

ANALYTIC CAPACITY AND QUASICONFORMAL MAPPINGS WITH $W^{1,2}$ BELTRAMI COEFFICIENT

ALBERT CLOP AND XAVIER TOLSA

ABSTRACT. We show that if ϕ is a quasiconformal mapping with compactly supported Beltrami coefficient in the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}$, then ϕ preserves sets with vanishing analytic capacity. It then follows that a compact set E is removable for bounded analytic functions if and only if it is removable for bounded quasiregular mappings with compactly supported Beltrami coefficient in $W^{1,2}$.

1. Introduction

A Beltrami coefficient is a measurable function μ such that $\|\mu\|_\infty < 1$. Given an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$, we say that $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is μ -quasiregular if it belongs to the Sobolev space $W_{loc}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and satisfies the Beltrami equation

$$\bar{\partial}f(z) = \mu(z) \partial f(z), \quad a.e. z \in \Omega.$$

If moreover f is a homeomorphism, then we call it μ -quasiconformal. For any $K \geq 1$, we say that f is K -quasiregular (or K -quasiconformal if f is homeomorphism) for some Beltrami coefficient μ satisfying $\|\mu\|_\infty \leq \frac{K-1}{K+1}$.

Several works have focussed in the question of how these mappings distort measures and capacities. For instance, Ahlfors (see [Ah1]) proved that they always preserve sets of zero area. In a remarkable paper, Astala [As] obtained deep estimates for the area distortion under K -quasiconformal mappings. More precisely, if ϕ is any (conveniently normalized) K -quasiconformal mapping, then one has the estimate

$$|\phi(E)| \leq C |E|^{\frac{1}{K}}$$

where the constant C depends only on K . As a consequence, the author obtained also sharp results on integrability of K -quasiconformal mappings, which in turn led to the bounds on K -quasiconformal distortion of Hausdorff dimension. Namely, for any K -quasiconformal mapping ϕ and any compact set E ,

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{K} \left(\frac{1}{\dim(E)} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{\dim(\phi(E))} - \frac{1}{2} \leq K \left(\frac{1}{\dim(E)} - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Moreover, in [As] the author shows the sharpness of both inequalities.

It is well known that sometimes the regularity of the Beltrami coefficient μ is inherited by the mapping itself. For instance, when μ is a compactly supported C^∞ function, then every μ -quasiconformal mapping ϕ is also C^∞ . As a consequence, ϕ is locally bilipschitz, and then some set functions like Hausdorff measures, Riesz and Bessel

Received by the editors July 4, 2007.

capacities, are preserved.

Nevertheless, there are other situations which, even far from $\mu \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$, give interesting improvements of equation (1). For instance, when μ belongs to the class VMO of functions of vanishing mean oscillation, then

$$\dim(\phi(E)) = \dim(E).$$

That is, the corresponding μ -quasiconformal mappings ϕ do not distort Hausdorff dimension (see for instance [Iw]). However, nothing is known on the ratio between the Hausdorff measures $\mathcal{H}^t(E)$ and $\mathcal{H}^t(\phi(E))$, for any $t \in [0, 2]$.

In this context, of special interest is the assumption that μ is a compactly supported function in the Sobolev class $W^{1,2}$ (notice that this implies $\mu \in VMO$). For such Beltrami coefficients, it is shown in [CFMOZ] that the corresponding μ -quasiconformal mappings ϕ preserve sets with zero length, that is

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{H}^1(E) = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{H}^1(\phi(E)) = 0,$$

The proof of this fact uses some BMO removability techniques, related to both the Cauchy-Riemann (i.e. $\bar{\partial}$) and the Beltrami ($\bar{\partial} - \mu \partial$) differential operators. The main tool is an extended version of Weyl's lemma. Recall that classical Weyl's Lemma asserts that distributional solutions to the Cauchy-Riemann equation are actually analytic functions. In the more general case of the Beltrami equation [CFMOZ, Theorem 1], an analogous result can be given provided that the Beltrami coefficient belongs to $W^{1,2}$.

Theorem. *Let μ be a compactly supported Beltrami coefficient in the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{C})$ for some $p > 2$, and suppose that*

$$\langle \bar{\partial}f - \mu \partial f, \varphi \rangle = 0$$

whenever $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$ is compactly supported. Then, f is μ -quasiregular.

In [CFMOZ], similar arguments to those in (2), replacing BMO by VMO , allowed the authors to prove that if $\mu \in W^{1,2}$ is any compactly supported Beltrami coefficient, and ϕ is μ -quasiconformal, then

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{H}^1(E) \text{ is } \sigma\text{-finite} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{H}^1(\phi(E)) \text{ is } \sigma\text{-finite}.$$

Furthermore, these mappings ϕ are shown to map 1-rectifiable sets to 1-rectifiable sets (and purely 1-unrectifiable sets to purely 1-unrectifiable sets).

As we shall see in this paper, all these facts have interesting consequences when studying removability problems for bounded μ -quasiregular mappings, that is, the μ -quasiregular counterpart for the problem of Painlevé. Recall that a compact set E is said to be *removable (for bounded analytic functions)* if for any open set $\Omega \supset E$, every bounded function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, analytic on $\Omega \setminus E$, admits an analytic extension to the whole of Ω . The *problem of Painlevé* consists of giving metric and geometric characterizations of these sets.

When studying removable sets, it is natural to talk about analytic capacity. Recall that given a compact set E , the *analytic capacity* of E is defined as

$$\gamma(E) = \sup \{|f'(\infty)|; f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{C} \setminus E), \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\}.$$

Here, by $H^\infty(\Omega)$ we mean the space of bounded analytic functions on the open set Ω , and $f'(\infty) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z(f(\infty) - f(z))$. For a set $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ which may be non compact, one defines

$$\gamma(A) = \sup_{E \subset A \text{ compact}} \gamma(E).$$

Ahlfors [Ah2] proved that E is removable for bounded analytic functions if and only if $\gamma(E) = 0$. Furthermore, it is not difficult to show that $\gamma(E) \leq C \mathcal{H}^1(E)$, while $\dim(E) > 1$ implies $\gamma(E) > 0$. It took long time to have a precise geometric characterization of the zero sets for γ . In [Da1], G. David proved that if E has finite length then

$$\gamma(E) = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad E \text{ is purely 1-unrectifiable.}$$

Later, in [To2], X. Tolsa characterized sets with vanishing analytic capacity in terms of Menger curvature (see Theorem 5 below for more details).

In this paper, as well as in [CFMOZ], our main objects of study are the removable singularities for bounded solutions to a fixed Beltrami equation. Namely, we say that a compact set E is *removable for bounded μ -quasiregular mappings*, or simply *μ -removable*, if for any open set Ω , any bounded function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, μ -quasiregular on $\Omega \setminus E$, admits a μ -quasiregular extension to the whole of Ω . By means of Stoilow's factorization Theorem, one easily shows that E is μ -removable if and only if $\gamma(\phi(E)) = 0$ for any μ -quasiconformal mapping ϕ . In connection with this question, the following result is proved in [CFMOZ].

Theorem. *Let $\mu \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$ be a compactly supported Beltrami coefficient, and let $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a μ -quasiconformal mapping. Then,*

$$(4) \quad \gamma(E) = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \gamma(\phi(E)) = 0$$

for any compact set E with σ -finite $\mathcal{H}^1(E)$.

Pekka Koskela suggested us that the σ -finiteness assumption might be removed in the preceding result. In this paper we do the job.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mu \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$ be a compactly supported Beltrami coefficient, and let $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a μ -quasiconformal mapping. Then,*

$$\gamma(E) = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \gamma(\phi(E)) = 0$$

for any compact set E .

It follows from Theorem 1 that if $\mu \in W^{1,2}$ is compactly supported, then being removable and being μ -removable are equivalent notions.

Corollary 2. *Let $\mu \in W^{1,2}$ be a compactly supported Beltrami coefficient. Then, a compact set E is removable for bounded μ -quasiregular mappings if and only if $\gamma(E) = 0$.*

Theorem 1 implies that, given a compactly supported Beltrami coefficient $\mu \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$, the corresponding μ -quasiconformal mappings preserve the removable sets for bounded analytic functions. This fact is closely related to a question of J. Verdera [Ve1] on the preservation of removable sets under some planar homeomorphisms. More precisely, the author wondered how analytic capacity is distorted under bilipschitz mappings. Recall that a mapping $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be L -bilipschitz if

$$\frac{1}{L}|z - w| \leq |\phi(z) - \phi(w)| \leq L|z - w|$$

for any pair of points $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$. This question was solved in [To2]:

Theorem. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an L -bilipschitz mapping. Then,*

$$(5) \quad \gamma(\phi(E)) \simeq \gamma(E)$$

with constants that depend only on L .

Furthermore, it is shown in [To2] that any planar homeomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying (5) must be a bilipschitz mapping. It is well known that L -bilipschitz mappings are μ -quasiconformal for some Beltrami coefficient μ with $\|\mu\|_\infty$ depending only on L , but in general this does not imply any $W^{1,2}$ regularity for μ . In fact, there is not a precise description of the class of compactly supported Beltrami coefficients μ that produce bilipschitz μ -quasiconformal mappings. It was remarked in [CFMOZ, Example 4] that there are non bilipschitz μ -quasiconformal mappings with compactly supported $\mu \in W^{1,2}$. At the same time, the example $\mu(z) = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\mathbb{D}}(z)$ gives a bilipschitz μ -quasiconformal mapping with $\mu \notin W^{1,2}$. In fact, this can be seen as a particular case of a more general result concerning smooth truncations of Hölder continuous Beltrami coefficients (see [MOV] for more details). In any case, there is no simple relation between bilipschitz μ -quasiconformal mappings and Beltrami coefficients $\mu \in W^{1,2}$.

For the proof of the Theorem 1, our main tool is the following improved version of the preceding theorem on the bilipschitz invariance of analytic capacity.

Theorem 3. *Given $E, F \subset \mathbb{C}$, let $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ be a bilipschitz homeomorphism. That is, there exists $L > 0$ such that*

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{L}|z - w| \leq |\phi(z) - \phi(w)| \leq L|z - w|$$

whenever $z, w \in E$. Then there exists some constant C depending only on L such that

$$\frac{1}{C} \gamma(F) \leq \gamma(E) \leq C \gamma(F).$$

Notice that in this result we assume the mapping ϕ to be bilipschitz only on E , not in the whole complex plane. From this theorem we deduce

Corollary 4. *Assume that $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a planar homeomorphism, locally bilipschitz in a measurable set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$. That is, there are constants $C > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{C}|z - w| \leq |\phi(z) - \phi(w)| \leq C|z - w|$$

whenever $z, w \in \Omega$ and $|z - w| < \delta$. Then

$$\gamma(E \cap \Omega) = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \gamma(\phi(E \cap \Omega)) = 0$$

for any compact set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$.

As explained above, the μ -quasiconformal mappings we deal with are not bilipschitz in the whole plane, in general. However, they are locally bilipschitz on the level sets of its Jacobian determinant, modulo some *small* set of bad points. This follows from the quasimetricity and from the fact that these μ -quasiconformal mappings belong to some second order Sobolev spaces. Moreover, it turns out that they are strongly differentiable everywhere except on a set of Hausdorff dimension 0.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we prove Theorem 3, and in Section 3 its Corollary 4. In Section 4, we use this result to prove Theorem 1.

2. Proof of Theorem 3

2.1. Analytic capacity and curvature. We need to recall the notion of curvature of a measure. Given three pairwise different points $x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}$, their *Menger curvature* is

$$c(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{R(x, y, z)},$$

where $R(x, y, z)$ is the radius of the circumference passing through x, y, z (with $R(x, y, z) = \infty$, $c(x, y, z) = 0$ if x, y, z lie on the same line). If two among these points coincide, we set $c(x, y, z) = 0$. For a positive finite Borel measure σ on \mathbb{C} , we define the *curvature of σ* as

$$(7) \quad c^2(\sigma) = \int \int \int c(x, y, z)^2 d\sigma(x) d\sigma(y) d\sigma(z).$$

We recall the characterization of γ in terms of curvature from [To2]:

Theorem 5. *For any compact $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$\gamma(E) \simeq \sup \sigma(E),$$

where the supremum is taken over all Borel measures σ supported on E such that $\sigma(B(x, r)) \leq r$ for all $x \in E$, $r > 0$ and $c^2(\sigma) \leq \sigma(E)$.

We will prove the following result.

Theorem 6. *Let σ be a Borel measure supported on a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$, such that $\sigma(B(x, r)) \leq r$ for all $x \in E$, $r > 0$ and $c^2(\sigma) < \infty$. Let $\phi : E \rightarrow \phi(E)$ be a bilipschitz mapping. There exists a positive constant C depending only on the bilipschitz constant of ϕ such that*

$$c^2(\phi_{\#}\sigma) \leq C(\sigma(E) + c^2(\sigma)),$$

where $\phi_{\#}\sigma$ stands for the image measure of σ by ϕ .

It is easy straightforward check that Theorem 3 is a direct consequence of Theorems 5 and 6. We remark that Theorem 6 was proved in [To2, Theorem 1.3] under the stronger assumption that ϕ is bilipschitz on the whole complex plane. The next Subsections 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4 deal with the proof of this result.

2.2. Additional notation and terminology. By a square we mean a square with sides parallel to the axes. Moreover, we assume the squares to be half closed - half open. The side length of a square Q is denoted by $\ell(Q)$. Given $a > 0$, aQ denotes the square concentric with Q with side length $a\ell(Q)$. The average (linear) density of a Borel measure σ on Q is

$$(8) \quad \theta_\sigma(Q) := \frac{\sigma(Q)}{\ell(Q)}.$$

We say that σ has linear growth if there exists some constant C such that

$$\sigma(B(x, r)) \leq Cr \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{C}, r > 0.$$

A square $Q \subset \mathbb{C}$ is called 4-dyadic if it is of the form $[j2^{-n}, (j+4)2^{-n}) \times [k2^{-n}, (k+4)2^{-n})$, with $j, k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. So a 4-dyadic square with side length $4 \cdot 2^{-n}$ is made up of 16 dyadic squares with side length 2^{-n} . Given $a, b > 1$, we say that Q is (a, b) -doubling if $\sigma(aQ) \leq b\sigma(Q)$. If we don't want to specify the constant b , we say that Q is a -doubling. Given two squares $Q \subset R$, we set

$$\delta_\sigma(Q, R) := \int_{R_Q \setminus 2Q} \frac{1}{|y - x_Q|} d\sigma(y),$$

where x_Q stands for the center of Q , and R_Q is the smallest square concentric with Q that contains R . Given a bilipschitz mapping $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a square Q , in [To2] one says that $\phi(Q)$ is a ϕ -square, and then one defines its side length as $\ell(\phi(Q)) := \ell(Q)$. Now we only know that ϕ bilipschitz from E onto $\phi(E)$, and thus $\phi(Q)$ is not defined in general. So we have to change the notion of ϕ -square. A first attempt would consist in saying that P is a ϕ -square if $P = \phi(Q \cap E)$ for some square Q . This definition has a serious drawback: we cannot set $\ell(P) := \ell(Q)$ because it may happen that $P = \phi(Q \cap E) = \phi(R \cap E)$ for two different squares Q, R . However there is an easy solution: a ϕ -square is not a subset of \mathbb{C} , but a pair of the form $P = (Q, \phi(Q \cap E))$, for some square $Q \subset \mathbb{C}$. We denote $\ell(P) := \ell(Q)$. On the other hand, abusing language sometimes we will identify the ϕ -square $P = (Q, \phi(Q \cap E))$ with the set $\phi(Q \cap E)$, and so we will use notations such as $\text{diam}(P)$ (notice that $\text{diam}(P) \lesssim \ell(P)$), or $\phi_\# \sigma(P)$. If Q_0 is a dyadic (or 4-dyadic) square, we say that $(Q_0, \phi(Q_0 \cap E))$ is a dyadic (or 4-dyadic) ϕ -square. If $Q = (Q_0, \phi(Q_0 \cap E))$ is a ϕ -square, we denote $\lambda Q = (\lambda Q_0, \phi(\lambda Q_0 \cap E))$, for $\lambda > 0$. To simplify notation, we set $\tau := \phi_\# \sigma$ and $F := \phi(E)$. A ϕ -square Q is said to be λ -doubling if $\tau(\lambda Q) \leq C\tau(Q)$ for some fixed $C \geq 1$. We also set

$$\theta_\tau(Q) := \frac{\tau(Q)}{\ell(Q)}$$

and if R is another ϕ -square which contains Q , we put

$$\delta_\tau(Q, R) := \int_{R_Q \setminus 2Q} \frac{1}{|y - x_Q|} d\tau(y),$$

where x_Q stands for some fixed (arbitrary) point of Q and R_Q is the smallest ϕ -square concentric with Q that contains R . That is to say, if $Q = (Q_0, \phi(Q_0 \cap E))$, $R = (R_0, \phi(R_0 \cap E))$, and S is the smallest square concentric with Q_0 that contains R_0 , we set $R_Q = (S, \phi(S \cap E))$. An Ahlfors regular curve is a curve Γ such that $\mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma \cap B(x, r)) \leq Cr$ for all $x \in \Gamma$, $r > 0$, and some fixed $C > 0$. We say that Γ

is a chord arc curve if it is a bilipschitz image of an interval in \mathbb{R} . If the bilipschitz constant of the map is L , we say that Γ is an L -chord arc curve.

2.3. The corona decomposition. Theorem 6 will be proved by means of a corona type decomposition for σ similar to the one in [To2]. In Lemma 7 below, where we prove the existence of this decomposition, we will introduce a family $\text{Top}(E)$ of 4-dyadic squares (the top squares) satisfying some precise properties. Given any square $Q \in \text{Top}(E)$, we denote by $\text{Stop}(Q)$ the subfamily of the squares $P \in \text{Top}(E)$ satisfying

- (a) $P \cap 3Q \neq \emptyset$,
- (b) $\ell(P) \leq \frac{1}{8}\ell(Q)$,
- (c) P is maximal, in the sense that there doesn't exist another square $P' \in \text{Top}(E)$ satisfying (a) and (b) which contains P .

We also denote by $Z(\sigma)$ the set of points $x \in \mathbb{C}$ such that there does not exist a sequence of $(70, 5000)$ -doubling squares $\{Q_n\}_n$ centered at x with $\ell(Q_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so that moreover $\ell(Q_n) = 2^{-k_n}$ for some $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have $\sigma(Z(\sigma)) = 0$ (see [To2, Remark 2.1]). The set of good points for Q is defined as

$$G(Q) := 3Q \cap \text{supp}(\sigma) \setminus \left[Z(\sigma) \cup \bigcup_{P \in \text{Stop}(Q)} P \right].$$

Lemma 7 (The corona decomposition). *Let σ be a Borel measure supported on $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sigma(B(x, r)) \leq C_0 r$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$, $r > 0$ and $c^2(\sigma) < \infty$. There exists a family $\text{Top}(E)$ of 4-dyadic $(16, 5000)$ -doubling squares (called top squares) which satisfy the packing condition*

$$(9) \quad \sum_{Q \in \text{Top}(E)} \theta_\sigma(Q)^2 \sigma(Q) \leq C(\sigma(E) + c^2(\sigma)),$$

and such that for each square $Q \in \text{Top}(E)$ there is a family of C_1 -chord arc curves Γ_Q^i , $i = 1, \dots, N_0$, such that if we set $\Gamma_Q = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_0} \Gamma_Q^i$, we have

- (a) $G(Q) \subset \Gamma_Q \cap E$.
- (b) For each $P \in \text{Stop}(Q)$ there exists some square \tilde{P} containing P such that $\delta_\sigma(P, \tilde{P}) \leq C_2 \theta_\sigma(Q)$ and $\tilde{P} \cap \Gamma_Q \cap E \neq \emptyset$.
- (c) If P is a square with $\ell(P) \leq \ell(Q)$ such that either $P \cap G(Q) \neq \emptyset$ or there is another square $P' \in \text{Stop}(Q)$ such that $P \cap P' \neq \emptyset$ and $\ell(P') \leq \ell(P)$, then $\sigma(P) \leq C_3 \theta_\sigma(Q) \ell(P)$.

Moreover, $\text{Top}(E)$ contains some 4-dyadic square R_0 such that $E \subset R_0$. The constants C_1, C_2, C_3, N_0 are absolute.

Notice that the chord arc constant of the curves Γ_Q^i in the lemma is uniformly bounded above by C_1 .

Proof. The proof of this lemma is very similar to the one of Main Lemma 3.1 in [To2], and so we will only describe in detail the required modifications for the proof. Notice that, in Lemma 7, Γ_Q is made up of a finite union of chord arc curves, while in [To2, Main Lemma 3.1] Γ_Q is an Ahlfors regular curve. On the other hand, in the statement (b) above we ask $\tilde{P} \cap \Gamma_Q \cap E \neq \emptyset$, while in (b) of Main Lemma 3.1 in [To2] one asks

only $\tilde{P} \cap \Gamma_Q \neq \emptyset$. These are the only differences between both lemmas.

First we will explain the arguments to show that Γ_Q is made up of a finite number of chord arc curves. First we need some notation. Given a set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a square Q , let V_Q be an infinite strip (or line in the degenerate case) of smallest possible width which contains $K \cap 3Q$, and let $w(V_Q)$ denote the width of V_Q . Denote

$$\beta_K(Q) = \frac{w(V_Q)}{\ell(Q)}.$$

Recall the following version of Jones' traveling salesman theorem [Jo]:

Theorem 8 (P. Jones). *A set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ is contained in an Ahlfors regular curve if and only if there exists some constant C_4 such that for every dyadic square Q*

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{D}, P \subset Q} \beta_K(P)^2 \ell(P) \leq C_4 \ell(Q).$$

The regularity constant of the curve depends on C_4 .

Moreover, in [Jo] the author claims that there exists some constant $\eta > 0$ small enough such that if $\beta_K(Q) \leq \eta$ for every square $Q \in \mathcal{D}$, then K is contained in quasicircle. So we have

Theorem 9. *There exists some absolute constant $\eta > 0$ such that if, for every dyadic square Q , $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ satisfies*

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{D}, P \subset Q} \beta_K(P)^2 \ell(P) \leq \eta \ell(Q),$$

then K is contained in a chord arc curve.

Although the preceding result is not proved in [Jo], it follows from easy modifications of the author's arguments (we suggest the reader to look also at [GM, Chapter 10, Theorem 2.3]).

Given $R \in \text{Top}(E)$, in [To2] the existence of the curve Γ_R follows from an application of Theorem 8. To this end, in [To2, Lemma 4.5] one constructs a set K which contains $G(R)$ and which, in a sense, approximates $\text{supp}(\sigma)$ on the squares $P \in \text{Stop}(R)$. Then one proves in [To2, Subsection 4.4] that for any square Q with $\ell(Q) \leq C_5 \ell(R)$ (where $C_5 < 1$ is some small positive constant),

$$(10) \quad \sum_{P \in \mathcal{D}, P \subset Q} \beta_K(P)^2 \ell(P) \leq C \theta_\sigma(R)^{-3} \iiint_{(x,y,z) \in (3Q)^3 \cap R^\ell} c(x,y,z)^2 d\sigma(x) d\sigma(y) d\sigma(z).$$

See p. 1266 of [To2] for the precise definition of R^ℓ . For the reader's convenience, let us say that the triple integral on the right hand side is some truncated version of the curvature $c^2(\sigma|_{3Q})$. Moreover, from the construction of the stopping squares, it turns out that

$$(11) \quad C \theta_\sigma(R)^{-3} \iiint_{(x,y,z) \in (3Q)^3 \cap R^\ell} c(x,y,z)^2 d\sigma(x) d\sigma(y) d\sigma(z) \leq C_6 \ell(Q).$$

From (10), (11), and Theorem 8 one infers the existence of the regular curve Γ_Q . However, a careful examination of the proof of Main Lemma 3.1 in [To2] shows that

the constant C_6 in (11) can be taken so that $C_6 \leq \eta$ (in fact, as small as we want). To this end, one has to take the parameter ε_0 small enough in the construction of the high curvature squares (see p. 1252 of [To2]). We leave the details for the reader. Then Theorem 9 can be applied for the squares Q with $Q \cap 3R \neq \emptyset$ such that with $\ell(Q) \leq C_5 \ell(R)$. As a consequence, one deduces that K is contained in a finite number N_0 of chord arc curves (we only have to cover $3R$ by a finite number of squares with side length $C_5 \ell(R)$ and then we apply Theorem 9).

On the other hand, it is easy to check that the curve Γ_Q constructed in the proof of Main Lemma 3.1 satisfies $\tilde{P} \cap \Gamma_Q \cap E \neq \emptyset$, as required above in (b). Of course, the same happens with the “new” curves Γ_Q described above since the method of construction has not changed. This is due to the fact that the set K obtained in [To2, Lemma 4.5] is contained in $\text{supp}(\mu)$. This is not stated in [To2, Lemma 4.5], but it is easily seen. \square

Remark. *It is easy to check that one can always assume $\tilde{P} \subset 16Q$ in the statement (b) of Lemma 7.*

2.4. The curvature of $\phi_{\#}\sigma$. In Lemma 7 we have shown how to construct a corona type decomposition for a measure σ with linear growth and finite curvature. We will see below that ϕ sends this corona type decomposition into another corona decomposition in terms of ϕ -squares, and we will prove that the existence of such a decomposition implies that the curvature is finite. These will be the basic ingredients for the proof of Theorem 6.

First we introduce some notation. Given a family $\text{Top}(F)$ of 4-dyadic ϕ -squares and a fixed $Q \in \text{Top}(F)$, we denote by $\text{Stop}(Q)$ the subfamily of ϕ -squares which satisfy the properties (a), (b), (c) stated at the beginning of Subsection 2.3 (with squares replaced by ϕ -squares). The set $G(Q)$ is also defined as in Subsection 2.3, with ϕ -squares instead of squares.

Lemma 10. *Let τ be a Borel measure supported on a compact set $F \subset \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that $\tau(B(x, r)) \leq C_0 r$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$, $r > 0$. Let $\text{Top}(F)$ be a family of 4-dyadic 16-doubling ϕ -squares which contains some 4-dyadic ϕ -square R_0 such that $F = R_0$, and such that for each $Q \in \text{Top}(F)$ there exists a C_7 -AD regular curve Γ_Q satisfying:*

- (a) τ -almost every point in $G(Q)$ belongs to Γ_Q .
- (b) For each $P \in \text{Stop}(Q)$ there exists some ϕ -square \tilde{P} containing P such that $\delta_\tau(P, \tilde{P}) \leq C\theta_\tau(Q)$ and $\tilde{P} \cap \Gamma_Q \neq \emptyset$.
- (c) If P is a ϕ -square with $\ell(P) \leq \ell(Q)$ such that either $P \cap G(Q) \neq \emptyset$ or there is another ϕ -square $P' \in \text{Stop}(Q)$ such that $P \cap P' \neq \emptyset$ and $\ell(P') \leq \ell(P)$, then $\tau(P) \leq C\theta_\tau(Q)\ell(P)$.

Then,

$$c^2(\tau) \leq C \sum_{Q \in \text{Top}(F)} \theta_\tau(Q)^2 \tau(Q).$$

The proof of this lemma is almost the same as the one of [To2, Main Lemma 8.1], and so we omit the details.

In order to show that ϕ transforms a corona type decomposition like the one in Lemma 7 into another like the one of the preceding lemma we need the following result of MacManus [MM]:

Theorem 11. *Any M -bilipschitz map of a subset of a line or a circle into the plane has an extension to a $C(M)$ -bilipschitz map from the plane onto itself.*

We are ready to prove Theorem 6 now:

Proof of Theorem 6. We consider the measure σ and its corona type decomposition given by Lemma 7. It is straightforward to check that $\tau := \phi_{\#}\sigma$ has linear growth. We take the family $\text{Top}(F) = \phi(\text{Top}(E))$, and for $Q \in \text{Top}(F)$ with $Q = (Q_0, \phi(Q_0 \cap E))$, we define $\text{Stop}(Q) = \phi(\text{Stop}(Q_0))$.

To construct a regular curve Γ_Q such as the one required in Lemma 10, we consider the union of chord arc curves $\Gamma_{Q_0} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_0} \Gamma_{Q_0}^i$ of Lemma 7. Notice that we cannot set $\Gamma_Q = \phi(\Gamma_{Q_0})$ because ϕ is not defined on the whole set Γ_{Q_0} . By MacManus' theorem we can solve this problem easily. For each $i = 1, \dots, N_0$, let $\rho_i : \mathbb{R} \supset I \rightarrow \Gamma_{Q_0}^i$ be a bilipschitz parametrization of the chord arc curve $\Gamma_{Q_0}^i$. Consider the subset $\Gamma_{Q_0}^i \cap E$ and the bilipschitz map $\phi \circ \rho_i$, defined on $\rho_i^{-1}(\Gamma_{Q_0}^i \cap E) \subset \mathbb{R}$. By Theorem 11, $\phi \circ \rho_i$ has a bilipschitz extension f_i onto the whole complex plane. We consider the chord arc curve $\Gamma_i := f_i(\mathbb{R})$, and we set $\Gamma_Q := \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_0} \Gamma_i$ (and we add a finite number of segments if necessary to ensure that Γ_Q is a regular curve).

We have to show that the assumptions of Lemma 10 hold for the family $\text{Top}(F)$, their corresponding stopping squares, and the curves Γ_Q , $Q \in \text{Top}(F)$. Indeed, (a) and (c) are the translation of the corresponding statements (a) and (c) of Lemma 7. On the other hand, (b) is a consequence of the fact that if $P_0 \in \text{Stop}(Q_0)$ for some $Q_0 \in \text{Top}(E)$, and $\tilde{P}_0 \subset 16Q_0$ (recall Remark 2.3), and moreover we have

$$\delta_{\sigma}(P_0, \tilde{P}_0) \leq C_2 \theta_{\sigma}(Q_0),$$

then $P = (P_0, \phi(P_0 \cap E))$ and $\tilde{P} = (\tilde{P}_0, \phi(\tilde{P}_0 \cap E))$ satisfy

$$(12) \quad \delta_{\tau}(P, \tilde{P}) \lesssim \theta_{\tau}(Q).$$

To prove this estimate, recall that

$$\delta_{\tau}(P, \tilde{P}) := \int_{\tilde{P}_P \setminus 2P} \frac{1}{|y - z_P|} d\tau(y),$$

where z_P is some fixed point of P and \tilde{P}_P is the smallest ϕ -square concentric with P that contains \tilde{P} . Then, if we set $z_{P_0} = \phi^{-1}(z_P)$, we have

$$\delta_{\tau}(P, \tilde{P}) = \int_{(\tilde{P}_0)_{P_0} \setminus 2P_0} \frac{1}{|\phi(y) - \phi(z_{P_0})|} d\sigma(y) \simeq \int_{(\tilde{P}_0)_{P_0} \setminus 2P_0} \frac{1}{|y - z_{P_0}|} d\sigma(y).$$

Since $z_{P_0} \in P_0$, from the property (c) of Lemma 7, it follows easily that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(\tilde{P}_0)_{P_0} \setminus 2P_0} \frac{1}{|y - z_{P_0}|} d\sigma(y) &\leq \int_{(\tilde{P}_0)_{P_0} \setminus 2P_0} \frac{1}{|y - x_{P_0}|} d\sigma(y) + C\theta_\sigma(Q_0) \\ &= \delta(P_0, \tilde{P}_0) + C\theta_\sigma(Q_0) \leq C\theta_\sigma(Q_0) \simeq \theta_\tau(Q). \end{aligned}$$

(recall that x_{P_0} is the center of P_0 , which may not coincide with z_{P_0}), and so (12) holds.

Thus from Lemmas 10 and 7 we infer that

$$c^2(\tau) \lesssim C \sum_{Q \in \text{Top}(F)} \theta_\tau(Q)^2 \tau(Q) \simeq \sum_{Q_0 \in \text{Top}(E)} \theta_\sigma(Q_0)^2 \sigma(Q_0) \lesssim \sigma(E) + c^2(\sigma).$$

□

3. Proof of Corollary 4

This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3. Let $F \subset E \cap \Omega$ be compact. Obviously, $\gamma(F) = 0$. Cover F by a finite number of closed balls B_i , $1 \leq i \leq N$, of diameter $\delta/2$. Since $\phi : F \cap B_i \rightarrow \phi(F \cap B_i)$ is bilipschitz, we have

$$\gamma(\phi(F \cap B_i)) \simeq \gamma(F \cap B_i) = 0$$

for all i . This implies that $\gamma(\phi(F)) = 0$ (this is a consequence of the semiadditivity of γ , but it can be proven by much simpler arguments). Since this holds for any compact set $\phi(F) \subset \phi(E \cap \Omega)$, we have $\gamma(\phi(E \cap \Omega)) = 0$. □

4. Proof of Theorem 1

In all this section, μ is a compactly supported Beltrami coefficient belonging to the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a μ -quasiconformal mapping. There is no restriction if we normalize ϕ in such a way that $\phi(z) - z = O(1/|z|)$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. We can assume also that $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \mathbb{D}$.

We start by giving some auxiliary results. The first one is from [CFMOZ].

Lemma 12. *Let $\mu \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$ be a compactly supported Beltrami coefficient. Let ϕ be μ -quasiconformal, and let $E \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then,*

$$\mathcal{H}^1(E) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{H}^1(\phi(E)) = 0.$$

The following result establishes that the means of the Jacobian determinant of a quasiconformal mapping behave precisely as an incremental quotient.

Lemma 13. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a K -quasiconformal mapping. Let $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $z \neq w$, and $D = D(z, |z - w|)$. Then,*

$$\frac{|\phi(z) - \phi(w)|}{|z - w|} \simeq \left(\frac{1}{|D|} \int_D J(z, \phi) dA(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

with constants that depend only on K .

Proof. Let $r = |w - z|$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(w) - \phi(z)| &\leq \max_{|\zeta - z| = r} |\phi(\zeta) - \phi(z)| \leq C_K \min_{|\zeta - z| = r} |\phi(\zeta) - \phi(z)| \\ &\leq C_K \left(\frac{|\phi(D(z, r))|}{\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C_K |z - w| \left(\frac{1}{|D(z, r)|} \int_{D(z, r)} J(\zeta, \phi) dA(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The converse inequality can be obtained analogously. \square

Recall that if E is any compact set on the plane, the $(1, p)$ -Riesz capacity of E is defined as

$$C_{1,p}(E) = \inf \{ \|D\psi\|_p^p \}$$

where the infimum is taken over all compactly supported $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ with $\psi \geq \chi_E$. One obtains the same quantity if one simply assumes $\psi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{C})$. Recall also that if $C_{1,p}(E) = 0$ for some p with $1 < p < 2$, then $\mathcal{H}^1(E) = 0$. For more details about Riesz capacities, see [AH], for example.

Proof of Theorem 1. Notice first that ϕ is conformal out of \mathbb{D} , so that by normalization and Koebe's 1/4-Theorem, we have $\phi(\mathbb{D}) \subset 4\mathbb{D}$. In particular, ϕ^{-1} is conformal out of $4\mathbb{D}$.

Since $\mu \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$ is compactly supported we know (see for instance [CFMOZ, Proposition 3]) that there exists $q \in (1, 2)$ such that $\phi, \phi^{-1} \in W_{loc}^{2,q}(\mathbb{C})$. Thus, $D\phi, D\phi^{-1} \in W_{loc}^{1,q}$ and by [AH, Theorem 6.2.1] both $D\phi$ and $D\phi^{-1}$ admit $C_{1,q}$ -quasicontinuous representatives and one can find a decreasing sequence of open sets $U_{i+1} \subset U_i \subset \mathbb{D}$ and real numbers $r_i > 0$ such that:

- $C_{1,q}(U_i) < 2^{-i}$.
- $D\phi$ is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus U_i$.
- $\frac{1}{|D(z, r)|} \int_{D(z, r)} |D\phi - D\phi(z)| dA < 1$ for each $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus U_i$ and each $0 < r < r_i$.

Analogously, there exists a sequence of decreasing open sets $V_{i+1} \subset V_i \subset 4\mathbb{D}$ and real numbers $\tilde{r}_i > 0$ such that

- $C_{1,q}(V_i) < 2^{-i}$.
- $D\phi^{-1}$ is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus V_i$.
- $\frac{1}{|D(w, r)|} \int_{D(w, r)} |D\phi^{-1} - D\phi^{-1}(w)| dA < 1$ for each $w \in 4\mathbb{D} \setminus V_i$ and each $0 < r < \tilde{r}_i$.

If we now put $Z = \cap_i U_i$, then

$$C_{1,q}(Z) \leq \lim_i C_{1,q}(U_i) = 0.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{H}^1(Z) = 0$ and by Lemma 12 also $\mathcal{H}^1(\phi(Z)) = 0$. Further, if we denote $W_i = \phi^{-1}(V_i)$ and $Z' = \cap_i W_i$, then

$$C_{1,q}(\phi(Z')) \leq \lim_i C_{1,q}(V_i) = 0$$

so that $\mathcal{H}^1(\phi(Z')) = 0$, and thus Lemma 12 implies that $\mathcal{H}^1(Z') = 0$.

Define

$$F_{i,j} = \{z \in E \setminus (U_i \cup W_i) : |D\phi(z)| \leq j \text{ and } |D\phi^{-1}(\phi(z))| \leq j\}.$$

Our first claim is that the restriction $\phi|_{F_{i,j}} : F_{i,j} \rightarrow \phi(F_{i,j})$ is locally bilipschitz. To show this, let $z \in F_{i,j}$. Then, if $|w - z| \leq r_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\phi(z) - \phi(w)|}{|z - w|} &\simeq \frac{1}{D(z, |z - w|)} \int_{D(z, |z - w|)} J\phi \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{D(z, |z - w|)} \int_{D(z, |z - w|)} |D\phi|^2 \\ &\simeq \left(\frac{1}{D(z, |z - w|)} \int_{D(z, |z - w|)} |D\phi| \right)^2 \\ &\leq (1 + |D\phi(z)|)^2 \leq (1 + j)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, if we write $w' = \phi(w)$ and $z' = \phi(z)$, then if $|z' - w'| \leq \tilde{r}_i$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|z - w|}{|\phi(z) - \phi(w)|} &= \frac{|\phi^{-1}(w') - \phi^{-1}(z')|}{|w' - z'|} \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{D(z', |z' - w'|)} \int_{D(z', |z' - w'|)} J\phi^{-1} \\ &\simeq \left(\frac{1}{D(z', |z' - w'|)} \int_{D(z', |z' - w'|)} |D\phi^{-1}| \right)^2 \\ &\leq (1 + |D\phi^{-1}(z')|)^2 \leq (1 + j)^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the uniform continuity of ϕ , there exists $s_i \in (0, r_i)$ such that $|z - w| \leq s_i$ implies $|z' - w'| < \tilde{r}_i$ and

$$\frac{1}{C(1+j)^2} \leq \frac{|\phi(z) - \phi(w)|}{|z - w|} \leq C(1+j)^2.$$

whenever $z \in F_{i,j}$ and $|z - w| \leq s_i$, and where C is a constant that depends only on K . This means that ϕ is locally bilipschitz on $F_{i,j}$.

We now compute the analytic capacity of $\phi(E)$. First, E can be decomposed as

$$E = (E \cap (Z \cup Z')) \cup (E \setminus (Z \cup Z')).$$

Both $\phi(Z)$ and $\phi(Z')$ have vanishing analytic capacity, because they have zero length and $\gamma(F) \leq C\mathcal{H}^1(F)$ for any set $F \subset \mathbb{C}$. Thus, using the semiadditivity of γ , we get

$$\gamma(\phi(E)) \leq C\gamma(\phi(E) \setminus \phi(Z \cup Z')).$$

Now, notice that since U_i and W_i are monotonically decreasing,

$$\begin{aligned} E \setminus (Z \cup Z') &= E \setminus ((\cap_i U_i) \cup (\cap_i W_i)) \\ &= E \setminus \left(\bigcap_i (U_i \cup W_i) \right) \\ &= \bigcup_i E \setminus (U_i \cup W_i). \end{aligned}$$

Also, it is easy to see that

$$E \setminus (U_i \cup W_i) \subset \bigcup_j F_{i,j}.$$

for each i . Therefore,

$$E \setminus (Z \cup Z') \subset \bigcup_{i,j} (E \cap F_{i,j}).$$

Now, since ϕ is locally bilipschitz on each $F_{i,j}$, by Corollary 4,

$$\gamma(\phi(E \setminus (Z \cup Z' \cup X \cup Y))) \leq C \sum_{i,j} \gamma(\phi(E \cap F_{i,j})) = 0$$

because $\gamma(E \cap F_{i,j}) \leq \gamma(E) = 0$ for each i, j . This finishes the proof. \square

Remark. *Theorem 1 also holds under the weaker assumption that ϕ is a K -quasiconformal mapping with compactly supported $\mu \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{C})$ and $p > 2K^2/(K^2 + 1)$, instead of $\mu \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$. The proof is very similar to the one of Theorem 1. One uses the same arguments and the fact that $\phi, \phi^{-1} \in W_{loc}^{2,q}$ for $q < q_0$, $\frac{1}{q_0} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{K-1}{2K}$, by [CFMOZ].*

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to P. Koskela for his inspiring suggestion on Theorem 1. A. C. was supported by grants MTM2007-60062, 2005-SGR-00774, and by the European Union GALA project, contract n.028766, and project MRTN-CT-2006-035651 CODY of the European Commission; and X.T. by MTM2007-62817 and 2005-SGR-00774.

References

- [AH] D. R. Adams, L. I. Hedberg, *Function spaces and potential theory*, Grundle Math. Wiss. 314, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1996).
- [Ah1] L. V. Ahlfors, *Lectures on quasiconformal mappings*, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Advanced Books & Software, Monterey, CA (1987).
- [Ah2] L. V. Ahlfors, *Bounded analytic functions*, Duke Math. J. **14** (1947), 1-11.
- [As] K. Astala, *Area distortion of quasiconformal mappings*, Acta Math. **173** (1994), 37-60.
- [CFMOZ] A. Clop, D. Faraco, J. Mateu, J. Orobitg, X. Zhong, *Beltrami equations with coefficient in the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}$* , to appear in Publ. Mat. 53 (2009), no. 1.
- [Da1] G. David, *Unrectifiable 1-sets have vanishing analytic capacity*, Revista Mat. Iberoamericana (2) **14** (1998), 369-479.
- [GM] J. B. Garnett, D. E. Marshall, *Harmonic measure*, New Mathematical Monographs, 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2005).

- [Iw] T. Iwaniec, *L^p -theory of quasiregular mappings*, in *Quasiconformal space mappings*, 39–64, Lecture Notes in Math., 1508, Springer, Berlin (1992).
- [Jo] P.W. Jones, *Rectifiable sets and the travelling salesman problem*, Invent. Math. **102** (1990), 1–15.
- [MM] P. MacManus, *Bi-Lipschitz extensions in the plane*, J. Anal. Math. **66** (1995), 85–115.
- [MOV] J. Mateu, J. Orobitg, J. Verdera, *Extra cancellation of even Caldern-Zygmund operators and Quasiconformal mappings*, Preprint.
- [To2] X. Tolsa, *Painlevé’s problem and the semiadditivity of analytic capacity*, Acta Math. **190** (2003), 105–149.
- [To2] X. Tolsa, *Bilipschitz maps, analytic capacity, and the Cauchy integral*, Ann. of Math. **162** (2005), 1243–1304.
- [Ve1] J. Verdera, *Removability, capacity and approximation*. In “Complex Potential Theory”, (Montreal, PQ, 1993), NATO Adv. Sci. Int. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. 439, Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, 1994, pp. 419–473.

ICREA (INSTITUCIÓ CATALANA DE RECERCA I ESTUDIS AVANÇATS) AND DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, FACULTAT DE CIÈNCIES, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA, 08193-BELLATERRA, BARCELONA, CATALONIA.

E-mail address: xtolsa@mat.uab.cat

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, FACULTAT DE CIÈNCIES, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA, 08193-BELLATERRA, BARCELONA, CATALONIA.

E-mail address: albertcp@mat.uab.cat

Current address: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35 (MaD), FIN-40014, University of Jyväskylä, Finland

URL: www.mat.uab.cat/~albertcp