GEOMETRIC AND ARITHMETIC SUBGROUPS OF THE GROTHENDIECK-TEICHMÜLLER GROUP

Hidekazu Furusho

ABSTRACT. We compare two geometrically constructed subgroups Γ and GTK of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group $\widehat{GT}$ with an arithmetically constructed subgroup GTA by deducing arithmetic properties from geometric ones. We show that the intersection of Γ and GTK is contained in a certain modification of GTA.

0. Introduction

The Grothendieck-Teichmüller group $\widehat{GT}$ is a subgroup of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}\,\widehat{F_2}$ of the free pro-finite group of $\widehat{F_2}$ of rank 2. It is parameterized by elements of $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^\times \times [\widehat{F_2}, \widehat{F_2}]$ and is defined by three relations (I), (II) and (III) (see §1). It is a pro-finite group version of the pro-algebraic group $\underline{GT}$ [Dr]. In his study of Galois representations on fundamental groups, Y. Ihara showed that the absolute Galois group $G_{\mathbf{Q}} = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$ can be embedded into $\widehat{GT}$ in [Ih94]. It is still open whether $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is equal to $\widehat{GT}$ or not. But recently there have been discovered other several new-type relations satisfied by $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ in $\widehat{GT}$ (see [Ih00], [LNS] and [NT]). In this article, we show a certain relationship among them. In §1, we shall recall the definition of $\widehat{GT}$ and make a small remark (Proposition 1.3) which has been possibly unknown so far. §2 is a review of the definitions of three variants Γ ([LNS]), GTK ([Ih00]) and GTA ([Ih00]) of $\widehat{GT}$. In §3, we introduce main results of the author's master's thesis [F], concerning on a relationship among defining equations of Γ, GTK and GTA. In §4, we give many lemmas to complete their proofs.

1. Review of the definition of $\widehat{GT}$

Let $\widehat{F_2}$ be the pro-finite free group of rank 2 with generators x and y. We define $\underline{\widehat{GT}}$ to be the set of pairs $(\lambda, f) \in \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^\times \times \widehat{F_2}'$ (where $\widehat{F_2}'$ means the topological

commutator subgroup $[\widehat{F_2}, \widehat{F_2}]$ of $\widehat{F_2}$) satisfying the following three relations:

$$
\begin{cases}
\text{(I)} \quad f(x,y)f(y,x) = 1 & \text{((2-cycle relation))} \\
\text{(II)} \quad f(z,x)z^m f(y,z)y^m f(x,y)x^m = 1 & \\
\qquad\qquad \text{for } m = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1), \ xyz = 1 & \text{((3-cycle relation))} \\
\text{(III)} \ f(x_{12}, x_{23})f(x_{34}, x_{45})f(x_{51}, x_{12})f(x_{23}, x_{34})f(x_{45}, x_{51}) = 1 \quad \text{in } \hat{P}_5^* \\
\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{((5-cycle relation))}.
\end{cases}
$$

Here $\hat{P}_n^*$ is the pro-finite pure sphere braid group with n strings and $x_{i,j} := x_{i,j}^{(n)}$ $(1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant n)$ are its standard generators [Ih94]. For $f \in \widehat{F_2}$ and elements α, β of a pro-finite group H, $f(\alpha, \beta)$ stands for the image of f by the homomorphism $\phi : \widehat{F_2} \to H$ defined by $\phi(x) = \alpha$, $\phi(y) = \beta$. An element $\sigma = (\lambda, f) \in \widehat{GT}$ induces an endomorphism of $\widehat{F_2}$ by $\sigma(x) = x^\lambda$, $\sigma(y) = f^{-1}y^\lambda f$, from which we get an embedding $\widehat{GT} \hookrightarrow \text{End}\,\widehat{F_2}$ and in fact we can regard $\widehat{GT}$ as a sub-monoid of $\text{End}\,\widehat{F_2}$ ([Dr]).

Definition 1.1 ([Dr])**.** The *Grothendieck-Teichmüller group* $\widehat{GT}$ is the group of invertible elements of $\widehat{GT}$:

$$
\widehat{GT} := \left\{ \sigma = (\lambda, f) \in \text{Aut } \widehat{F_2} \mid (\lambda, f) \text{ satisfies (I)} \sim \text{(III).} \right\}.
$$

Remark 1.2. The above 5-cycle relation (III) is different from the original relation of $\widehat{GT}$ ([Dr])

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{(III)}_{\text{DR}} \quad & f(x_{12}^{(4)}, x_{23}^{(4)} x_{24}^{(4)})f(x_{13}^{(4)} x_{23}^{(4)}, x_{34}^{(4)}) \\
& = f(x_{23}^{(4)}, x_{34}^{(4)})f(x_{12}^{(4)} x_{13}^{(4)}, x_{24}^{(4)} x_{34}^{(4)})f(x_{12}^{(4)}, x_{23}^{(4)}) \quad \text{in } \hat{P}_4
\end{aligned}
$$

which appeared in [NT], §1, where $\hat{P}_n$ $(n \in \mathbf{N})$ stands for the pro-finite pure braid group with n-strings, and, by abuse of notation, we use the notation $x_{ij}^{(n)}$ (which was used previously to denote the standard generators of $\hat{P}_n^*$) to denote the standard generators of $\hat{P}_n$. We can easily show that (I)+(III) is equivalent to (I)+(III)$_{\text{DR}}$.

Proposition 1.3. *Relation* (III) *implies relation* (I) .

Proof. Recall that we have a basic projection $p : \hat{P}_5^* \to \hat{P}_4^*$ which sends $x_{i,j} = x_{i,j}^{(5)} \in \hat{P}_5^*$ to $x_{i,j}^{(4)} \in \hat{P}_4^*$ $(1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant 4)$ and $x_{i,5} \in \hat{P}_5^*$ to $1 \in \hat{P}_4^*$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 5)$. It is immediate to see that (III) implies (I) because

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\big(f(x_{12}, x_{23})&f(x_{34}, x_{45})f(x_{51}, x_{12})f(x_{23}, x_{34})f(x_{45}, x_{51})\big) \\
& = f(x_{12}^{(4)}, x_{23}^{(4)})f(x_{23}^{(4)}, x_{34}^{(4)}) = f(x_{12}^{(4)}, x_{23}^{(4)})f(x_{23}^{(4)}, x_{12}^{(4)})
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\hat{P}_4^*$ is a free pro-finite group of rank 2 with generators $x_{12}^{(4)}$ and $x_{23}^{(4)}$. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 1.4. *Relation* (III)$_{\text{DR}}$ *implies relation* (I) .

Proof. Recall that we have a basic projection $q : \hat{P}_4 \to \hat{P}_4^*$ which sends $x_{i,j} = x_{i,j}^{(4)} \in \hat{P}_4$ to $x_{i,j}^{(4)} \in \hat{P}_4^*$ $(1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant 4)$. It is immediate to see that $(\mathrm{III})_{\mathrm{DR}}$ implies (I) because

$$q\big(f(x_{12}^{(4)}, x_{23}^{(4)} x_{24}^{(4)}) f(x_{13}^{(4)} x_{23}^{(4)}, x_{34}^{(4)})\big) \ = f(x_{12}^{(4)}, x_{12}^{(4)}) f(x_{34}^{(4)}, x_{34}^{(4)}) = 1 \text{ in } \hat{P}_4^*,$$

$$q\big(f(x_{23}^{(4)}, x_{34}^{(4)}) f(x_{12}^{(4)} x_{13}^{(4)}, x_{24}^{(4)} x_{34}^{(4)}) f(x_{12}^{(4)}, x_{23}^{(4)})\big)$$
$$= f(x_{23}^{(4)}, x_{34}^{(4)}) f(x_{14}^{(4)}, x_{14}^{(4)}) f(x_{12}^{(4)}, x_{23}^{(4)})$$
$$= f(x_{23}^{(4)}, x_{12}^{(4)}) f(x_{12}^{(4)}, x_{23}^{(4)}) \quad \text{in } \hat{P}_4^*,$$

since $f \in \widehat{F_2}'$. Therefore $(\mathrm{III})_{\mathrm{DR}}$ implies (I). $\qquad\square$

Therefore we see that (III) is equivalent to $(\mathrm{III})_{\mathrm{DR}}$ without assuming (I). The author thanks the referee for suggesting the possibility of validity of Proposition 1.4.

With the $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$-action on the geometric fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbf{P}^1_{\mathbf{Q}} - \{0, 1, \infty\}, \overrightarrow{01})$ of the projective line minus 3 points (with respect to a certain tangential base point $\overrightarrow{01}$), we associate a pro-finite group homomorphism $\varphi : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \to \mathrm{Aut}\,\widehat{F_2}$ (recall that $\widehat{F_2} \simeq \pi_1(\mathbf{P}^1_{\mathbf{Q}} - \{0, 1, \infty\}, \overrightarrow{01})$). It follows from Belyĭ's theorem [Be] that φ is injective. In [Ih90] and [Ih94], it was shown that $\varphi(G_{\mathbf{Q}})$ lies in $\widehat{GT}$. Now to determine whether $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is equal to $\widehat{GT}$ or not is a basic open problem, which is also related to a project posed by A. Grothendieck in [Gr]. Recently there have been discovered other new-type relations which $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ satisfies in $\widehat{GT}$, for example (I'), (II'), (III'), (IV), (IV'), (V), (A_n), (K_n) for $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ (see [Ih00], [LNS], [NS] and [NT]). Although they do not seem to be deduced from defining relations (I), (II) and (III) of $\widehat{GT}$, it has not been shown yet whether they are really new ones and whether they are enough to characterize $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ as a subgroup of $\widehat{GT}$.

2. Review of the definitions of $\mathbb{I}$, GTK and GTA

Three subgroups $\mathbb{I}$, GTK and GTA of $\widehat{GT}$ were introduced in [Ih00] and [LNS]. The subgroup $\mathbb{I}$ (resp. GTK) was geometrically constructed by P. Lochak, H. Nakamura, and L. Schneps in [LNS] (resp. by Y. Ihara in [Ih00]). On the other hand, GTA was arithmetically constructed by Y. Ihara in [Ih00]. They all contain $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$, but it has not been known whether they are really proper subgroups of $\widehat{GT}$ and whether they are equal to $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

2.1. $\mathbb{I}$.

Definition 2.1 ([LNS])**.** The *new Grothendieck-Teichmüller group* $\mathbb{I}$ is the subset of $\widehat{GT}$ defined as follows:

$$\mathbb{I} := \Big\{\sigma = (\lambda, f) \in \widehat{GT} \mid (\lambda, f) \text{ satisfies (III') and (IV) below.}\Big\}.$$

$$\begin{cases} (\text{III}') & g(x_{45}, x_{51}) f(x_{12}, x_{23}) f(x_{34}, x_{45}) = f(\sigma_1 \sigma_3, \sigma_2^2) \qquad \text{in } \hat{B}_5 \\ (\text{IV}) & f(\sigma_1, \sigma_2^4) = \sigma_2^{-8\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)} f(\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2) \sigma_1^{-4\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)} (\sigma_2 \sigma_1)^{6\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)} \text{ in } \hat{B}_3. \end{cases}$$

Here $g(x, y) \in \widehat{F_2}$ is the auxiliary parameter (depending on $\sigma \in \widehat{GT}$) satisfying $f(x, y) = g(y, x)^{-1} g(x, y)$, which was introduced in [LS]. For $n \in \mathbf{N}$, σ_i $(1 \leqslant i < n)$ stand for standard generators of $\hat{B}_n$ ([LNS]). For the definition of $\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)^{\dagger}$, see §§2.2. In [LNS] and [NS], it was shown that actually $\mathbb{\Gamma}$ forms a subgroup of $\widehat{GT}$. Note that (III$'$) implies (III) ([NS]). These two relations (III$'$) and (IV) just describe the condition for elements of $\widehat{GT}$ to act (as $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ does) on all types of pro-finite Teichmüller modular groups in a certain consistent way (for more details, see [LNS]).

2.2. GTK**.** For a natural number n, let H_n be the index n normal subgroup of $\widehat{F_2}$ which is freely generated by $n + 1$ elements x^n, y, $x^{-1}yx, \cdots, x^{-(n-1)}yx^{n-1}$. For $\sigma = (\lambda, f) \in \widehat{GT}$, f belongs to H_n, since $H_n \supset [\widehat{F_2}, \widehat{F_2}]$. In [Ih99], Ihara constructed the extended Kummer 1-cocycle $\Psi_n^{(0)}(\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in \widehat{GT}$, which is the image of f by the continuous group homomorphism $H_n \to \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}$ defined by $x^n \mapsto 0$, $y \mapsto 1$, $x^{-j}yx^j \mapsto 0$ $(1 \leqslant j < n)$. We remark that especially for $\sigma \in G_{\mathbf{Q}}$, $\Psi_n^{(0)}(\sigma)$ is the Kummer 1-cocycle which is characterized by $\sigma(\sqrt[k]{n}) = \sqrt[k]{n}\zeta_k^{-\Psi_n^{(0)}(\sigma)}$ for $k \in \mathbf{N}$, where $\zeta_k = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{k})$. Suppose that $\varphi_n : H_n \to \widehat{F_2}$ is the continuous group homomorphism defined by $x^n \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $x^{-j}yx^j \mapsto 1$ $(1 \leqslant j < n)$. We note that $\varphi_n(H_{nm}) \subset H_m$ and $\varphi_{nm} = \varphi_m \circ (\varphi_n|_{H_{nm}})$ for $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$.

Definition 2.2 ([Ih00])**.** The *Grothendieck-Teichmüller-Kummer group* GTK is the subset of $\widehat{GT}$ defined as follows:

$$GTK_n := \left\{ \sigma = (\lambda, f) \in \widehat{GT} \mid (\lambda, f) \text{ satisfies } (\text{K}_n) \text{ below.} \right\}$$
$$GTK := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} GTK_n$$
$$(\text{K}_n) \qquad \varphi_n(f) = y^{\Psi_n^{(0)}(\sigma)} f .$$

It follows immediately from [Ih00], Proposition 1, that GTK_n and GTK actually form subgroups of $\widehat{GT}$. Relation (K_n) just describes the condition for elements of $\widehat{GT}$ to act (as $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ does) on H_n and $\widehat{F_2}$ consistently with two algebraic morphisms, the Kummer covering $\mathbf{P}^1_{\mathbf{Q}} - \{0, \mu_n, \infty\} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{P}^1_{\mathbf{Q}} - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ defined by $t \mapsto t^n$ and the natural inclusion $\mathbf{P}^1_{\mathbf{Q}} - \{0, \mu_n, \infty\} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^1_{\mathbf{Q}} - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ defined by $t \mapsto t$ (for more details, see [Ih00]).

---

†The 1-cocycles $\rho_2(\sigma)$ and $\rho_3(\sigma)$ studied in [LNS], [LS], [NS] and [NT] are equal to $-\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)$ and $-\Psi_3^{(0)}(\sigma)$ respectively (see [NT]).

2.3. *GTA.* By the $\widehat{F_2}^{ab} (:= \widehat{F_2}/\widehat{F_2}')$-action on $(\widehat{F_2}')^{ab} := \widehat{F_2}'/[\widehat{F_2}',\widehat{F_2}']$ induced from the conjugation $n \mapsto fnf^{-1}$ for $n \in \widehat{F_2}'$ and $f \in \widehat{F_2}$, we can regard $(\widehat{F_2}')^{ab}$ as a free $A_2 \,(:= \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}[[\widehat{F_2}ab]])$-module of rank 1, generated by the class $\overline{[x,y]} \in (\widehat{F_2}')^{ab}$ of $[x,y] := xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \in \widehat{F_2}'$ (for more details, see [Ih99]). Thus the action of $\sigma = (\lambda, f) \in \widehat{GT}$ on $(\widehat{F_2}')^{ab}$ induced from that on $\widehat{F_2}$ is expressed as $\sigma(\overline{[x,y]}) = B_\sigma' \cdot \overline{[x,y]}$, where $B_\sigma' \in A_2^\times$. The *adelic beta function* $B_\sigma \in A_2^\times$ was defined by $B_\sigma' = \frac{x^\lambda-1}{x-1}\frac{y^\lambda-1}{y-1}B_\sigma$ in [A(ii)] (for $\sigma \in G_{\mathbf{Q}}$) and [Ih99] (for $\sigma \in \widehat{GT}$). By the embedding constructed by G. W. Anderson in [A(ii)], B_σ can be regarded as a function on $(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus 2}$ valued in $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}} \otimes \mathbf{Q}^{ab}$, where $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ stands for the maximal abelian extension field over $\mathbf{Q}$. In [Ih99], Proposition 1.6.1, it was shown that the adelic beta function has much analogy with the classical beta function. Especially it is remarkable that by using the 5-cycle relation (III) Ihara showed that the adelic beta function $B_\sigma(s_1, s_2)$ ($\sigma \in \widehat{GT}$, $(s_1, s_2) \in (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus 2}$) can be split (but not uniquely) into the following product: $B_\sigma(s_1, s_2) = \frac{\Gamma_\sigma(s_1)\Gamma_\sigma(s_2)}{\Gamma_\sigma(s_1+s_2)}$. Here the *adelic gamma function* Γ_σ is a function (uniquely determined up to a certain ambiguity) which is defined on $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$ and is valued in the product $\prod\limits_{p:\text{prime}} \mathbb{W}\,(\overline{\mathbf{F}_p})$ of the Witt vector ring $\mathbb{W}\,(\overline{\mathbf{F}_p})$ of the algebraic closure of a finite field of characteristic p. In [A(ii)](i), Corollary 8.6.3, Anderson showed, as an analogy of Gauß' n-th multiplication formula of the classical gamma function, the n-th multiplication formula of the adelic gamma function Γ_σ (*for elements σ of $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$*):

$$(\text{A}_n) \quad \prod_{nc=0} \frac{\Gamma_\sigma(s+c)}{\Gamma_\sigma(c)} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma_\sigma(ns)} = 1 \otimes \exp\big[2\pi i \cdot n\Psi_n^{(0)}(\sigma)s\big]$$

by using Deligne's theory of absolute Hodge cycles. Ihara suggested that this arithmetic relation (A_n) could be a key condition to distinguish $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ from $\widehat{GT}$ and considered the following new subgroup of $\widehat{GT}$ containing $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Definition 2.3 ([Ih00])**.** The *Grothendieck-Teichmüller-Anderson group GTA* is the subset of $\widehat{GT}$ defined as follows:

$$GTA_n := \Big\{\sigma = (\lambda, f) \in \widehat{GT} \mid \sigma \text{ satisfies } (\text{A}_n).\Big\}$$
$$GTA := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} GTA_n\,.$$

Remark 2.4. To state (A_n) independently from (I)$\sim$(III), it is better to re-formulate it as follows:

$$(\text{A}_n^0) \quad \prod_{0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1} B_\sigma(s, ks) \Big/ \prod_{nc=0} B_\sigma(c, s) = 1 \otimes \exp\big[2\pi i \cdot n\Psi_n^{(0)}(\sigma)s\big]$$

because the existence of Γ_σ depends on (I)$\sim$(III). Here we use $\Gamma_\sigma(0) = 1 \otimes 1$ ([Ih99], Proposition 1.7.1. (i)).

It can be checked directly from the definitions of $\Psi_n^{(0)}(\sigma)$ and $\Gamma_\sigma(s)$ that GTA and GTA_n actually form subgroups of $\widehat{GT}$.

The relationship among the above three subgroups $\mathbb{\Gamma}$, GTK and GTA has not been fully understood yet. But we remark that it was shown in [Ih99] that relation (K_n) implies $(D\log A_n)$, the logarithmic derivative of equation (A_n).

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. *The combination of relations* (I) , (II), (IV) *and* (K_2) *implies relation* (A_2^0).

Proof. At first, we introduce a new parameter f_+ in the rank 3 free group $\widehat{F_3}$ with generators W, X and Y. Recall that the index 2 subgroup H_2 (§§2.2) of $\widehat{F_2}$ generated by xyx^{-1}, x^2 and y can be identified with $\widehat{F_3}$ by sending xyx^{-1}, x^2, y into W, X, Y respectively. Since $y^{-2\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)}f$ lies on H_2, we obtain a unique element $f_+(W,X,Y)$ of $\widehat{F_3}$ such that

$$(1) \qquad y^{-2\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)}f(x,y) = f_+(xyx^{-1},x^2,y).$$

It follows from [Ih99], Proposition 1.8.2 and Proposition 1.8.3, that the image of $f(\in H_2)$ in $\widehat{F_3}$ is projected to $W^{-\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)}Y^{\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)} \in \widehat{F_3}\big/[\widehat{F_3},\widehat{F_3}]$. Therefore $W^{\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)}Y^{\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)}f_+ \in [\widehat{F_3},\widehat{F_3}]$. It is immediate that relation (K_2) is equivalent to

$$(2) \qquad y^{\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)}f_+(xyx^{-1},x^2,y) = f_+(1,x,y) \ .$$

Here 1 stands for the unit element of $\widehat{F_2}$. In Lemma 4.1, we shall see that (IV) is re-expressed in terms of $f_+ \in \widehat{F_3}$ as follows:

$$(3) \qquad f_+(W,X,Y)f_+(X^{-1}W^{-1}Y^{-1},Y,X) = 1 \ .$$

In Lemma 4.2, we deduce the following equation from (I), (II) and (IV):

$$(4) \qquad Y^m f_+(W,X,Y)X^m f_+(Z,W,X)W^m f_+(Y,Z,W)$$
$$Z^m f_+(X,Y,Z) = 1 \qquad \text{for} \quad WXYZ = 1$$

Translating (A_2^0) into the terms of $A_2 = \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}[[\widehat{F_2}^{ab}]]$ via Anderson's embedding (see §2.3), we see that

$$(A_2^0) \qquad \frac{B_\sigma(s,s)}{B_\sigma(\frac{1}{2},s)} = 1 \otimes \exp\!\left[2\pi i \cdot \Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)s\right]$$

is equivalent to

$$B_\sigma\{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}\} = \mathbf{x}^{-2\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)}B_\sigma\{-1,\mathbf{x}\}.$$

Here $B_\sigma\{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}\}$ (resp. $B_\sigma\{-1,\mathbf{x}\}$) stands for the image of $B_\sigma \in A_2$ by the map $A_2 \to A_2$ induced from $\mathbf{x} \to \mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{y} \to \mathbf{x}$ (resp. $\mathbf{x} \to -1$, $\mathbf{y} \to \mathbf{x}$), where boldfaces $\mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{y}$ stand for the images in A_2 of standard generators x, y of $\widehat{F_2}$. By properties

[ii]~[iv] of [Ih99], §2.3, which were deduced from (I) and (II), we see that saying (A_2^0) is equivalent to saying

$$\frac{\mathbf{x}^\lambda + 1}{\mathbf{x}^m(\mathbf{x}+1)} B_\sigma\{\mathbf{x}, \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^2}\} = \mathbf{x}^{-2\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)} B_\sigma\{-1, \mathbf{x}\}.$$

It follows from [Ih99], Proposition 2.2.2, that saying (A_2^0) is equivalent to saying

$$\frac{\mathbf{x}^\lambda + 1}{\mathbf{x}^m(\mathbf{x}+1)}\Big\{1 - (\mathbf{x}-1)\frac{df}{dx}(\mathbf{x}, \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^2})\Big\} = \mathbf{x}^{-2\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)}\Big\{1 + 2\frac{df}{dx}(-1, \mathbf{x})\Big\}.$$

Here we denote the image of $\frac{df}{dx} \in \Lambda_2 := \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}[[\widehat{F_2}]]$ by the map $\Lambda_2 \to A_2$ induced from $\mathbf{x} \mapsto -1$, $\mathbf{y} \mapsto \mathbf{x}$ by $\frac{df}{dx}(-1, \mathbf{x})$ (for the definition of $\frac{df}{dx} \in \Lambda_2$, see §4). For $\alpha \in \Lambda_2$ and $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \widehat{F_2}^{ab}$, we denote the image of α by the map $\Lambda_2 \to A_2$ induced from $x \to \mathbf{u}$, $y \to \mathbf{v}$ by $\alpha(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in A_2$. By Lemma 4.3, which will be deduced from (1), we see that the following is equivalent to (A_2^0).

$$\frac{\mathbf{x}^\lambda + 1}{\mathbf{x}^m(\mathbf{x}+1)}\Big[1 - (\mathbf{x}-1)\Big\{\mathbf{x}^{-2\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)}\frac{df_+}{dW}(\frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^2}, \mathbf{x}^2, \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^2}) \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^2})$$

$$+ \frac{df_+}{dX}(\frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^2}, \mathbf{x}^2, \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^2}) \cdot 2\mathbf{x}\Big\}\Big]$$

$$- \mathbf{x}^{-2\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)}\Big[1 + 2\mathbf{x}^{2\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)}\Big\{(1 - \mathbf{x})\frac{df_+}{dW}(\mathbf{x}, 1, \mathbf{x}) - 2\frac{df_+}{dX}(\mathbf{x}, 1, \mathbf{x})\Big\}\Big] = 0 .$$

For $\frac{df_+}{dW}$ and $\frac{df_+}{dX}$, see §4. By Lemma 4.4, which will be deduced from (2) (namely (K_2)), we see that (A_2^0) is equivalent to

$$(5) \quad \frac{\mathbf{x}^\lambda + 1}{\mathbf{x}^m(\mathbf{x}+1)}\Big\{1 - \mathbf{x}^{-2\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)} \cdot (\mathbf{x}-1)\frac{df_+}{dX}(1, \mathbf{x}, \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^2})\Big\}$$

$$- \mathbf{x}^{-2\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)}\Big[1 + 2\mathbf{x}^{2\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)}\Big\{(1 - \mathbf{x})\frac{df_+}{dW}(\mathbf{x}, 1, \mathbf{x}) - 2\frac{df_+}{dX}(\mathbf{x}, 1, \mathbf{x})\Big\}\Big] = 0 .$$

By formulae (6)~(14) in §4, which will be deduced from (I), (II), (III) and (K_2), we get the following

$$\frac{df_+}{dW}(\mathbf{x}, 1, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^{-2\Psi_2^{(0)}-1} \cdot \frac{df_+}{dW}(\frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^2}, \mathbf{x}, 1)$$

$$\frac{df_+}{dX}(\mathbf{x}, 1, \mathbf{x}) = 0$$

$$\frac{df_+}{dX}(\frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^2}, \mathbf{x}, 1) = \frac{\mathbf{x}+1}{\mathbf{x}^2}\frac{df_+}{dW}(\frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^2}, \mathbf{x}, 1) + \frac{\mathbf{x}^{2\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)} - 1}{\mathbf{x} - 1}$$

$$\frac{df_+}{dY}(\mathbf{x}, \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^2}, \mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{x}^{1-2\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)}\frac{df_+}{dW}(1, \mathbf{x}, \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^2}) - \frac{\mathbf{x}^{2\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)} - 1}{\mathbf{x}^{2\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)}(\mathbf{x} - 1)}$$

$$\frac{df_+}{dY}(\frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^2}, \mathbf{x}, 1) = \frac{df_+}{dW}(\frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^2}, \mathbf{x}, 1)$$

By substituting $(W, X, Y) = (1, \mathbf{x}, \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^2})$ and above formulae to (15) in Lemma 4.6, we obtain the validity of the equation (5), which means the validity of the Anderson duplication formula (A_2^0). $\qquad\square$

Therefore the combination of geometric relations (I), (II), (IV) and (K_2) implies arithmetic relation (A_2^0). Next we will consider three subgroups $\mathbb{\Gamma}$, GTK and GTA.

Lemma 3.2. *For $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$, the combination of relations (A_n) and (A_m) implies (A_{nm}).*

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$. By the slightly more detailed discussion on [Ih00], we find that relation (A_n) implies

$$\Psi_{na}^{(0)}(\sigma) = \Psi_n^{(0)}(\sigma) + \Psi_a^{(0)}(\sigma) \qquad \text{for} \quad \forall a \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Therefore the combination of (A_n) and (A_m) implies the following:

$$\frac{\displaystyle\prod_{k=0}^{nm-1} \Gamma_\sigma(s + \frac{k}{nm})}{\Gamma_\sigma(nms) \displaystyle\prod_{k=0}^{nm-1} \Gamma_\sigma(\frac{k}{nm})} = 1 \otimes \exp\left[2\pi i \cdot nm\{\Psi_n^{(0)}(\sigma) + \Psi_m^{(0)}(\sigma)\}s\right]$$

$$= 1 \otimes \exp\left[2\pi i \cdot nm\Psi_{nm}^{(0)}(\sigma)s\right]$$

$\qquad\square$

We also remark the following formulae, but it is not required to prove Theorem 3.4 below.

Lemma 3.3. *For $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$, the combination of relations (K_n) and (K_m) implies (K_{nm}).*

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$. As in the same way of the case of Lemma 3.2, we get that relation (K_n) implies

$$\Psi_{na}^{(0)}(\sigma) = \Psi_n^{(0)}(\sigma) + \Psi_a^{(0)}(\sigma) \qquad \text{for} \quad \forall a \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Therefore the combination of (K_n) and (K_m) implies the following:

$$\varphi_{nm}(f) = \varphi_n \circ \varphi_m(f) = \varphi_n(y^{\Psi_m^{(0)}(\sigma)} f)$$

$$= \varphi_n(y^{\Psi_m^{(0)}(\sigma)})\varphi_n(f)y^{\Psi_n^{(0)}(\sigma) + \Psi_m^{(0)}(\sigma)} f = y^{\Psi_{nm}^{(0)}(\sigma)} f.$$

$\qquad\square$

Theorem 3.4. $GTK \cap \mathbb{\Gamma} \subseteq GTA_{2^\infty}$, *where* $GTA_{2^\infty} := \displaystyle\bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} GTA_{2^n}$.

Proof. We note that one relation (A_2) implies infinite ones (A_{2^n}) for $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$. Therefore, by combining Lemma 3.2 with Theorem 3.1, we get the claim. $\qquad\square$

Thus we get a relationship among the arithmetic subgroup GTA_{2^∞} and the geometric subgroups GTK and Γ. Relations (3) and (4) describe the D_4 (not D_2) symmetry of $\mathbf{P}^1 - \{0, \pm 1, \infty\}$. Since they were essential in the proof of Theorem 3.1, it does not look possible, at least to the author, to deduce Anderson's n-th multiplication formula ($n \geqslant 5$) from the D_n-symmetry of $\mathbf{P}^1 - \{0, \mu_n, \infty\}$. Still we would expect the geometric interpretation of arithmetic Anderson's multiplication formulae which is originally of arithmetic nature to be a key to distinguish $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ from $\widehat{GT}$.

4. Miscellaneous lemmas

We present auxiliary lemmas which were required in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Here we follow the notation in [Ih99].

Lemma 4.1. *Relation* (IV) *is equivalent to* (3).

Proof. Let $\widehat{F_2} / \langle\langle z^2 \rangle\rangle$ denote the quotient pro-finite group of $\widehat{F_2}$ by the normal closure $\langle\langle z^2 \rangle\rangle$ of $z^2 = (xy)^{-2}$. By [NS], Theorem 2.2. (22),

$$(\text{IV}) \Longleftrightarrow y^{4\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)} f(x, y^2) x^{4\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)} f(y, x^2) \equiv 1 \qquad \text{in} \quad \widehat{F_2} / \langle\langle z^2 \rangle\rangle$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow f_+(xy^2 x^{-1}, x^2, y^2) f_+(yx^2 y^{-1}, y^2, x^2) \equiv 1 \qquad \text{in} \quad \widehat{F_2} / \langle\langle z^2 \rangle\rangle$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow f_+(xy^2 x^{-1}, x^2, y^2) f_+(x^{-1} y^{-2} x^{-1} y^{-2}, y^2, x^2) \equiv 1 \quad \text{in} \quad \widehat{F_2} / \langle\langle z^2 \rangle\rangle.$$

On the other hand, since $xy^2 x^{-1}, x^2$ and y^2 generate a free pro-finite subgroup of rank 3 in $\widehat{F_2}$,

$$(3) \Longleftrightarrow f_+(xy^2 x^{-1}, x^2, y^2) f_+(x^{-1} y^{-2} x^{-1} y^{-2}, y^2, x^2) = 1 \qquad \text{in} \quad \widehat{F_2}.$$

From the argument in the proof of [NS], Theorem 2.2, the quotient classes of x, y^2 in $\widehat{F_2} / \langle\langle z^2 \rangle\rangle$ generate a free pro-finite subgroup of rank 2. Thus the images of $xy^2 x^{-1}$, x^2, y^2 in $\widehat{F_2} / \langle\langle z^2 \rangle\rangle$ generate a free pro-finite subgroup of rank 3, from which it follows that (IV) is equivalent to (3). $\qquad\square$

Lemma 4.2. *The combination of relations* (I), (II) *and* (IV) *implies* (4).

Proof. By the permutation $x \mapsto y$, $y \mapsto x$, $z \mapsto x^{-1} y^{-1}$, (II) is re-expressed as follows:

$$f(y, x) y^{m+1} f(z, y) z^{m+1} f(x, z) x^{m+1} = 1.$$

By combining this with (II) and applying (I), we get

$$x^{2m+1} f(x, z)^{-1} z^m f(y, z) y^{2m+1} f(y, z)^{-1} z^{m+1} f(x, z) = 1.$$

Taking the image of the last equation by the continuous homomorphism induced from $x \mapsto y^{-1} x^{-1}$, $y \mapsto x$, $z \mapsto y$, we get

$$(y^{-1} x^{-1})^{2m+1} f_+(y^{-1} x^{-1} yxy, y^{-1} x^{-1} y^{-1} x^{-1}, y)^{-1} y^m f_+(xyx^{-1}, x^2, y) x^{2m+1}$$

$$f_+(xyx^{-1}, x^2, y)^{-1} y^{m+1} f_+(y^{-1} x^{-1} yxy, y^{-1} x^{-1} y^{-1} x^{-1}, y) = 1.$$

By (IV), or equivalently, by (3),

$$(y^{-1}x^{-1}y^{-1}x^{-1})^m \cdot f_+(x^2, y, y^{-1}x^{-1}y^{-1}x^{-1}) \cdot y^m \cdot f_+(xyx^{-1}, x^2, y) \cdot (x^2)^m$$
$$f_+(y^{-1}x^{-1}y^{-1}x^{-1}, xyx^{-1}, x^2) \cdot (xyx^{-1})^m \cdot f_+(y, y^{-1}x^{-1}y^{-1}x^{-1}, xyx^{-1}) = 1.$$

Since the subgroup generated by x^2, y and $y^{-1}x^{-1}y^{-1}x^{-1}$ is equal to the one generated by x^2, y and xyx^{-1}, it is a free pro-finite subgroup of rank 3 in $\widehat{F_2}$, which implies (4). □

By Anderson's theorem (see [Ih99], Theorem A.1.), the element $f \in \widehat{F_2}$ can be written uniquely in $\Lambda_2 := \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}[[\widehat{F_2}]]$ as follows:

$$(6) \qquad\qquad f(x,y) = 1 + \frac{df}{dx} \cdot (x - 1) + \frac{df}{dy} \cdot (y - 1).$$

Put $\Lambda_3 := \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}[[\widehat{F_3}]]$. Similarly the element $f_+ \in \widehat{F_3} = \langle W, X, Y \rangle^\wedge$ in §3 can be written uniquely in Λ_3 as follows:

$$(7) \qquad f_+(W, X, Y) = 1 + \frac{df_+}{dW} \cdot (W - 1) + \frac{df_+}{dX} \cdot (X - 1) + \frac{df_+}{dY} \cdot (Y - 1),$$

where $\frac{df_+}{dW}, \frac{df_+}{dX}, \frac{df_+}{dY} \in \Lambda_3$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\sigma = (\lambda, f) \in \widehat{GT}$ and let $f_+ \in \widehat{F_3}$ be as in (1). Then we have the following:*

$$(8) \qquad \frac{df}{dx}(x,y) = y^{2\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)} \frac{df_+}{dW}(xyx^{-1}, x^2, y) \cdot (1 - xyx^{-1})$$
$$+ \frac{df_+}{dX}(xyx^{-1}, x^2, y) \cdot 2x,$$

$$(9) \qquad \frac{df}{dy}(x,y) = \frac{y^{2\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)} - 1}{y - 1} + y^{2\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)}\Big\{ \frac{df_+}{dW}(xyx^{-1}, x^2, y) \cdot x$$
$$+ \frac{df_+}{dY}(xyx^{-1}, x^2, y)\Big\}.$$

Here for $\beta \in \Lambda_3$ and $a, b, c \in \widehat{F_2}$, we denote the image of β by the map $\Lambda_3 \to \Lambda_2$ induced from $W \mapsto a$, $X \mapsto b$, $Y \mapsto c$ by $\beta(a, b, c) \in \Lambda_2$.

Proof. It follows from (1) by a direct calculation. □

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that $\sigma = (\lambda, f) \in \widehat{GT}$ satisfies (K_2) and let $f_+ \in \widehat{F_3}$ be as in* (1). *Then we have the following:*

$$
(10) \qquad \frac{df_+}{dX}(1, x, y) = y^{\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)} \frac{df_+}{dW}(xyx^{-1}, x^2, y) \cdot (1 - xyx^{-1})
$$
$$
+ y^{-\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)} \frac{df_+}{dX}(xyx^{-1}, x^2, y) \cdot 2x \quad ,
$$

$$
(11) \qquad \frac{df_+}{dY}(1, x, y) = \frac{y^{\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)} - 1}{y - 1} + y^{\Psi_2^{(0)}(\sigma)} \Big\{ \frac{df_+}{dW}(xyx^{-1}, x^2, y) \cdot x
$$
$$
+ \frac{df_+}{dY}(xyx^{-1}, x^2, y) \Big\}.
$$

Proof. It follows from (2) by a direct calculation. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that $\sigma = (\lambda, f) \in \widehat{GT}$ satisfies (IV) and let $f_+ \in \widehat{F_3}$ be as in* (1). *Then we have the following:*

$$
(12) \qquad \frac{df_+}{dW}(W, X, Y) = f_+(W, X, Y) \frac{df_+}{dW}(X^{-1}W^{-1}Y^{-1}, Y, X) X^{-1}W^{-1},
$$

$$
(13) \qquad \frac{df_+}{dX}(W, X, Y) = f_+(W, X, Y) \Big\{ \frac{df_+}{dW}(X^{-1}W^{-1}Y^{-1}, Y, X) \cdot X^{-1}
$$
$$
- \frac{df_+}{dY}(X^{-1}W^{-1}Y^{-1}, Y, X) \Big\},
$$

$$
(14) \qquad \frac{df_+}{dY}(W, X, Y) = f_+(W, X, Y) \Big\{ \frac{df_+}{dW}(X^{-1}W^{-1}Y^{-1}, Y, X) \cdot
$$
$$
X^{-1}W^{-1}Y^{-1} + \frac{df_+}{dX}(X^{-1}W^{-1}Y^{-1}, Y, X) \Big\}.
$$

Proof. It follows from (3) by a direct calculation. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that $\sigma = (\lambda, f) \in \widehat{GT}$ satisfies (IV) and let $f_+ \in \widehat{F_3}$ be as in* (1). *Then we have the following:*

$$
(15) \qquad Y^m \frac{df_+}{dW}(W, X, Y)
$$
$$
+ Y^m f_+(W, X, Y) X^m \Big\{ \frac{df_+}{dW}(Z, W, X) \cdot (-Z) + \frac{df_+}{dX}(Z, W, X) \Big\}
$$
$$
+ Y^m f_+(W, X, Y) X^m f_+(Z, W, X) \frac{W^m - 1}{W - 1}
$$
$$
+ Y^m f_+(W, X, Y) X^m f_+(Z, W, X) W^m \cdot
$$
$$
\Big\{ \frac{df_+}{dX}(Y, Z, W) \cdot (-Z) + \frac{df_+}{dY}(Y, Z, W) \Big\}
$$
$$
+ Y^m f_+(W, X, Y) X^m f_+(Z, W, X) W^m f_+(Y, Z, W) \frac{Z^m - 1}{Z - 1} \cdot (-Z)
$$
$$
+ Y^m f_+(W, X, Y) X^m f_+(Z, W, X) W^m f_+(Y, Z, W) Z^m \cdot
$$
$$
\Big\{ \frac{df_+}{dY}(X, Y, Z) \cdot (-Z) \Big\} = 0 \qquad\qquad \text{for} \qquad WXYZ = 1.
$$

Proof. It follows from (4) by a direct calculation. □

Acknowledgement

References

[A(i)]   G. W. Anderson, *The hyperadelic gamma function: a précis,* Galois representations and arithmetic algebraic geometry (Kyoto, 1985/Tokyo, 1986), 1–19, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 12, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.

[A(ii)] ———, *The hyperadelic gamma function,* Invent. Math. **95** (1989), 63–131.

[Be]   G. V. Belyĭ, *Galois extensions of a maximal cyclotomic field,* (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **43** (1979), 267–276, 479.

[Dr]   V. G. Drinfel'd, *On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and a group closely connected with* Gal($\overline{Q}/Q$), Leningrad Math. J. **2** (1991), 829–860.

[F]   H. Furusho, *Geometric and arithmetic subgroups of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group,* Master's thesis (in Japanese), RIMS, Kyoto University, March 2000.

[Gr]   A. Grothendieck, *Esquisse d'un programme,* London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 242, Geometric Galois actions, 1, 5–48, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[Ih90]   Y. Ihara, *Braids, Galois groups, and some arithmetic functions,* Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I, II (Kyoto, 1990), 99–120, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1991.

[Ih91]   ———, *Automorphisms of pure sphere braid groups and Galois representations,* The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II, 353–373, Progr. Math., 87, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

[Ih94]   ———, *On the embedding of* Gal($\overline{Q}/Q$) *into* $\widehat{GT}$, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 200, The Grothendieck theory of dessins d'enfants (Luminy, 1993), 289–321, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[Ih99]   ———, *On beta and gamma functions associated with the Grothendieck-Teichmüller groups,* Aspects of Galois theory (Gainesville, FL, 1996), 144–179, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 256, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[Ih00]   ———, *On beta and gamma functions associated with the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group. II,* J. Reine Angew. Math. **527** (2000), 1–11.

[LNS]   P. Lochak, H. Nakamura, L. Schneps, *On a new version of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **325** (1997), 11–16.

[LS]   P. Lochak, L. Schneps, *A cohomological interpretation of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group,* Invent. Math. **127** (1997), 571–600.

[NS]   H. Nakamura, L. Schneps, *On a subgroup of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group acting on the tower of profinite Teichmüller modular groups,* Invent. Math. **141** (2000), 503–560.

[NT]   H. Nakamura, H. Tsunogai, *Harmonic and equianharmonic equations in the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group,* to appear in Forum Math.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN.

E-mail address: `furusho@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp`