

**VARIANCE OF THE EXPONENTS OF ORBIFOLD  
LANDAU–GINZBURG MODELS**

WOLFGANG EBELING AND ATSUSHI TAKAHASHI

ABSTRACT. We prove a formula for the variance of the set of exponents of a non-degenerate weighted homogeneous polynomial with an action of a diagonal subgroup of  $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Introduction**

Let  $X$  be a smooth compact Kähler manifold of dimension  $n$ . The Hodge numbers  $h^{p,q}(X) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^q(X, \Omega_X^p)$ ,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , are some of the most important numerical invariants of  $X$ . They satisfy

$$h^{p,q}(X) = h^{q,p}(X), \quad p, q \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and the Serre duality

$$h^{p,q}(X) = h^{n-p, n-q}(X), \quad p, q \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The Euler number  $\chi(X)$  can also be written in terms of the Hodge numbers as

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{p+q} h^{p,q}(X).$$

One can easily calculate the expectation value of the distribution  $\{q \in \mathbb{Z} \mid h^{p,q}(X) \neq 0\}$ , which is given by the formula

$$\sum_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{p+q} q \cdot h^{p,q}(X) = \frac{1}{2} n \cdot \chi(X).$$

Equivalently, this can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{p+q} \left( q - \frac{n}{2} \right) h^{p,q}(X) = 0.$$

This means nothing else but that the mean of the distribution  $\{q \in \mathbb{Z} \mid h^{p,q}(X) \neq 0\}$  is  $n/2$ . It is then natural to ask what is the variance of this distribution. A formula for this variance was given by Libgober and Wood [9] and Borisov [2]:

**Theorem 1 (Libgober–Wood, Borisov).** *One has*

$$(0.1) \quad \sum_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{p+q} \left( q - \frac{n}{2} \right)^2 h^{p,q}(X) = \frac{1}{12} n \cdot \chi(X) + \frac{1}{6} \int_X c_1(X) \cup c_{n-1}(X),$$

where  $c_i(X)$  denotes the  $i$ th Chern class of  $X$ .

---

Received by the editors June 22, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32S25, 32S35, 14L30.

If the first Chern class,  $c_1(X)$  is numerically zero, then the above formula becomes

$$(0.2) \quad \sum_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{p+q} \left(q - \frac{n}{2}\right)^2 h^{p,q}(X) = \frac{1}{12} n \cdot \chi(X).$$

Similar phenomena were discovered in singularity theory. Let us consider a polynomial  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with an isolated singularity at the origin. There, the analogue of the set  $\{q \in \mathbb{Z} \mid h^{p,q}(X) \neq 0\}$  above will be the set of the *exponents* of  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , which is a set of rational numbers and is also one of the most important numerical invariants defined by the mixed Hodge structure associated to  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Let us give two important examples.

First, suppose that  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a non-degenerate weighted homogeneous polynomial, namely, a polynomial with an isolated singularity at the origin with the property that there are positive rational numbers  $w_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , such that

$$f(\lambda^{w_1} x_1, \dots, \lambda^{w_n} x_n) = \lambda f(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$$

We have the following properties of the exponents of  $f$ :

**Theorem 2 (cf. [10]).** *Let  $q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \dots \leq q_\mu$  be the exponents of  $f$ , where  $\mu$  is the Milnor number of  $f$  defined by*

$$\mu := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \Big/ \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right).$$

*Then one has*

$$\mu = (-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{w_i}\right)$$

*and*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} y^{q_i - \frac{n}{2}} = (-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{y^{\frac{1}{2}} - y^{w_i - \frac{1}{2}}}{1 - y^{w_i}}.$$

*In particular, one has a duality of exponents  $q_i + q_{\mu-i+1} = n$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \mu$ , and hence*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} q_i = \frac{1}{2} n \cdot \mu.$$

The following formula was proven by Hertling [6] in the context of Frobenius manifolds and an elementary proof was given by Dimca [3].

**Theorem 3 (Hertling, Dimca).** *Let  $q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \dots \leq q_\mu$  be the exponents of  $f$ . One has*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \left(q_i - \frac{n}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{12} \hat{c} \cdot \mu, \quad \hat{c} := n - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i.$$

Next, consider the polynomial  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) := x_1^{\alpha_1} + x_2^{\alpha_2} + x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_1 x_2 x_3$  such that  $1/\alpha_1 + 1/\alpha_2 + 1/\alpha_3 < 1$ . We have the following properties of the exponents of  $f$ :

**Theorem 4** (cf. [1]). *The set of exponents  $\{q_i\}$  of  $f$  is given by*

$$\left\{ 1, \frac{1}{\alpha_1} + 1, \frac{2}{\alpha_1} + 1, \dots, \frac{\alpha_1 - 1}{\alpha_1} + 1, \frac{1}{\alpha_2} + 1, \frac{2}{\alpha_2} + 1, \dots, \frac{\alpha_2 - 1}{\alpha_2} + 1, \frac{1}{\alpha_3} + 1, \frac{2}{\alpha_3} + 1, \dots, \frac{\alpha_3 - 1}{\alpha_3} + 1, 2 \right\}.$$

*In particular, one has*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \left( q_i - \frac{3}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{12}\mu + \frac{1}{6}\chi, \quad \chi := 2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_i} - 1 \right).$$

The purpose of this paper is to generalize these results to pairs  $(f, G)$ , where  $G \subset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  is a finite abelian subgroup leaving  $f$  invariant. If  $f$  is weighted homogeneous, such a pair is also called an *orbifold Landau–Ginzburg model* because  $f$  is the potential of such a model. Our main theorem in this paper is Theorem 19. The generalization of Theorem 4 is given as Theorem 21. The similarity between smooth compact Kähler manifolds and isolated hypersurface singularities with a group action is not an accident but a matter of course. Mirror symmetry predicts a correspondence between Landau–Ginzburg models and (non-commutative) Calabi–Yau orbifolds. For example, a mirror partner of a weighted homogeneous polynomial with a group action is a fractional Calabi–Yau manifold of dimension  $\hat{c}$ , which has lead us to the statement of Theorem 19.

### 1. Basic properties of E-functions

Let  $G$  be a finite abelian subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  acting diagonally on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . For  $g \in G$ , we denote by  $\mathrm{Fix} g := \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid g \cdot x = x\}$  the fixed locus of  $g$  and by  $n_g := \dim \mathrm{Fix} g$  its dimension.

We first introduce the notion of the age of an element of a finite group as follows:

**Definition** ([8]). Let  $g \in G$  be an element and  $r$  be the order of  $g$ . Then  $g$  has a unique expression of the following form:

$$g = \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{e}[a_1/r], \dots, \mathbf{e}[a_n/r]) \quad \text{with } 0 \leq a_i < r,$$

where  $\mathbf{e}[-] = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\cdot}$ . Such an element  $g$  is often simply denoted by

$$g = \frac{1}{r}(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

The *age* of  $g$  is defined as

$$\mathrm{age}(g) := \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i.$$

Since we assume that  $G \subset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , the number  $\mathrm{age}(g)$  is a non-negative integer for all  $g \in G$ .

**Definition.** An element  $g \in G$  of age 1 with  $\mathrm{Fix} g = \{0\}$  is called a *junior element*. The number of junior elements is denoted by  $j_G$ .

Let  $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a polynomial with an isolated singularity at the origin, which is invariant under the natural action of  $G$ . For  $g \in G$ , set  $f^g := f|_{\mathrm{Fix} g}$ .

**Proposition 5.** *The function  $f^g$  has an isolated singularity at the origin.*

*Proof.* Since  $G$  acts diagonally on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we may assume that  $\text{Fix } g = \{x_{n_g+1} = \cdots = x_n = 0\}$  by a suitable renumbering of indices. Since  $f$  is invariant under  $G$ ,  $g \cdot x_i \neq x_i$  for  $i = n_g + 1, \dots, n$  and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n_g+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}$  form a regular sequence, we have

$$\left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n_g+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right) \subset (x_{n_g+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_{n_g}\} \Big/ \left( \frac{\partial f^g}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f^g}{\partial x_{n_g}} \right) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \Big/ \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n_g}}, x_{n_g+1}, \dots, x_n \right) \\ &\leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \Big/ \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

We shall associate to  $f$  the following bi-graded vector space:

**Definition.** Let  $H^{n-1}(Y_{\infty}, \mathbb{C})$  be the vanishing cohomology of  $f$  on which Steenbrink constructed a canonical mixed Hodge structure in [10]. Denote by  $F^{\bullet}$  the Hodge filtration on  $H^{n-1}(Y_{\infty}, \mathbb{C})$ .

Define the bi-graded vector space  $\mathcal{H}_f := \bigoplus_{p,q \in \mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{H}_f^{p,q}$  as

- (i) If  $p + q \neq n$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_f^{p,q} := 0$ .
- (ii) If  $p + q = n$  and  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$\mathcal{H}_f^{p,q} := \text{Gr}_{F^{\bullet}}^p H^{n-1}(Y_{\infty}, \mathbb{C})_1.$$

- (iii) If  $p + q = n$  and  $p \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$\mathcal{H}_f^{p,q} := \text{Gr}_{F^{\bullet}}^{[p]} H^{n-1}(Y_{\infty}, \mathbb{C})_{e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}p}},$$

where  $[p]$  is the largest integer less than  $p$ .

We shall use the fact that  $\mathcal{H}_{f^g}$  admits a natural  $G$ -action by restricting the  $G$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\text{Fix } g$  (which is well-defined since  $G$  acts diagonally on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ).

To the pair  $(f, G)$  we can associate the following bi-graded vector space:

**Definition.** Define the bi-graded  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{H}_{f,G}$  as

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{H}_{f,G} := \bigoplus_{g \in G} (\mathcal{H}_{f^g})^G(-\text{age}(g), -\text{age}(g)),$$

where  $(\mathcal{H}_{f^g})^G$  denotes the  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathcal{H}_{f^g}$ .

Since the bi-graded vector space  $\mathcal{H}_{f,G}$  is the analog of  $\bigoplus_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} H^q(X, \Omega_X^p)$  for a smooth compact Kähler manifold  $X$ , we introduce the following notion:

**Definition.** The *Hodge numbers* for the pair  $(f, G)$  are

$$h^{p,q}(f, G) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{H}_{f,G}^{p,q}, \quad p, q \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

**Definition.** The rational number  $q$  with  $\mathcal{H}_{f,G}^{p,q} \neq 0$  is called an *exponent* of the pair  $(f, G)$ . The *set of exponents* of the pair  $(f, G)$  is the multi-set of exponents

$$\{q * h^{p,q}(f, G) \mid p, q \in \mathbb{Q}, h^{p,q}(f, G) \neq 0\},$$

where by  $u * v$  we denote  $v$  copies of the rational number  $u$ .

Note that  $p + q \in \mathbb{Z}$  for the rational number  $q$  with  $h^{p,q}(f, G) \neq 0$  since  $G \subset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Definition.** The E-function for the pair  $(f, G)$  is

$$(1.2) \quad E(f, G)(t, \bar{t}) := \sum_{p, q \in \mathbb{Q}} (-1)^{(p-n)+q} h^{p,q}(f, G) \cdot t^{p-\frac{n}{2}} \bar{t}^{q-\frac{n}{2}}.$$

**Definition.** The *Milnor number* for the pair  $(f, G)$  is

$$\mu_{(f,G)} := E(f, G)(1, 1) = \sum_{p, q \in \mathbb{Q}} (-1)^{(p-n)+q} h^{p,q}(f, G).$$

**Theorem 6.** Assume that  $f$  is a non-degenerate weighted homogeneous polynomial. Write  $g \in G$  in the form  $(\lambda_1(g), \dots, \lambda_n(g))$  where  $\lambda_i(g) = \mathbf{e}[a_i w_i]$ . The E-function for the pair  $(f, G)$  is given by the following formula:

$$(1.3) \quad E(f, G)(t, \bar{t}) = \sum_{g \in G} E_g(f, G)(t, \bar{t}),$$

$$E_g(f, G)(t, \bar{t}) := (-1)^n \left( \prod_{a_i w_i \notin \mathbb{Z}} (t\bar{t})^{w_i a_i - [w_i a_i] - \frac{1}{2}} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} \prod_{a_i w_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\left(\frac{\bar{t}}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda_i(h) \left(\frac{\bar{t}}{t}\right)^{w_i - \frac{1}{2}}}{1 - \lambda_i(h) \left(\frac{\bar{t}}{t}\right)^{w_i}}.$$

Here  $[a]$  for  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$  denotes the largest integer less than or equal to  $a$ .

*Proof.* Theorem 2 enables us to obtain  $E_g(f, G)(t, \bar{t})$ . In particular, the term

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} (-1)^{n_g} \prod_{a_i w_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\left(\frac{\bar{t}}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda_i(h) \left(\frac{\bar{t}}{t}\right)^{w_i - \frac{1}{2}}}{1 - \lambda_i(h) \left(\frac{\bar{t}}{t}\right)^{w_i}}$$

calculates the  $G$ -invariant part of  $E(f^g, \{1\})(t, \bar{t})$  and the term

$$(-1)^{n-n_g} \prod_{w_i a_i \notin \mathbb{Z}} (t\bar{t})^{w_i a_i - [w_i a_i] - \frac{1}{2}}$$

gives the contribution from the age shift  $(-\mathrm{age}(g), -\mathrm{age}(g))$ .  $\square$

We have the following properties of the Hodge numbers  $h^{p,q}(f, G)$ .

**Corollary 7.** Assume that  $f$  is a non-degenerate weighted homogeneous polynomial. We have

$$h^{p,q}(f, G) = h^{q,p}(f, G), \quad p, q \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

In other words, we have

$$E(f, G)(t, \bar{t}) = E(f, G)(\bar{t}, t).$$

*Proof.* This is shown by an elementary direct calculation.  $\square$

**Corollary 8.** *Assume that  $f$  is a non-degenerate weighted homogeneous polynomial. The Hodge numbers satisfy the “Serre duality”*

$$h^{p,q}(f, G) = h^{n-p, n-q}(f, G), \quad p, q \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

*In other words, we have*

$$E(f, G)(t, \bar{t}) = E(f, G)(t^{-1}, \bar{t}^{-1}).$$

*Proof.* By using the formula

$$w_i(-a_i) - [w_i(-a_i)] - \frac{1}{2} = -w_i a_i + [w_i a_i] + \frac{1}{2},$$

an easy calculation yields the formula.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.** *Assume that  $f$  is a non-degenerate weighted homogeneous polynomial. The mean of the set of exponents of  $(f, G)$  is  $n/2$ . Namely, we have*

$$\sum_{p, q \in \mathbb{Q}} (-1)^{(p-n)+q} \left(q - \frac{n}{2}\right) h^{p,q}(f, G) = 0.$$

*Proof.* This is obvious from the previous corollary.  $\square$

**Definition.** Define the *variance of the set of exponents* of  $(f, G)$  by

$$\text{Var}_{(f, G)} := \sum_{p, q \in \mathbb{Q}} (-1)^{(p-n)+q} \left(q - \frac{n}{2}\right)^2 h^{p,q}(f, G).$$

In order to state our formula for the variance, we introduce the following notion of dimension for a polynomial  $f$  with an isolated singularity at the origin.

**Definition.** The non-negative rational number  $\hat{c}$  defined as the difference of the maximal exponent of the pair  $(f, \{1\})$  and the minimal exponent of the pair  $(f, \{1\})$  is called the *dimension* of  $f$ .

**Proposition 10.** *Assume that  $f$  is a non-degenerate weighted homogeneous polynomial. The dimension  $\hat{c}$  of  $f$  is given by*

$$\hat{c} := n - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i.$$

*Proof.* It easily follows from Theorem 2 that the maximal exponent and the minimal exponent are given by  $n - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i$ , respectively.  $\square$

It is natural from the mirror symmetry point of view to expect that the variance of the set of exponents of  $(f, G)$  should be given by

$$(1.4) \quad \text{Var}_{(f, G)} = \frac{1}{12} \hat{c} \cdot \mu_{(f, G)}.$$

This will be proved in the next section.

## 2. Variance of the exponents

**Definition.** The  $\chi_y$ -genus for the pair  $(f, G)$  is

$$\chi(f, G)(y) := E(f, G)(1, y).$$

We have

$$\chi(f, G)(y) = (-1)^n \sum_{g \in G} \left( y^{\text{age}(g) - \frac{n-n_g}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} \prod_{\lambda_i(g)=1} \frac{y^{\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda_i(h)y^{w_i - \frac{1}{2}}}{1 - \lambda_i(h)y^{w_i}} \right).$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{(f, G)} &= \lim_{y \rightarrow 1} \chi(f, G)(y), \\ \text{Var}_{(f, G)} &= \lim_{y \rightarrow 1} \frac{d}{dy} \left( y \frac{d}{dy} \chi(f, G)(y) \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 11.** *Let*

$$p_i(y) := \frac{y^{\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda_i(h)y^{w_i - \frac{1}{2}}}{1 - \lambda_i(h)y^{w_i}}.$$

(i) *For  $\lambda_i(h) = 1$  one has*

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 1} p_i(y) = 1 - \frac{1}{w_i}, \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow 1} \frac{\frac{d}{dy} p_i(y)}{p_i(y)} = 0, \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow 1} \frac{d}{dy} \left( y \frac{\frac{d}{dy} p_i(y)}{p_i(y)} \right) = \frac{1 - 2w_i}{12}.$$

(ii) *For  $\lambda_i(h) \neq 1$  one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{y \rightarrow 1} p_i(y) &= 1, \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow 1} \frac{\frac{d}{dy} p_i(y)}{p_i(y)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + \lambda_i(h)}{1 - \lambda_i(h)}, \\ \lim_{y \rightarrow 1} \frac{d}{dy} \left( y \frac{\frac{d}{dy} p_i(y)}{p_i(y)} \right) &= -\frac{(1 - 2w_i)\lambda_i(h)}{(1 - \lambda_i(h))^2}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For (i) see the proof of [3, Proposition 5.2]. Statement (ii) follows from a similar elementary but tedious computation.  $\square$

Let  $I_0 := \{1, \dots, n\}$  and let  $H \subset G$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . For a subset  $I \subset I_0$  ( $I = \emptyset$  is admitted) let  $H^I$  be the maximal subgroup of  $H$  fixing the coordinates  $x_i$ ,  $i \in I$ .

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $H \subset G$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and  $i \in I_0$ . Then*

$$\sum_{h \in H \setminus H^{\{i\}}} \frac{1 + \lambda_i(h)}{1 - \lambda_i(h)} = 0$$

*Proof.* One has

$$\sum_{h \in H \setminus H^{\{i\}}} \frac{1 + \lambda_i(h)}{1 - \lambda_i(h)} = \sum_{h \in H \setminus H^{\{i\}}} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_i(h)} + \sum_{h \in H \setminus H^{\{i\}}} \frac{1}{\lambda_i(h^{-1}) - 1} = 0.$$

$\square$

**Proposition 13.** *Let  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $r \geq 2$ , and  $\zeta_r = \mathbf{e}[1/r]$  be a primitive  $r$ th root of unity. Then one has*

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{\zeta_r^k}{(1 - \zeta_r^k)^2} = \frac{r^2 - 1}{12}.$$

*Proof.* One has

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{\zeta_r^k}{(1 - \zeta_r^k)^2} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} q'(t) \text{ where } q(t) := -\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_r^k t}.$$

One can easily see that

$$q(t) = \frac{-r \left( \sum_{k=0}^{r-2} t^k \right) + \sum_{k=0}^{r-2} (k+1)t^k}{\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} t^k}.$$

This implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} q'(t) = \frac{1}{r^2} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{r-2} k(k-r+1)r - \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} (\ell-r) \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} k \right) \right] = \frac{r^2 - 1}{12}.$$

□

**Corollary 14.** *Let  $H \subset G$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and  $i \in I_0$ . Then*

$$-\sum_{h \in H \setminus H^{\{i\}}} \frac{\lambda_i(h)}{(1 - \lambda_i(h))^2} = \frac{|H \cap H^{\{i\}}| (|H/H \cap H^{\{i\}}|^2 - 1)}{12}.$$

*Proof.* The image of the factor group  $H/H \cap H^{\{i\}}$  under the induced character  $\lambda_i : H/H \cap H^{\{i\}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is a finite abelian subgroup of the unit circle  $S^1$  and hence cyclic. Therefore, the formula follows from Proposition 13. □

Let

$$((x)) := \begin{cases} x - [x] - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}, x \notin \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 15.** *Let  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $r \geq 2$ ,  $\zeta_r = \mathbf{e}[1/r]$  be a primitive  $r$ th root of unity, and  $a, b$  be integers satisfying  $0 < a, b < r$ . Then one has*

$$\frac{1}{4r} \sum_{\substack{k=1, \\ r \nmid ak, bk}}^{r-1} \frac{1 + \zeta_r^{ak}}{1 - \zeta_r^{ak}} \frac{1 + \zeta_r^{bk}}{1 - \zeta_r^{bk}} = -\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \left( \left( \frac{ak}{r} \right) \right) \left( \left( \frac{bk}{r} \right) \right).$$

**Remark 16.** The right-hand side of the formula of Proposition 15 is a generalized Dedekind sum and Proposition 15 is a slight generalization of [7, 5.2 Theorem 1], since

$$\frac{1 + \mathbf{e}[x]}{1 - \mathbf{e}[x]} = \sqrt{-1} \cot \pi x$$

for any real number  $x$ . The difference is that [7, 5.2 Theorem 1] is only formulated for integers  $a, b$  prime to  $r$ .



*Proof of Proposition 15.* We follow the proof of [7, 5.2 Theorem 1]. For simplicity, we assume  $b = 1$ . By the formula [7, 5.2 (2)], which goes back to Eisenstein [5], we have

$$\left(\left(\frac{q}{r}\right)\right) = -\frac{1}{2r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} \zeta_r^{\ell q} \frac{\zeta_r^\ell + 1}{\zeta_r^\ell - 1}$$

for any integers  $q$  and  $r$ . (Note that there is a minor misprint in [7, 5.2 (2)].) Applying this formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} \left(\left(\frac{a\ell}{r}\right)\right) \left(\left(\frac{\ell}{r}\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^r \left(\left(\frac{a\ell}{r}\right)\right) \left(\left(\frac{\ell}{r}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{4r^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^r \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \zeta_r^{(m+ak)\ell} \frac{\zeta_r^m + 1}{\zeta_r^m - 1} \frac{\zeta_r^k + 1}{\zeta_r^k - 1} \\ &= \frac{1}{4r} \sum_{\substack{k=1, \\ r \nmid ak}}^{r-1} \frac{\zeta_r^{-ak} + 1}{\zeta_r^{-ak} - 1} \frac{\zeta_r^k + 1}{\zeta_r^k - 1} = -\frac{1}{4r} \sum_{\substack{k=1, \\ r \nmid ak}}^{r-1} \frac{1 + \zeta_r^{ak}}{1 - \zeta_r^{ak}} \frac{1 + \zeta_r^k}{1 - \zeta_r^k}, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^r \zeta_r^{(m+ak)\ell} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m + ak \not\equiv 0 \pmod{r}, \\ r & \text{if } m + ak \equiv 0 \pmod{r}. \end{cases}$$

□

**Corollary 17.** *Let  $K \subset J \subset I_0$ . Then*

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{h \in G^K} \left( \sum_{\substack{j \in J \setminus K, \\ \lambda_j(h) \neq 1}} \frac{1 + \lambda_j(h)}{1 - \lambda_j(h)} \right)^2 = -|G^K| \sum_{h \in G^K} \left( \sum_{j \in J \setminus K} ((a_j w_j)) \right)^2,$$

where  $\lambda_j(h) = \mathbf{e}[a_j w_j]$  for all  $h \in G^K$  and  $j \in J \setminus K$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 15 by the same arguments as in the proof of Corollary 14. □

**Proposition 18.** *For a non-degenerate weighted homogeneous polynomial  $f$ , one has*

$$(2.1) \quad \mu_{(f,G)} = \frac{(-1)^n}{|G|} \left\{ \sum_{I \subset I_0} \prod_{i \in I} \left(1 - \frac{1}{w_i}\right) \left[ \sum_{I \subset J \subset I_0} (-1)^{|J|-|I|} |G^J|^2 \right] \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $J \subset I_0$ . Let  $G_J$  be the set of elements  $g \in G$  with  $\lambda_j(g) = 1$  for  $j \in J$  and  $\lambda_j(g) \neq 1$  for  $j \notin J$ , i.e., the set of elements of  $G$  which fix the coordinates  $x_j$ ,  $j \in J$ , and only these coordinates. Then

$$|G_J| = \sum_{\substack{K, \\ J \subset K \subset I_0}} (-1)^{|K|-|J|} |G^K|.$$

Let  $I \subset J$ . Let  $G_{I,J}$  be the set of elements  $g$  of  $G$  with  $\lambda_i(g) = 1$  for  $i \in I$  and  $\lambda_j(g) \neq 1$  for  $j \in J \setminus I$  (and  $\lambda_k(g)$  arbitrary for  $k \in I_0 \setminus J$ ). Then

$$|G_{I,J}| = \sum_{\substack{K, \\ I \subset K \subset J}} (-1)^{|K|-|I|} |G^K|.$$

By Proposition 11 one has

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{y \rightarrow 1} \chi(f, G)(y) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{J \\ J \subset I_0}} |G_J| \left( \sum_{\substack{I \\ I \subset J}} \prod_{i \in I} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{w_i} \right) |G_{I,J}| \right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{I \\ I \subset I_0}} \prod_{i \in I} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{w_i} \right) \left( \sum_{\substack{J \\ I \subset J \subset I_0}} |G_J| |G_{I,J}| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $I \subset I_0$  be fixed. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{J \\ I \subset J \subset I_0}} |G_J| |G_{I,J}| &= \sum_{\substack{J \\ I \subset J \subset I_0}} \left( \sum_{\substack{K \\ J \subset K \subset I_0}} (-1)^{|K|-|J|} |G^K| \right) \left( \sum_{\substack{L \\ I \subset L \subset J}} (-1)^{|L|-|I|} |G^L| \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{L \\ I \subset L \subset I_0}} \sum_{\substack{K \\ L \subset K \subset I_0}} \left( \sum_{\substack{J \\ L \subset J \subset K}} (-1)^{|K|+|L|-|I|-|J|} \right) |G^K| |G^L| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{K \\ I \subset K \subset I_0}} (-1)^{|K|-|I|} |G^K|^2, \end{aligned}$$

since for fixed  $L \subset I_0$  and  $K \subset I_0$  with  $L \subset K$

(2.2)

$$\sum_{\substack{J \\ L \subset J \subset K}} (-1)^{|K|+|L|-|I|-|J|} = (-1)^{|K|-|I|} (1-1)^{|K|-|L|} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|K|-|I|} & \text{for } L = K, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

□

Now, we are ready to state the main result of our paper.

**Theorem 19.** *For a non-degenerate weighted homogeneous polynomial  $f$ , one has*

$$\text{Var}_{(f,G)} = \sum_{p,q \in \mathbb{Q}} (-1)^{(p-n)+q} \left( q - \frac{n}{2} \right)^2 h^{p,q}(f, G) = \frac{1}{12} \hat{c} \cdot \mu_{(f,G)}.$$

*Proof.* We use the notation introduced in the proof of Proposition 18. By Proposition 11 and Lemma 12 we have

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 1} \frac{d}{dy} \left( y \frac{d}{dy} \chi(f, G)(y) \right) = A + B + C,$$

where

$$A := \frac{(-1)^n}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{J \\ J \subset I_0}} \sum_{g \in G_J} \left( \text{age}(g) - \frac{n - n_g}{2} \right)^2 \left[ \sum_{\substack{I \\ I \subset J}} \prod_{i \in I} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{w_i} \right) |G_{I,J}| \right],$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 B &:= \frac{(-1)^n}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{J \\ J \subset I_0}} |G_J| \left[ \sum_{\substack{I \\ I \subset J}} \prod_{i \in I} \left(1 - \frac{1}{w_i}\right) \sum_{h \in G_{I,J}} \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{j \in J \setminus I} \frac{1 + \lambda_j(h)}{1 - \lambda_j(h)} \right)^2 \right], \\
 C &:= \frac{(-1)^n}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{J \\ J \subset I_0}} |G_J| \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \sum_{\substack{I \\ I \subset J}} \prod_{i \in I} \left(1 - \frac{1}{w_i}\right) \left( |G_{I,J}| \left( \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1 - 2w_i}{12} \right) - \sum_{h \in G_{I,J}} \sum_{\substack{j \in J \\ j \notin I}} \frac{(1 - 2w_j)\lambda_j(h)}{(1 - \lambda_j(h))^2} \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

(a) We first show that  $A + B = 0$ . We first take the sums in  $A$  and  $B$  in a different order:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= \frac{(-1)^n}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{I \\ I \subset I_0}} \prod_{i \in I} \left(1 - \frac{1}{w_i}\right) A_I, \quad A_I := \sum_{\substack{J \\ I \subset J \subset I_0}} \sum_{g \in G_J} \left( \text{age}(g) - \frac{n - n_g}{2} \right)^2 |G_{I,J}|, \\
 B &= \frac{(-1)^n}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{I \\ I \subset I_0}} \prod_{i \in I} \left(1 - \frac{1}{w_i}\right) B_I, \\
 B_I &:= \sum_{\substack{J \\ I \subset J \subset I_0}} |G_J| \left( \sum_{h \in G_{I,J}} \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{j \in J \setminus I} \frac{1 + \lambda_j(h)}{1 - \lambda_j(h)} \right)^2 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $I \subset I_0$  be fixed. Let  $\lambda_i(g) = \mathbf{e}[a_i w_i]$ . Then, we have on the one hand:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_I &= \sum_{\substack{J \\ I \subset J \subset I_0}} |G_{I,J}| \sum_{g \in G_J} \left( \sum_{j \in I_0 \setminus J} ((a_j w_j)) \right)^2 \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{J \\ I \subset J \subset I_0}} |G_{I,J}| \sum_{\substack{K \\ J \subset K \subset I_0}} (-1)^{|K| - |J|} \sum_{g \in G^K} \left( \sum_{j \in I_0 \setminus K} ((a_j w_j)) \right)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have by Corollary 17

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_I &= \sum_{\substack{J \\ I \subset J \subset I_0}} |G_J| \sum_{h \in G_{I,J}} \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{j \in J \setminus I} \frac{1 + \lambda_j(h)}{1 - \lambda_j(h)} \right)^2 \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{J \\ I \subset J \subset I_0}} |G_J| \sum_{\substack{K \\ I \subset K \subset J}} (-1)^{|K| - |I|} \sum_{h \in G^K} \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{j \in J \setminus K} \frac{1 + \lambda_j(h)}{1 - \lambda_j(h)} \right)^2 \\
 &= - \sum_{\substack{J \\ I \subset J \subset I_0}} |G_J| \sum_{\substack{K \\ I \subset K \subset J}} (-1)^{|K| - |I|} |G^K| \sum_{h \in G^K} \left( \sum_{j \in J \setminus K} ((a_j w_j)) \right)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

For  $I \subset K \subset J \subset I_0$  let

$$s(K, J) := \sum_{g \in G^K} \left( \sum_{j \in J \setminus K} ((a_j w_j)) \right)^2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_I &= \sum_{\substack{K, \\ I \subset K \subset I_0}} \sum_{\substack{J, \\ I \subset J \subset K}} (-1)^{|K|-|J|} |G_{I,J}| s(K, I_0) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{K, \\ I \subset K \subset I_0}} \sum_{\substack{J, \\ I \subset J \subset K}} (-1)^{|K|-|J|} \left( \sum_{\substack{L, \\ I \subset L \subset J}} (-1)^{|L|-|I|} |G^L| \right) s(K, I_0) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{L, \\ I \subset L \subset I_0}} \sum_{\substack{K, \\ L \subset K \subset I_0}} \left( \sum_{\substack{J, \\ L \subset J \subset K}} (-1)^{|K|+|L|-|I|-|J|} \right) |G^L| s(K, I_0) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{K, \\ I \subset K \subset I_0}} (-1)^{|K|-|I|} |G^K| s(K, I_0) \end{aligned}$$

by Formula (2.2). On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_I &= - \sum_{\substack{K, \\ I \subset K \subset I_0}} \sum_{\substack{J, \\ K \subset J \subset I_0}} (-1)^{|K|-|I|} |G_J| |G^K| s(K, J) \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{K, \\ I \subset K \subset I_0}} \sum_{\substack{J, \\ K \subset J \subset I_0}} (-1)^{|K|-|I|} \left( \sum_{\substack{L, \\ J \subset L \subset I_0}} (-1)^{|L|-|J|} |G^L| \right) |G^K| s(K, J) \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{L, \\ I \subset L \subset I_0}} \sum_{\substack{K, \\ I \subset K \subset L}} \left( \sum_{\substack{J, \\ L \subset J \subset I_0}} (-1)^{|K|+|L|-|I|-|J|} \right) |G^L| |G^K| s(K, J) \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{K, \\ I \subset K \subset I_0}} (-1)^{|K|-|I|} |G^K| s(K, I_0) = -A_I, \end{aligned}$$

again by Formula (2.2) and since  $|G^{I_0}| = 1$ . This shows that  $A + B = 0$ .

(b) We now consider the term  $C$ . Let  $J \subset I_0$ ,  $I \subset J$  and  $j \in J$ ,  $j \notin I$ . Then it follows from Corollary 14 that

$$- \sum_{h \in G_{I,J}} \frac{\lambda_j(h)}{(1 - \lambda_j(h))^2} = \frac{1}{12} m_{I,j}^J,$$

where

$$m_{I,j}^J := \sum_{\substack{K, j \notin K, \\ I \subset K \subset J}} (-1)^{|K|-|I|} |G^{K \cup \{i\}}| \left( \left| G^K / G^{K \cup \{i\}} \right|^2 - 1 \right).$$

By (a) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{y \rightarrow 1} \frac{d}{dy} \left( y \frac{d}{dy} \chi(f, G)(y) \right) \\
 &= C = \frac{(-1)^n}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{J, \\ J \subset I_0}} |G_J| \\
 & \quad \times \left[ \sum_{\substack{I, \\ I \subset J}} \prod_{i \in I} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{w_i} \right) \left( |G_{I,J}| \left( \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1-2w_i}{12} \right) + \sum_{\substack{j \in J, \\ j \notin I}} m_{I,j}^J \left( \frac{1-2w_j}{12} \right) \right) \right] \\
 &= \frac{(-1)^n}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{I, \\ I \subset I_0}} \prod_{i \in I} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{w_i} \right) \\
 & \quad \times \left[ \sum_{\substack{J, \\ I \subset J \subset I_0}} |G_J| \left( |G_{I,J}| \left( \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1-2w_i}{12} \right) + \sum_{\substack{j \in J, \\ j \notin I}} m_{I,j}^J \left( \frac{1-2w_j}{12} \right) \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $I \subset I_0$  and  $j \notin I$  be fixed. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\substack{J, j \in J, \\ I \subset J \subset I_0}} |G_J| m_{I,j}^J &= \sum_{\substack{J, j \in J, \\ I \subset J \subset I_0}} \left( \sum_{\substack{K, \\ J \subset K \subset I_0}} (-1)^{|K|-|J|} |G^K| \right) \\
 & \quad \times \left( \sum_{\substack{L, j \notin L, \\ I \subset L \subset J}} (-1)^{|L|-|I|} |G^{L \cup \{j\}}| \left( |G^L / G^{L \cup \{j\}}|^2 - 1 \right) \right) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{L, j \notin L, \\ I \subset L \subset I_0}} \sum_{\substack{K, j \in K, \\ L \subset K \subset I_0}} \left( \sum_{\substack{J, j \in J, \\ L \subset J \subset K}} (-1)^{|K|+|L|-|I|-|J|} \right) \\
 & \quad \times |G^K| |G^{L \cup \{j\}}| \left( |G^L / G^{L \cup \{j\}}|^2 - 1 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $j \notin L$  but  $j \in J$ , the case  $J = L$  and hence also  $K = L$  is excluded in the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{J, j \in J, \\ L \subset J \subset K}} (-1)^{|K|+|L|-|I|-|J|}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{\substack{J, j \in J, \\ L \subset J \subset K}} (-1)^{|K|+|L|-|I|-|J|} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|L|-|I|} & \text{for } K = L \cup \{j\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{J, j \in J, \\ I \subset J \subset I_0}} |G_J| m_{I,j}^J &= \sum_{\substack{L, j \notin L, \\ I \subset L \subset I_0}} (-1)^{|L|-|I|} |G^{L \cup \{j\}}|^2 \left( |G^L / G^{L \cup \{j\}}|^2 - 1 \right). \\
&= \sum_{\substack{L, j \notin L, \\ I \subset L \subset I_0}} (-1)^{|L|-|I|} \left( |G^L|^2 - |G^{L \cup \{j\}}|^2 \right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{K, \\ I \subset K \subset I_0}} (-1)^{|K|-|I|} |G^K|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the statement follows from Proposition 18.  $\square$

### 3. Variance of the exponents for cusp singularities with group actions

Let  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) := x_1^{\alpha_1} + x_2^{\alpha_2} + x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_1 x_2 x_3$  and  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$  acting diagonally on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  under which  $f$  is invariant. Let  $K_i \subset G$  be the maximal subgroup fixing the coordinate  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Define numbers  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s$  by

$$(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s) = \left( \frac{\alpha_i}{|G/K_i|} * |K_i|, i = 1, 2, 3 \right),$$

where we omit numbers which are equal to one on the right-hand side. Define a number  $\chi_{(f,G)}$  by

$$\chi_{(f,G)} := 2 - 2j_G + \sum_{i=1}^s \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_i} - 1 \right).$$

**Lemma 20.** *Let the pair  $(f, G)$  be as above.*

(i) *The Milnor number of the pair  $(f, G)$  is given by*

$$(3.1) \quad \mu_{(f,G)} = 2 - 2j_G + \sum_{i=1}^s (\gamma_i - 1).$$

(ii) *The set of exponents for the pair  $(f, G)$  is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.2) \quad & \{1, 2\} \prod \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma_1} + 1, \frac{2}{\gamma_1} + 1, \dots, \frac{\gamma_1 - 1}{\gamma_1} + 1 \right\} \\
& \prod \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma_2} + 1, \frac{2}{\gamma_2} + 1, \dots, \frac{\gamma_2 - 1}{\gamma_2} + 1 \right\} \prod \dots \\
& \dots \prod \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma_s} + 1, \frac{2}{\gamma_s} + 1, \dots, \frac{\gamma_s - 1}{\gamma_s} + 1 \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* See Corollary 5.13 and the proof of Theorem 5.12 of [4].  $\square$

We have the following formula for the variance. Note that we have  $\hat{c} = 1$  by Theorem 4.

**Theorem 21.** *Let the pair  $(f, G)$  be as above. The variance of the set of exponents of  $(f, G)$  is given by*

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Var}_{(f,G)} = \frac{1}{12} \mu_{(f,G)} + \frac{1}{6} \chi_{(f,G)} = \frac{1}{12} \hat{c} \cdot \mu_{(f,G)} + \frac{1}{6} \chi_{(f,G)}.$$

*Proof.* Some elementary calculation yields the statement.  $\square$

Note that the pair  $(f, G)$  can be considered as a mirror partner of the orbifold curve (Deligne–Mumford stack)  $\mathcal{C}$  which is a smooth projective curve of genus  $j_G$  with  $s$  isotropic points of orders  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s$  (cf. Theorem 7.1 of [4]). The above formula for the variance is compatible with this observation. In particular, the dimension of  $\mathcal{C}$  is 1,  $\mu_{(f,G)}$  is the orbifold Euler number  $\chi(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\chi_{(f,G)}$  is the orbifold Euler characteristic of  $\mathcal{C}$ , which is the degree of the first Chern class  $c_1(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Applying this to the formula in Theorem 1, we recover the equation (3.3).

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the DFG-program SPP1388 “Representation Theory” (Eb 102/6-1). The second named author is also supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24684005. We are very grateful to the anonymous referee for carefully reading our paper, drawing our attention to a serious gap in the proof of the main result in the first version, and for most valuable comments which led to a major revision of the article.

### References

- [1] V.I. Arnold, S.M. Gusein-Zade and A.N. Varchenko, *Singularities of differentiable maps*, Volume II, Birkhäuser, Boston Basel Berlin 1988.
- [2] L. Borisov, *On Betti numbers and Chern classes of varieties with trivial odd cohomology groups*, [arXiv: alg-geom/9703023](https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9703023).
- [3] A. Dimca, *Monodromy and Hodge theory of regular functions*. In ‘New developments in singularity theory’ (Cambridge, 2000), NATO Science Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., 21, Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, 2001, 257–278.
- [4] W. Ebeling and A. Takahashi, *Mirror symmetry between orbifold curves and cusp singularities with group action*, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2013**(10) (2013), 2240–2270.
- [5] G. Eisenstein, *Théorèmes arithmétiques*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **27** (1844), 281–283.
- [6] C. Hertling, *Frobenius manifolds and variance of the spectral numbers*. In ‘New developments in singularity theory’ (Cambridge, 2000), NATO Science Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., 21, Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, 2001, 235–255.
- [7] F. Hirzebruch and D. Zagier, *The Atiyah–Singer theorem and elementary number theory*, Publish or Perish, Inc., Berkeley, 1974.
- [8] Y. Ito and M. Reid, *The McKay correspondence for finite subgroups of  $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$* . In ‘Higher-dimensional complex varieties’ (Trento, 1994), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, 221–240.
- [9] A. Libgober and J. Wood, *Uniqueness of the complex structure on Kähler manifolds of certain homotopy types*, *J. Differ. Geom.* **32**(1) (1990), 139–154.
- [10] J.H.M. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology*. In ‘Real and Complex Singularities’, Proc. Nordic Summer School, Oslo, 1976, 525–563.

INSTITUT FÜR ALGEBRAISCHE GEOMETRIE, LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER, POSTFACH 6009, D-30060 HANNOVER, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* [ebeling@math.uni-hannover.de](mailto:ebeling@math.uni-hannover.de)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA OSAKA, 560-0043, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* [takahashi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp](mailto:takahashi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp)

