\mathcal{A}_X) = H_{K_X}^q(\mathcal{A}_{X, c_X})$ for $q \leq 0$. Moreover it is known that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(H_{Q_X}^0(\mathcal{A}_{c_X})) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}$ (see page 1194, [1]). Now it is easy to see that Theorem 1.16 in [1] implies the desired result combined with Lemma 4.9, (4.16), and Lemma 4.13. In fact, the isomorphism $H_{K_X}^0(\mathcal{A}_X) \simeq H_{K_X}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_X)$ is given by $[f] \mapsto [R \cdot f]$ where $f \in \mathcal{A}_{K_X}^0$ and $R = \sum_{\mu=-1}^n ch(y_\mu) y_\mu \eta_\mu \in \mathcal{A}_0^{-1}$, and $H_{K_X}^{-n}(\mathcal{A}_X)$ is generated by

$$[\Phi(dy \wedge dG)]$$

where Φ is the map in Lemma 4.13. □

4.8. Lifting of a polarization

The goal here is to prove part (d) of Theorem 1.2. The primitive cohomology \mathbb{H} behaves well with respect to the cup product pairing. Recall that the following bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$

$$\langle \omega, \eta \rangle := (-1)^{\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}} \int_{X_G} \omega \wedge \eta, \quad \omega, \eta \in \mathbb{H} = H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C}),$$

provides a *polarization* of a Hodge structure of weight $n-1$ on \mathbb{H} , i.e.,

- 1) $\langle \omega, \eta \rangle = (-1)^{n-1} \langle \eta, \omega \rangle$,
- 2) $\langle \omega, \eta \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $\omega, \eta \in H^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{Z})$,
- 3) $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ vanishes on $H^{p,q} \otimes H^{p',q'}$ unless $p = q', q = p'$,
- 4) $(\sqrt{-1})^{p-q} \langle \omega, \bar{\omega} \rangle > 0$, if $\omega \in H^{p,q}$ is non-zero,

where $\mathbb{H} = \bigoplus_{p+q=n-1} H^{p,q}$ is the Hodge decomposition and $\bar{\omega}$ is the complex conjugation of ω .

Definition 4.15. We define a \mathbb{C} -linear map $\oint : \mathcal{A}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that \oint is a zero map on \mathcal{A}_X^j if $j \neq 0$, otherwise:

$$\begin{aligned} \oint u &:= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{n+2}} \int_{X(\varepsilon)} \left(\oint_C \frac{u}{\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_0} \cdots \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_n}} y dy \right) dx_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{n+2}} \int_{X(\varepsilon)} \left(\oint_C \frac{u}{y^n} dy \right) \frac{dx_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n}{\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_0} \cdots \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_n}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{A}_X^0$, where C is a closed path on \mathbb{C} with the standard orientation around $y = 0$ and

$$X(\varepsilon) = \left\{ \underline{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid \left| \frac{\partial G(\underline{x})}{\partial x_i} \right| = \varepsilon > 0, i = 0, 1, \dots, n \right\},$$

which is oriented by $d(\arg \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_0}) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(\arg \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_n}) > 0$.

Our definition of \oint is motivated by the Grothendieck residue. We construct a lifting of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to \mathcal{A}_X by using \oint ; see (1.8).

Theorem 4.16. (a) The \mathbb{C} -linear map $\oint : \mathcal{A}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is concentrated in weight $n-1$, charge $2c_X = 2d - 2(n+1)$, and ghost number 0, i.e., \oint factors through the projection map from \mathcal{A}_X to $\mathcal{A}_{X,(n-1),2c_X}^0$.²¹

(b) We have

$$\oint Q_X(u) = 0, \quad \oint Q_X(u) \cdot v = \oint (-1)^{|u|+1} u \cdot Q_X(v), \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{A}_X.$$

(c) Under the map $J : (\mathcal{A}_{X,c_X}, Q_X) \rightarrow (\mathbb{H}, 0)$, we have

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{c_{ab}}{\lambda} \oint u \cdot v &= \int_{X_G} J(u) \wedge J(v) =: (-1)^{\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}} \langle J(u), J(v) \rangle, \\ u &\in \mathcal{A}_{X,(a),c_X}, v \in \mathcal{A}_{X,(b),c_X}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{ab} = d \cdot (-1)^{\frac{a(a+1)}{2} + \frac{b(b+1)}{2} + b^2}$ and λ is the residue of the fundamental class of \mathbf{P}^n , viewed in $H^n(\mathbf{P}^n, \Omega^n)$.

²¹In fact, one can show that $\mathcal{A}_{X,(n-1),2c_X}^0 / Q_X(\mathcal{A}_{X,(n-1),2c_X}^{-1})$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} .

Proof. We use the notation $(\mathcal{A}, K = Q + \Delta) = (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X = Q_X + \Delta)$ for simplicity.

(a) Note that $\oint u = 0$ for u homogeneous (with respect to the weight) of some weight other than $n - 1$, since $\oint_C \frac{1}{y^m} dy = 0$ unless $m = 1$. When we write $u \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda^0$ as $u = \sum_{k \geq 0} y^k \cdot u_k(\underline{x})$, where $u_k \in \mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]_{\lambda+kd}$, a simple computation confirms that

$$\oint u = \text{Res}_0 \left\{ \frac{u_{n-1}}{\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_0} \cdots \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_n}} \right\}$$

, where the right hand side is the Grothendieck residue given in (12.3), [21]. Then Lemma (12.4), [21] implies that if $\lambda \neq 2c_X$ then $\oint u = 0$. Hence \oint is concentrated in charge $2c_X$. Therefore we get the result.

(b) It suffices to consider the case when the integrand $Q(\Lambda)$ is an element of $\mathcal{A}_{(n-1), 2c_X}^0$, when we prove that $\oint \circ Q = 0$. Thus it is enough to check that $\oint Q(\Lambda) = 0$ for $\Lambda \in \mathcal{A}_{(n-1), 2c_X}^{-1}$. An arbitrary element $\Lambda \in \mathcal{A}_{(n-1), 2c_X}^{-1}$ can be written as a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of terms like

$$\Lambda = y^{n-1} M(\underline{x}) \eta_{-1} + \sum_{i=0}^n y^{n-2} N_i(\underline{x}) \eta_i$$

where M and N_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ are monomials in \underline{x} such that $ch(M) = \deg(M) = 2c_X + d(n-2)$ and $ch(N_i) = \deg(N_i) = 2c_X + d(n-2) + 1$. Then

$$Q(\Lambda) = y^{n-1} M \cdot G + y^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^n N_i \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i}.$$

Note that $\hat{E}_{ch}(G) = ch(G) \cdot G$ and $ch(G) = d \neq 0$, and so we get

$$G = \frac{1}{ch(G)} \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i}.$$

Hence

$$Q\Lambda = y^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{ch(G)} M \cdot x_i \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i} + y^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^n N_i \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i} = y^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^n \tilde{N}_i \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i}.$$

where

$$(4.18) \quad \tilde{N}_i(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{ch(G)} M \cdot x_i + N_i.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \oint Q\Lambda &= \oint y^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^n \tilde{N}_i(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{n+1}} \int_{X(\varepsilon)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \tilde{N}_i(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i} \right) \frac{dx_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n}{\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_0} \cdots \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_n}} \\ &= \text{Res}_0 \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n \tilde{N}_i(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i}}{\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_0} \cdots \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_n}} \right\} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used again Lemma 12.4, [21], in the final step ($\sum_{i=0}^n \tilde{N}_i(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i}$ belongs to the Jacobian ideal). Hence \oint is a cochain map from (\mathcal{A}, Q) to $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$.

The second equality

$$\oint \left(Q\alpha \cdot \beta + (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha \cdot Q\beta \right) = 0,$$

also follows easily from the same computation above.

(c) Note that

$$J([y^k F(\underline{x})]) = \text{Res} \left(\left[(-1)^k k! \frac{F(\underline{x}) \Omega_n}{G^{k+1}} \right] \right).$$

Let $u = y^a A(\underline{x})$ and $v = y^b B(\underline{x})$ where $a + b = n - 1$ (if $a + b \neq n - 1$, then both sides in (4.17) are zero). Then Remarks on page 19 of [4] imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X_G} J(u) \wedge J(v) &= (-1)^{(n-1)} a!b! \int_{X_G} \text{Res} \left[\frac{A(\underline{x}) \Omega_n}{G^{a+1}} \right] \wedge \text{Res} \left[\frac{B(\underline{x}) \Omega_n}{G^{b+1}} \right] \\ &= \frac{c_{ab}}{\lambda} \text{Res}_0 \left\{ \frac{A(\underline{x}) B(\underline{x})}{\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_0} \cdots \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_n}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{c_{ab}}{\lambda} \oint y^{n-1} A(\underline{x}) B(\underline{x}) = \frac{c_{ab}}{\lambda} \oint u \cdot v. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □

Note that $\oint \circ K_X \neq 0$. For example, if we assume that $d = n - 1$, then there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{A}_{(n-1),0}^{-1}$ such that

$$\Delta(\mu) = y^{n-1} \det \left(\frac{\partial^2 G(\underline{x})}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) \in \mathcal{A}_{(n-1),0}^0,$$

(since $\Delta : \mathcal{A}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^0$ is surjective) and $\int \Delta(\mu) \neq 0$ by (12.5) in [21].

4.9. The L_∞ -homotopy structure on period integrals of smooth projective hypersurfaces

The goal here is to prove Theorem 1.3, which describes how to understand the period integrals of smooth projective hypersurfaces in terms of homotopy theory, by applying the general theory developed in Sections 2 and 3. Let $\{[r_\alpha]\}_{\alpha \in J}$ be a \mathbb{C} -basis of $\bigoplus_{m < 0} H_{K_X}^m(\mathcal{A}_X)$. Note that J is a finite index set. For each $\alpha \in J$, we introduce new indeterminates θ_α corresponding to r_α such that $gh(\theta_\alpha) = |\theta_\alpha| = |r_\alpha| - 1$ in order to find a resolution of $(\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, K_X)$ in the category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We define a super-commutative algebra

$$(4.19) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_X = \mathcal{A}_X[\theta_\alpha : \alpha \in J]/\mathcal{I},$$

where $\mathcal{A}_X[\theta_\alpha : \alpha \in J]$ is the super-commutative algebra generated by θ_α over \mathcal{A}_X and \mathcal{I} is the ideal generated by θ_α^2 and $\theta_\alpha \cdot f$ for $f \in \mathcal{A}_X \setminus \mathbb{C}$. If we define $\tilde{K}(\theta_\alpha) = r_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in J$ and $\tilde{K}(f) = K(f)$ for $f \in \mathcal{A}_X$, then this defines a \mathbb{C} -linear map $\tilde{K} : \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_X^\bullet \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_X^\bullet$ of degree 1.

Lemma 4.17. *The triple $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_X, \cdot, \tilde{K}_X)$ is an object of the category $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We have $H_{\tilde{K}_X}^p(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_X) = 0$ for every $p \neq 0$ and $H_{\tilde{K}_X}^0(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_X) = H_{K_X}^0(\mathcal{A}_X)$. In other words, $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_X, \cdot, \tilde{K}_X)$ is a resolution of $(H_{K_X}^0(\mathcal{A}_X), 0)$ inside $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{C}}$.*

Proof. This is clear from the construction. □

We remark that $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_X, \cdot, \tilde{K}_X)$ does not give a BV algebra: since one can easily check that $\ell_m^{\tilde{K}_X} \neq 0$ for every $m \geq 1$, the differential \tilde{K}_X can not be decomposed as $\tilde{Q} + \tilde{\Delta}$ satisfying the axioms of a BV algebra.²² We apply the descendant functor (Theorem 3.11) to $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_X, \cdot, \tilde{K}_X)$ and put $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\ell})_X = \mathfrak{Des}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_X, \cdot, \tilde{K}_X)$. The above lemma, together with Proposition 4.10, implies the following proposition.

Proposition 4.18. *The descendant L_∞ -algebra $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\ell})_X$ is quasi-isomorphic to $(\mathbb{H}, 0)$, where $\mathbb{H} = H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$.*

The strategy we have developed so far suggests that we have to understand $C_{[\gamma]}$ using the composition of L_∞ -morphisms; Proposition 2.6, Proposition 3.17, Theorem 3.21, Proposition 4.4, and Proposition 4.10 imply the following theorem (a restatement of Theorem 1.3).

²²Jeehoon Park and Donggeon Yhee proved that one has to add infinitely many new formal variables to make a BV resolution of $(\mathcal{A}_X, \cdot, K_X)$. See [20] for a precise meaning of such a BV resolution.

Theorem 4.19. *For each $\gamma \in H_{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})_0$, the period integral $C_{[\gamma]}$ can be enhanced to the composition of L_∞ -morphisms through $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\ell})_X$: we have the following diagram of L_∞ -morphisms of L_∞ -algebras:*

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} (\mathbb{H}, \underline{0}) & & & & \\ & \searrow^{C_{[\gamma]}} & & & \\ & & (\mathbb{C}, \cdot, 0) & \Longrightarrow & (\mathbb{C}, \underline{0}) \\ & \swarrow_{\varphi_1^{\mathbb{H}}} & \nearrow_{\mathcal{C}_\gamma} & & \\ & & (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_X, \cdot, \tilde{K}_X) & & \\ & \searrow_{\varphi^{\mathbb{H}}} & \Downarrow & & \\ & & (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\ell})_X & & \end{array}$$

$\begin{array}{l} \text{Dotted arrow from } (\mathbb{H}, \underline{0}) \text{ to } (\mathbb{C}, \underline{0}) \text{ labeled } \underline{\kappa} := \underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{C}_\gamma} \bullet \underline{\varphi}^{\mathbb{H}} \\ \text{Dotted arrow from } (\mathbb{H}, \underline{0}) \text{ to } (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\ell})_X \text{ labeled } \varphi^{\mathbb{H}} \\ \text{Dotted arrow from } (\mathbb{H}, \underline{0}) \text{ to } (\mathbb{C}, \underline{0}) \text{ labeled } \underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{C}_\gamma} \\ \text{Dotted arrow from } (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\ell})_X \text{ to } (\mathbb{C}, \underline{0}) \text{ labeled } \underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{C}_\gamma} \end{array}$

where $C_{[\gamma]}$ is the same as $(\underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{C}_\gamma} \bullet \underline{\varphi}^{\mathbb{H}})_1 = \mathcal{C}_\gamma \circ \varphi_1^{\mathbb{H}}$. The L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\kappa} := \underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{C}_\gamma} \bullet \underline{\varphi}^{\mathbb{H}}$ depends only on the L_∞ -homotopy types of $\underline{\varphi}^{\mathbb{H}}$ and $\underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{C}_\gamma}$. Here the notation \Longrightarrow means that we take the descendant functor.

The composition $\underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{C}_\gamma} \bullet \underline{\varphi}^{\mathbb{H}}$ is not a descendant L_∞ -morphism: we do not have a super-commutative associative binary product on \mathbb{H} (the differential K is not a derivation of the product of \mathcal{A} so it does not induce a product on \mathbb{H}). Note that $\underline{\varphi}^{\mathbb{H}}$ is an L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism; such quasi-isomorphisms are classified by the versal solutions $\Gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\widehat{S\mathbb{H}}} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{A}})^0 = \mathfrak{m}_{\widehat{S\mathbb{H}}} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^0$ to the Maurer-Cartan equation (see Proposition 3.14 and Definition 3.18):

$$\tilde{K}(\Gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \ell_2^{\tilde{K}}(\Gamma, \Gamma) + \frac{1}{3!} \ell_3^{\tilde{K}}(\Gamma, \Gamma) + \dots = 0.$$

Note that $(\mathfrak{m}_{\widehat{S\mathbb{H}}} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{A}})^0 = (\mathfrak{m}_{\widehat{S\mathbb{H}}} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$. So we found a hidden structure of the period integral $C_{[\gamma]} : \mathbb{H} \simeq \mathcal{H}(X_G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for a fixed $\gamma \in H_{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{Z})_0$: there is an L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism from the L_∞ -algebra $(\mathbb{H}, \underline{0})$ to the L_∞ -algebra $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\ell})_X$, and a sequence of \mathbb{C} -linear maps $(\underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{C}_\gamma} \bullet \underline{\varphi}^{\mathbb{H}})_m : S^m(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which reveals hidden correlations and deformations of $C_{[\gamma]}$, such that $C_{[\gamma]} = (\underline{\phi}^{\mathcal{C}_\gamma} \bullet \underline{\varphi}^{\mathbb{H}})_1$. Then the theory of L_∞ -algebras suggests that we can study a (new type of) formal variation of the Griffiths period integral. We discuss this issue in the next subsections.

4.10. Extended formal deformations of period integrals of X_G

We prove Theorem 1.4 here. Proposition 3.14 implies that the extended deformation functor attached to $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\ell})_X$ is *pro-representable* by the completed symmetric algebra $\widehat{S\mathbb{H}}$. Let \mathcal{M}_{X_G} be the associated formal moduli space. Now we consider the generating series $\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}([\varphi^{\mathbb{H}}])$ in (1.10). Note that L_∞ -homotopy types of quasi-isomorphisms $\varphi^{\mathbb{H}} : (\mathbb{H}, \underline{0}) \cdots \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}, \underline{\ell})_X$ are not unique, though the L_∞ -homotopy type of $\phi^{\mathcal{E}_\gamma}$ is uniquely determined by $[\gamma] \in H_{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{Z})_0$. The results in Section 2 specialized to $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\ell})_X$ gives us the following theorem (see Proposition 3.14, Definition 3.20, and Lemma 3.19).

Theorem 4.20. *Let $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a basis of \mathbb{H} with dual basis $\{t^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$. Then for any L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism $\varphi^{\mathbb{H}}$ from $(\mathbb{H}, \underline{0})$ to $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\ell})_X$, we have the following versal solution to the Maurer-Cartan equation of $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\ell})_X$:*

$$\Gamma(\underline{t})_{\varphi^{\mathbb{H}}} = \sum_{\alpha \in I} t^\alpha \varphi_1^{\mathbb{H}}(e_\alpha) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in I} t^{\alpha_k} \cdots t^{\alpha_1} \otimes \varphi_k^{\mathbb{H}}(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_k}) \in (\mathbb{C}[[\underline{t}]] \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^0$$

such that $\Gamma(\underline{t})_{\varphi^{\mathbb{H}}}$ is gauge equivalent to $\Gamma(\underline{t})_{\tilde{\varphi}^{\mathbb{H}}}$ if and only if $\varphi^{\mathbb{H}}$ is L_∞ -homotopic to $\tilde{\varphi}^{\mathbb{H}}$, and

$$\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}([\varphi^{\mathbb{H}}]) = \mathcal{C}_\gamma \left(e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})_{\varphi^{\mathbb{H}}}} - 1 \right).$$

Note that the dual basis $\{t^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ is an affine coordinate on \mathbb{H} . Let $X_{G_{\underline{T}}} \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ be a formal family of smooth hypersurfaces defined by

$$(4.21) \quad G_{\underline{T}}(\underline{x}) = G(\underline{x}) + F(\underline{T}),$$

where $F(\underline{T}) \in \mathbb{C}[[\underline{T}]][\underline{x}]$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[[\underline{T}]]$ with $F(\underline{0}) = 0$ and $\underline{T} = \{T^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I'}$ are formal variables with some index set $I' \subset I$. Recall that by a standard basis of \mathbb{H} we mean a choice of basis $e_1, \dots, e_{\delta_0}, e_{\delta_0+1}, \dots, e_{\delta_1}, \dots, e_{\delta_{n-2}+1}, \dots, e_{\delta_{n-1}}$ for the flag $\mathcal{F}_\bullet \mathbb{H}$ in (1.3) such that e_1, \dots, e_{δ_0} gives a basis for the subspace $\mathbb{H}^{n-1-0,0} := H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1,0}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$ and $e_{\delta_{k-1}+1}, \dots, e_{\delta_k}, 1 \leq k \leq n-1$, gives a basis for the subspace $\mathbb{H}^{n-1-k,k} = H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1-k,k}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$. We denote such a basis by $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ where $I = I_0 \sqcup I_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_{n-1}$ with the notation $\{e_a^j\}_{a \in I_j} = e_{\delta_{j-1}+1}, \dots, e_{\delta_j}$

and $\{t_j^a\}_{a \in I_j} = t^{\delta_{j-1}+1}, \dots, t^{\delta_j}$. We need to assume that $I' \subset I_1$ when X_G is Calabi-Yau.

For (a) of Theorem 1.4 (recall that X_G is assumed to be Calabi-Yau), we define \mathbb{C} -linear maps $\underline{f} : (\mathbb{H}, \underline{0}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\ell})_X$:

$$(4.22) \quad \underline{f} = f_1, f_2, f_3 \dots, \quad f_1(e_a^k) := y^k \cdot F_{[k]a}(\underline{x}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0^0,$$

where $F_{[k]a}(\underline{x})$ can be chosen to be any homogeneous polynomial of degree $d(k+1) - (n+1) = dk$ such that $\{ \frac{F_{[k]a}(\underline{x})}{G(\underline{x})^{k+1}} \Omega_n : a \in I, 0 \leq k \leq n-1 \}$ is a set of representatives of a basis of $\mathcal{H}(X_G) \simeq \mathbb{H}$ and $F_{[1]a}(\underline{x})$ is the t^a -coefficient of $F(\underline{T})$ with $t^a = T^a$; we define $f_m : S^m(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^0, m \geq 2$ so that $f_m(e_{a_1}^1, \dots, e_{a_m}^1)$ is the $t^{a_m} \dots t^{a_1}$ -coefficient of $yF(\underline{T})$ with $t^a = T^a, a \in I'$. Then \underline{f} is clearly a L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism satisfying (a) of Theorem 1.4 by the Griffiths theorem on $\mathcal{H}(X_G)$ and our general theory: the fact that f_1 is a quasi-isomorphism comes from the construction; the fact that it is a morphism of L_∞ -algebras is completely trivial because \mathbb{H} is concentrated in degree 0 and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is concentrated in non-negative degree, so all relations that need to be checked are easily seen to be zero. Then (b) of Theorem 1.4 follows from the following computation;

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{\tau(\gamma)} \frac{\Omega_n}{G_{\underline{T}}(\underline{x})} - \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \frac{\Omega_n}{G(\underline{x})} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{\tau(\gamma)} \int_0^\infty e^{y \cdot G_{\underline{T}}(\underline{x})} dy \Omega_n - \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \int_0^\infty e^{y \cdot G(\underline{x})} dy \Omega_n \right) \\ &= \mathcal{C}_\gamma(e^{\sum_{a \in I'} T^a y \cdot F_{[1]a}(\underline{x})} - 1) \\ &= \exp \left(\Phi^{\mathcal{C}_\gamma} \left(\sum_{a \in I'} T^a \cdot f_1(e_a^{[1]}) \right) \right) - 1 \\ &= \mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}([\underline{f}])(\underline{t}) \Big|_{\substack{t^\beta = 0, \beta \in I \setminus I' \\ t^\alpha = T^\alpha, \alpha \in I'}} \end{aligned}$$

where we used the definition of \mathcal{C}_γ and the equalities (3.8) and (3.26). Let

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma(\underline{t})_{f_1} &= \sum_{a \in I_0} t_0^a F_{[0]a}(\underline{x}) + y \cdot \sum_{a \in I_1} t_1^a F_{[1]a}(\underline{x}) \\ &+ \dots + y^{n-1} \cdot \sum_{a \in I_{n-1}} t_{n-1}^a F_{[n-1]a}(\underline{x}). \end{aligned}$$

We can do a similar computation (a period integral of an extended formal deformation of X_G) ;

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}_\gamma(e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})_{f_1}} - 1) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})_{f_1}} \cdot e^{y \cdot G(\underline{x})} dy \right) \Omega_n - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \frac{\Omega_n}{G(\underline{x})} \\ &= \exp \left(\Phi^{\mathcal{E}_\gamma} \left(\sum_{a \in I_0} t_0^a F_{[0]a}(\underline{x}) + y \cdot \sum_{a \in I_1} t_1^a F_{[1]a}(\underline{x}) + \dots \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + y^{n-1} \cdot \sum_{a \in I_{n-1}} t_{n-1}^a F_{[n-1]a}(\underline{x}) \right) \right) - 1 \\ &= \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} t^{\alpha_n} \dots t^{\alpha_1} \left(\underline{\mathcal{E}}_\gamma \bullet \underline{f} \right)_n (e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n}) \right) - 1 = \mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}([\underline{f}]). \end{aligned}$$

The properties, which can be easily checked,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})_{f_1}} \cdot e^{y \cdot G(\underline{x})} dy \Big|_{\underline{t}=\underline{0}} \quad \Omega_n = \frac{-\Omega_n}{G(\underline{x})}, \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k^a} \int_0^\infty e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})_{f_1}} \cdot e^{y \cdot G(\underline{x})} dy \Big|_{\underline{t}=\underline{0}} \quad \Omega_n = (-1)^{k+1} k! \frac{F_{[k]a} \cdot \Omega_n}{G(\underline{x})^{k+1}}, \quad \forall a \in I_k, \end{aligned}$$

for example, imply that Griffiths transversality is violated for $k \geq 2$. Note that the deformation in part (b) is a geometric deformation of the complex structure of X_G , a family of hypersurfaces. Though this extended deformation does not have a clear geometric meaning yet, the above properties are the key components to prove Theorem 1.5, which demonstrates the usefulness of L_∞ -homotopy theory to compute an extended period matrix $\frac{\partial}{\partial t^\beta} \left(\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma_\alpha]}([\underline{f}]) (\underline{t}) \right)_{\{\alpha, \beta \in I\}}$. Also, the generating power series $\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}([\underline{f}]) (\underline{t})$ is a natural generalization of the geometric invariant.

4.11. Extended formal deformations of the period matrix of X_G

We prove Theorem 1.5, which demonstrates usefulness of the L_∞ -homotopy theory to compute the period matrices of a deformed hypersurface and an extended formal deformation. Since Lemma 3.24 directly implies (a) and (b) of Theorem 1.5, we concentrate on proving the second part of Theorem 1.5,

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\beta}^{\alpha}(X_{G_{\underline{T}}}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\beta}} \left(\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma_{\alpha}]}([\underline{f}]) (\underline{t}) \right) \Big|_{\substack{t^{\beta}=0, \beta \in I \setminus I' \\ t^{\alpha}=T^{\alpha}, \alpha \in I'}} \\ &= \sum_{\rho \in I} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\beta}} T^{\rho}(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}} \right) \omega_{\rho}^{\alpha}(X_G) \Big|_{\substack{t^{\beta}=0, \beta \in I \setminus I' \\ t^{\alpha}=T^{\alpha}, \alpha \in I'}} \end{aligned}$$

for each $\alpha, \beta \in I$. Since we have

$$2\pi i \cdot \mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma_{\alpha}]}([\underline{f}]) = - \int_{\tau(\gamma_{\alpha})} \left(\int_0^{\infty} e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})_{\underline{f}}} \cdot e^{y \cdot G(\underline{x})} dy \right) \Omega_n - \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \frac{\Omega_n}{G(\underline{x})},$$

we have, for each $\alpha, \beta \in I_k, 0 \leq k \leq n - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\beta}} \mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma_{\alpha}]}([\underline{f}]) (\underline{t}) \Big|_{\substack{t^{\beta}=0, \beta \in I \setminus I' \\ t^{\alpha}=T^{\alpha}, \alpha \in I'}} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tau(\gamma_{\alpha})} \frac{(-1)^k k! F_{[k]\beta}(\underline{x})}{(G(\underline{x}) + \sum_{a \in I'} T^a F_{[1]a}(\underline{x}))^{k+1}} \Omega_n \\ &= \omega_{\beta}^{\alpha}(X_{G_{\underline{T}}}). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof.

4.12. The Gauss-Manin connection and extended formal deformation space

Let $H_K = H_K(\mathcal{A})$ be the cohomology group of (\mathcal{A}_X, K_X) . This subsection we assume that X is Calabi-Yau. Let φ^H be an L_{∞} -quasi-isomorphism from $(H_K, \underline{0})$ to $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\ell})_X$ by Proposition 4.18. Then we see that the system of second order partial differential equations (3.32) holds for a uniquely determined 3-tensor $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\underline{t})_{\Gamma} \in \mathbb{C}[[\underline{t}]] \simeq \widehat{SH}$ for $\Gamma = \Gamma(\underline{t})_{\varphi^H}$, where $\widehat{SH} := \varprojlim_n \bigoplus_{k=0}^n S^k(H_K^*)$ with $H_K^* = \text{Hom}(H_K, \mathbb{C})$; the assumption in Theorem 3.23 can be checked for (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, K) .

In Subsection 3.8, we reinterpreted the 3-tensor $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\underline{t})_{\Gamma}$ as a flat (integrable) connection D_{Γ} on $\mathcal{TM}_{X_G} = \mathcal{M}_{X_G} \times V$, where V is isomorphic to H_K^* . Here we provide an explicit relationship between D_{Γ} and the Gauss-Manin connection on a geometric formal deformation given by an element in $\mathbb{H}^{n-2,1}$.

Recall the notation in (4.22) and (4.23). The Gauss-Manin connection is defined on a formal (geometric) deformation space \mathcal{X} over the formal spectrum of $\mathbb{C}[[\underline{t}_1^a : a \in I_1]]$ such that the fibre \mathcal{X}_0 is isomorphic to X_G and the fibre \mathcal{X}_p at a general p is isomorphic to a smooth projective hypersurface

of degree d in \mathbf{P}^n . Let π be a morphism from \mathcal{X} to the formal spectrum $\text{Spf}(\mathbb{C}[[t_1^a : a \in I_1]])$. The algebraic de Rham primitive cohomology (locally free) sheaf $\mathcal{H}_{dR, \text{prim}}^{n-1}(\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}[[t_1^a : a \in I_1]])$ on $\text{Spf}(\mathbb{C}[[t_1^a : a \in I_1]])$ corresponds to our flat connection D_Γ on the tangent bundle \mathcal{TM}_{X_G} restricted from $\text{Spf}(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$ to $\text{Spf}(\mathbb{C}[[t_1^a : a \in I_1]])$. Note that the stalk $\mathcal{H}_{dR, \text{prim}}^{n-1}(\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}[[t_1^a : a \in I_1]])_p$ at p such that \mathcal{X}_p is smooth, is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{H} = H_{\text{prim}}^{n-1}(X_G, \mathbb{C})$.

Then the following matrix of 1-forms with coefficients in power series in \underline{T}

$$(A_{\Gamma_{\underline{f}}})_{\beta^\gamma} := - \sum_{\alpha \in I_1} dt_1^\alpha \cdot A_{\alpha\beta^\gamma}(t)_{\Gamma_{\underline{f}}} \Big|_{\substack{t^\beta=0, \beta \in I \setminus I', \\ t^\alpha=T^\alpha, \alpha \in I'}}$$

becomes the connection matrix of the Gauss-Manin connection along the geometric deformation given by the $\mathbb{H}^{n-2,1}$ -component of the L_∞ -homotopy type of \underline{f} in Theorem 1.4. Then Theorem 3.23 implies the following proposition;

Proposition 4.21. *We have*

$$\partial_\gamma \omega_\beta^\alpha(X_{G_{\underline{T}}}) - \sum_{\rho \in I} A_{\gamma\beta^\rho}(t)_{\Gamma_{\underline{f}}} \cdot \omega_\rho^\alpha(X_{G_{\underline{T}}}) = 0, \quad \gamma \in I_1, \alpha, \beta \in I,$$

where $\omega_\beta^\alpha(X_{G_{\underline{T}}})$ is the period matrix of a deformed hypersurface $X_{G_{\underline{T}}}$.

4.13. Explicit computation of deformations of the Griffiths period integrals

We use the same notation as Subsection 4.12. Here we provide an algorithm to compute $A_{\alpha\beta^\gamma}(t)_\Gamma$, generalizing the method (a systematic way of doing integration by parts in the one-variable case) in Proposition 4.1. In Subsection 3.7, we saw that the explicit computation problem of the generating power series $\mathcal{C}(e^\Gamma - 1)$ reduces to the problem of computing $A_{\alpha\beta^\gamma}(t)_\Gamma$, in addition to the data $\mathcal{C}(\varphi_1^H(e_\alpha)), \alpha \in I$. Because of the relationship (1.11) we can also determine the matrix $\mathcal{G}_\alpha^\beta(t)_{\varphi^H} := \partial_\alpha T^\beta(t)_{\varphi^H}$ from $A_{\alpha\beta^\gamma}(t)_\Gamma$. We recall that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{-n-2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{A}^{-1} \oplus \mathcal{A}^0$, $K = \Delta + Q$, and

$$K_\Gamma = \Delta + Q_\Gamma, \quad \begin{cases} \Delta = \sum_{i=-1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i}, \\ Q_\Gamma = \sum_{i=-1}^n \frac{\partial(yG(\underline{x}))}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i} + \ell_2^K(\Gamma, \cdot) = Q + \ell_2^K(\Gamma, \cdot). \end{cases}$$

If $\Lambda = \sum_{i=-1}^n \lambda_i \eta_i \in \mathcal{A}^{-1}$, where $\lambda_i \in \mathcal{A}^0, i = -1, 0, \dots, n$, then

$$Q_\Gamma(\Lambda) = \sum_{i=-1}^n \lambda_i \frac{\partial(yG(\underline{x}))}{\partial y_i} + \ell_2^K(\Gamma, \Lambda), \quad \Delta(\Lambda) = \sum_{i=-1}^n \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial y_i}.$$

Note that

$$K_\Gamma^2 = \Delta^2 = 0, \quad K(1_{\mathcal{A}}) = Q(1_{\mathcal{A}}) = \Delta(1_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0,$$

where $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the identity element in $\mathcal{A}^0 = \mathbb{C}[y, \underline{x}]$.

For any L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism φ^H from $(H_K(\mathcal{A}), 0)$ to (\mathcal{A}, K) , we clearly have that $\Delta(\Gamma(\underline{t})_{\varphi^H}) = 0$. Thus we have

$$Q(\Gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \ell_2^K(\Gamma, \Gamma) = 0,$$

because $K(\Gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \ell_2^K(\Gamma, \Gamma) = Q(\Gamma) + \Delta(\Gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \ell_2^K(\Gamma, \Gamma) = 0$, which is equivalent to $K(e^\Gamma - 1) = 0$. Then this says that

$$\Delta Q_\Gamma + Q_\Gamma \Delta = Q_\Gamma^2 = 0.$$

So we have a cochain complex $(\widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A}, \cdot, Q_\Gamma)$ with super-commutative product. Note that Q_Γ is a derivation of the binary product of $\widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A}$. We tacitly think of Q_Γ as *the classical component* of the differential $K_\Gamma = Q_\Gamma + \Delta$; we view Δ as *the quantum component* of K_Γ on the other hand. The key point in the algorithm of computing $A_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t})_\Gamma$ is that we can use the ideal membership problem based on Gröbner basis methods to compute the answer to a problem that involves only Q_Γ and relate it the corresponding problem on K_Γ , by utilizing the quantum component Δ .

Lemma 4.22. *Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(\underline{t})_{\varphi^H}$ be a versal Maurer-Cartan solution. Then there is an algorithm to compute a finite sequence $A_{\alpha\beta}^{(m)\gamma}(\underline{t}) \in (\widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$, where $m = 0, 1, \dots, M$ for some positive integer M , such that*

$$A_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t})_\Gamma = A_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)\gamma}(\underline{t}) - A_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)\gamma}(\underline{t}) - \dots - A_{\alpha\beta}^{(M)\gamma}(\underline{t}) \in \widehat{SH}.$$

where $A_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t})_\Gamma$ is in (3.32).

Proof. We use the notation $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma(\underline{t})$ and $\Gamma_\alpha = \partial_\alpha\Gamma(\underline{t})$. One can check that $H_{Q_\Gamma} = H_{Q_\Gamma}(\widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A})$ is a finitely generated $\widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A}^0$ -module, whose generators are given by $\{\Gamma_\gamma : \gamma \in I\}$. Then, for $\Gamma_\alpha \cdot \Gamma_\beta \in (\widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A})^0$, we can

find $A_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)\gamma}(\underline{t}) \in \widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A}^0$ and $\Lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}(\underline{t}) \in (\widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A})^{-1}$ (this is an ideal membership problem) such that

$$(4.24) \quad \Gamma_\alpha \cdot \Gamma_\beta = \sum_\gamma A_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)\gamma}(\underline{t}) \cdot \Gamma_\gamma + Q_\Gamma(\Lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}(\underline{t})),$$

since Q_Γ is a derivation of the binary product. Then the relation (4.24) can be rewritten as

$$\Gamma_\alpha \cdot \Gamma_\beta = \sum_\gamma A_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)\gamma}(\underline{t}) \cdot \Gamma_\gamma + K_\Gamma(\Lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}(\underline{t})) - \Delta(\Lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}(\underline{t})).$$

Let $R^{(1)} = \Delta(\Lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}(\underline{t}))$ and we can find $A_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)\gamma}(\underline{t}) \in \widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A}^0$ and $\Lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}(\underline{t}) \in (\widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A})^{-1}$ (again by an ideal membership problem) such that

$$R^{(1)} = \sum_\gamma A_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)\gamma}(\underline{t}) \cdot \Gamma_\gamma + Q_\Gamma(\Lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}(\underline{t})).$$

Set $R^{(2)} = \Delta(\Lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}(\underline{t}))$ and we can find $A_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)\gamma}(\underline{t}) \in \widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A}^0$ and $\Lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)}(\underline{t}) \in (\widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A})^{-1}$ similarly such that

$$R^{(2)} = \sum_\gamma A_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)\gamma}(\underline{t}) \cdot \Gamma_\gamma + Q_\Gamma(\Lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)}(\underline{t})).$$

We can continue this way and observe that this process stops after a finite number of steps. Then Theorem 3.23 guarantees that we can choose $\Lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{(M)}(\underline{t})$ such that $\Delta(\Lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{(M)}(\underline{t})) = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ so that we have

$$\Gamma_\alpha \cdot \Gamma_\beta = \sum_\gamma \mathbf{A}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t})_\Gamma \cdot \Gamma_\gamma - \Delta(\Lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{(M)}(\underline{t})) + K_\Gamma(\mathbf{L}_{\alpha\beta}(\underline{t})),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t})_\Gamma &= \mathbf{A}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\underline{t})_\Gamma = A_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)\gamma}(\underline{t}) - A_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)\gamma}(\underline{t}) - \dots - A_{\alpha\beta}^{(M)\gamma}(\underline{t}) \in \widehat{SH} \\ \mathbf{L}_{\alpha\beta}(\underline{t}) &= \Lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}(\underline{t}) - \Lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}(\underline{t}) - \dots - \Lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{(M)}(\underline{t}) \in (\widehat{SH} \otimes \mathcal{A})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for some positive integer M . Note that this equality is same as (3.33), which finishes the proof. \square

This enables us to compute a new formal deformation of the Griffiths period integral, i.e., the generating power series $\mathcal{C}_\gamma(e^{\Gamma(\underline{t})_{\mathcal{Z}^H}} - 1)$ attached to $C_{[\gamma]}$ and a formal deformation data $\underline{\varphi}^H$.

5. Appendix

5.1. The quantum origin of the Lie algebra representation ρ_X

In this section, we explain how we arrive at the key definition in (4.4), the definition of the Lie algebra representation ρ_X attached to a hypersurface X_G . An interesting thing is that this has a quantum origin. It is well known that the representation theory of the Heisenberg group plays a crucial role in quantum field theory and quantum mechanics. We will focus on the Lie algebra representation of the Heisenberg Lie algebra in order to explain our motivation for the definition in (4.4). For each integer $m \geq 1$, we consider the universal enveloping algebra of the Heisenberg Lie algebra \mathcal{H}_m over \mathbb{k} as follows:

$$U(\mathcal{H}_m) = \mathbb{k}\langle q^1, \dots, q^m; p_1, \dots, p_m; z \rangle / I$$

where I is an ideal of the free \mathbb{k} -algebra $\mathbb{k}\langle q^1, \dots, q^m; p_1, \dots, p_m; z \rangle$, generated by $[q^i, p_j] - z\delta_j^i$, $[q^i, z]$, $[p_i, z]$, $[q^i, q^j]$, and $[p_i, p_j]$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, m$. Here, $[x, y] = x \cdot y - y \cdot x$ and δ_j^i is the usual Kronecker delta symbol. Then $(\mathcal{H}_m, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra whose \mathbb{k} -dimension is $2m + 1$. Let I_P be the left ideal of $U(\mathcal{H}_m)$ generated by p_1, \dots, p_m , i.e., $U(\mathcal{H}_m)I_P \subset I_P$. Then the Schrödinger representation of $U(\mathcal{H}_m)$ is given by

$$(5.1) \quad \rho_{\text{Sch}} : U(\mathcal{H}_m) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(U(\mathcal{H}_m)/I_P), \quad f \mapsto (g + I_P \rightarrow f \cdot g + I_P).$$

This celebrated representation has attained much attention from both physicists and mathematicians. This representation can be used to derive both the Heisenberg matrix formulation and the Schrödinger wave differential equation formulation of quantum mechanics through Dirac's transformation theory. It also plays a crucial role in the study of theta functions and modular forms via the oscillator (also called Weil) representation coming from the Schrödinger representation. We have the Schrödinger Lie algebra representation ρ_{Sch} (with the same notation) of \mathcal{H}_m on the same \mathbb{k} -vector space $U(\mathcal{H}_m)/I_P$ from (5.1). Let P be the abelian \mathbb{k} -sub Lie algebra of \mathcal{H}_m spanned by p_1, \dots, p_m . If we restrict ρ_{Sch} to P , we get

$$(5.2) \quad \rho_{\text{Sch}}|_P : P \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(U(\mathcal{H}_m)/I_P),$$

which is a Lie algebra representation of P . This Lie algebra representation of P corresponding to ρ_{Sch} is our starting point to arrive at the definition

in (4.4). Note that the representation space $U(\mathcal{H}_m)/I_P$ does not have a \mathbb{k} -algebra structure, since I_P is not a two-sided ideal. This is a very important point in a mathematical algebraic formulation of quantum field theory (see the the first author’s algebraic formalism of quantum field theory [18] for related issues). But we decided to simplify the quantum picture by introducing the Weyl algebra.²³ The m -th Weyl algebra (which is introduced to study the Heisenberg uncertainty principle in quantum mechanics), denoted A_m , is the ring of differential operators with polynomial coefficients in m variables. It is generated by q^1, \dots, q^m and $\frac{\partial}{\partial q^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial q^m}$. Then we have a surjective \mathbb{k} -algebra homomorphism

$$r : U(\mathcal{H}_m) \rightarrow A_m,$$

defined by sending z to 1, q^i to q^i , and p_i to $\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and extending the map in an obvious way. Note that $z; q^1, \dots, q^m; p_1, \dots, p_m$ are \mathbb{k} -algebra generators of $U(\mathcal{H}_m)$. Therefore the m -th Weyl algebra is a quotient algebra of $U(\mathcal{H}_m)$. We further project the representation ρ_{Sch} to A_m to get a Lie algebra representation of A_m on $A_m/r(I_P)$, denoted ρ_{Wey} ,

$$(5.3) \quad \rho_{\text{Wey}} : A_m \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(A_m/r(I_P)) \simeq \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}[q^1, \dots, q^m]).$$

The benefit of working with the Weyl algebra is that $A_m/r(I_P)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}[q^1, \dots, q^m]$ as a \mathbb{k} -vector space and so $A_m/r(I_P)$ has the structure of a commutative associative \mathbb{k} -algebra which is inherited from $\mathbb{k}[q^1, \dots, q^m]$. Recall that in Definition 2.1 we assumed the representation space of the Lie algebra representation was a commutative associative \mathbb{k} -algebra.²⁴ Note that ρ_{Wey} restricted to $r(I_P)$ is isomorphic to the representation on $\mathbb{k}[q^1, \dots, q^m]$ obtained by applying the differential operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial q^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial q^m}$. Now let $m = n + 2$ and put $y = q^1, x_0 = q^2, \dots, x_n = q^{n+2}$ in order to connect to ρ_X , where $G(\underline{x})$ is the defining equation of the smooth projective hypersurface X_G . Then when $X_G = \mathbf{P}^n$, the representation ρ_X is isomorphic to ρ_{Wey} . Recall that $A = \mathbb{k}[y, \underline{x}] = \mathbb{k}[y_{-1}, y_0, \dots, y_n]$. Dirac’s transformation theory

²³This has the benefit of dramatically reducing the length of our paper, although it hides certain important quantum features of the theory. In later papers, we will pursue how this phenomenon (I_P is only a left $U(\mathcal{H}_m)$ -ideal, not two-sided) is related to understanding period integrals, which seems important in order to connect our theory to the theory of modular forms.

²⁴This assumption is why we encounter L_∞ -homotopy theory, when we analyze period integrals. If it is not commutative, then we would need a different type of homotopy theory such as A_∞ -homotopy theory.

in quantum mechanics suggests considering the following deformation of $\rho_{\text{Wey}} : A_m \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(A_m/r(I_P))$: for $F \in A$, consider the formal operators

$$\rho[i] := \exp(-F(\underline{y})) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \cdot \exp(F(\underline{y})), \quad i = -1, 0, \dots, n.$$

These operators $\rho[i], i = -1, 0, \dots, n$, will act on $\exp(-F(\underline{y})) \cdot A/r(I_P) \simeq A_m/r(I_P) \simeq A$ (as \mathbb{k} -vector spaces). Formally, we can write and check

$$\begin{aligned} (5.4) \quad \rho[i] &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} + \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, F \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, F \right], F \right] + \dots \\ &\equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_i} \pmod{r(I_P)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $F = F(\underline{y})$. For any $F \in A = \mathbb{k}[\underline{y}]$, define

$$\rho[F] : r(I_P) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(A_m/r(I_P))$$

via the rule

$$r(p_i) \mapsto (Q + r(I_P) \mapsto \rho[i] \cdot Q + r(I_P)), \quad i = -1, 0, \dots, n.$$

Proposition 5.1. *If $F = y \cdot G(\underline{x}) \in A$, then we have a canonical isomorphism between the Lie algebra representations $\rho[F]$, defined above, and ρ_X , defined in (4.4).*

Proof. The abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} in the definition of ρ_X is isomorphic to $r(I_P)$ and it is clear from (5.4) that the natural \mathbb{k} -vector space isomorphism $A_m/r(I_P) \simeq A$ realizes the Lie algebra representation isomorphism between $\rho[F]$ and ρ_X . □

5.2. The homotopy category of L_∞ -algebras

An L_∞ -algebra is a generalization of an \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie-algebra such that the graded Jacobi identity is only satisfied up to homotopy. An L_∞ -algebra is also known as a strongly homotopy Lie algebra in [23], or Sugawara Lie algebra. It has also appeared, albeit the dual version, in [24]. It is also the Lie version of an A_∞ -algebra (strongly homotopy associative algebra), which is the original example of homotopy algebra due to Stasheff. In this paper we encounter a variant of L_∞ -algebra such that its Lie bracket has degree one. In other words, a structure of L_∞ -algebra on V in our paper is equivalent to that of the usual L_∞ -algebra on $V[1]$, where $V[1]$ means that $V[1]^m = V^{m+1}$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We should also note that the usual presentation of L_∞ -algebras and L_∞ -morphisms via generators and relations relies on unshuffles, which can be checked to be equivalent to our presentation based on partitions.

Let $\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ denote the category of \mathbb{Z} -graded artinian local \mathbb{k} -algebras with residue field \mathbb{k} and $\widehat{\mathbf{Art}}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the category of complete \mathbb{Z} -graded noetherian local \mathbb{k} -algebras. Let $R \in \text{Ob}(\widehat{\mathbf{Art}}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ concentrated in degree zero. For $A \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$, \mathfrak{m}_A denotes the maximal ideal of A which is a nilpotent \mathbb{Z} -graded super-commutative and associative \mathbb{k} -algebra without unit. Let $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V^i$ be a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space over a field \mathbb{k} of characteristic 0. If $x \in V^i$, we say that x is a homogeneous element of degree i ; let $|x|$ be the degree of a homogeneous element of V . For each $n \geq 1$ let $S(V) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} S^n(V)$ be the free \mathbb{Z} -graded super-commutative and associative algebra over \mathbb{k} generated by V , which is the quotient algebra of the free tensor algebra $T(V) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n(V)$ by the ideal generated by $x \otimes y - (-1)^{|x||y|} y \otimes x$. Here $T^0(V) = k$ and $T^n(V) = V^{\otimes n}$ for $n \geq 1$.

Definition 5.2 (L_∞ -algebra). *The triple $V_L = (V, \ell, 1_V)$ is a unital L_∞ -algebra over \mathbb{k} if $1_V \in V^0$ and $\underline{\ell} = \ell_1, \ell_2, \dots$ be a family such that*

- $\ell_n \in \text{Hom}(S^n V, V)^1$ for all $n \geq 1$.
- $\ell_n(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, 1_V) = 0$, for all $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \in V$, $n \geq 1$.
- for any $A \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ and for all $n \geq 1$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(n-k)!k!} \ell_{n-k+1}(\ell_k(\gamma, \dots, \gamma), \gamma, \dots, \gamma) = 0,$$

whenever $\gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_A \otimes V)^0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} & \ell_n(a_1 \otimes v_1, \dots, a_n \otimes v_n) \\ &= (-1)^{|a_1|+|a_2|(1+|v_1|)+\dots+|a_n|(1+|v_1|+\dots+|v_{n-1}|)} a_1 \cdots a_n \otimes \ell_n(v_1, \dots, v_n). \end{aligned}$$

Every L_∞ -algebra in this paper is assumed to satisfy $\ell_n = 0$ for all $n > N$ for some natural number N .

Definition 5.3 (L_∞ -morphism). *A morphism of unital L_∞ -algebras from V_L into V'_L is a family $\underline{\phi} = \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots$ such that*

- $\phi_n \in \text{Hom}(S^n V, V')^0$ for all $n \geq 1$.
- $\phi_1(1_V) = 1_{V'}$ and $\phi_n(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, 1_V) = 0$, $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \in V$, for all $n \geq 2$.

- for any $A \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ and for all $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j_1+j_2=n} \frac{1}{j_1!j_2!} \phi_{j_1+1}(\ell_{j_2}(\gamma, \dots, \gamma), \gamma, \dots, \gamma) \\ = & \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_r=n} \frac{1}{r!} \frac{1}{j_1! \dots j_r!} \ell'_r(\phi_{j_1}(\gamma, \dots, \gamma), \dots, \phi_{j_r}(\gamma, \dots, \gamma)), \end{aligned}$$

whenever $\gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_A \otimes V)^0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_n(a_1 \otimes v_1, \dots, a_n \otimes v_n) \\ = & (-1)^{|a_2||v_1|+\dots+|a_n|(|v_1|+\dots+|v_{n-1}|)} a_1 \dots a_n \otimes \phi_n(v_1, \dots, v_n). \end{aligned}$$

It is convenient to introduce an equivalent presentation of L_{∞} -algebras and morphisms based on partitions $P(n)$ of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, in order to present the proof of Theorem 3.11 regarding the descendant functor.

Let us set up some notation related to partitions. A partition $\pi = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots$ of the set $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a decomposition of $[n]$ into a pairwise disjoint non-empty subsets B_i , called blocks. Blocks are ordered by the minimum element of each block and each block is ordered by the ordering induced from the ordering of natural numbers. The notation $|\pi|$ means the number of blocks in a partition π and $|B|$ means the size of the block B . If k and k' belong to the same block in π , then we use the notation $k \sim_{\pi} k'$. Otherwise, we use $k \not\sim_{\pi} k'$. Let $P(n)$ be the set of all partitions of $[n]$.

Let $\tau_{i,j}$ is a transposition (ij) . We define the Koszul sign $\epsilon(\tau, \{x_i, x_j\}) := (-1)^{|x_i||x_j|}$ for any homogeneous elements $x_i, x_j \in V$. For a permutation σ of $[n]$, we decompose σ as a product of transpositions $\sigma = \prod_k \tau_{i_k, j_k}$. Then define $\epsilon(\sigma, \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) = \prod_k \epsilon(\tau, \{x_{i_k}, x_{j_k}\})$ for homogeneous elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in V$. The Koszul sign $\epsilon(\pi)$ for a partition $\pi = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_{|\pi|} \in P(n)$ is defined by the Koszul sign $\epsilon(\sigma, \{x_1, \dots, x_n\})$ of the permutation σ determined by

$$x_{B_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{B_{|\pi|}} = x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{\sigma(n)},$$

where $x_B = x_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{j_r}$ if $B = \{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$. Let $|x_B| = |x_{j_1}| + \dots + |x_{j_r}|$. Note that $\epsilon(\pi)$ depends on the degrees of x_1, \dots, x_n but we omit such dependence in the notation for simplicity. Then it can be checked that the following Definitions 5.4 and 5.5 are equivalent to the above ones; for an arbitrary finite set $\{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$ of homogeneous elements in V , consider $\mathbb{k}[[t_1, \dots, t_N]]$, where $|t_j| = -|v_j|$, $1 \leq j \leq N$. Let J be the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{k}[[t_1, \dots, t_N]]$, so that $A := \mathbb{k}[[t_1, \dots, t_N]]/J^{N+1} \in \mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Let γ be $\sum_{j=1}^N t_j v_j$, which is an

element of $(\mathfrak{m}_A \otimes V)^0$. Definitions 5.2 and 5.3 imply, after simple combinatorics, the claimed relations in Definitions 5.4 and 5.5 for all n satisfying $1 \leq n \leq N$. It is clear that the converse is also true.

Definition 5.4 (L_∞ -algebra). *An L_∞ -algebra is a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} V^m$ over a field \mathbb{k} with a family $\underline{\ell} = \ell_1, \ell_2, \dots$, where $\ell_k : S^k(V) \rightarrow V$ is a linear map of degree 1 on the super-commutative k -th symmetric product $S^k(V)$ for each $k \geq 1$ such that*

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{\substack{\pi \in P(n) \\ |B_i| = n - |\pi| + 1}} \epsilon(\pi, i) \ell_{|\pi|}(x_{B_1}, \dots, x_{B_{i-1}}, \ell(x_{B_i}), x_{B_{i+1}}, \dots, x_{B_{|\pi|}}) = 0.$$

Here we use the the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(x_B) &= \ell_r(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_r}) \text{ if } B = \{j_1, \dots, j_r\} \\ \epsilon(\pi, i) &= \epsilon(\pi)(-1)^{|x_{B_1}| + \dots + |x_{B_{i-1}}|}. \end{aligned}$$

An L_∞ -algebra with unity (or a unital L_∞ -algebra) is a triple $(V, \underline{\ell}, 1_V)$, where $(V, \underline{\ell})$ is an L_∞ -algebra and 1_V is a distinguished element in V^0 such that $\ell_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 1_V) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and every $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in V$.

An ordinary Lie algebra can be viewed as a L_∞ -algebra concentrated in degree -1. It follows immediately for degree reasons that $\ell_1 = 0$ for all $i \neq 2$, and that ℓ_2 satisfies the axioms of a Lie bracket.

Definition 5.5 (L_∞ -morphism). *A morphism from an L_∞ -algebra $(V, \underline{\ell})$ to an L_∞ -algebra $(V', \underline{\ell}')$ over \mathbb{k} is a family $\underline{\phi} = \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots$, where $\phi_k : S^k(V) \rightarrow V'$ is a \mathbb{k} -linear map of degree 0 for each $k \geq 1$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\pi \in P(n)} \epsilon(\pi) \ell'_{|\pi|}(\phi(x_{B_1}), \dots, \phi(x_{B_{|\pi|}})) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\pi \in P(n) \\ |B_i| = n - |\pi| + 1}} \epsilon(\pi, i) \phi_{|\pi|}(x_{B_1}, \dots, x_{B_{i-1}}, \ell(x_{B_i}), x_{B_{i+1}}, \dots, x_{B_{|\pi|}}). \end{aligned}$$

A morphism of unital L_∞ -algebras from $(V, \underline{\ell}, 1_V)$ to $(V', \underline{\ell}', 1_{V'})$ over \mathbb{k} is a morphism $\underline{\phi}$ of L_∞ -algebras such that $\phi_1(1_V) = 1_{V'}$ and $\phi_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 1_V) = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$ and every $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in V$.

Remark. If one uses an interval partition of $[n]$ instead of $P(n)$, we can prove that this formalism gives an equivalent definition to the usual definition of A_∞ -algebras and A_∞ -morphisms.

If we let $\pi = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_{i-1} \cup B_i \cup B_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup B_{|\pi|} \in P(n)$, then the condition $|B_i| = n - |\pi| + 1$ in the summation implies that the set $B_1, \dots, B_{i-1}, B_{i+1}, \dots, B_n$ are singletons. Let $\ell_1 = K$. For $n = 1$, the relation (5.5) says that $K^2 = 0$. For $n = 2$, the relation (5.5) says that

$$K\ell_2(x_1, x_2) + \ell_2(Kx_1, x_2) + (-1)^{|x_1|}\ell_2(x_1, Kx_2) = 0.$$

For $n = 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \ell_2(\ell_2(x_1, x_2), x_3) + (-1)^{|x_1|}\ell_2(x_1, \ell_2(x_2, x_3)) \\ & + (-1)^{(|x_1|+1)|x_2|}\ell_2(x_2, \ell_2(x_1, x_3)) \\ & = -K\ell_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) - \ell_3(Kx_1, x_2, x_3) \\ & - (-1)^{|x_1|}\ell_3(x_1, Kx_2, x_3) - (-1)^{|x_1|+|x_2|}\ell_3(x_1, x_2, Kx_3). \end{aligned}$$

Because the vanishing of the left hand side is the graded Jacobi identity for ℓ_2 , we see that ℓ_2 fails to satisfy the graded Jacobi identity. Thus the failure of ℓ_2 being a graded Lie algebra is measured by the homotopy ℓ_3 .

Corollary 5.1. *A \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space over \mathbb{k} is an L_∞ -algebra with $\underline{\ell} = \underline{0}$, which we refer to as a trivial L_∞ -algebra. A cochain complex (V, K) is an L_∞ -algebra with $\underline{\ell} = \ell_1$, where $\ell_1 = K$. A differential graded Lie algebra (DGLA) $(V, K, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is an L_∞ -algebra with $\underline{\ell} = \ell_1, \ell_2$, where $\ell_1 = K$, and $\ell_2(\cdot, \cdot) = [\cdot, \cdot]$.*

Let $\ell_1 = K$ and $\ell' = K'$. For $n = 1$, the relation in Definition 5.5 says that

$$\phi_1 K = K' \phi_1.$$

For $n = 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_1(\ell_2(x_1, x_2)) - \ell'_2(\phi_1(x_1), \phi_1(x_2)) \\ & = K'\phi_2(x_1, x_2) - \phi_2(Kx_1, x_2) - (-1)^{|x_1|}\phi_2(x_1, Kx_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence ϕ_1 is a cochain map from (V, K) to (V', K') , which fails to be an algebra map. The failure of being an algebra map is measured by the homotopy

ϕ_2 . For $n = 3$, the above says

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_1(\ell_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)) - \ell'_3(\phi_1(x_1), \phi_1(x_2), \phi_1(x_3)) + \phi_2(\ell_2(x_1, x_2), x_3) \\ & + (-1)^{|x_1|} \phi_2(x_1, \ell_2(x_2, x_3)) + (-1)^{(|x_1|+1)|x_2|} \phi_2(x_2, \ell_2(x_1, x_3)) \\ & - \ell'_2(\phi_2(x_1, x_2), \phi_1(x_3)) - \ell'_2(\phi_1(x_1), \phi_2(x_2, x_3)) \\ & - (-1)^{|x_1||x_2|} \ell'_2(\phi_1(x_2), \phi_2(x_1, x_3)) \\ = & K' \phi_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) - \phi_3(Kx_1, x_2, x_3) - (-1)^{|x_1|} \phi_3(x_1, Kx_2, x_3) \\ & - (-1)^{|x_1|+|x_2|} \phi_3(x_1, x_2, Kx_3). \end{aligned}$$

Now we define composition of morphisms.

Definition 5.6. *The composition of L_∞ -morphisms $\underline{\phi} : V \rightarrow V'$ and $\underline{\phi}' : V' \rightarrow V''$ is defined by*

$$(\phi' \bullet \phi)_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\pi \in P(n)} \epsilon(\pi) \phi'_{|\pi|}(\phi(x_{B_1}), \dots, \phi(x_{B_{|\pi|}})),$$

for every homogeneous elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in V$ and all $n \geq 1$.

Then it can be checked that unital L_∞ -algebras over \mathbb{k} and L_∞ -morphisms form a category.

Definition 5.7. *The cohomology H of the L_∞ -algebra $(V, \underline{\ell})$ is the cohomology of the underlying complex $(V, K = \ell_1)$. An L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\phi}$ is a quasi-isomorphism if ϕ_1 induces an isomorphism on cohomology.*

In fact, any L_∞ -algebra can be strictified to give a DGLA, i.e. any L_∞ -algebra is L_∞ -quasi-isomorphic to a DGLA.

Definition 5.8. *An L_∞ -algebra $(V, \underline{\ell})$ is called minimal if $\ell_1 = 0$.*

We recall the following well-known theorem, sometimes called the homotopy transfer theorem. See the chapter 10 of [15] for a detailed discussion on the homotopy transfer theorem.

Theorem 5.9. *There is the structure of a minimal L_∞ -algebra $(H, \underline{\ell}^H = \ell_2^H, \ell_3^H, \dots)$ on the cohomology H of an L_∞ -algebra $(V, \underline{\ell})$ over \mathbb{k} together with an L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism $\underline{\phi}$ from $(H, \underline{\ell}^H)$ to $(V, \underline{\ell}^K)$. Both the minimal L_∞ -algebra structure and the L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism are not unique, while ℓ_2^H is defined uniquely.*

Definition 5.10. An L_∞ -algebra $(V, \underline{\ell})$ is called formal if it is quasi-isomorphic to an L_∞ -algebra $(V', \underline{0})$ with the zero L_∞ -structure.

Definition 5.11. Two L_∞ -morphisms $\underline{\phi}$ and $\tilde{\underline{\phi}}$ of unital L_∞ -algebras from $(V_L, \underline{\ell}, 1_V)$ into $(V'_L, \underline{\ell}', 1_{V'})$ are L_∞ -homotopic, denoted by $\underline{\phi} \sim_\infty \tilde{\underline{\phi}}$, if there is a polynomial family $\underline{\lambda}(\tau) = \lambda_1(\tau), \lambda_2(\tau), \dots$ in τ , where

- $\lambda_n(\tau) \in \text{Hom}(S^n V, V')^{-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$.
- $\lambda_n(\tau)(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, 1_V) = 0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \in V$, for all $n \geq 1$,

and a polynomial family $\underline{\Phi}(\tau) = \Phi_1(\tau), \Phi_2(\tau), \dots$ of L_∞ -morphisms, where

- $\Phi_n(\tau) \in \text{Hom}(S^n V, V')^0$ for all $n \geq 1$,
- $\Phi_1(\tau)(1_V) = 1_{V'}$ and $\Phi_n(\tau)(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, 1_V) = 0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \in V$, for all $n \geq 2$,

and $\underline{\Phi}(0) = \underline{\phi}$ and $\underline{\Phi}(1) = \tilde{\underline{\phi}}$, such that $\underline{\Phi}$ satisfies the following flow equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \Phi_n(\tau)(\gamma, \dots, \gamma) \\ = & \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_r = n-k} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{r!} \frac{1}{j_1! \dots j_r!} \ell'_{r+1} \\ & (\Phi_{j_1}(\tau)(\gamma, \dots, \gamma), \dots, \Phi_{j_r}(\tau)(\gamma, \dots, \gamma), \lambda_k(\tau)(\gamma, \dots, \gamma)) \\ & + \sum_{j_1 + j_2 = n} \frac{1}{j_1! j_2!} \lambda_{j_1+1}(\tau)(\gamma, \dots, \gamma, \ell_{j_2}(\gamma, \dots, \gamma)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and $\gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_A \otimes V)^0$ whenever $A \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$.

It can be checked that \sim_∞ is an equivalence relation (see 4.5.2 of [14] for another form of the above definition).

Lemma 5.12. Consider L_∞ -morphisms $\underline{\phi} : V_L \rightarrow V'_L$ and $\underline{\phi}' : V'_L \rightarrow V''_L$. Let $\tilde{\underline{\phi}} \sim_\infty \underline{\phi}$ and $\tilde{\underline{\phi}}' \sim_\infty \underline{\phi}'$. Then $\tilde{\underline{\phi}} \bullet \tilde{\underline{\phi}}' \sim_\infty \underline{\phi}' \bullet \underline{\phi}$.

Proof. Let $\underline{\Phi}(\tau)$, where $\underline{\Phi}(0) = \underline{\phi}$ and $\underline{\Phi}(1) = \tilde{\underline{\phi}}$, be a polynomial solution to the flow equation with a polynomial family $\underline{\lambda}(\tau)$. Let $\underline{\Phi}'(\tau)$, where $\underline{\Phi}'(0) = \underline{\phi}'$ and $\underline{\Phi}'(1) = \tilde{\underline{\phi}}'$, be a polynomial solution to the flow equation with polynomial family $\underline{\lambda}'(\tau)$. Then $\underline{\Phi}''(\tau) := \underline{\Phi}'(\tau) \bullet \underline{\Phi}(\tau)$ is a polynomial family²⁵ in

²⁵When we apply Definition 5.6, $\Phi'_m, m \geq 1$ are viewed as τ -multilinear functions. For example, if $\Phi_1(x)(\tau) = A(x)\tau^3 + B(x)$ and $\Phi'_1(x)(\tau) = A'(y)\tau^2 + B'(y)$ for $x \in$

$\text{Hom}(V, V'')^0$ such that $\underline{\Phi}''(0) = \underline{\phi}' \bullet \underline{\phi}$ and $\underline{\Phi}''(1) = \underline{\tilde{\phi}}' \bullet \underline{\tilde{\phi}}$. It can be checked that, for all $n \geq 1$ and $\gamma \in (\mathfrak{m}_A \otimes V)^0$ whenever $A \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \Phi''_n(\gamma, \dots, \gamma) \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_r=n-k} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{r!} \frac{1}{j_1! \dots j_r!} \ell''_{r+1} \\ & \quad (\Phi''_{j_1}(\gamma, \dots, \gamma), \dots, \Phi''_{j_r}(\gamma, \dots, \gamma), \lambda''_k(\gamma, \dots, \gamma)) \\ & + \sum_{j_1+j_2=n} \frac{1}{j_1! j_2!} \lambda''_{j_1+1}(\gamma, \dots, \gamma, \ell_{j_2}(\gamma, \dots, \gamma)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda''(\tau)$ is the polynomial family in $\text{Hom}(V, V'')^{-1}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda''_n(\gamma, \dots, \gamma) \\ & = \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_r=n} \frac{1}{r!} \frac{1}{j_1! \dots j_r!} \lambda'_r(\Phi_{j_1}(\gamma, \dots, \gamma), \dots, \Phi_{j_r}(\gamma, \dots, \gamma)) \\ & + \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_r=n} \frac{1}{r!} \frac{1}{j_1! \dots j_r!} \Phi'_r(\Phi_{j_1}(\gamma, \dots, \gamma), \dots, \Phi_{j_{r-1}}(\gamma, \dots, \gamma), \lambda_{j_r}(\gamma, \dots, \gamma)). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

The above lemma implies that the homotopy category of unital L_∞ -algebras is well-defined, where the morphisms in that category consists of L_∞ -homotopy classes of L_∞ -morphisms.

Let $(V, K, [,])$ and $(V', K', [,]')$ be an ordered pair of DGLAs over \mathbb{k} . Then a morphism $f : V \rightarrow V'$ of DGLAs is an L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\phi} = \phi_1$ such that $\phi_1 = f$. If $f : V \rightarrow V'$ is a DGLA morphism, then $\tilde{f} = f + \bar{K}'s + sK$ is a cochain map homotopic to f by the cochain homotopy s . In this case, there is an L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\tilde{\phi}} = \tilde{\phi}_1, \tilde{\phi}_2, \dots$ which is homotopic to $\underline{\phi} = \phi_1 = f$ by an L_∞ -homotopy $\underline{\lambda} = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ such that $\lambda_1 = s$ and $\tilde{\phi}_1 = \tilde{f}$. Let $\tilde{f} : V \rightarrow V'$ be a cochain map and $[\tilde{f}]$ be the cochain homotopy class of \tilde{f} . Then there is a representative f of $[\tilde{f}]$ which is a DGLA morphism if and only if \tilde{f} can be extended to an L_∞ -morphism $\underline{\tilde{\phi}}$, i.e., $\tilde{\phi}_1 = \tilde{f}$ which is L_∞ -homotopic to a cochain map.

$V, y \in V'$, then

$$(\Phi'_1 \circ \Phi_1)(x)(\tau) = A'(A(x))\tau^5 + A'(B(x))\tau^2 + B'(A(x))\tau^3 + B'(B(x)).$$

References

- [1] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber, *On the Jacobian ring of a complete intersection*, J. Algebra, **304** (2006), no. 2, 1193–1227.
- [2] S. Barannikov and M. Kontsevich, *Frobenius manifolds and formality of Lie algebras of polyvector fields*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, **4** (1998), 201–215.
- [3] I. A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky, *Gauge algebra and quantization*, Phys. Lett., **B 102** (1981), 27–31; *Quantization of gauge theories with linearly dependent generators*, Phys. Rev., **D 29** (1983), 2567–2582.
- [4] J. Carlson and P. Griffiths, *Infinitesimal variations of Hodge structure and the global Torelli problem*, in Journées de géométrie algébrique, Angers, Juillet 1979, Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, 1980, 51–76.
- [5] C. Chevalley and S. Eilenberg, *Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **63** (1948), 85–124.
- [6] R. Dijkraaf, E. Verlinde, and H. Verlinde, *Topological strings in $d < 1$* , Nucl. Phys., **B 352** (1991), 59–86.
- [7] A. Dimca, *On the de Rham cohomology of a hypersurface complement*, Amer. J. Math., **113** (1991), no. 4, 763–771.
- [8] A. Dimca, *Residues and cohomology of complete intersections*, Duke Math. J., **78** (1995).
- [9] B. Dwork, *On the zeta function of a hypersurface*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., **12** (1962), 5–68.
- [10] B. A. Dubrovin, *Geometry of 2D topological field theories*, In: Integrable systems and quantum groups (Montecatini Terme, 1993), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1620, 120–348. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1996), [arXiv:hep-th/9407018](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9407018).
- [11] P. A. Griffiths, *On the periods of certain rational integrals. I, II*, Ann. of Math. (2), **90** (1969), 460–495; *ibid.* (2) **90** (1969), 496–541.
- [12] R. Hartshorne, *Deformation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **257**, Springer, New York, 2010. viii+234 pp.
- [13] N. Katz, *On the differential equations satisfied by period matrices*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., **35** (1968), 223–258.

- [14] M. Kontsevich, *Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds*, Lett. Math. Phys., **66** (2003), 157–216, [arXiv:q-alg/9709040](#).
- [15] J.-L. Loday and B. Vallette, *Algebraic operads*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], **346**, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012. xxiv+634 pp.
- [16] M. Manetti, *Extended deformation functors*, International Mathematics Research Notices, **14** (2002), 719–756.
- [17] Yu. I. Manin, *Three constructions of Frobenius manifolds: a comparative study*, Sir Michael Atiyah: a great mathematician of the twentieth century. Asian J. Math., **3** (1999), no. 1, 179–220.
- [18] Jae-Suk Park, *Algebraic principles of quantum field theories. I, II*, preprints [arXiv:1101.4414](#), [arXiv:1102.1533](#).
- [19] Jae-Suk Park, *Homotopy theory of probability spaces I: Classical independence and homotopy Lie algebras*, [arXiv:1510.08289](#).
- [20] Jeehoon Park and Donggeon Yhee, *The Koszul-Tate type resolution for differential BV algebras*, submitted.
- [21] C. Peters and J. Steenbrink, *Infinitesimal variations of Hodge structure and the generic Torelli problem for projective hypersurfaces (after Carlson, Donagi, Green, Griffiths, Harris)*, Classification of algebraic and analytic manifolds (Katata, 1982), 399–463, Progr. Math., **39**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983.
- [22] K. Saito, *Primitive forms for an universal unfolding of a functions with isolated critical point*, Journ. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math., **28** (1981), no. 3, 777–792.
- [23] J. D. Stasheff, *Homotopy associativity of H-spaces I, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **108** (1963), 275–292; *ibid.* **108** (1963), 293–312.
- [24] D. Sullivan, *Infinitesimal computations in topology*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., **47** (1977), 269–331.
- [25] T. Terasoma, *Infinitesimal variation of Hodge structures and the weak global Torelli theorem for complete intersections*, Ann. of Math., **132** (1990), 213–235.
- [26] E. Witten, *On the structure of the topological phase of two-dimensional gravity*, Nucl. Phys., **B 340** (1990), 281–332.

CENTER FOR GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS
INSTITUTE FOR BASIC SCIENCE (IBS)
77 CHEONGAM-RO, NAM-GU, POHANG, GYEONGBUK, KOREA 790-784
E-mail address: jaesuk@ibs.re.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
POHANG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (POSTECH)
77 CHEONGAM-RO, NAM-GU, POHANG, GYEONGBUK, KOREA 790-784
E-mail address: jeehoonpark@postech.ac.kr

RECEIVED MARCH 18, 2016

