

## SUPER-RIGID DONALDSON-THOMAS INVARIANTS

KAI BEHREND AND JIM BRYAN

ABSTRACT. We solve the part of the Donaldson-Thomas theory of Calabi-Yau threefolds which comes from super-rigid rational curves. As an application, we prove a version of the conjectural Gromov-Witten/Donaldson-Thomas correspondence of [MNOP] for contributions from super-rigid rational curves. In particular, we prove the full GW/DT correspondence for the quintic threefold in degrees one and two.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $Y$  be a smooth complex projective Calabi-Yau threefold. Let  $I_n(Y, \beta)$  be the moduli space of ideal sheaves  $I_Z \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$ , where the associated subscheme  $Z$  has maximal dimension equal to one, the holomorphic Euler characteristic  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_Z)$  is equal to  $n$ , and the associated 1-cycle has class  $\beta \in H_2(Y)$ .

Recall that  $I_n(Y, \beta)$  has a natural symmetric obstruction theory [Th00], [BF05]. Hence we have the (degree zero) virtual fundamental class of  $I_n(Y, \beta)$ , whose degree  $N_n(Y, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the associated Donaldson-Thomas invariant.

Let

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^s d_i C_i$$

be an effective cycle on  $Y$ , and assume that the  $C_i$  are pairwise disjoint, smoothly embedded rational curves with normal bundle  $N_{C_i/Y} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$ . Such curves are called *super-rigid rational curves* in  $Y$  [Pa99, BP01]. Assume that the class of  $C$  is  $\beta$ .

Let  $J_n(Y, C) \subset I_n(Y, \beta)$  be the locus corresponding to subschemes  $Z \subset Y$  whose associated cycle under the Hilbert-Chow morphism is equal to  $C$  (see Definition 2.1). Since  $J_n(Y, C) \subset I_n(Y, \beta)$  is open and closed (see Remark 2.2), we get an induced virtual fundamental class on  $J_n(Y, C)$  by restriction. We call

$$N_n(Y, C) = \deg[J_n(Y, C)]^{\text{vir}}$$

the *contribution of  $C$*  to the Donaldson-Thomas invariant  $N_n(Y, \beta)$ .

The goal of this paper is to compute the invariants  $N_n(Y, C)$ .

To formulate our results, we define a series  $P_d(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ , for all integers  $d \geq 0$  by

$$(1) \quad \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^m v)^m = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} P_d(q) v^d.$$

---

Received by the editors July, 18 2006.

Moreover, recall the McMahon function

$$(2) \quad M(q) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q^m)^m}.$$

Then we prove (Theorem 2.14) that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_n(Y, C) q^n = M(-q)^{\chi(Y)} \prod_{i=1}^s (-1)^{d_i} P_{d_i}(-q).$$

Maulik, Nekrasov, Okounkov, and Pandharipande have conjectured a beautiful correspondence between Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory which we call the GW/DT correspondence.

As an application of the above formula we prove the GW/DT correspondence for the contributions from super-rigid rational curves (Theorem 3.1). In particular, we prove the full degree  $\beta$  GW/DT correspondence (Conjecture 3 of [MNOP]) for any  $\beta$  for which it is known that all cycle representatives are supported on super-rigid rational curves (Corollary 3.2). For example, our results yield the GW/DT correspondence for the quintic threefold in degrees one and two (Corollary 3.3). As far as we know, these are the first instances of the GW/DT conjecture to be proved for compact Calabi-Yau threefolds.

The *local* GW/DT correspondence for super-rigid rational curves follows from the results of [MNOP] as a special case of the correspondence for toric Calabi-Yau threefolds. In contrast to Gromov-Witten theory, passing from the local invariants of super-rigid curves to *global* invariants is non-trivial in Donaldson-Thomas theory, and can be regarded as the main contribution of this paper.

**1.1. Weighted Euler characteristics.** Our main tool will be the weighted Euler characteristics introduced in [Be05]. Every scheme  $X$  has a canonical  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued constructible function  $\nu_X$  on it. The weighted Euler characteristic  $\tilde{\chi}(X)$  of  $X$  is defined as

$$\tilde{\chi}(X) = \chi(X, \nu_X) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n \chi(\nu_X^{-1}(n)).$$

More generally, we use relative weighted Euler characteristics  $\tilde{\chi}(Z, X)$  defined as

$$\tilde{\chi}(Z, X) = \chi(Z, f^* \nu_X),$$

for any morphism  $f : Z \rightarrow X$ . Three fundamental properties are

- (i) if  $X \rightarrow Y$  is étale, then  $\tilde{\chi}(Z, X) = \tilde{\chi}(Z, Y)$ ,
- (ii) if  $Z = Z_1 \sqcup Z_2$  is a disjoint union,  $\tilde{\chi}(Z, X) = \tilde{\chi}(Z_1, X) + \tilde{\chi}(Z_2, X)$ ,
- (iii)  $\tilde{\chi}(Z_1, X_1) \tilde{\chi}(Z_2, X_2) = \tilde{\chi}(Z_1 \times Z_2, X_1 \times X_2)$ .

The main result of [Be05], Theorem 4.18, asserts that if  $X$  is a projective scheme with a symmetric obstruction theory on it, then

$$\deg[X]^{\text{vir}} = \tilde{\chi}(X).$$

Thus we can calculate  $N_n(Y, C)$  as  $\tilde{\chi}(J_n(Y, C))$ .

We will also need the following fact. If  $X$  is an affine scheme with an action of an algebraic torus  $T$  and an isolated fixed point  $p \in X$ , and  $X$  admits a symmetric obstruction theory compatible with the  $T$ -action, then

$$\nu_X(p) = (-1)^{\dim T_p X},$$

where  $T_p X$  is the Zariski tangent space of  $X$  at  $p$ . This is the main technical result of [BF05], Theorem 3.4.

Finally, we will use the following result from [BF05]. If  $X$  is a smooth threefold (not necessarily proper), then

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\chi}(\mathrm{Hilb}^m X) q^m = M(-q)^{\chi(X)}.$$

In the case where  $X$  is projective and Calabi-Yau, the above proves Conjecture 1 of [MNOP]. Note that Conjecture 1 of [MNOP] has also been proved in [Li06] and [LP06].

## 2. The Calculation

### 2.1. The open subscheme $J_n(Y, C)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $C_1, \dots, C_s$  be pairwise distinct, super-rigid rational curves on  $Y$  and let  $(d_1, \dots, d_s)$  be an  $s$ -tuple of non-negative integers. Let  $C = \sum_i d_i C_i$  be the associated 1-cycle on  $Y$  and let  $\beta$  be the class of  $C$  in homology. Define

$$J_n(Y, C) \subset I_n(Y, \beta)$$

to be the open and closed subscheme consisting of subschemes  $Z \subset Y$  whose associated 1-cycle is equal to  $C$ .

**Remark 2.2.** To see that  $J_n(Y, C)$  is, indeed, open and closed, consider the Hilbert-Chow morphism, see [Ko96], Chapter I, Theorem 6.3, which is a morphism

$$f : I_n(Y, \beta)^{sn} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Chow}(Y, d),$$

where  $\mathrm{Chow}(Y, d)$  is the Chow scheme of 1-dimensional cycles of degree  $d = \deg \beta$  on  $Y$ . It is a projective scheme. Moreover,  $I_n(Y, \beta)^{sn}$  is the semi-normalization of  $I_n(Y, \beta)$ . The structure morphism  $I_n(Y, \beta)^{sn} \rightarrow I_n(Y, \beta)$  is a homeomorphism of underlying Zariski topological spaces. Therefore the Hilbert-Chow morphism descends to a continuous map of Zariski topological spaces

$$|f| : |I_n(Y, \beta)| \longrightarrow |\mathrm{Chow}(Y, d)|.$$

Because the  $C_i$  are super-rigid, the cycle  $C$  corresponds to an isolated point of  $|\mathrm{Chow}(Y, d)|$ . So the preimage of this point under  $|f|$  is open and closed in  $|I_n(Y, \beta)|$ . The open subscheme of  $I_n(Y, \beta)$  defined by this open subset is  $J_n(Y, C)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** As  $J_n(Y, C)$  is open in  $I_n(Y, \beta)$ , it has an induced (symmetric) obstruction theory and hence a virtual fundamental class of degree zero. Since  $J_n(Y, C)$  is closed in  $I_n(Y, \beta)$  it is projective, and so we can consider the degree of the virtual fundamental class

$$N_n(Y, C) = \deg[J_n(Y, C)]^{\mathrm{vir}},$$

and call it the *contribution* of  $C$  to the Donaldson-Thomas invariant  $N_n(Y, \beta)$ .

## 2.2. The closed subset $\tilde{J}_n(Y, C)$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $C = \sum_i d_i C_i$  be as above and denote by  $\text{supp } C$  the reduced closed subscheme of  $Y$  underlying  $C$ . Let

$$\tilde{J}_n(Y, C) \subset J_n(Y, C) \subset I_n(Y, \beta)$$

be the closed subset consisting of subschemes  $Z \subset Y$  whose underlying closed subset  $Z^{\text{red}} \subset Y$  is contained in  $\text{supp } C$ .

**Remark 2.5.** To see that  $\tilde{J}_n(Y, C)$  is closed in  $I_n(Y, \beta)$ , let  $W_m \subset Y$  be the  $m$ -th infinitesimal neighborhood of  $\text{supp } C \subset Y$ . For fixed numerical invariants  $n$  and  $\beta$  there exists a sufficiently large  $m$ , such that for any subscheme  $Z \subset Y$ , with invariants  $n$  and  $\beta$ , and such that  $Z^{\text{red}} \subset \text{supp } C$ , we have  $Z \subset W_m$ . For such an  $m$ , consider the Hilbert scheme  $I_n(W_m, \beta)$ , which is a closed subscheme of  $I_n(Y, \beta)$ , as  $W_m$  is a closed subscheme of  $Y$ . The underlying closed subset of  $I_n(Y, \beta)$  is equal to  $\tilde{J}_n(Y, C)$ .

**Remark 2.6.** Informally speaking,  $J_n(Y, C)$  parameterizes subschemes whose one dimensional components are confined to  $C$ , but may have embedded points anywhere in  $Y$ , whereas  $\tilde{J}_n(Y, C)$  parameterizes subschemes where both the one dimensional components and the embedded points are supported on  $C$ .

**2.3. The open Calabi Yau  $N = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$ .** We consider the open Calabi-Yau  $N$ , which is the total space of the vector bundle  $\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . We denote by  $C_0 \subset N$  the zero section. We consider the Hilbert scheme  $I_n(N, [dC_0])$ .

Let  $\bar{N}$  denote  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1))$  and let  $D_\infty = \bar{N} \setminus N$ . Since  $3D_\infty$  is an anti-canonical divisor of  $\bar{N}$ , the corresponding section defines a trivialization of  $K_N$ .  $\bar{N}$  is naturally a toric variety,  $D_\infty$  is an invariant divisor, and we let  $T_0$  be the subtorus whose elements act trivially on  $K_N$ . Then  $T_0$  induces a  $T_0$ -equivariant symmetric obstruction theory on  $I_n(N, [dC_0])$ , by Proposition 2.4 of [BF05]. Moreover, the  $T_0$  fixed points in  $I_n(N, [dC_0])$  are isolated points whose Zariski tangent spaces have no trivial factors as  $T_0$  representations (the proof of Lemma 4.1, Part (a) and (b) in [BF05] is easily adapted to prove this).

As in [MNOP], the  $T_0$  fixed points in  $I_n(N, [dC_0])$  correspond to subschemes which are given by monomial ideals on the restriction to the two affine charts of  $N$ . The number of such fixed points is given by  $p(n, d)$  described below.

Let  $p(n, d)$  be the number of triples  $(\pi_0, \lambda, \pi_\infty)$ , where  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_\infty$  are 3-dimensional partitions and  $\lambda$  a 2-dimensional partition. The 3-dimensional partitions each have one infinite leg with asymptotics  $\lambda$ , and no other infinite legs. Moreover,  $d = |\lambda|$  and  $n$  is given by ([MNOP] Lemma 5)

$$n = |\pi_0| + |\pi_\infty| + \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (i + j + 1),$$

where the size of a three dimensional partition with an infinite leg of shape  $\lambda$  along the  $z$  axis is defined by

$$|\pi| = \#\{(i, j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3 : (i, j, k) \in \pi, (i, j) \notin \lambda\}.$$

**Proposition 2.7.** *We have*

$$\tilde{\chi}(I_n(N, [dC_0])) = (-1)^{n-d} p(n, d).$$

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.5 of [BF05], we have

$$\tilde{\chi}(I_n(N, [dC_0])) = \sum_p (-1)^{\dim T_p},$$

where the sum is over all  $T_0$ -fixed points on  $I_n(N, [dC_0])$  and  $T_p$  is the Zariski tangent space of  $I_n(N, [dC_0])$  at  $p$ . The parity of  $\dim T_p$  can be easily deduced from Theorem 2 of [MNOP] (just as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 (c) in [BF05]). The result is  $n-d$ . So all we have to notice is that  $p(n, d)$  is the number of fixed points of  $T_0$  on  $I_n(N, [dC_0])$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.8.** *We have*

$$\tilde{\chi}(\tilde{J}_n(N, dC_0), I_n(N, [dC_0])) = (-1)^{n-d} p(n, d).$$

*Proof.* We just have to notice that all  $T_0$ -fixed points are contained in  $\tilde{J}_n(N, dC_0)$ .  $\square$

**2.4. The box counting function  $p(n, d)$ .** Counting three dimensional partitions with given asymptotics has been shown by Okounkov, Reshetikhin, and Vafa [ORV] to be equivalent to the topological vertex formalism which occurs in Gromov-Witten theory. They give general formulas for the associated generating functions in terms of  $q$  values of Schur functions which we will use to prove the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.9.** *The generating function for  $p(n, d)$  is given by*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n, d) q^n = M(q)^2 P_d(q),$$

where the power series  $P_d(q)$  and  $M(q)$  are defined in Equations (1) and (2).

*Proof.* The generating function for the number of 3-dimensional partitions with one infinite leg of shape  $\lambda$  is given by equation 3.21 in [ORV]:

$$\sum_{\substack{\text{3d partitions } \pi \\ \text{asymptotic to } \lambda}} q^{|\pi|} = M(q) q^{-\binom{\lambda}{2} - \frac{|\lambda|}{2}} s_{\lambda^t}(q^{1/2}, q^{3/2}, q^{5/2}, \dots)$$

where  $\lambda^t$  is the transpose partition,  $\binom{\lambda}{2} = \sum_i \binom{\lambda_i}{2}$ ,  $|\lambda| = \sum_i \lambda_i$ , and

$$s_{\lambda^t}(q^{1/2}, q^{3/2}, q^{5/2}, \dots)$$

is the Schur function associated to  $\lambda^t$  evaluated at  $x_i = q^{(2i-1)/2}$ . Using the homogeneity of Schur functions and writing

$$s_{\lambda^t}(q) = s_{\lambda^t}(1, q, q^2, \dots)$$

we can rewrite the right hand side of the above equation as

$$M(q) q^{-\binom{\lambda}{2}} s_{\lambda^t}(q).$$

Observing that

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (i+j+1) = |\lambda| + \binom{\lambda}{2} + \binom{\lambda^t}{2},$$

we get

$$\sum_{n,d=0}^{\infty} p(n, d) q^n v^d = M(q)^2 \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda^t}(q)^2 q^{|\lambda| + \binom{\lambda^t}{2} - \binom{\lambda}{2}} v^{|\lambda|}.$$

The hook polynomial formula for  $s_{\lambda^t}(q)$  (I.3 ex 2 pg 45, [Mac95]) is

$$(3) \quad s_{\lambda^t}(q) = q^{\binom{\lambda}{2}} \prod_{x \in \lambda^t} (1 - q^{h(x)})^{-1}$$

from which one easily sees that

$$s_{\lambda^t}(q) = q^{\binom{\lambda}{2} - \binom{\lambda^t}{2}} s_{\lambda}(q).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n,d=0}^{\infty} p(n,d) q^n v^d &= M(q)^2 \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(q) s_{\lambda^t}(q) q^{|\lambda|} v^{|\lambda|} \\ &= M(q)^2 \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(q, q^2, q^3, \dots) s_{\lambda^t}(v, vq, vq^2, \dots) \\ &= M(q)^2 \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^{i+j-1} v) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from the orthogonality of Schur functions (I.4 equation (4.3)' of [Mac95]). By rearranging this last sum and taking the  $v^d$  term, the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 2.10.** From the proof of the lemma we see that

$$P_d(q) = q^d \sum_{\lambda \vdash d} s_{\lambda}(q) s_{\lambda^t}(q).$$

From Equation (3), it is immediate that  $P_d(q)$  is a rational function in  $q$ . Moreover, using the formula for total hooklength (pg 11, I.1 ex 2, [Mac95]), it is easy to check that  $P_d(q)$  is invariant under  $q \mapsto 1/q$ .

## 2.5. General $Y$ .

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $C$  be a super-rigid rational curve on the Calabi-Yau threefold  $Y$ . Then*

$$\tilde{\chi}(\tilde{J}_n(Y, dC), J_n(Y, dC)) = (-1)^{n-d} p(n, d),$$

for all  $n, d$ .

*Proof.* First of all, by Theorem 3.2 of [La81], an analytic neighborhood of  $C$  in  $Y$  is isomorphic to an analytic neighborhood of  $C_0$  in  $N$ . Therefore, by the analytic theory of Hilbert schemes (or Douady spaces), see [Do66], we obtain an analytic isomorphism of  $\tilde{J}_n(Y, dC)$  with  $\tilde{J}_n(N, dC_0)$  which extends to an isomorphism of a tubular neighborhood of  $\tilde{J}_n(Y, dC)$  in  $I_n(Y, [dC])$  with a tubular neighborhood of  $\tilde{J}_n(N, dC_0)$  in  $I_n(N, [dC_0])$ .

The formula for  $\nu_X(P)$  in terms of a linking number, Proposition 4.22 of [Be05], shows that  $\nu_X(P)$  is an invariant of the underlying analytic structure of a scheme  $X$ . Thus, we have

$$\tilde{\chi}(\tilde{J}_n(Y, dC), I_n(Y, [dC])) = \tilde{\chi}(\tilde{J}_n(N, dC_0), I_n(N, [dC_0])).$$

Finally, apply Corollary 2.8.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an étale morphism of separated schemes of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $Z \subset X$  be a constructible subset. Assume that the restriction of  $f$  to the closed points of  $Z$ ,  $f : Z(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow Y(\mathbb{C})$ , is injective. Then we have*

$$\tilde{\chi}(f(X), Y) = \tilde{\chi}(Z, X).$$

*We remark that by Chevalley's theorem (EGA IV, Cor. 1.8.5),  $f(Z)$  is constructible, so that  $\tilde{\chi}(f(X), Y)$  is defined.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality,  $Z \subset X$  is a closed subscheme and so  $Z \rightarrow Y$  is unramified.

We claim that there exists a decomposition  $Y = Y_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup Y_n$  into locally closed subsets, such that, putting the reduced structure on  $Y_i$ , the induced morphism  $Z_i = Z \times_Y Y_i \rightarrow Y_i$  is either an isomorphism, or  $Z_i$  is empty.

In fact, by generic flatness (EGA IV, Cor. 6.9.3), we may assume without loss of generality that  $Z \rightarrow Y$  is flat, hence étale. By Zariski's Main Theorem (EGA IV, Cor. 18.12.13), we may assume that  $Z \rightarrow Y$  is finite, hence finite étale. Then, by our injectivity assumption, the degree of  $Z \rightarrow Y$  is 1 and so  $Z \rightarrow Y$  is an isomorphism.

Once we have this decomposition of  $Y$ , the lemma follows from additivity of the Euler characteristic over such decompositions and the étale invariance of the canonical constructible function  $\nu$ .  $\square$

Now we consider the case of a curve with several components. Let

$$C_{\vec{d}} = \sum_{i=1}^s d_i C_i$$

be an effective cycle, where the  $C_i$  are pairwise disjoint super-rigid rational curves in  $Y$ . We assume  $d_i > 0$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, s$ .

For an  $(s+1)$ -tuple of non-negative integers  $\vec{m} = (m_0, m_1, \dots, m_s)$ , we let  $|\vec{m}| = \sum_{i=0}^s m_i$ . Consider, for  $|\vec{m}| = n$  the open subscheme

$$U_{\vec{m}} \subset \text{Hilb}^{m_0}(Y) \times \prod_{i=1}^s J_{m_i}(Y, d_i C_i),$$

consisting of subschemes  $(Z_0, (Z_i))$  with pairwise disjoint support.

**Lemma 2.13.** *Mapping  $(Z_0, (Z_i))$  to  $Z_0 \cup \bigcup_i Z_i$  defines an étale morphism*

$$f : U_{\vec{m}} \longrightarrow J_n(Y, C_{\vec{d}}).$$

*Proof.* This is straightforward. See also Lemma 4.4 in [BF97].  $\square$

Let us write  $\mathring{Y}$  for  $Y \setminus \text{supp } C$  and remark that

$$Z_{\vec{m}} = \text{Hilb}^{m_0}(\mathring{Y}) \times \prod_{i>0} \tilde{J}_{m_i}(Y, d_i C_i)$$

is contained in  $U_{\vec{m}}$ . Moreover, the restriction  $f : Z_{\vec{m}} \rightarrow J_n(Y, C_{\vec{d}})$  is injective on closed points. Finally, every closed point of  $J_n(Y, C_{\vec{d}})$  is contained in  $f(Z_{\vec{m}})$ , for a unique  $\vec{m}$ , such that  $|\vec{m}| = n$ .

We will apply Lemma 2.12 to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Z_{\vec{m}} = \mathrm{Hilb}^{m_0}(\mathring{Y}) \times \prod_{i>0} \tilde{J}_{m_i}(Y, d_i C_i) & & \\
 \downarrow \text{closed subset} & \searrow \text{injective} & \\
 U_{\vec{m}} & \xrightarrow[\text{étale}]{f} & J_n(Y, C_{\vec{d}}) \\
 \downarrow \text{open embedding} & & \\
 \mathrm{Hilb}^{m_0}(Y) \times \prod_{i>0} J_{m_i}(Y, d_i C_i) & & 
 \end{array}$$

Thus, we may calculate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tilde{\chi}(J_n(Y, C_{\vec{d}})) \\
 &= \sum_{|\vec{m}|=n} \tilde{\chi}(f(Z_{\vec{m}}), J_n(Y, C_{\vec{d}})) \\
 &= \sum_{|\vec{m}|=n} \tilde{\chi}(Z_{\vec{m}}, U_{\vec{m}}) \\
 &= \sum_{|\vec{m}|=n} \tilde{\chi}\left(\mathrm{Hilb}^{m_0}(\mathring{Y}) \times \prod_{i>0} \tilde{J}_{m_i}(Y, d_i C_i), \mathrm{Hilb}^{m_0}(Y) \times \prod_{i>0} J_{m_i}(Y, d_i C_i)\right) \\
 &= \sum_{|\vec{m}|=n} \tilde{\chi}\left(\mathrm{Hilb}^{m_0}(\mathring{Y}), \mathrm{Hilb}^{m_0}(Y)\right) \prod_{i>0} \tilde{\chi}\left(\tilde{J}_{m_i}(Y, d_i C_i), J_{m_i}(Y, d_i C_i)\right) \\
 &= \sum_{|\vec{m}|=n} \tilde{\chi}(\mathrm{Hilb}^{m_0}(\mathring{Y})) \prod_{i>0} (-1)^{m_i - d_i} p(m_i, d_i).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we perform the summation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\chi}(J_n(Y, C_{\vec{d}})) q^n \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{|\vec{m}|=n} \tilde{\chi}(\mathrm{Hilb}^{m_0}(\mathring{Y})) \prod_{i=1}^s (-1)^{m_i - d_i} p(m_i, d_i) \right) q^n \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\vec{m}|=n} \tilde{\chi}(\mathrm{Hilb}^{m_0}(\mathring{Y})) q^{m_0} \prod_{i=1}^s (-1)^{d_i} p(m_i, d_i) (-q)^{m_i} \\
 &= \left( \sum_{m_0=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\chi}(\mathrm{Hilb}^{m_0}(\mathring{Y})) q^{m_0} \right) \sum_{\vec{m}'} \prod_{i=1}^s (-1)^{d_i} p(m_i, d_i) (-q)^{m_i} \\
 &= M(-q)^{\chi(\mathring{Y})} \prod_{i=1}^s (-1)^{d_i} \sum_{m_i=0}^{\infty} p(m_i, d_i) (-q)^{m_i} \\
 &= M(-q)^{\chi(\mathring{Y})} \prod_{i=1}^s M(-q)^2 (-1)^{d_i} P_{d_i}(-q) \\
 &= M(-q)^{\chi(\mathring{Y})} M(-q)^{2s} \prod_{i=1}^s (-1)^{d_i} P_{d_i}(-q)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= M(-q)^{\chi(Y)} \prod_{i=1}^s (-1)^{d_i} P_{d_i}(-q)$$

By the main result of [Be05], Theorem 4.18, we have

$$N_n(Y, C_{\vec{d}}) = \tilde{\chi}(J_n(Y, C_{\vec{d}})).$$

This finishes the proof of:

**Theorem 2.14.** *The partition function for the contribution of  $C_{\vec{d}}$  to the Donaldson-Thomas invariants of  $Y$  is given by*

$$Z(Y, C_{\vec{d}}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_n(Y, C_{\vec{d}}) q^n = M(-q)^{\chi(Y)} \prod_{i=1}^s (-1)^{d_i} P_{d_i}(-q).$$

**Corollary 2.15.** *Define the reduced partition function*

$$Z'(Y, C_{\vec{d}}) = \frac{Z(Y, C_{\vec{d}})}{Z(Y, 0)}.$$

Then we have

$$Z'(Y, C_{\vec{d}}) = \prod_{i=1}^s (-1)^{d_i} P_{d_i}(-q),$$

a rational function in  $q$ , invariant under  $q \mapsto 1/q$ .

*Proof.* Behrend and Fantechi prove [BF05] that

$$Z(Y, 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\chi}(\text{Hilb}^n Y) q^n = M(-q)^{\chi(Y)};$$

the formula for  $Z'(Y, C_{\vec{d}})$  then follows immediately from Theorem 2.14. For the proof that  $Z'(Y, C_{\vec{d}})$  is a rational function invariant under  $q \mapsto 1/q$ , see Remark 2.10.  $\square$

### 3. The super-rigid GW/DT correspondence.

**3.1. The usual GW/DT correspondence.** We can formulate the The Gromov-Witten/Donaldson-Thomas correspondence of [MNOP] as follows.

Let  $Y$  be a Calabi-Yau threefold and let

$$Z_{DT}(Y, \beta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} N_n(Y, \beta) q^n$$

be the partition function for the degree  $\beta$  Donaldson-Thomas invariants. Let

$$Z'_{DT}(Y, \beta) = \frac{Z_{DT}(Y, \beta)}{Z_{DT}(Y, 0)}$$

be the reduced partition function.

In Gromov-Witten theory, the reduced partition function for the degree  $\beta$  Gromov-Witten invariants,  $Z'_{GW}(Y, \beta)$ , is given by the coefficients of the exponential of the  $\beta \neq 0$  part of the potential function:

$$(4) \quad 1 + \sum_{\beta \neq 0} Z'_{GW}(Y, \beta) v^\beta = \exp \left( \sum_{\beta \neq 0} N_g^{GW}(Y, \beta) u^{2g-2} v^\beta \right).$$

Here

$$N_g^{GW}(Y, \beta) = \deg[\overline{M}_g(Y, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}$$

is the genus  $g$ , degree  $\beta$  Gromov-Witten invariant of  $Y$ .

The conjectural GW/DT correspondence states that

- (i) The degree 0 partition function in Donaldson-Thomas theory is given by

$$Z_{DT}(Y, 0) = M(-q)^{\chi(Y)},$$

- (ii)  $Z'_{DT}(Y, \beta)$  is a rational function in  $q$ , invariant under  $q \mapsto 1/q$ , and  
 (iii) the equality

$$Z'_{GW}(Y, \beta) = Z'_{DT}(Y, \beta)$$

holds under the change of variables  $q = -e^{iu}$ .

Part (i) is proved for all  $Y$  in [BF05].

**3.2. The super-rigid GW/DT correspondence.** In an entirely parallel manner, we can formulate the GW/DT correspondence for  $N_n(Y, C_{\vec{d}})$ , the contribution from a collection of super-rigid rational curves  $C_{\vec{d}} = \sum_i d_i C_i$ .

Just as in Donaldson-Thomas theory, there is an open component of the moduli space of stable maps

$$\overline{M}_g(Y, C_{\vec{d}}) \subset \overline{M}_g(Y, \beta)$$

parameterizing maps whose image lies in the support of  $C_{\vec{d}}$ . There are corresponding invariants given by the degree of the virtual class:

$$N_g^{GW}(Y, C_{\vec{d}}) = \deg[\overline{M}_g(Y, C_{\vec{d}})]^{\text{vir}}$$

We define  $Z'_{GW}(Y, C_{\vec{d}})$  by replacing  $N_g^{GW}(Y, \beta)$  on the right side of formula (4) by  $N_g^{GW}(Y, C_{\vec{d}})$ .

Then we can formulate our results as follows.

**Theorem 3.1.** *The GW/DT correspondence holds for the contributions from super-rigid rational curves. Namely, let  $C_{\vec{d}} = d_1 C_1 + \cdots + d_s C_s$  be a cycle supported on pairwise disjoint super-rigid rational curves  $C_i$  in a Calabi-Yau threefold  $Y$ , and let  $Z'_{DT}(Y, C_{\vec{d}})$  and  $Z'_{GW}(Y, C_{\vec{d}})$  be defined as above. Then*

- (ii)  $Z'_{DT}(Y, C_{\vec{d}})$  is a rational function of  $q$ , invariant under  $q \mapsto 1/q$ , and  
 (iii) the equality

$$Z'_{DT}(Y, C_{\vec{d}}) = Z'_{GW}(Y, C_{\vec{d}})$$

holds under the change of variables  $q = -e^{iu}$ .

*Proof.* For (ii), see Corollary 2.15. To prove (iii), we reproduce a calculation well known to the experts (e.g. [Ka06]).

By the famous multiple cover formula of Faber-Pandharipande [FP00] (see also [Pa99]),

$$N_g^{GW}(Y, C_{\vec{d}}) = \sum_{i=1}^s c(g, d_i),$$

where  $c(g, d)$  is given by

$$\sum_{g \geq 0} c(g, d) u^{2g-2} = \frac{1}{d} \left( \sin \left( \frac{du}{2} \right) \right)^{-2}.$$

We compute  $Z'_{GW}(Y, C_{\vec{d}})$  and make the substitution  $q = -e^{iu}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 + \sum_{(d_1, \dots, d_s) \neq 0}^{\infty} Z'_{GW}(Y, C_{\vec{d}}) v_1^{d_1} \cdots v_s^{d_s} \\
 &= \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{d_j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} c(g, d_j) u^{2g-2} v_j^{d_j} \right) \\
 &= \prod_{j=1}^s \exp \left( \sum_{d_j=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_j^{d_j}}{d_j} \left( 2 \sin \frac{d_j u}{2} \right)^{-2} \right) \\
 &= \prod_{j=1}^s \exp \left( \sum_{d_j=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_j^{d_j}}{d_j} \frac{-e^{id_j u}}{(1 - e^{id_j u})^2} \right) \\
 &= \prod_{j=1}^s \exp \left( \sum_{d_j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_j=1}^{\infty} \frac{-m_j}{d_j} e^{id_j m_j u} v_j^{d_j} \right) \\
 &= \prod_{j=1}^s \exp \left( \sum_{m_j=1}^{\infty} m_j \log (1 - v_j e^{im_j u}) \right) \\
 &= \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{m_j=1}^{\infty} (1 - (-q)^{m_j} v_j)^{m_j} \\
 &= \prod_{j=1}^s \sum_{d_j=0}^{\infty} P_{d_j}(-q) (-v_j)^{d_j} \\
 &= \sum_{(d_1, \dots, d_s)} \prod_{j=1}^s (-1)^{d_j} P_{d_j}(-q) v_j^{d_j}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$Z'_{GW}(Y, C_{\vec{d}}) = \prod_{j=1}^s (-1)^{d_j} P_{d_j}(-q)$$

and so by comparing with Corollary 2.15 the theorem is proved.  $\square$

The following corollary is immediate.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $Y$  be a Calabi-Yau threefold and let  $\beta \in H_2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$  be a curve class such that all cycle representatives of  $\beta$  are supported on a collection of pairwise disjoint, super-rigid rational curves. Then the degree  $\beta$  GW/DT correspondence holds:*

$$Z'_{DT}(Y, \beta) = Z'_{GW}(Y, \beta).$$

For example, we have:

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  be a quintic threefold, and let  $L$  be the class of the line. Then for  $\beta$  equal to  $L$  or  $2L$ , the GW/DT correspondence holds.*

*Proof.* By deformation invariance of both Donaldson-Thomas and Gromov-Witten invariants, it suffices to let  $Y$  be a generic quintic threefold. It is well known that there are exactly 2875 pairwise disjoint lines on  $Y$  and they are all super-rigid. The conics on  $Y$  are all planar and hence rational, and it is known that there are exactly 609250 pairwise disjoint conics and they are super-rigid as well. For these facts and more, see [Ka86].  $\square$

Note that we cannot prove the GW/DT conjecture by this method for the quintic in degree three (and higher) due to the presence of elliptic curves in degree three.

Explicit formulas for the reduced Donaldson-Thomas partition function of a generic quintic threefold  $Y$  in degrees one and two are given below:

$$\begin{aligned} Z'_{DT}(Y, L) &= 2875 \frac{q}{(1-q)^2} \\ Z'_{DT}(Y, 2L) &= 609250 \frac{q}{(1-q)^2} \cdot 2875 \cdot \frac{-2q^3}{(1+q)^4(1-q)^2} \\ &= -3503187500 \frac{1}{(q-q^{-1})^4} \end{aligned}$$

### References

- [Be05] K. Behrend. Donaldson-Thomas type invariants via microlocal geometry. ArXiv: math.AG/0507523. To appear in *Ann. Math.*
- [BF05] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi. Symmetric obstruction theories and Hilbert schemes of points on threefolds. ArXiv: math.AG/0512556.
- [BF97] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi. The intrinsic normal cone. *Invent. Math.*, 128(1):45–88, 1997.
- [BP01] J. Bryan and R. Pandharipande. BPS states of curves in Calabi-Yau 3-folds. *Geom. Topol.*, 5:287–318 (electronic), 2001.
- [Do66] A. Douady. Le problème des modules pour les sous-espaces analytiques compacts d'un espace analytique donné. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 16(fasc. 1):1–95, 1966.
- [FP00] C. Faber and R. Pandharipande. Hodge integrals and Gromov-Witten theory. *Invent. Math.*, 139(1):173–199, 2000.
- [Ka86] S. Katz. On the finiteness of rational curves on quintic threefolds. *Compositio Math.*, 60(2):151–162, 1986.
- [Ka06] S. Katz. Gromov-Witten, Gopakumar-Vafa, and Donaldson-Thomas invariants of Calabi-Yau threefolds. In *Snowbird lectures on string geometry*, volume 401 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 43–52. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [Ko96] J. Kollár. *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, volume 32 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [La81] H. B. Laufer. On  $\mathbf{CP}^1$  as an exceptional set. In *Recent developments in several complex variables*, volume 100 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 261–275. Princeton, N.J., 1981.
- [Li06] J. Li. Zero dimensional Donaldson-Thomas invariants of threefolds. *Geom. Topol.*, 10:2117–2171 (electronic), 2006.
- [LP06] M. Levine and R. Pandharipande. Algebraic cobordism revisited. arXiv:math.AG/0605196.
- [Mac95] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. New York, second edition, 1995.
- [MNOP] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, and R. Pandharipande. Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory, I. *Compositio Math.*, 142(5):1263–1285, 2006.
- [ORV] A. Okounkov, N. Reshetikhin, and C. Vafa. Quantum Calabi-Yau and classical crystals. In *The unity of mathematics*, volume 244 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 597–618. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006.
- [Pa99] R. Pandharipande. Hodge integrals and degenerate contributions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 208(2):489–506, 1999.

- [Th00] R. P. Thomas. A holomorphic Casson invariant for Calabi-Yau 3-folds, and bundles on  $K3$  fibrations. *J. Differential Geom.*, 54(2):367–438, 2000.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, 1984 MATHEMATICS  
ROAD, VANCOUVER, B.C., CANADA V6T 1Z2  
*E-mail address:* behrend@math.ubc.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, 1984 MATHEMATICS  
ROAD, VANCOUVER, B.C., CANADA V6T 1Z2  
*E-mail address:* jbryan@math.ubc.ca