

# **LOWER BOUNDS FOR EIGENVALUES OF HYPERSURFACE DIRAC OPERATORS**

XIAO ZHANG

## **1. Introduction**

Let  $N$  be an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional spin hypersurface in  $N$ . The choice of normal covector of  $M$  yields a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spin}(n) & \xrightarrow{\hat{\alpha}} & \mathrm{Spin}(n+1) \\ \rho_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_2 \\ \mathrm{SO}(n) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathrm{SO}(n+1) \end{array}$$

such that  $\rho_2 \circ \hat{\alpha} = \alpha \circ \rho_1$ .

Let  $S$  be the spinor bundle of  $N$ . The above diagram implies  $S$  is globally-defined along  $M$ . We call it hypersurface spinor bundle of  $M$ . Let  $\tilde{\nabla}$  be the Levi-Civita connection of  $N$ , and  $\nabla$  be its induced connection on  $M$ . We lift them to the hypersurface spinor bundle  $S$ , also denote them as  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$  respectively.

Denote  $c$  the Clifford multiplication, the Dirac operator on  $M$  defined by  $\nabla$  on  $S$  is the composition

$$\Gamma(S) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Gamma(T^*M \otimes S) \xrightarrow{c} \Gamma(S).$$

The hypersurface Dirac operator – denoted  $\tilde{D}$  – is defined by the second connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  on  $S$ . Intrinsically,  $\tilde{D}$  is the composition

$$\Gamma(S) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nabla}} \Gamma(T^*M \otimes S) \xrightarrow{c} \Gamma(S).$$

When  $N$  is a Lorentzian manifold and  $M$  is a spin spacelike hypersurface of  $N$ , this hypersurface Dirac operator was used to prove the Positive Energy Conjecture in general relativity by Witten [PT, W, Z]. Based on his proof of Positive Energy Conjecture with Schoen by using minimal surfaces [SY1, SY2], Yau asks what is the relation between minimal surfaces and (hypersurface) Dirac operators. Although its special significance is not very clear by this time, the hypersurface Dirac operator has shown its importance and potential application. We refer to [F2] (and references therein) on representation of surface in  $R^3$  in terms of solutions of the hypersurface Dirac operator, and to [S1, S2, LS] on

---

Received October 7, 1997.

This work is partially supported by the Morningside Center of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

applications in general relativity and in string theory for hypersurface Dirac operators defined on higher-codimensional spin submanifolds (2-surfaces). It also provides a model for our attempt on the massive Seiberg-Witten theory [YZ].

In this paper we study lower bounds for eigenvalues of a formally self-adjoint Dirac operator  $\tilde{D}^*\tilde{D}$  on compact spin hypersurface  $M$  in a Riemannian manifold  $N$ . (It should be pointed out that, in general,  $\tilde{D}$  is not self-adjoint w.r.t. positive definite Hermitian metric on  $S$ ). Our motivation is trying to understand the above question of Yau in this aspect. Let  $\lambda$  be the eigenvalue of  $\tilde{D}^*\tilde{D}$  with eigenspinor  $\phi$ , denote  $R$  as scalar curvature of  $M$ ,  $H$  as the trace of the second fundamental form of  $M$ , we prove

$$(1.1) \quad \lambda \geq \frac{1}{4} \sup_a \inf_M \left( \frac{R}{1 + na^2 - 2a} - \frac{(n-1)H^2}{(1-na)^2} \right),$$

where  $a$  is any real number,  $a \neq \frac{1}{n}$  if  $H \neq 0$ . And

$$(1.2) \quad \lambda \geq \frac{1}{4} \inf_M (R - (n-1)H^2) + \inf_M |Q_\phi|^2,$$

where  $|Q_\phi|^2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} (\mathcal{R}e(e^i \nabla_j \phi + e^j \nabla_i \phi, \phi/|\phi|^2))^2$ . We also study the manifolds where  $\lambda$  achieves its minimum. Particularly, equality in (1.1) gives an Einstein metric on  $M$  with constant mean curvature.

Eigenvalue estimate for Dirac operator on compact spin manifolds is interested both in mathematics and in physics. Vafa and Witten estimated some upper bounds for twistor Dirac operators, which are independent on the twisted bundles, and study their applications in physics [VW]. Let  $D\phi = \lambda\phi$  on some compact spin manifold  $M$ , where  $\lambda$  is the eigenvalue of  $D$  with eigenspinor  $\phi$ . In 1963, Lichnerowicz first proved that

$$(1.3) \quad \lambda^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \inf_M R,$$

where  $R$  is the scalar curvature of  $M$  [L]. In 1980, Friedrich proved that, for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$(1.4) \quad \lambda^2 \geq \frac{n}{4(n-1)} \inf_M R,$$

[F1]. In 1984, Hijazi proved that, for  $n \geq 3$ ,

$$(1.5) \quad \lambda^2 \geq \frac{n}{4(n-1)} \mu_1,$$

where  $\mu_1$  is the first eigenvalue of Yamabe operator  $\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \Delta + R$  [Hi1]. Equality in (1.3) gives a Ricci-flat metric, that in (1.4) or (1.5) gives an Einstein metric. In

terms of some real symmetric endomorphism of (co)tangent bundle, he improved lower bounds by [Hi2]

$$(1.6) \quad \lambda^2 \geq \inf_M \left( \frac{1}{4}R + |Q_\phi|^2 \right),$$

$$(1.7) \quad \lambda^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}\mu_1 + \inf_M |Q_\phi|^2.$$

We note while  $M$  is a minimal spin hypersurface in  $N$ , (1.1) reduces to (1.4) and (1.2) reduces to (1.6).

## 2. Hypersurface Dirac operators

Suppose  $N$  is an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $M$  is an  $n$ -dimensional spin hypersurface in  $N$ . Let  $S$  be the hypersurface spinor bundle of  $M$ . It is known [LM] that there exists a positive definite Hermitian metric on  $S$  which satisfies, for any covector  $v \in T^*N$ ,

$$(2.8) \quad (v.\phi, v.\psi) = |v|^2(\phi, \psi).$$

This metric is globally-defined along  $M$ . The connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is compatible with the metric  $(\ , \ )$ . Fix a point  $p \in M$  and an orthonormal basis  $\{e_\alpha\}$  of  $T_pN$  with  $e_0$  normal and  $e_i$  tangent to  $M$  such that  $(\nabla_i e_j)_p = 0$ ,  $(\tilde{\nabla}_0 e_j)_p = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $\{e^\alpha\}$  be the dual coframe. Then  $(\tilde{\nabla}_i e^j)_p = -h_{ij}e^0$ ,  $(\tilde{\nabla}_i e^0)_p = h_{ij}e^j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , where  $h_{ij} = (\tilde{\nabla}_i e_0, e_j)$  are the components of the second fundamental form at  $p$ , and we have

$$(2.9) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_i = \nabla_i + \frac{1}{2} h_{ij} e^0 e^j.$$

By (2.8),  $(e^0 e^j \phi, \psi) = (\phi, e^j e^0 \psi)$ . Then at  $p \in M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(\phi, \psi) * e_i &= ((\tilde{\nabla}_i \phi, \psi) + (\phi, \tilde{\nabla}_i \psi)) * 1 \\ &= ((\nabla_i \phi, \psi) + (\phi, \nabla_i \psi)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} h_{ij} (e^0 e^j \phi, \psi) + \frac{1}{2} h_{ij} (\phi, e^0 e^j \psi) * 1 \\ &= ((\nabla_i \phi, \psi) + (\phi, \nabla_i \psi)) * 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the connection  $\nabla$  is also compatible with the metric  $(\ , \ )$ .

If  $n$  is even, the hypersurface spinor bundle  $S$  splits as a direct sum of positive and negative eigenspaces  $S^\pm$  of the operator  $*$   $= e^1 e^2 \dots e^n$  along  $M$ . The connection  $\nabla$  preserves this splittings since it commutes with operator  $*$ , but the connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  does not preserve this splittings. Since  $*e^0 = e^0*$ ,  $*e^i = -e^i*$ , we then have  $e^0 S^\pm = S^\pm$ ,  $e^i S^\pm = S^\mp$ . Moreover, for any  $\phi \in S^+$ ,  $\psi \in S^-$ ,  $(\phi, \psi) = (*\phi, -*\psi) = -(\phi, \psi)$ , hence  $(\phi, \psi) = 0$ . In the above orthonormal coframe  $\{e^i\}$  of  $M$ ,  $D = e^i \nabla_i$ ,  $\tilde{D} = e^i \tilde{\nabla}_i$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *For any  $\phi \in \Gamma(S)$ , we have*

$$(2.10) \quad \tilde{D}\phi = D\phi + \frac{H}{2}e^0\phi,$$

where  $H = \sum h_{ii}$  is the mean curvature of  $M$ .

*Proof.* Since  $h_{ij} = h_{ji}$ , and  $e^i e^j = -e^j e^i$  for  $i \neq j$ , then (2.9) gives

$$\tilde{D}\phi = e^i \tilde{\nabla}_i \phi = e^i \nabla_i \phi + \frac{1}{2} h_{ij} e^i e^0 e^j \phi = D\phi + \frac{H}{2} e^0 \phi.$$

□

**Lemma 2.2.** *For any  $\phi, \psi \in \Gamma(S)$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d(e^i \phi, \psi) * e^i &= ((D\phi, \psi) - (\phi, D\psi)) * 1 \\ &= ((\tilde{D}\phi, \psi) - (\phi, (\tilde{D} - He^0)\psi)) * 1. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} d(e^i \phi, \psi) * e^i &= ((e^i \nabla_i \phi, \psi) + (e^i \phi, \nabla_i \psi)) * 1 \\ &= ((D\phi, \psi) - (\phi, D\psi)) * 1 \\ &= ((\tilde{D}\phi - \frac{H}{2}e^0\phi, \psi) - (\phi, \tilde{D}\psi - \frac{H}{2}e^0\psi)) * 1 \\ &= ((\tilde{D}\phi, \psi) - (\phi, (\tilde{D} - He^0)\psi)) * 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 2.1.**  $D^* = D, \quad \tilde{D}^* = \tilde{D} - He^0 = D - \frac{H}{2}e^0.$

If  $M$  is an even dimensional spin hypersurface, let  $\Phi = \phi + \psi \in \Gamma(S^+) \oplus \Gamma(S^-)$  and  $\tilde{\psi} = -e^0\psi$ , then  $\tilde{D}\Phi = 0$  is equivalent to the following equations

$$(2.11) \quad D\phi = \frac{H}{2}\tilde{\psi}, \quad D\tilde{\psi} = \frac{H}{2}\phi.$$

Physically,  $\frac{H}{2}$  is interpreted as the mass of spinor  $\phi + \tilde{\psi}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *If  $M$  is an even dimensional spin hypersurface which is not minimal, then any ‘half’ spinor solution  $\tilde{D}\phi = 0$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* If there is such spinor  $\phi \in \Gamma(S^+)$ . Then

$$0 = \tilde{D}\phi = D\phi + \frac{H}{2}e^0\phi \in \Gamma(S^-) \oplus \Gamma(S^+).$$

Hence  $D\phi = 0$  and  $He^0\phi = 0$ . By the assumption, there is an open set  $\Omega$  such that  $H \neq 0$  on  $\Omega$ , therefore  $\phi = 0$  on  $\Omega$ . Then the Unique Continuation Property gives that  $\phi \equiv 0$ . Similarly, there is no such nontrivial  $\psi \in \Gamma(S^-)$ . □

The well-known Lichnerowicz formula [L] gives

$$(2.12) \quad D^*D = \nabla^*\nabla + \frac{R}{4},$$

where  $R$  is the scalar curvature of  $M$ .

For the hypersurface Dirac operator, we have the following Lichnerowicz formulas

**Theorem 2.1.** *For any  $\phi \in \Gamma(S)$ ,*

$$(2.13) \quad \tilde{D}^*\tilde{D}\phi = \nabla^*\nabla\phi + \frac{1}{4}(R + H^2)\phi - \frac{1}{2}e^0dH\phi - He^0D\phi,$$

$$(2.14) \quad \tilde{D}\tilde{D}^*\phi = \nabla^*\nabla\phi + \frac{1}{4}(R + H^2)\phi + \frac{1}{2}e^0dH\phi + He^0D\phi,$$

where  $R$  and  $H$  are scalar and mean curvatures of  $M$  respectively.

*Proof.* Since

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i(e^0\phi) &= (\tilde{\nabla}_i - \frac{1}{2}h_{ij}e^0e^j)(e^0\phi) \\ &= h_{ij}e^j\phi + e^0\tilde{\nabla}_i\phi - \frac{1}{2}h_{ij}e^j\phi \\ &= e^0(\tilde{\nabla}_i - \frac{1}{2}h_{ij}e^0e^j)\phi = e^0\nabla_i\phi, \end{aligned}$$

then the theorem follows from the Lemma 2.1 and (2.12).  $\square$

Now we define two modified connections on  $\Gamma(S)$  by

$$(2.15) \quad \nabla_i^l = \nabla_i + \frac{H}{2}e^0e^i,$$

$$(2.16) \quad \nabla_i^r = \nabla_i - \frac{H}{2}e^0e^i.$$

It is from a straightforward computation that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\phi, \psi) * e_i &= ((\nabla_i^l\phi, \psi) + (\phi, \nabla_i^l\psi)) * 1, \\ &= ((\nabla_i^r\phi, \psi) + (\phi, \nabla_i^r\psi)) * 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence both  $\nabla^l$  and  $\nabla^r$  are compatible with the metric  $(\ , \ )$ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i^l\nabla_i^l\phi &= (\nabla_i + \frac{H}{2}e^0e^i)(\nabla\phi + \frac{H}{2}e^0e^i\phi) \\ &= \nabla_i\nabla_i\phi - \frac{H^2}{4}\phi + He^0e^i\nabla_i\phi + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_iHe^0e^i\phi, \\ \nabla_i^r\nabla_i^r\phi &= (\nabla_i - \frac{H}{2}e^0e^i)(\nabla\phi - \frac{H}{2}e^0e^i\phi) \\ &= \nabla_i\nabla_i\phi - \frac{H^2}{4}\phi - He^0e^i\nabla_i\phi - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_iHe^0e^i\phi. \end{aligned}$$

We can now obtain

**Theorem 2.2.** *For any  $\phi \in \Gamma(S)$ ,*

$$(2.17) \quad \tilde{D}^* \tilde{D} \phi = \nabla^{l*} \nabla^l \phi + \frac{1}{4} (R - (n-1)H^2) \phi,$$

$$(2.18) \quad \tilde{D} \tilde{D}^* \phi = \nabla^{r*} \nabla^r \phi + \frac{1}{4} (R - (n-1)H^2) \phi,$$

where  $R$  and  $H$  are scalar and mean curvatures of  $M$  respectively.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $M \subset N$  is a non-minimal compact spin hypersurface. If its scalar curvature  $R$  and mean curvature  $H$  satisfy*

$$R \geq (n-1)H^2.$$

*Then the equation*

$$\tilde{D}^* \tilde{D} \phi = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \tilde{D} \tilde{D}^* \phi = 0$$

*has only trivial solution.*

*Proof.* Any solution of either  $\tilde{D}^* \tilde{D} \phi = 0$  or  $\tilde{D} \tilde{D}^* \phi = 0$  satisfies either  $\tilde{D} \phi = 0$ ,  $\nabla^l \phi = 0$  or  $\tilde{D}^* \phi = 0$ ,  $\nabla^r \phi = 0$ . We then obtain  $d|\phi|^2 = 0$ ,  $D\phi = 0$  and  $He^0 \phi = 0$  in both cases. This implies  $H \equiv 0$  and contradicts to our assumption.  $\square$

### 3. Lower bounds of Eigenvalues

Since operators  $\tilde{D}^* \tilde{D}$  and  $\tilde{D} \tilde{D}^*$  are self-adjoint w.r.t. Hermitian inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . They have real eigenvalues. Furthermore, the eigenvalues of above two operators are the same.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $M \subset N$  be a compact spin hypersurface, and  $\lambda$  be the eigenvalue of  $\tilde{D}^* \tilde{D}$ . Then*

$$(3.19) \quad \lambda \geq \frac{1}{4} \sup_a \inf_M \left( \frac{R}{1 + na^2 - 2a} - \frac{(n-1)H^2}{(1-na)^2} \right),$$

where  $a$  is any real number,  $a \neq \frac{1}{n}$  if  $H \neq 0$ . If  $\lambda$  achieves its minimum,  $M$  must have constant Ricci and mean curvatures,

$$(3.20) \quad R_{ij} = \frac{(n-1)(1 + na_0^2 - 2a_0)^2}{(1 - na_0)^4} H^2 \delta_{ij},$$

with eigenvalue  $\lambda = \frac{(n-1)^2}{4(1-na_0)^4} H^2$ , where  $a_0$  is chosen such that the right side of (3.19) achieves its maximum.

*Proof.* Define a modified covariant derivative on  $\Gamma(S)$  by

$$(3.21) \quad L_i = \nabla_i + b \frac{H}{2} e^0 e^i + a e^i \tilde{D}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |L_i \phi|^2 &= |\nabla_i \phi|^2 + \frac{b^2 H^2}{4} |\phi|^2 + a^2 |\tilde{D} \phi|^2 \\ &\quad - b H \mathcal{R}e(e^0 e^i \nabla_i \phi, \phi) - 2a \mathcal{R}e(e^i \nabla_i \phi, \tilde{D} \phi) \\ &\quad - ab H \mathcal{R}e(e^0 \phi, \tilde{D} \phi). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |L \phi|^2 &= |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{nb^2 H^2}{4} |\phi|^2 + na^2 |\tilde{D} \phi|^2 \\ &\quad - b H \mathcal{R}e(e^0 D \phi, \phi) - 2a \mathcal{R}e(D \phi, \tilde{D} \phi) \\ &\quad - nab H \mathcal{R}e(e^0 \phi, \tilde{D} \phi) \\ &= |\nabla \phi|^2 + (nab - a - b) H \mathcal{R}e(e^0 D \phi, \phi) \\ &\quad + (na^2 - 2a) |\tilde{D} \phi|^2 + \frac{H^2}{4} (nb^2 + 2a - 2nab) |\phi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |\tilde{D} \phi|^2 &= \int_M |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{4} (R + H^2) |\phi|^2 - H \mathcal{R}e(e^0 D \phi, \phi) \\ &= \int_M |L \phi|^2 - (nab - a - b) H \mathcal{R}e(e^0 D \phi, \phi) \\ &\quad - \int_M (na^2 - 2a) |\tilde{D} \phi|^2 + \frac{H^2}{4} (nb^2 + 2a - 2nab) |\phi|^2 \\ &\quad + \int_M \frac{1}{4} (R + H^2) |\phi|^2 - H \mathcal{R}e(e^0 D \phi, \phi) \\ &= \int_M |L \phi|^2 - (nab - a - b + 1) H \mathcal{R}e(e^0 D \phi, \phi) + \frac{R}{4} |\phi|^2 \\ &\quad - \int_M (na^2 - 2a) |\tilde{D} \phi|^2 + \frac{H^2}{4} (nb^2 + 2a - 2nab - 1) |\phi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Take  $b = \frac{1-a}{1-na}$ , we obtain

$$\int_M |\tilde{D} \phi|^2 = \int_M \frac{|L \phi|^2}{1 + na^2 - 2a} + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{R}{1 + na^2 - 2a} - \frac{(n-1)H^2}{(1-na)^2} \right) |\phi|^2.$$

Hence the first part of the theorem follows.  $\square$

If  $\lambda$  achieves its minimum, then  $L_i\phi = 0$ . This implies

$$\nabla_i\phi = -\frac{\tilde{H}}{2}e^0e^i\phi, \quad D\phi = -\frac{n\tilde{H}}{2}e^0\phi,$$

where  $\tilde{H} = \frac{1+na_0^2-2a_0}{(1-na_0)^2}H$ . Obviously,  $d|\phi|^2 = 0$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k,l} \frac{1}{4} R_{ijkl} e^k e^l \phi &= (\nabla_j \nabla_i - \nabla_i \nabla_j) \phi \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_i \tilde{H} e^0 e^j - \nabla_j \tilde{H} e^0 e^i) \phi \\ &\quad + \frac{\tilde{H}}{2} e^0 (e^j \nabla_i \phi - e^i \nabla_j \phi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_k R_{ik} e^k \phi &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j,k,l} R_{ijkl} e^j e^k e^l \phi \\ &= \frac{n-2}{2} \nabla_i \tilde{H} e^0 \phi + \frac{(n-2)\tilde{H}}{2} e^0 \nabla_i \phi \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} e^0 e^i d\tilde{H} \phi + \frac{n\tilde{H}^2}{4} e^i \phi. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} R\phi &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k} R_{ik} e^i e^k \phi \\ &= (n-1) d\tilde{H} e^0 \phi - \frac{n(n-1)\tilde{H}^2}{2} \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Take the inner product of the above equality with  $\phi$  and compare its real part, we obtain,  $R = n(n-1)\tilde{H}^2$ . Hence  $dH = 0$ . Thus,

$$\sum_j R_{ij} e^j \phi = (n-1)\tilde{H}^2 e^i \phi.$$

Therefore

$$R_{ij} = \frac{(n-1)(1+na_0^2-2a_0)^2}{(1-na_0)^4} H^2 \delta_{ij},$$

and

$$\lambda = \frac{(n-1)^2}{4(1-na_0)^4} H^2.$$

**Remark 3.1.** If  $H = 0$ , we can choose  $a = \frac{1}{n}$ , then  $\lambda \geq \frac{n}{4(n-1)} \inf_M R$ . This was proved by Friedrich [F1].



Now we estimate eigenvalue of  $\tilde{D}^*\tilde{D}$  following an argument of Hijazi [Hi2]. For any spinor  $\phi$ , we define the associated real symmetric bilinear form  $Q_\phi$  on the complement of its zero set by, for any tangent vector fields  $X, Y$ ,

$$(3.22) \quad Q_\phi(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(X \nabla_Y \phi + Y \nabla_X \phi, \phi / |\phi|^2).$$

If  $\phi$  is the eigenspinor of  $\tilde{D}^*\tilde{D}$ ,  $Q_\phi$  is well-defined in the sense of distribution.

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be some real symmetric endomorphism of cotangent bundle  $T^*M$ . Choose local orthonormal coframe  $e^i$ , we can write  $\mathcal{T}(e^i) = \sum_j t_{ij} e^j$  where  $t_{ij} = t_{ji}$  are some real functions. Define a modified covariant derivative by

$$(3.23) \quad \nabla_i^t = \nabla_i + \mathcal{T}(e^i) + \frac{H}{2} e^0 e^i$$

on  $\Gamma(S)$ . Now it is easy to derive

$$d(\phi, \psi) * e^i = ((\nabla_i^t \phi, \psi) + (\phi, \nabla_i^t \psi)) * 1.$$

Hence  $\nabla^t$  is compatible with metric  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

A straightforward computation gives

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{D}^* \tilde{D} &= \nabla^{t*} \nabla^t + \frac{1}{4} (R - (n-1)H^2) \\ &\quad - |t|^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} e^j \nabla_i + \sum_{i,j} \nabla_i t_{ij} e^j, \end{aligned}$$

where  $|t|^2 = \sum_{i,j} t_{ij}^2$  is the norm of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $M \subset N$  be a compact spin hypersurface, and  $\lambda$  be the eigenvalue of  $\tilde{D}^* \tilde{D}$  with eigenspinor  $\phi$ . Then*

$$(3.25) \quad \lambda \geq \frac{1}{4} \inf_M (R - (n-1)H^2) + \inf_M |Q_\phi|^2$$

where  $|Q_\phi|^2 = \sum_{i,j} Q_{\phi,ij}^2$  and

$$(3.26) \quad Q_{\phi,ij} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(e^i \nabla_j \phi + e^j \nabla_i \phi, \phi / |\phi|^2).$$

If  $\lambda$  achieves its minimum, then  $|Q_\phi|$  is constant. Moreover, either (i) or (ii) holds:

(i)  $H = 0$ ,  $\operatorname{tr} Q_\phi = \text{constant}$ ,  $R = 4(\operatorname{tr} Q_\phi)^2 - 4|Q_\phi|^2$ ,  $\lambda = (\operatorname{tr} Q_\phi)^2$  and

$$(3.27) \quad R_{ij} = 4 \operatorname{tr} Q_\phi Q_{\phi,ij} - 4 \sum_k Q_{\phi,ik} Q_{\phi,kj} + 2 \sum_k \operatorname{Re} \frac{(e^k dQ_{\phi,ik} \phi, e^j \phi)}{|\phi|^2};$$

(ii)  $H = \text{constant}$ ,  $\operatorname{tr} Q_\phi = 0$ ,  $R = n(n-1)H^2 - 4|Q_\phi|^2$ ,  $\lambda = \frac{(n-1)^2 H^2}{4}$  and

$$(3.28) \quad R_{ij} = (n-1)H^2 \delta_{ij} - 4 \sum_k Q_{\phi,ik} Q_{\phi,kj} + 2 \sum_k \operatorname{Re} \frac{(e^k dQ_{\phi,ik} \phi, e^j \phi)}{|\phi|^2}.$$

*Proof.* (3.24) implies

$$\int_M |\tilde{D}\phi|^2 = \int_M |\nabla^t \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{4}(R - (n-1)H^2)|\phi|^2 + (2 \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} Q_{\phi,ij} - |t|^2)|\phi|^2.$$

While  $t_{ij} = Q_{\phi,ij}$ ,  $2 \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} Q_{\phi,ij} - |t|^2$  achieves its maximum  $|t|^2$ . Hence the proof of the first part of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

When  $\lambda$  achieves its minimum,  $\nabla^t \phi = 0$ . Then

$$\nabla_i \phi = - \sum_j Q_{\phi,ij} e^j \phi - \frac{H}{2} e^0 e^i \phi, \quad D\phi = (\text{tr } Q_\phi) \phi - \frac{nH}{2} e^0 \phi.$$

Obviously,  $d|\phi|^2 = 0$ . Hence  $Q_\phi$  is well-defined on  $M$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_k R_{ik} e^k \phi &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j,k,l} R_{ijkl} e^j e^k e^l \phi = \sum_{j,k,l} e^j (\nabla_j \nabla_i - \nabla_i \nabla_j) \phi \\ &= - \sum_{j,l} \nabla_j (Q_{\phi,il} e^j e^l \phi) - \sum_{j,l} Q_{\phi,il} e^j e^l \nabla_j \phi - (\nabla_i \text{tr } Q_\phi) \phi - \text{tr } Q_\phi \nabla_i \phi \\ &\quad + \frac{n}{2} \nabla_i H e^0 \phi + \frac{nH}{2} e^0 \nabla_i \phi + \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \nabla_j H e^0 e^j \phi + \frac{H}{2} \sum_j e^0 e^j e^j \nabla_j \phi \\ &= 2 \sum_j \nabla_j Q_{\phi,ij} \phi + \sum_{j,l} \nabla_j Q_{\phi,il} e^l e^j \phi + 2 \sum_j Q_{\phi,ij} \nabla_j \phi + \sum_{j,l} Q_{\phi,il} e^l e^j \nabla_j \phi \\ &\quad - (\nabla_i \text{tr } Q_\phi) \phi - \text{tr } Q_\phi \nabla_i \phi + \frac{n-2}{2} \nabla_i H e^0 \phi \\ &\quad + \frac{(n-2)H}{2} e^0 \nabla_i \phi - \frac{1}{2} e^0 e^i dH \phi - \frac{H}{2} e^0 e^i D\phi. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} R\phi &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k} R_{ik} e^i e^k \phi = 2 \sum_j \nabla_j Q_{\phi,ij} e^i \phi + 2 \sum_j Q_{\phi,ij} e^i \nabla_j \phi - 2(d \text{tr } Q_\phi) \phi \\ &\quad - 2 \text{tr } Q_\phi D\phi - (n-1) e^0 dH \phi - (n-1) H e^0 D\phi \\ &= 2 \sum_j \nabla_j Q_{\phi,ij} e^i \phi - 2(d \text{tr } Q_\phi) \phi - (n-1) e^0 dH \phi + H \text{tr } Q_\phi e^0 \phi \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_j Q_{\phi,ij} e^i \nabla_j \phi - 2(\text{tr } Q_\phi)^2 \phi - \frac{n(n-1)H^2}{2} \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Take the real part of inner product of the above equality with  $\phi$ , we obtain,

$$(3.29) \quad R = n(n-1)H^2 + 4(\text{tr } Q_\phi)^2 - 4|Q_\phi|^2.$$

Therefore

$$(3.30) \quad 2 \sum_{i,j} \nabla_j Q_{\phi,ij} e^i \phi - 2(d \text{tr } Q_\phi) \phi - (n-1) e^0 dH \phi + H(\text{tr } Q_\phi) e^0 \phi = 0.$$

Take the real part of inner product of above equality with  $e^0\phi$ , we obtain

$$(3.31) \quad H \operatorname{tr} Q_\phi = 0.$$

Since we have

$$\lambda \int_M |\phi|^2 = \int_M \frac{1}{4} (R - (n-1)H^2) |\phi|^2 + |Q_\phi|^2 |\phi|^2,$$

where  $\lambda = \frac{1}{4} \inf_M (R - (n-1)H^2) + \inf_M |Q_\phi|^2$ . This and (3.29) imply both  $|Q_\phi|^2$  and  $(n-1)^2 H^2 + 4(\operatorname{tr} Q_\phi)^2$  are constants. Hence, by (3.31), we have either (i)  $H = 0$ ,  $\operatorname{tr} Q_\phi = \text{constant}$ ; or (ii)  $H = \text{constant}$ ,  $\operatorname{tr} Q_\phi = 0$ . In both cases, we have

$$\sum_j \nabla_j Q_{\phi,ij} = 0.$$

*Case (i)*  $H = 0$ ,  $\operatorname{tr} Q_\phi = \text{constant}$ : Obviously, we have

$$R = 4(\operatorname{tr} Q_\phi)^2 - 4|Q_\phi|^2, \quad \lambda = (\operatorname{tr} Q_\phi)^2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_k R_{ik} e^k \phi &= \sum_j e^j dQ_{\phi,ij} \phi + 2 \sum_j Q_{\phi,ij} \nabla_j \phi + \sum_j Q_{\phi,ij} e^j D\phi - \operatorname{tr} Q_\phi \nabla_i \phi \\ &= \sum_j e^j dQ_{\phi,ij} \phi - 2 \sum_{j,k} Q_{\phi,ij} Q_{\phi,jk} e^k \phi + 2 \operatorname{tr} Q_\phi \sum_k Q_{\phi,ik} e^k \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$R_{ij} = 4 \operatorname{tr} Q_\phi Q_{\phi,ij} - 4 \sum_k Q_{\phi,ik} Q_{\phi,kj} + 2 \sum_k \operatorname{Re} \frac{(e^k dQ_{\phi,ik} \phi, e^j \phi)}{|\phi|^2}.$$

*Case (ii)*  $H = \text{constant}$ ,  $\operatorname{tr} Q_\phi = 0$ : Obviously, we have

$$R = n(n-1)H^2 - 4|Q_\phi|^2, \quad \lambda = \frac{(n-1)^2 H^2}{4}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_k R_{ik} e^k \phi &= \sum_j e^j dQ_{\phi,ij} \phi + 2 \sum_j Q_{\phi,ij} \nabla_j \phi + \sum_j Q_{\phi,ij} e^j D\phi \\ &\quad + \frac{(n-2)H}{2} e^0 \nabla_i \phi - \frac{H}{2} e^0 e^i D\phi \\ &= \sum_j e^j dQ_{\phi,ij} \phi - 2 \sum_j Q_{\phi,ij} Q_{\phi,jk} e^k \phi + \frac{(n-1)H^2}{2} e^i \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$R_{ij} = (n-1)H^2 \delta_{ij} - 4 \sum_k Q_{\phi,ik} Q_{\phi,kj} + 2 \sum_k \operatorname{Re} \frac{(e^k dQ_{\phi,ik} \phi, e^j \phi)}{|\phi|^2}.$$

### Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Prof. S.T. Yau for his valuable suggestion and continuing encouragement.

### References

- [B] H. Baum, *Eigenvalue estimates for Dirac operators coupled to instantons*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **12** (1994), 193–209.
- [F1] T. Friedrich, *Der erste eigenwert des Dirac-operators einer kompakten, Riemannschen Mannigfaltigkeit nicht negativer skalarkrümmung*, (German) Math. Nachr. **97** (1980), 117–146.
- [F2] ———, *On the spinor representation of surfaces in Euclidean 3-space*, dg-ga/9712021.
- [H] R. Harvey, *Spinors and calibrations*, Perspectives in Mathematics, 9, Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1990.
- [Hi1] O. Hijazi, *A conformal lower bounds for the smallest eigenvalue of the Dirac operator and Killing spinors*, Comm. Math. Phys. **104** (1986), 151–162.
- [Hi2] ———, *Lower bounds for the eigenvalues of the Dirac operator*, J. Geom. Phys. **16**(1995), 27–38.
- [Hit] N. Hitchin, *Harmonic spinors*, Adv. Math. **14** (1974), 1–55.
- [LM] H. Lawson and M. Michelson, *Spin geometry*, Princeton Univ. Press. 1989.
- [L] A. Lichnerowicz, *Spineurs harmoniques*, (French) C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **257** (1963), 7–9.
- [LS] F. Lizzi and R. J. Szabo, *Duality symmetries and noncommutative geometry of string spacetime*, hep-th/9707202.
- [PT] T. Parker and C. Taubes, *On Witten's proof of the positive energy theorem*, Comm. Math. Phys. **84** (1982), 223–238.
- [SY1] R. Schoen and S.T. Yau, *On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in general relativity*, Comm. Math. Phys. **65** (1979), 45–76.
- [SY2] ———, *Proof of the positive mass theorem II*, Comm. Math. Phys. **79** (1981), 231–260.
- [S1] L. B. Szabados, *Two-dimensional Sen connections in general relativity*, Class Quantum. Grav. **11** (1994), 1833–1846.
- [S2] ———, *Two-dimensional Sen connections and quasi-local energy-momentum*, Class Quantum. Grav. **11** (1994), 1847–1866.
- [VW] C. Vafa and E. Witten, *Eigenvalue inequality for fermions in gauge theories*, Comm. Math. Phys. **95** (1984), 257–276.
- [W] E. Witten, *A new proof of the positive energy theorem*, Comm. Math. Phys. **80** (1981), 381–402.
- [YZ] S. T. Yau and X. Zhang, *On the massive Seiberg-Witten theory*, preprint.
- [Z] X. Zhang, *Positive mass conjecture for five dimensional Lorentzian manifolds*, preprint.

MORNINGSIDE CENTER OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100080, CHINA

*E-mail address:* xzhang@math08.math.ac.cn