

A Thom-Smale-Witten theorem on manifolds with boundary

WEN LU

Given a smooth compact manifold with boundary, we show that the subcomplex of the deformed de Rham complex consisting of eigenspaces of small eigenvalues of the Witten Laplacian is canonically isomorphic to the Thom-Smale complex constructed by Laudénbach in [23]. Our proof is based on Bismut-Lebeau’s analytic localization techniques. We extend Bismut-Zhang’s approach [4] relying on the deformed Hodge-de Rham operator to the boundary case.

1	Introduction	119
2	The Thom-Smale complex constructed by Laudénbach	124
3	Some calculations on vector spaces	126
4	The Witten instanton complex	133
5	Proof of Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 1.3	135
	Acknowledgements	148
	References	148

1. Introduction

Morse theory is a method to determine the topology of a finite or infinite dimensional manifold (such as the space of paths or loops on a compact manifold) from the critical points of one suitable function on the manifold. The theory has many far-reaching applications ranging from existence of exotic spheres to supersymmetry and Yang-Mills theory.

In this paper we will study Morse theory on a manifold with boundary. The main goal is to exhibit a canonical isomorphism between the Witten instanton complex constrained to boundary conditions and the Thom-Smale complex.

Recall that a Morse function on a manifold without boundary is a smooth real function whose critical points are all non-degenerate. Let M be a smooth n -dimensional closed manifold. Let f be a Morse function on M and choose a Riemannian metric on M such that the gradient vector field ∇f satisfies the Morse-Smale transversality conditions. This dynamical system gives rise to a chain complex, called Thom-Smale complex, having the critical points as generators and boundary map expressed in terms of the unstable and stable manifolds (see [32], [27], [33], [13]). The Morse homology theorem [22, 29], [1, Th. 7.4] asserts that the Thom-Smale complex recovers the standard homology of the underlying manifold.

In [33] Witten suggested that the Thom-Smale complex could be recovered from the Witten instanton complex, which is subcomplex of the deformed de Rham complex, consisting of eigenspaces of small eigenvalues of the Witten Laplacian D_T^2 (cf. (55)). This fact was first established rigorously by Helffer and Sjöstrand [18, Prop. 3.3].

Later, Bismut and Zhang [3] generalized the results of Helffer and Sjöstrand for the Witten instanton complex with values in a flat vector bundle. This is an important step in their proof and extension of the Cheeger-Müller theorem [10, 28] about the equality of the Reidemeister and Ray-Singer metrics.

In [4, §6], Bismut and Zhang gave a new and simple proof of the isomorphism between the Witten instanton complex and the Thom-Smale complex by means of resolvent estimates. We refer the readers to [34, Chap. 6] for a comprehensive study of the Witten deformation following Bismut-Zhang's approach.

Let us go back to the general case of a compact (not necessarily orientable) manifold M of dimension n with boundary $\partial M \neq \emptyset$. In this paper, a smooth function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a Morse function if the restrictions of f to the interior and boundary of M are Morse functions in the usual sense and if f has no critical point on ∂M (cf. [9, 23]).

In order to have Hodge theory for the de Rham Laplacian, we will impose boundary conditions: absolute boundary condition and relative boundary condition (cf. [31, pp. 361–371]). Denote by $\mathcal{H}_a$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_r$) the subspace of harmonic forms satisfying the absolute boundary condition (resp. the relative boundary condition). Then the space $\mathcal{H}_a$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_r$) is isomorphic

to the absolute cohomology group $H_{dR}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{R})$ (resp. the relative cohomology group $H_{dR}^\bullet(M, \partial M; o(TM))$ with coefficients twisted by the orientation bundle $o(TM)$ of M).

In [9], Chang and Liu established the Morse inequalities corresponding to the absolute and relative boundary conditions for orientable manifolds, by using Witten deformation.

On the other hand, Laudenbach [23] recently constructed a Thom-Smale complex whose homology is isomorphic to the (absolute or relative) homology of M with integral coefficients. The construction uses a pseudo-gradient Morse-Smale vector field suitably adapted to the boundary.

We will prove that the Witten instanton complex constrained to the boundary conditions and the Thom-Smale complex constructed by Laudenbach in [23] are canonically isomorphic. This result is new for manifolds with non-empty boundary, while its counterpart for closed manifolds appeared in [3, 4, 18]. Our method consists of applying Bismut-Lebeau's localization techniques [5] along the lines of [34].

In order to state the results let us introduce some notations. Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse function on M as explained above, and let $f|_{\partial M}$ be its restriction to the boundary. Let $C^j(f)$ (resp. $C^j(f|_{\partial M})$) be the set consisting of all critical points of f (resp. $f|_{\partial M}$) with index j . Let ν be the outward normal vector field along ∂M . Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} C_-^j(f|_{\partial M}) &= \{p \in C^j(f|_{\partial M}) : (\nu f)(p) < 0\}, \\ C_+^j(f|_{\partial M}) &= \{p \in C^j(f|_{\partial M}) : (\nu f)(p) > 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$c_j = \# C^j(f), \quad p_j = \# C_-^j(f|_{\partial M}), \quad q_j = \# C_+^j(f|_{\partial M}).$$

Set $C(f) = \bigsqcup_{j=0}^n C^j(f)$ and $C_-(f|_{\partial M}) = \bigsqcup_{j=0}^{n-1} C_-^j(f|_{\partial M})$.

In order to define the Witten instanton complex we have to state two results about the spectrum of the Witten Laplacian. Let

$$(1) \quad 0 \leq \lambda_1^j(T) \leq \lambda_2^j(T) \leq \dots$$

be the eigenvalues of the Witten Laplacian D_T^2 with absolute boundary condition acting on j -forms.

The next result is well-known, but we will give here a short proof based on elementary spectral estimates.

Theorem 1.1. *There exists positive constants a_1 and T_1 such that for $T > T_1$, and $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$, we have*

$$(2) \quad \lambda_\ell^j(T) \geq a_1 T^2, \quad \text{for } \ell \geq c_j + p_j + 1,$$

here we take the convention $p_n = 0$.

The estimate (2) was obtained by Chang and Liu (cf. [9, §3, Th. 2]) by localization and the min-max principle and given with more accuracy by Le Peutrec (cf. [24, Th. 3.5]) by delicate constructions of quasimodes and the WKB method.

The second result provides a refined estimate of the lower part of the spectrum of the Witten Laplacian.

Theorem 1.2. *There exist positive constants a_2, a_3 and T_2 such that for $T \geq T_2$ and $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$,*

$$(3) \quad \lambda_\ell^j(T) \leq a_2 e^{-a_3 T}, \quad \text{for } \ell \leq c_j + p_j.$$

The estimate (3) for $j = 0$ was obtained by D. Le Peutrec via WKB analysis (cf. [24, Th. 1.0.3]), and is new to our knowledge for $j > 0$. Let us note that Theorem 1.2 provides simply exponentially small upper-bounds as in [18]. The recent developments about exponentially small eigenvalues (see [7, 8, 16, 17, 24, 25]) are devoted to the accurate computations of exponentially small eigenvalues in the spirit of [11, 15, 21].

From Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 follows that the Witten Laplacian D_T^2 acting on j -forms has a spectral gap: the upper part of the spectrum grows with speed T^2 and the lower part decays exponentially in T . Moreover, for a given $C_0 > 0$, there exists $T_0 > 0$ such that for $T \geq T_0$, the number of eigenvalues in $[0, C_0]$ equals $c_j + p_j$ (see Proposition 4.1). Let $F_{T,j}^{C_0}$ denote the $(c_j + p_j)$ -dimensional vector space generated by the eigenspaces associated to the eigenvalues lying in $[0, C_0]$. It is easy to see that $(F_{T,\bullet}^{C_0}, d_T)$ forms a complex, called Witten instanton complex.

Let $(C^\bullet, \partial)$ denote the Thom-Smale complex constructed in [23] (cf. (14)–(15)).

Let P_∞ be the natural morphism from the de Rham complex $(\Omega^\bullet(M), d)$ to the Thom-Smale complex $(C^\bullet, \partial)$, defined by integration on the closure of the unstable manifolds (cf. §2):

$$(4) \quad P_\infty(\alpha) = \sum_{p \in C(f) \cup C_-(f|_{\partial M})} [p]^* \int_{\overline{W^u(p)}} \alpha \in C^\bullet, \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Omega^\bullet(M).$$

Set

$$(5) \quad P_{\infty, T}(\alpha) = P_{\infty}(e^{Tf}\alpha), \text{ for } \alpha \in F_{T, \bullet}^{C_0}.$$

The main result of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 1.3. *The map $P_{\infty, T} : (F_{T, \bullet}^{C_0}, d_T) \rightarrow (C^{\bullet}, \partial)$ is an isomorphism of complexes for T large enough. In particular, the chain map P_{∞} is a quasi-isomorphism between the de Rham complex $(\Omega^{\bullet}(M), d)$ and the Thom-Smale complex $(C^{\bullet}, \partial)$.*

Due to the de Rham isomorphism $H_{dR}^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{R})$ between de Rham cohomology and singular cohomology, we have thus an analytic proof of the fact that the cohomology of the Thom-Smale complex $(C^{\bullet}, \partial)$ is isomorphic to the singular cohomology of M . By a topological method, Laudénbach [23] obtained this result for $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued homology.

Let $\beta_j(M)$ denote the j -th Betti number of the de Rham complex, i.e., $\beta_j(M) = \dim H_{dR}^j(M, \mathbb{R})$. As a by-product of the proof of Theorem 1.3, we recover the following Morse inequalities for manifolds with boundary (cf. [9, §4]).

Corollary 1.4. *For any $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, we have*

$$(6) \quad \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \beta_j(M) \leq \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} (c_j + p_j),$$

with equality for $k = n$.

A topological proof of (6) appeared in [23]. Denote by $\beta_j(M, \partial M)$ the j -th Betti number of the relative de Rham complex with coefficients twisted by the orientation bundle, i.e., $\beta_j(M, \partial M) = \dim H_{dR}^j(M, \partial M; o(TM))$. By replacing the Morse function f by $-f$ and applying the Poincaré duality theorem, we recover from Corollary 1.4 the following result (cf. [9, §4]).

Corollary 1.5. *For any $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, we have*

$$(7) \quad \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \beta_j(M, \partial M) \leq \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} (c_j + q_{j-1}),$$

with equality for $k = n$.

On the other hand, if we endow the Witten Laplacian D_T^2 with relative boundary condition, we will obtain analogue results of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3. Then we also derive the inequalities (7). See Remark 5.7.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the Thom-Smale complex constructed by Laudenbach in [23]. Section 3 is devoted to some calculations of the kernels of the Witten Laplacian on Euclidean spaces. The results will be applied to the Witten Laplacian on manifolds around the critical points in $C(f)$ and $C_-(f|_{\partial M})$. In Section 4, we state a crucial result (Proposition 4.1) concerning the lower part of the spectrum of the Witten Laplacian for T large. We also prove Corollaries 1.4 and 1.5 there. In Section 5, we prove Proposition 4.1 and then finish the proof of Theorem 1.3.

2. The Thom-Smale complex constructed by Laudenbach

In this section, we introduce the Thom-Smale complex constructed by Laudenbach in [23]. By [23, §2.1], there exists a vector field X on M satisfying the following conditions.

- (1) $(Xf)(\cdot) < 0$ except at critical points in $C(f) \cup C_-(f|_{\partial M})$;
- (2) X points inwards along ∂M except in a neighborhood in ∂M of critical points in $C_-(f|_{\partial M})$ where it is tangent to ∂M ;
- (3) if $p \in C^j(f)$, then there exists a coordinate system (x, U_p) such that on U_p we have

$$(8) \quad f(x) = f(p) - \frac{x_1^2}{2} - \cdots - \frac{x_j^2}{2} + \frac{x_{j+1}^2}{2} + \cdots + \frac{x_n^2}{2},$$

and

$$(9) \quad X = \sum_{i=1}^j x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \sum_{i=j+1}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i};$$

- (4) if $p \in C_-^j(f|_{\partial M})$, then there are coordinates $x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ on some neighborhood U_p of p such that on U_p ,

$$(10) \quad f(x) = f(p) - \frac{x_1^2}{2} - \cdots - \frac{x_j^2}{2} + \frac{x_{j+1}^2}{2} + \cdots + \frac{x_{n-1}^2}{2} + x_n$$

and

$$(11) \quad X = \sum_{i=1}^j x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \sum_{i=j+1}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i};$$

(5) X is Morse-Smale in the sense that the global unstable manifolds and the local stable manifolds are mutually transverse. Denote by $W^u(p)$ (resp. $W_{loc}^s(p)$) the unstable manifold (resp. the local stable manifold) of p which by definition, consists of all the flow lines of X that emanate from p (resp. end at p). We denote by $\overline{W^u(p)}$ the closure of $W^u(p)$ in M .

If $p \in C^j(f)$, then we get from the condition (3) that locally,

$$(12) \quad W^u(p) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_j, 0, \dots, 0)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If $p \in C_-^j(f|_{\partial M})$, then the condition (4) implies that locally,

$$(13) \quad W^u(p) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_j, 0, \dots, 0)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Then the results [22, Prop. 2] about the structure of $\overline{W^u(p)}$ hold for $p \in C^j(f) \cup C_-^j(f|_{\partial M})$, i.e., $\overline{W^u(p)}$ is a j -dimensional submanifold of M with conical singularities and $\overline{W^u(p)} \setminus W^u(p)$ is stratified by unstable manifolds of critical points of index strictly less than j .

If $q \in C^{j+1}(f) \cup C_-^{j+1}(f|_{\partial M})$ and $p \in C^j(f) \cup C_-^j(f|_{\partial M})$, then it is a consequence of the condition (5) that the intersection of $W^u(q)$ with $W_{loc}^s(p)$ consists of a finite set of integral curves of X . Choose an orientation on $W^u(q)$ and $W^u(p)$, respectively. The orientation of $W_{loc}^s(p)$ is uniquely determined. Take $\gamma \in W^u(q) \cap W_{loc}^s(p)$. For $x \in \gamma$, denote by B_x the sets such that (X_x, B_x) forms a positive basis of $T_x(W^u(q))$. Let A_x denote a positive basis of $T_x(W_{loc}^s(p))$. Then (A_x, B_x) forms a basis of $T_x M$. Set $n_\gamma(q, p) = 1$ if (A_x, B_x) denotes a positive orientation of $T_x M$. Otherwise take $n_\gamma(q, p) = -1$. Let $n(q, p)$ be the sum of $n_\gamma(q, p)$ over all integral curves γ from q to p .

For $p \in C^j(f) \cup C_-^j(f|_{\partial M})$, let $[p]$ be the real line generated by p , and let $[p]^*$ be the line dual to $[p]$. As in [23], set

$$(14) \quad C^j = \bigoplus_{p \in C^j(f) \cup C_-^j(f|_{\partial M})} [p]^*.$$

The boundary morphism ∂ from C^j to C^{j+1} is given by

$$(15) \quad \partial[p]^* = \sum_{q \in C^{j+1}(f) \cup C_-^{j+1}(f|_{\partial M})} n(q, p)[q]^*.$$

It is a consequence of [23, §2.2, Prop.] that $(C^\bullet, \partial)$ is a chain complex.

For $a > 0$, denote by $B^M(p, 4a)$ the open ball in M centered at the point $p \in M$ with the radius $4a$. In the sequel, we always take for simplicity $U_p = B^M(p, 4a)$ in the conditions (2), (3) and $a \in (0, 1/4)$.

3. Some calculations on vector spaces

In this section, we calculate the kernels of the Witten Laplacian on vector spaces. The results will be applied to the Witten Laplacian around the critical points in $C^j(f)$ and $C_-^j(f|_{\partial M})$.

3.1. The Witten Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^n$

Let V be an n -dimensional real vector space endowed with an Euclidean scalar product. Let V^-, V^+ be two subspaces such that $V = V^- \oplus V^+$ and $\dim V^- = j$. Take $e_1, \dots, e_n$ as an orthonormal basis on V such that V^- is spanned by $e_1, \dots, e_j$. Let f be a smooth function on V given by:

$$(16) \quad f(Z) = f(0) - \frac{|Z^-|^2}{2} + \frac{|Z^+|^2}{2},$$

where $Z^- = (Z_1, \dots, Z_j)$, $Z^+ = (Z_{j+1}, \dots, Z_n)$ and (Z^-, Z^+) denotes the coordinate functions on V corresponding to the decomposition $V = V^- \oplus V^+$.

Let $Z = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n Z_\alpha e_\alpha$ be the radial vector field on V . There is a natural Euclidean scalar product on ΛV^* . Let $dv_V(Z)$ be the volume form on V . Denote by $L^2(\Lambda V^*)$ the set of the square integrable sections of ΛV^* over V . For $w_1, w_2 \in L^2(\Lambda V^*)$, set

$$(17) \quad \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle = \int_V \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle_{\Lambda V^*} dv_V(Z).$$

Let $C(V)$ be the Clifford algebra of V , i.e., the algebra generated over $\mathbb{R}$ by $e \in V$ and the commutation relations $e \cdot e' + e' \cdot e = -2\langle e, e' \rangle$ for $e, e' \in$

V . Let $c(e), \widehat{c}(e)$ be the Clifford operators acting on ΛV^* defined by

$$(18) \quad c(e) = e^* \wedge -i_e, \quad \widehat{c}(e) = e^* \wedge +i_e,$$

where $e^* \wedge$ and i_e are the standard notations for exterior and interior multiplication and e^* denotes the dual of e by the Euclidean scalar product on V . Then ΛV^* is a Clifford module.

If $X, Y \in V$, then

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} c(X)c(Y) + c(Y)c(X) &= -2\langle X, Y \rangle, \\ \widehat{c}(X)\widehat{c}(Y) + \widehat{c}(Y)\widehat{c}(X) &= 2\langle X, Y \rangle, \\ c(X)\widehat{c}(Y) + \widehat{c}(Y)c(X) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let d be the exterior differential derivative acting on the smooth sections of ΛV^* , and let δ be the formal adjoint of d with respect to the Euclidean product (17). Denote by v the gradient of f with respect to the given Euclidean scalar product, then

$$(20) \quad v(Z) = - \sum_{\alpha=1}^j Z_\alpha e_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha=j+1}^n Z_\alpha e_\alpha.$$

Set

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} d_T &= e^{-Tf} d \cdot e^{Tf} = d + T df \wedge, \quad \delta_T = e^{Tf} \delta \cdot e^{-Tf} = \delta + T i_v, \\ D_{T,v} &= d_T + \delta_T = d + \delta + T \widehat{c}(v). \end{aligned}$$

The Witten Laplacian on Euclidean space V is by definition, the square of $D_{T,v}$. Let Δ be the standard Laplacian on V , i.e.,

$$(22) \quad \Delta = - \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z_\alpha^2}.$$

Let $e^1, \dots, e^n$ be the dual basis associated to $e_1, \dots, e_n$. Then we have the following result [33], [34, Prop. 4.9].

Proposition 3.1. *The kernel of $D_{T,v}^2$ is of one dimension and is spanned by*

$$(23) \quad \beta = e^{-\frac{T|Z|^2}{2}} e^1 \wedge \dots \wedge e^j.$$

Moreover, all nonzero eigenvalues of $D_{T,v}^2$ are $\geq 2T$.

Proof. We recall the proof for the readers' convenience.

For $e \in V$, let ∇_e denote the derivative along the vector e . It is easy to calculate the square of $D_{T,v}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (24) \quad D_{T,v}^2 &= \Delta + T^2|Z|^2 + T \sum_{\alpha=1}^n c(e_\alpha) \widehat{c}(\nabla_{e_\alpha} v) \\
 &= (\Delta + T^2|Z|^2 - Tn) + T \sum_{\alpha=1}^j [1 - c(e_\alpha) \widehat{c}(e_\alpha)] \\
 &\quad + T \sum_{\alpha=j+1}^n [1 + c(e_\alpha) \widehat{c}(e_\alpha)] \\
 &= (\Delta + T^2|Z|^2 - Tn) + 2T \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^j i_{e_\alpha} e^\alpha \wedge + \sum_{\alpha=j+1}^n e^\alpha \wedge i_{e_\alpha} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

The operator

$$(25) \quad \mathcal{L}_T = \Delta + T^2|Z|^2 - Tn$$

is the harmonic oscillator operator on V . By [26, Appendix E], we know that $\mathcal{L}_T$ is positive elliptic operator with one dimensional kernel generated by $e^{-\frac{T|Z|^2}{2}}$. Moreover, the nonzero eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}_T$ are all greater than $2T$. It is also easy to verify that the linear operator

$$(26) \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^j i_{e_\alpha} e^\alpha \wedge + \sum_{\alpha=j+1}^n e^\alpha \wedge i_{e_\alpha}$$

is positive with one dimensional kernel generated by

$$(27) \quad e^1 \wedge \dots \wedge e^j.$$

The proof of Proposition 3.1 is complete. □

3.2. The Witten Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}_+^n$

Let V_1 be an $(n-1)$ dimensional real vector space endowed with an Euclidean scalar product. Let V_1^-, V_1^+ be two subspaces such that $V_1 = V_1^- \oplus V_1^+$ and $\dim V_1^- = j$. Let $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$ denote an orthonormal basis on V_1 such that V_1^- is spanned by $e_1, \dots, e_j$. Set $V_2 = V_1 \times \mathbb{R}_+$, where $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{x \in$

$\mathbb{R}; x \geq 0\}$. Then V_2 is the half space in $V_1 \times \mathbb{R}$. Denote by e_n the oriented basis of $\mathbb{R}_+$ with unit length.

Let f be a smooth function on V_2 given by:

$$(28) \quad f(Z) = f(0) - \frac{|Z^-|^2}{2} + \frac{|Z^+|^2}{2} + Z_n,$$

where $Z^- = (Z_1, \dots, Z_j)$, $Z^+ = (Z_{j+1}, \dots, Z_{n-1})$, (Z^-, Z^+) denotes the co-ordinate functions on V_1 corresponding to the decomposition $V_1 = V_1^- \oplus V_1^+$ and $Z_n \geq 0$ denotes the coordinate function on $\mathbb{R}_+$. Set $Z' = (Z^-, Z^+)$.

Let $L^2(\Lambda V_2^*)$ be the set of the square integrable sections of ΛV_2^* . We define an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in $L^2(\Lambda V_2^*)$ similarly to (17). Denote by $\| \cdot \|$ the norm on $L^2(\Lambda V_2^*)$ induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $C(V_2)$ be the Clifford algebra of V_2 . We still denote by $c(e), \hat{c}(e)$ the Clifford operators on ΛV_2^* for $e \in V_2$.

The gradient vector field v_1 of f with respect to the given Euclidean scalar product is now given as

$$(29) \quad v_1(Z) = - \sum_{\alpha=1}^j Z_\alpha e_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha=j+1}^{n-1} Z_\alpha e_\alpha + e_n.$$

Set

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} d_T &= e^{-Tf} d \cdot e^{Tf} = d + T \, df \wedge, \quad \delta_T = e^{Tf} \delta \cdot e^{-Tf} = \delta + T \, i_{v_1}, \\ D_{T, v_1} &= d_T + \delta_T = d + \delta + T \hat{c}(v_1). \end{aligned}$$

To calculate explicitly the kernel of D_{T, v_1}^2 and, more generally, to study its spectrum, we need to consider boundary conditions on ΛV_2^* , i.e., to specify the domain of a self-adjoint extension of D_{T, v_1}^2 . We follow here [19, § 2.1], [20, §§ 1-2], [14, Chap. 1], [26, § 3.5]. Denote by $\Omega(V_2)$ the space of smooth sections of ΛV_2^* on V_2 . Define the domain of the weak maximal extension of d_T by

$$(31) \quad \text{Dom}(d_T) = \{w \in L^2(\Lambda V_2^*) : d_T w \in L^2(\Lambda V_2^*)\},$$

where $d_T w$ is calculated in the sense of distributions. Let us denote by $\Omega_0(V_2)$ the space of restrictions of smooth forms with compact support on $V_1 \times \mathbb{R}$. An easy adaptation of the proof of [19, Prop. 2.1.1], by replacing the operator $\bar{\partial}$ with d_T , shows that $\Omega_0(V_2)$ is dense in $\text{Dom}(d_T)$ in the graph norm $w \rightarrow (\|w\|^2 + \|d_T w\|^2)^{1/2}$. This means that the weak maximal extension (31) coincides with the minimal extension of d_T , whose graph is the closure of the graph of $d_T : \Omega_0(V_2) \rightarrow \Omega_0(V_2)$.

We denote by d_T^* the Hilbert space adjoint of d_T . We wish to describe the domain of d_T^* . Recall the Green's formula [31, (10.17), Chap. 2] for $v, w \in \Omega_0(V_2)$,

$$(32) \quad \langle \delta_T w, v \rangle = \langle w, d_T v \rangle - \int_{\partial V_2} \langle i_\nu w, v \rangle dS,$$

where i_ν is the contraction with the outward-pointing unit normal to $\partial V_2 = V_1$. The boundary integral vanishes if $i_\nu w = 0$ on V_1 , which is called Neumann or absolute boundary condition. It can be also expressed as follows. Let e^n be the dual basis associated to e_n . Every $w \in \Omega(V_2)$ has a natural decomposition into the normal and the tangential components along V_1 ,

$$(33) \quad w = w_{\text{tan}} + w_{\text{norm}},$$

where w_{tan} does not contain the factor e^n . Then $i_\nu w = 0$ if and only if $w_{\text{norm}} = 0$.

Using the density result above and Green's formula (32), we obtain as in [19, (2.1.9)-(2.1.10)] that

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Dom}(d_T^*) \cap \Omega(V_2) &= \{w \in \Omega(V_2) \cap L^2(\Lambda V_2^*) : w_{\text{norm}} = 0 \text{ on } V_1\}, \\ d_T^* w &= \delta_T w \text{ for } w \in \text{Dom}(d_T^*) \cap \Omega(V_2). \end{aligned}$$

Following [14, 20, 26], we define then the Gaffney extension of D_{T,v_1}^2 by

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Dom}(D_{T,v_1}^2) &= \{w \in \text{Dom}(d_T) \cap \text{Dom}(d_T^*) : \\ &\quad d_T w \in \text{Dom}(d_T^*), d_T^* w \in \text{Dom}(d_T)\}, \\ D_{T,v_1}^2 w &= d_T d_T^* w + d_T^* d_T w \text{ for } w \in \text{Dom}(D_{T,v_1}^2). \end{aligned}$$

This is a self-adjoint operator, see [14, Prop. 1.3.8], [26, Prop. 3.1.2]. The smooth forms in the domain of D_{T,v_1}^2 satisfy the following boundary conditions

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{Dom}(D_{T,v_1}^2) \cap \Omega(V_2) \\ &= \left\{ w \in \Omega(V_2) \cap L^2(\Lambda V_2^*) : \begin{array}{l} w_{\text{norm}} = 0 \\ (d_T w)_{\text{norm}} = 0 \end{array} \text{ on } V_1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If we rewrite $w \in \Omega(V_2)$ as

$$(37) \quad w(Z', Z_n) = w_1(Z', Z_n) + e^n \wedge w_2(Z', Z_n), \quad Z' \in V_1, Z_n \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where w_1 does not contain the factor e^n , then we have

Lemma 3.2. *The boundary conditions*

$$(38) \quad w_{\text{norm}} = 0, \quad (d_T w)_{\text{norm}} = 0 \text{ on } V_1$$

are equivalent to

$$(39) \quad \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial Z_n}(Z', 0) + T w_1(Z', 0) = 0 \text{ and } w_2(Z', 0) = 0.$$

Proof. The proof is straightforward and is left to the readers. $\square$

Let $e^1, \dots, e^{n-1}$ be the dual basis of $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$. We denote by Δ' (resp. Δ) the standard Laplacian on V_1 (resp. V_2), i.e.,

$$(40) \quad \Delta' = - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z_\alpha^2} \quad \left(\text{resp. } \Delta = - \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z_\alpha^2} \right).$$

Proposition 3.3. *The kernel of D_{T,v_1}^2 , with the domain given as in (35), is one dimensional and is spanned by*

$$(41) \quad \beta_{T,v_1} = e^{-\frac{T}{2}|Z'|^2 - T Z_n} e^1 \wedge \dots \wedge e^j.$$

Moreover, all nonzero eigenvalues of D_{T,v_1}^2 are $\geq 2T$.

Proof. We adapt the proof from [34, Prop. 4.9]. We denote by ∇_e the derivative along a vector $e \in V_2$. It is easy to calculate the square of D_{T,v_1} :

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{T,v_1}^2 &= \Delta + T^2(|Z'|^2 + 1) + T \sum_{\alpha=1}^n c(e_\alpha) \widehat{c}(\nabla_{e_\alpha} v_1) \\ &= (\Delta' + T^2|Z'|^2 - T(n-1)) + \left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z_n^2} + T^2 \right) \\ &\quad + T \sum_{\alpha=1}^j [1 - c(e_\alpha) \widehat{c}(e_\alpha)] + T \sum_{\alpha=j+1}^{n-1} [1 + c(e_\alpha) \widehat{c}(e_\alpha)] \\ &= (\Delta' + T^2|Z'|^2 - T(n-1)) + \left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z_n^2} + T^2 \right) \\ &\quad + 2T \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^j i_{e_\alpha} e^\alpha \wedge + \sum_{\alpha=j+1}^{n-1} e^\alpha \wedge i_{e_\alpha} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the three operators in parentheses on the right side of the third equality in (42) commute with each other, we can calculate the kernel of

D_{T,v_1}^2 via the method of separating variables. Note that $\ker(D_{T,v_1}^2) \subset \Omega(V_2)$ by elliptic regularity, cf. [31, Prop. 9.7, Chap. 5]. As in Proposition 3.1, the kernel of the operator

$$(43) \quad \mathcal{L}'_T = \Delta' + T^2|Z'|^2 - T(n-1)$$

is one dimensional and generated by $e^{-\frac{T|Z'|^2}{2}}$. Besides, its nonzero eigenvalues are all greater than $2T$. It is easy to verify that the linear operator

$$(44) \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^j i_{e_\alpha} e^\alpha \wedge + \sum_{\alpha=j+1}^{n-1} e^\alpha \wedge i_{e_\alpha}$$

restricted to $\text{Dom}(D_{T,v_1}^2)$ is positive and has one dimensional kernel generated by

$$(45) \quad e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^j.$$

Therefore, the elements of the kernel of D_{T,v_1}^2 take the form

$$(46) \quad g(Z_n) e^{-\frac{T|Z'|^2}{2}} e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^j,$$

where $g(Z_n)$ is a smooth function in Z_n . Combining (39) and (42), we find that the smooth function $g(Z_n)$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$(47) \quad g'(0) + Tg(0) = 0, \quad -g''(Z_n) + T^2g(Z_n) = 0.$$

Thus, $g(Z_n) = e^{-TZ_n}$ up to multiplicative constant. Set

$$(48) \quad R_T = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z_n^2} + T^2$$

with domain given by

$$(49) \quad \text{Dom}(R_T) = \{h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+) : h'(0) + Th(0) = 0\}.$$

One verifies directly via integration by parts that R_T is positive on $\text{Dom}(R_T)$, so R_T has a self-adjoint positive extension (the Friedrichs extension) still denoted by R_T . Denote by $\lambda_1(P)$ the first nonzero eigenvalues of a positive operator P . By min-max principle [26, (C.3.3)] and the fact that R_T and (44)

are positive operators, we deduce that

$$(50) \quad \lambda_1(D_{T,v_1}^2) \geq \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}'_T) = 2T.$$

The proof of Proposition 3.3 is complete. $\square$

4. The Witten instanton complex

Let g^{TM} be a metric on TM such that if $p \in C^j(f) \cup C_-^j(f|_{\partial M})$, in the coordinates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in the conditions (3) and (4) of Section 2,

$$(51) \quad g^{TM} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n dx_\alpha^2 \quad \text{on } U_p.$$

Let ∇^{TM} be the Levi-Civita connection associated to the metric g^{TM} , and let dv_M be the density (or Riemannian volume form) on M , i.e., dv_M is a smooth section of the line bundle $\Lambda^n(T^*M) \otimes o(TM)$ (cf. [2, p. 29], [6, p. 88]). Denote by $\Omega^i(M)$ the space of smooth differential i -forms on M . Set $\Omega(M) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \Omega^i(M)$. We denote by $L^2\Omega(M)$ the space of square integrable sections of $\Lambda(T^*M)$ over M . For $w_1, w_2 \in L^2\Omega(M)$, set

$$(52) \quad \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle = \int_M \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle(x) dv_M(x).$$

We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the norm on $L^2\Omega(M)$ induced by (52).

Let d be the exterior differential derivative on $\Omega(M)$, and let δ be the formal adjoint of d with respect to the metric (52). Set

$$(53) \quad d_T = e^{-Tf} d \cdot e^{Tf}, \quad \delta_T = e^{Tf} \delta \cdot e^{-Tf}.$$

The deformed de Rham operator D_T is given by

$$(54) \quad D_T = d_T + \delta_T.$$

The Witten Laplacian on manifolds is defined by

$$(55) \quad D_T^2 = (d_T + \delta_T)^2 = d_T \delta_T + \delta_T d_T.$$

Define the domain of the weak maximal extension of d_T by

$$(56) \quad \text{Dom}(d_T) = \{w \in L^2\Omega(M) : d_T w \in L^2\Omega(M)\},$$

where $d_T w$ is calculated in the sense of distributions. We denote by d_T^* the Hilbert space adjoint of d_T . The domain of the extension $D_T = d_T + d_T^*$ of the deformed de Rham operator is $\text{Dom}(D_T) = \text{Dom}(d_T) \cap \text{Dom}(d_T^*)$. By the analogue of [19, Prop. 2.1.1] the space $\text{Dom}(d_T^*) \cap \Omega(M)$ is dense in $\text{Dom}(D_T)$ in the graph norm $w \rightarrow (\|w\|^2 + \|d_T w\|^2 + \|d_T^* w\|^2)^{1/2}$. Every smooth differential form w has a natural decomposition into the norm and the tangent components along ∂M ,

$$(57) \quad w = w_{\text{tan}} + w_{\text{norm}},$$

where w_{tan} does not contain the factor ν . Using the density result above and Green's formula (analogue of (32) for M), we obtain as in [19, (2.1.9)–(2.1.10)] that

$$(58) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Dom}(d_T^*) \cap \Omega(M) &= \{w \in \Omega(M) : w_{\text{norm}} = 0\}, \\ d_T^* w &= \delta_T w \text{ for } w \in \text{Dom}(d_T^*) \cap \Omega(M). \end{aligned}$$

By (58), we have

$$(59) \quad \text{Dom}(D_T) \cap \Omega(M) = \{w \in \Omega(M) : w_{\text{norm}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial M\}.$$

We define the self-adjoint extension of D_T^2 as in (35) by $D_T^2 = d_T d_T^* + d_T^* d_T$. Then

$$(60) \quad \text{Dom}(D_T^2) \cap \Omega(M) = \left\{ w \in \Omega(M) : \begin{array}{l} w_{\text{norm}} = 0 \\ (d_T w)_{\text{norm}} = 0 \end{array} \text{ on } \partial M \right\}.$$

Following the argument of [34, Prop. 5.5], one easily gets Morse inequalities (6) granted the following Proposition holds.

Proposition 4.1. *For any $C_0 > 0$, there exists $T_0 > 0$ such that when $T \geq T_0$, the number of eigenvalues in $[0, C_0)$ of $D_T^2|_{\text{Dom}(D_T^2) \cap \Omega^j(M)}$ equals $c_j + p_j$.*

We postpone the proof of Proposition 4.1 to Section 5.4. We prove now Corollary 1.4 by using Proposition 4.1.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Let $F_{T,j}^{C_0}$ denote the $(c_j + p_j)$ -dimensional vector space generated by the eigenspaces of $D_T^2|_{\text{Dom}(D_T^2) \cap \Omega^j(M)}$ associated to the eigenvalues lying in $[0, C_0)$. Since $d_T D_T^2 = D_T^2 d_T$, one verifies directly that

$d_T(F_{T,j}^{C_0}) \subset F_{T,j+1}^{C_0}$. Then we have the following complex:

$$(61) \quad (F_{T,\bullet}^{C_0}, d_T) : 0 \longrightarrow F_{T,0}^{C_0} \longrightarrow F_{T,1}^{C_0} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_{T,n}^{C_0} \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Hodge Theorem in the finite dimensional case, the j -th cohomology group of the above complex is isomorphic to $\text{Ker}(D_T^2|_{\text{Dom}(D_T^2) \cap \Omega^j(M)})$, which is again by Hodge Theorem isomorphic to the j -th cohomology group of the deformed de Rham complex $(\Omega^\bullet(M), d_T)$. It is a consequence of (53) that the j -th cohomology group of the deformed de Rham complex $(\Omega^\bullet(M), d_T)$ is isomorphic to the j -th cohomology group of the de Rham complex $(\Omega^\bullet(M), d)$. Then the inequalities (6) follow from standard algebraic techniques ([26, Lemma 3.2.12]). $\square$

Remark 4.2. We can also obtain the inequalities (6) immediately by combining Theorem 1.3 and [23, Th. A].

Proof of Corollary 1.5. By Poincaré duality theorem for non-orientable manifolds,

$$(62) \quad H_{dR}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_{dR}^{n-\bullet}(M, \partial M; o(TM)).$$

Then the inequalities (7) follow immediately from the inequalities (6) and the isomorphism (62). $\square$

5. Proof of Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 1.3

The organization of the section is as follows. In Section 5.1, we obtain a basic estimate for the deformed de Rham operator which allows to localize our problem (i.e., study the eigenvectors with small eigenvalues of the Witten Laplacian) to some neighborhood of critical points in $C(f)$ and $C_-(f|_{\partial M})$. Section 5.2 is devoted to the local behavior of the Witten Laplacian around critical points in $C(f)$ and $C_-(f|_{\partial M})$. In Section 5.3, we get a decomposition of the deformed de Rham operator and establish estimates of its components. We also prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 there. Section 5.4 is devoted to the proofs of Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 1.3.

5.1. Localization of the lower part of the spectrum of the Witten Laplacian

Choose a small enough such that all $B^M(p, 4a)$'s are disjoint for $p \in C(f) \cup C_-(f|_{\partial M})$, and each $B^M(p, 4a)$ lies in the interior of M for $p \in C(f)$. Denote by U_a the union of all $B^M(p, 4a)$'s for $p \in C(f) \cup C_-(f|_{\partial M})$.

Proposition 5.1. *There exist constants $a_4 > 0, T_3 > 0$ such that for any $s \in \text{Dom}(D_T)$ with $\text{supp}(s) \subset M \setminus U_a$ and $T \geq T_3$, we have*

$$(63) \quad \|D_T s\| \geq a_4 T \|s\|.$$

Proof. We adapt our proof from [24, pp. 29–30]. Note that the Green's formula holds also on non-orientable manifolds, see [31, Chap. 2, Th. 2.1]. It is a consequence of (55) and the Green's formula that

$$(64) \quad \|D_T s\|^2 = \langle d_T s, d_T s \rangle + \langle \delta_T s, \delta_T s \rangle.$$

Let ∇f denote the gradient field of f with respect to the metric g^{TM} . Since $s_{\text{norm}} = 0$, one verifies directly from the Green's formula that

$$(65) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle d_T s, d_T s \rangle + \langle \delta_T s, \delta_T s \rangle \\ &= \langle ds, ds \rangle + \langle \delta s, \delta s \rangle + T^2 \langle |\nabla f|^2 s, s \rangle \\ & \quad + T \langle Qs, s \rangle + T \int_{\partial M} \langle (\nu f) s_{\text{tan}}, s_{\text{tan}} \rangle dv_{\partial M}(x'), \end{aligned}$$

where $dv_{\partial M}$ denotes the density on ∂M induced by dv_M , ν outward normal field and Q is an endomorphism of $\Omega(M)$ given by

$$(66) \quad Q = \sum_{i=1}^n c(e_i) \hat{c}(\nabla_{e_i}^{TM}(\nabla f)).$$

Since ∇f is nowhere zero on $M \setminus U_a$, there exists a constant $a_5 > 0$ such that on $M \setminus U_a$,

$$(67) \quad |\nabla f|^2 \geq a_5.$$

If $\text{supp}(s) \cap \partial M = \emptyset$, then

$$(68) \quad \int_{\partial M} \langle (\nu f) s_{\text{tan}}, s_{\text{tan}} \rangle dv_{\partial M}(x') = 0.$$

Then (63) follows immediately from (64)–(68). Suppose now $\text{supp}(s) \cap \partial M \neq \emptyset$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, set

$$(69) \quad W_\varepsilon = \{x' \in \partial M : |\nu f|(x') < \varepsilon\}.$$

We choose ε small enough such that W_ε does not meet $C_-(f|_{\partial M})$ on the boundary ∂M . We now discuss the following two cases.

Case I. $\text{supp}(s) \cap \partial M \subset W_\varepsilon$. By $s_{\text{norm}} = 0$, we get

$$(70) \quad \left| \int_{\partial M} \langle (\nu f)s_{\text{tan}}, s_{\text{tan}} \rangle dv_{\partial M}(x') \right| \leq \varepsilon \int_{\partial M} \langle s, s \rangle dv_{\partial M}(x').$$

Let $\tau > 0$ be less than the injectivity radius of g^{TM} . We use the inward geodesic flow to identify a neighborhood of the boundary ∂M with the collar $\partial M \times [0, \tau]$, and we identify $\partial M \times \{0\}$ with the boundary ∂M . Let ψ be a smooth compactly supported function on M such that for $(x', x_n) \in \partial M \times [0, \tau]$,

$$(71) \quad \psi(x', x_n) = 1 \text{ for } x_n \leq \tau/2 \text{ and } \psi(x', x_n) = 0 \text{ for } x_n = \tau.$$

Then

$$(72) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\partial M} \langle s, s \rangle dv_{\partial M}(x') &= \int_{\partial M} \langle \psi s, \psi s \rangle dv_{\partial M}(x') \\ &= - \int_{\partial M} dv_{\partial M}(x') \int_{[0, \tau]} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \langle \psi s, \psi s \rangle dx_n. \end{aligned}$$

For $x = (x', x_n) \in \partial M \times [0, \tau]$, there exists a positive smooth function $\kappa(x)$ such that

$$(73) \quad dv_M(x) = \kappa(x) dv_{\partial M}(x') dx_n.$$

By (71)–(73) and elliptic estimates, we know that there exists a constant $a_6 > 0$ independent of s such that

$$(74) \quad \int_{\partial M} \langle s, s \rangle dv_{\partial M}(x') \leq a_6 \left(\frac{1}{T} \|Ds\|^2 + T \|s\|^2 \right).$$

By $s_{\text{norm}} = 0$, we have

$$(75) \quad \|Ds\|^2 = \|ds\|^2 + \|\delta s\|^2.$$

Combining (70), (74) and (75), we obtain

$$(76) \quad \left| T \int_{\partial M} \langle (\nu f)_{s_{\tan}}, s_{\tan} \rangle dv_{\partial M}(x') \right| \leq a_6 \varepsilon \left(\|ds\|^2 + \|\delta s\|^2 + T^2 \|s\|^2 \right).$$

Then (63) follows from (64)–(67) and (76) for ε small enough.

From now on we fix $\varepsilon > 0$ as determined in case I. To state the second case, let us restrict our attention to $\partial M \setminus \overline{W_{\varepsilon/2}}$, the points on ∂M with $|\nu f| \geq \varepsilon/2$. For any $x_0 \in \partial M \setminus \overline{W_{\varepsilon/2}}$, there exists $\tilde{V}$ an open neighborhood of x_0 in $\partial M \setminus \overline{W_{\varepsilon/2}}$ and $r > 0$ such that

$$(77) \quad \begin{aligned} |\nabla \tilde{f}| &= |\nabla f| \quad \text{in } V_r = \tilde{V} \times [0, r); \\ |\nabla \tilde{f}| &= -\nu \tilde{f} \quad \text{on } \tilde{V}. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, given a point $x_0 \in \partial M \setminus \overline{W_{\varepsilon/2}}$, we introduce a coordinate system $x = (x', x_n)$ such that the boundary ∂M corresponds locally to $x_n = 0$ and the interior of M to $x_n > 0$. Denote by $g_0 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n g_{ij} dx_i dx_j$ the corresponding metric and by (g^{ij}) the inverse of the matrix (g_{ij}) . Locally the partial differential equation involved in (77) reads:

$$(78) \quad \begin{aligned} F(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) &:= |\nabla u|^2 - |\nabla f|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Introducing new variables as in [12, p. 91]:

$$(79) \quad z = u(x), \quad r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) = \nabla u(x),$$

we verify directly that

$$(80) \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial r_n}(x, z, r) = 2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}.$$

From [12, § 3.2.4, Th.2] and (80), we obtain the local existence of the solution of the boundary-value problem (77).

Clearly,

$$(81) \quad -(\nu f)(x') < |\nabla f|(x'), \quad \text{for any } x' \in \partial M \setminus U_a.$$

Choose $\eta > 0$ small enough so that

$$(82) \quad -(\nu f)(x') < (1 - \eta) |\nabla f|(x'), \quad \text{for any } x' \in \partial M \setminus U_a.$$

Case II. $\text{supp}(s) \subset V_r \cap (M \setminus U_a)$. From (77) and (82), we get

$$(83) \quad \begin{aligned} & T \int_{\partial M} \langle (\nu f) s_{\text{tan}}, s_{\text{tan}} \rangle dv_{\partial M}(x') \\ & \geq T(1 - \eta) \int_{\partial M} \langle (\nu \tilde{f}) s_{\text{tan}}, s_{\text{tan}} \rangle dv_{\partial M}(x'). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{supp}(s) \subset V_r \cap (M \setminus U_a)$, we can apply the equality (65) to the local solution $\tilde{f}$ of the boundary-value problem (77) and find

$$(84) \quad \begin{aligned} & T \int_{\partial M} \langle (\nu f) s_{\text{tan}}, s_{\text{tan}} \rangle dv_{\partial M}(x') \\ & \geq -(1 - \eta) \left[\langle ds, ds \rangle + \langle \delta s, \delta s \rangle + T^2 \langle |\nabla f|^2 s, s \rangle + C_1 T \|s\|^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is independent of s . Combining (64)–(67) and (84), we obtain (63).

To finish the proof of Proposition 5.1, we apply a partition of unity argument [5, § 9]. Take $V_j = \tilde{V}_j \times [0, \varsigma_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, for some $\varsigma_j > 0$ satisfying that $\tilde{V}_1, \dots, \tilde{V}_N$ forms an open cover of $\partial M \setminus \overline{W_{\varepsilon/2}}$ and that the boundary-value problem (77) is solvable in each V_j . Moreover, take two open sets V_0, V_{N+1} satisfying

$$(85) \quad W_\varepsilon = V_0 \cap \partial M, \quad \overline{V}_{N+1} \subset M \setminus \partial M, \quad M = V_0 \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^N V_j \cup V_{N+1}.$$

For $j = 0, 1, \dots, N+1$, choose $\phi_j \in C_0^\infty(V_j)$ satisfying

$$(86) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \phi_j^2 = 1 \quad \text{on } M.$$

For $s \in \text{Dom}(D_T)$ with $\text{supp}(s) \subset M \setminus U_a$, we have $\phi_j s \in \text{Dom}(D_T)$ and $\text{supp}(\phi_j s) \subset V_j$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, N+1$. By (64)–(68),

$$(87) \quad \|D_T(\phi_{N+1}s)\| \geq CT \|\phi_{N+1}s\|.$$

The result of case I implies immediately

$$(88) \quad \|D_T(\phi_0 s)\| \geq CT \|\phi_0 s\|.$$

The result of case II gives

$$(89) \quad \|D_T(\phi_j s)\| \geq CT \|\phi_j s\| \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N.$$

Observe that

$$(90) \quad \phi_j D_T s = D_T(\phi_j s) + c(\nabla \phi_j) s \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, N+1.$$

By (86) and (90), we get

$$(91) \quad \|D_T s\|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \|\phi_j D_T s\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \|D_T(\phi_j s)\|^2 - C\|s\|^2.$$

By (87)–(89) and (91), we obtain the estimate (63). The proof of Proposition 5.1 is complete. $\square$

In view of Proposition 5.1, the eigenvectors with small eigenvalues of the Witten Laplacian D_T^2 “concentrate” for T large around the critical points in $C(f)$ and $C_-(f|_{\partial M})$.

5.2. Local behavior of the Witten Laplacian around critical points in $C(f)$ and $C_-(f|_{\partial M})$

If $p \in C^j(f)$, then there exists a coordinate system (x, U_p) such that for any $x \in U_p$,

$$(92) \quad f(x) = f(p) - \frac{x_1^2}{2} - \dots - \frac{x_j^2}{2} + \frac{x_{j+1}^2}{2} + \dots + \frac{x_n^2}{2}.$$

Over U_p , set $e_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Then the dual basis $e^k = dx_k$ for all k . From (16), (21), (54) and (92), we have

$$(93) \quad D_T|_{U_p} = D_{T,v}|_{U_p}.$$

Set

$$(94) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_T &= - \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\alpha^2} + T \sum_{\alpha=1}^n x_\alpha^2 - Tn, \\ \mathcal{K}_T &= 2T \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^j i_{e_\alpha} e^\alpha \wedge + \sum_{\alpha=j+1}^n e^\alpha \wedge i_{e_\alpha} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then (24) and (93) imply that

$$(95) \quad D_T^2 = \mathcal{L}_T + \mathcal{K}_T$$

holds throughout U_p . Denote by $L^2\Omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of square integrable differential forms on $\mathbb{R}^n$. By Proposition 3.1, we have

Proposition 5.2. *For any $T > 0$, the operator $\mathcal{L}_T + \mathcal{K}_T$ acting on $L^2\Omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an essentially self-adjoint positive operator. Its kernel is one dimensional and is spanned by*

$$(96) \quad \beta_T = e^{-\frac{T}{2}|x|^2} e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^j.$$

Moreover, all nonzero eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}_T + \mathcal{K}_T$ are $\geq 2T$.

If $p \in C_-^j(f|_{\partial M})$, then there exists a coordinate system (x, U_p) such that for any $x \in U_p$,

$$(97) \quad f(x) = f(p) - \frac{x_1^2}{2} - \cdots - \frac{x_j^2}{2} + \frac{x_{j+1}^2}{2} + \cdots + \frac{x_{n-1}^2}{2} + x_n.$$

On U_p , set $e_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Then the dual basis $e^k = dx_k$ for all k . In view of (28), (30), (54) and (97), we have

$$(98) \quad D_T|_{U_p} = D_{T, v_1}|_{U_p}.$$

Set

$$(99) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}'_T &= - \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\alpha^2} + T \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} x_\alpha^2 - T(n-1) + T^2, \\ \mathcal{K}'_T &= 2T \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^j i_{e_\alpha} e^\alpha \wedge + \sum_{\alpha=j+1}^{n-1} e^\alpha \wedge i_{e_\alpha} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (42) and (98), we find that on U_p ,

$$(100) \quad D_T^2 = \mathcal{L}'_T + \mathcal{K}'_T.$$

Let $\Omega(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ be the space of smooth differential sections on $\mathbb{R}_+^n$, and let $L^2(\Lambda\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ be the set of the square integrable sections of $\Lambda\mathbb{R}_+^n$. Consider the self-adjoint extension of (100) defined as in (31)–(35). Thus

$$(101) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}'_T + \mathcal{K}'_T) \cap \Omega(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \\ &= \left\{ w \in \Omega(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cap L^2(\Lambda\mathbb{R}_+^n) : \begin{array}{l} w_{\text{norm}} = 0 \\ (d_T w)_{\text{norm}} = 0 \end{array} \text{ on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.3, we have

Proposition 5.3. *For any $T > 0$, the self-adjoint extension of $\mathcal{L}'_T + \mathcal{K}'_T$, given as in (31)-(35) is a positive operator. Its kernel is one dimensional and is spanned by*

$$(102) \quad \xi_T = e^{-\frac{T}{2}|x'|^2 - Tx_n} e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^j.$$

Moreover, all nonzero eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}'_T + \mathcal{K}'_T$ are $\geq 2T$.

5.3. A decomposition of the deformed de Rham operator D_T

For $r \in (0, 2a)$, let $\gamma_r : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a family of smooth cut-off functions such that $\gamma_r(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq r/2$ and that $\gamma_r(x) = 0$ if $|x| \geq r$. For any $p \in C^j(f)$, set

$$(103) \quad \eta_p(x) = \gamma_{2a}(|x|).$$

For $q \in C^j_-(f|_{\partial M})$, set

$$(104) \quad \sigma_q(x) = \gamma_b(|x|) \text{ with } b^2 = 4a^2/n,$$

and

$$(105) \quad \eta_q(x) = \sigma_q(x', x_n^2), \quad x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Then η_q is a smooth cut-off function such that $\eta_q(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq b/2$ and that $\eta_q(x) = 0$ if $|x| \geq 2a$. Moreover, we have

$$(106) \quad \frac{\partial \eta_q}{\partial x_n}(x', 0) = 0.$$

We can consider η_p (resp. η_q) as a smooth function defined on M with values in $\mathbb{R}_+$, which vanishes on $M \setminus B^M(p, 2a)$ (resp. $M \setminus B^M(q, 2a)$).

For any $p \in C^j(f)$ and $q \in C^j_-(f|_{\partial M})$, set

$$(107) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_{p,T} &= \int_{U_p} \eta_p^2(x) e^{-T|x|^2} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n, \\ \alpha_{q,T} &= \int_{U_q} \eta_q^2(x) e^{-T|x'|^2 - 2Tx_n} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, there exists $c > 0$ such that as $T \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$(108) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_{p,T} &= \left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} + O(e^{-cT}), \\ \alpha_{q,T} &= \frac{1}{2T} \left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} + O(e^{-cT}). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$(109) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_{p,T} &= \frac{\eta_p(x)}{\sqrt{\alpha_{p,T}}} e^{-\frac{T}{2}|x|^2} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_j, \\ \rho_{q,T} &= \frac{\eta_q(x)}{\sqrt{\alpha_{q,T}}} e^{-\frac{T}{2}|x'|^2 - Tx_n} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_j. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$(110) \quad \rho_{p,T} \in \text{Dom}(D_T^2) \cap \Omega^j(M).$$

By (60), (106), (109) and Proposition 5.3, we obtain

$$(111) \quad \rho_{q,T} \in \text{Dom}(D_T^2) \cap \Omega^j(M).$$

Let E_T^j be the direct sum of the vector spaces generated by all $\rho_{p,T}$'s and $\rho_{q,T}$'s with $p \in C^j(f)$ and $q \in C_-^j(f|_{\partial M})$. Set $E_T = \bigoplus_{j=0}^n E_T^j$. Clearly,

$$(112) \quad \dim E_T = \sum_{j=0}^n (c_j + p_j).$$

On $\text{Dom}(D_T)$, there exists a natural L^2 -scalar product induced by (52). Take $E_T^\perp$ as the orthogonal complement of E_T in $\text{Dom}(D_T)$, then $\text{Dom}(D_T)$ has an orthogonal splitting:

$$(113) \quad \text{Dom}(D_T) = E_T \oplus E_T^\perp.$$

Let $p_1, p_1^\perp$ denote the orthogonal projections from $\text{Dom}(D_T)$ onto E_T and $E_T^\perp$, respectively. Also we have another orthogonal splitting about E_T in $L^2\Omega(M)$:

$$(114) \quad L^2\Omega(M) = E_T \oplus F_T,$$

where F_T is the orthogonal complement of E_T in $L^2\Omega(M)$. Then $E_T^\perp \subset F_T$. Denote by $p_2, p_2^\perp$ the orthogonal projections from $L^2\Omega(M)$ onto E_T and F_T ,

respectively. Following Bismut-Lebeau [5, §9], we decompose the deformed de Rham operator D_T according to the splittings (113) and (114):

$$(115) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{T,1} &= p_2 D_T p_1, & D_{T,2} &= p_2 D_T p_1^\perp, \\ D_{T,3} &= p_2^\perp D_T p_1 & D_{T,4} &= p_2^\perp D_T p_1^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(116) \quad D_T = D_{T,1} + D_{T,2} + D_{T,3} + D_{T,4}.$$

Denote by $\mathbf{H}^1(M)$ the first Sobolev space with respect to a (fixed) Sobolev norm on $\Omega(M)$. The analogues of the estimates [34, Prop. 5.6] still hold for the operators $D_{T,j}$:

Proposition 5.4. (1) For any $T > 0$,

$$(117) \quad D_{T,1} = 0;$$

(2) There exist positive constants b_1, b_2 and T_4 such that for any $s \in E_T^\perp \cap \mathbf{H}^1(M)$, $s' \in E_T$ and any $T \geq T_4$, one has

$$(118) \quad \begin{aligned} \|D_{T,2}s\| &\leq b_1 e^{-b_2 T} \|s\|, \\ \|D_{T,3}s'\| &\leq b_1 e^{-b_2 T} \|s'\|. \end{aligned}$$

(3) There exists constant $b_3 > 0$ and $T_5 > 0$, such that for any $s \in E_T^\perp \cap \mathbf{H}^1(M)$ and any $T \geq T_5$, one has

$$(119) \quad \|D_{T,4}s\| \geq b_3 T \|s\|.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to [34, Prop. 5.6] except for the estimate of $D_{T,3}$. We prove the estimate of $D_{T,3}$ directly. From (109), Proposition 5.2 and Proposition 5.3, we have

$$(120) \quad D_T(\rho_{p,T}) = \frac{c(\nabla \eta_p)}{\sqrt{\alpha_{p,T}}} e^{-\frac{T}{2}|x|^2} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_j$$

and

$$(121) \quad D_T(\rho_{q,T}) = \frac{c(\nabla \eta_q)}{\sqrt{\beta_{q,T}}} e^{-\frac{T}{2}|x'|^2 - T x_n} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_j.$$

Then (120) and (121) imply the second inequality in (118). The rest of the proof is similar to [34, Prop. 5.6]. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. By the min-max principle [26, (C.3.3)],

$$(122) \quad \lambda_k(T) = \inf_{\substack{F \subset \text{Dom}(D_T^2), \\ \dim F = k}} \sup_{\substack{s \in F, \\ \|s\|=1}} \langle D_T^2 s, s \rangle.$$

Take $F = E_T^j$, then $\dim F = c_j + p_j$. It is a consequence of (117) and (118) that for every $s \in F$,

$$(123) \quad \|D_T s\|^2 = \|D_{T,3} s\|^2 \leq b_1^2 e^{-2b_2 T} \|s\|^2.$$

Then (3) follows immediately from (122) and (123). Suppose now that F is a $(c_j + p_j + 1)$ -dimensional subspace of $\text{Dom}(D_T^2) \cap \Omega^j(M)$. Clearly $F \cap E_T^\perp \neq \{0\}$. Let $s \in F \cap E_T^\perp$ be a nonzero element. Then (118) yields

$$(124) \quad \|D_T s\|^2 = \|D_{T,2} s\|^2 + \|D_{T,4} s\|^2 \geq \|D_{T,4} s\|^2 \geq b_3^2 T^2 \|s\|^2.$$

Relations (122) and (124) imply immediately (2). $\square$

5.4. Proof of Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 1.3

Denote by $F_T^{C_0}$ the finite dimensional vector space consisting of eigenspaces of $D_T^2|_{\text{Dom}(D_T^2)}$ associated to the eigenvalues lying in $[0, C_0)$, i.e., $F_T^{C_0} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^n F_{T,j}^{C_0}$. Denote by $P_T^{C_0}$ the orthogonal projection operator from E to $F_T^{C_0}$. Since D_T^2 preserves the degree of $\Omega^\bullet(M)$, the projection $P_T^{C_0}$ maps $\Omega^j(M)$ onto $F_{T,j}^{C_0}$. Let J_T be the linear map from C^j to E_T^j by sending $[p]^*$ to $\rho_{p,T}$ for $p \in C^j(f) \cup C_-^j(f|_{\partial M})$. Set $e_T : C^j \rightarrow F_{T,j}^{C_0}$ by $e_T = P_T^{C_0} J_T$. In view of Proposition 5.4, the following result ([34, Th. 6.7]) still holds.

Lemma 5.5. *There exists $c > 0$ such that as $T \rightarrow \infty$, for any $s \in C^j$,*

$$(125) \quad (e_T - J_T)s = O(e^{-cT})\|s\| \quad \text{uniformly on } M.$$

In particular, e_T is an isomorphism when T is large enough.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [34, Th. 6.7]. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 4.1. From Lemma 5.5, there exists $T_6 > 0$ such that when $T \geq T_6$,

$$(126) \quad \dim F_T^{C_0} \geq \dim E_T.$$

We next carry on nearly word by word as in [34, pp. 86–88] to get

$$(127) \quad \dim F_{T,j}^{C_0} = c_j + p_j.$$

Then the proof of Proposition 4.1 is complete. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Substituting (4) into (5), we get for $\alpha \in F_{T,j}^{C_0}$,

$$(128) \quad P_{\infty,T}(\alpha) = \sum_{p \in C^j(f) \cup C_-^j(f|_{\partial M})} [p]^* \int_{\overline{W^u(p)}} e^{Tf} \alpha.$$

Note that $P_{\infty,T}$ is a chain homomorphism, i.e., $P_{\infty,T}d_T = \partial P_{\infty,T}$. Indeed, the proof of [22, Prop. 6] (in the boundaryless case) goes through also for $P_{\infty,T}$ defined in (5); we just apply the Stokes formula as in [22, Prop. 6] also for the closure of unstable manifolds $\overline{W^u(p)}$ for $p \in C_-(f|_{\partial M})$. Define $\mathcal{F} \in \text{End}(C^j)$ by sending $[p]^*$ to $f(p) \cdot [p]^*$ for $p \in C^j(f)$ and sending $[q]^*$ to $(f(q) + \frac{1}{2T} \ln 2\pi)[q]^*$ for $q \in C_-^j(f|_{\partial M})$. Set $\mathcal{N} \in \text{End}(C^j)$ by taking $[p]^*$ to $j \cdot [p]^*$ for $p \in C^j(f)$ and taking $[q]^*$ to $(j - \frac{1}{2}) \cdot [q]^*$ for $q \in C_-^j(f|_{\partial M})$. From (12), (13) and Proposition 5.4, we get the following analogue of [34, Th. 6.9]: there exists $c > 0$ such that as $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(129) \quad P_{\infty,T}e_T = e^{T\mathcal{F}} \left(\frac{\pi}{T} \right)^{\frac{N}{2} - \frac{n}{4}} (1 + O(e^{-cT})).$$

In particular, $P_{\infty,T}$ is an isomorphism when T is large enough. Since $P_{\infty,T}$ is a chain homomorphism, it induces an isomorphism between the cohomology groups of the two complexes. We finish the proof of Theorem 1.3. $\square$

Remark 5.6. Given the Morse function f , the Morse-Smale complex $(C^\bullet, \partial)$ defined as in (14) and (15) depends on the vector field X . However, by [23, §2.3, Prop.], the homology of $(C^\bullet, \partial)$ is independent of the choice of the pseudo-gradient vector field. Therefore, the Witten complex $(F_{T,\bullet}^{C_0}, d_T)$ is quasi-isomorphic to all Morse-Smale complex constructed by Laudenbach in [23].

Remark 5.7. For the relative boundary case, our strategy proceeds as follows. We first find a vector field Y on M satisfying the conditions (1)–(5) in Section 2 except that the sets $C_-^j(f|_{\partial M})$, $C_-(f|_{\partial M})$ there should be replaced by the sets $C_+^{j-1}(f|_{\partial M})$ and $C_+(f|_{\partial M})$, respectively, and the condition (4) should read as (4)' if $p \in C_+^{j-1}(f|_{\partial M})$, there are coordinates $x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ on some neighborhood U_p of p such that on U_p ,

$$(130) \quad f(x) = f(p) - \frac{x_1^2}{2} - \cdots - \frac{x_{j-1}^2}{2} + \frac{x_j^2}{2} + \cdots + \frac{x_{n-1}^2}{2} - x_n$$

and

$$(131) \quad Y = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \sum_{i=j}^{n-1} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}.$$

Then the corresponding Thom-Smale complex is derived by replacing the sets $C_-^j(f|_{\partial M})$ in (14), $C_-^{j+1}(f|_{\partial M})$ in (15) by the sets $C_+^{j-1}(f|_{\partial M})$ and $C_+^j(f|_{\partial M})$, respectively. On the other hand, we consider different boundary conditions for D_T^2 , that is, a different self-adjoint extension. We start with the weak maximal extension of δ_T ,

$$(132) \quad \text{Dom}(\delta_T) = \{w \in L^2\Omega(M) : \delta_T w \in L^2\Omega(M)\},$$

where $\delta_T w$ is calculated in the sense of distributions. We denote by δ_T^* the Hilbert space adjoint of δ_T . The formal adjoint of δ_T is of course d_T . Using the Green's formula and the density of $\text{Dom}(\delta_T) \cap \Omega(M)$ in $\text{Dom}(\delta_T)$ in the graph norm (again an adaptation of the proof of [19, Prop. 2.1.1], by replacing the operator $\bar{\partial}$ with δ_T), we obtain

$$(133) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Dom}(\delta_T^*) \cap \Omega(M) &= \{w \in \Omega(M) : w_{\text{tan}} = 0\}, \\ \delta_T^* w &= d_T w \text{ for } w \in \text{Dom}(\delta_T^*) \cap \Omega(M). \end{aligned}$$

The domain of the extension $D_T = \delta_T^* + \delta_T$ of deformed de Rham operator $d_T + \delta_T$ is $\text{Dom}(\delta_T^*) \cap \text{Dom}(\delta_T)$. By (133),

$$(134) \quad \text{Dom}(D_T) \cap \Omega(M) = \{w \in \Omega(M) : w_{\text{tan}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial M\}.$$

We define the Gaffney extension of D_T^2 as in (35) by $D_T^2 = \delta_T^* \delta_T + \delta_T \delta_T^*$. Then

$$(135) \quad \text{Dom}(D_T^2) \cap \Omega(M) = \left\{ w \in \Omega(M) : \begin{array}{l} w_{\text{tan}} = 0 \\ (\delta_T w)_{\text{tan}} = 0 \end{array} \text{ on } \partial M \right\}.$$

The analogues of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are obtained simply by replacing the number p_j in the expressions (2) and (3) by the number q_{j-1} . Then we obtain the corresponding Witten instanton complex. Moreover, the chain morphism between the Witten instanton complex and the Thom-Smale complex is constructed as in (4) and (5) except that the set $C_-(f|_{\partial M})$ in (4) should be replaced by the set $C_+(f|_{\partial M})$. This morphism is an isomorphism for T large enough. As a by-product, we obtain the inequalities (7).

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Professors Xiaonan Ma and George Marinescu for their kind advices and the referee for helpful suggestions on the manuscript. This work was partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11401232).

References

- [1] A. Banyaga and D. Hurtubise, *Lectures on Morse Homology*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, P.O. Box 17, 3300 AA Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2004.
- [2] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne, *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators*, Grundlehren Text Editions, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [3] J.-M. Bismut and W. Zhang, *An extension of a theorem by Cheeger and Müller*, *Astérisque* **205** (1992).
- [4] J.-M. Bismut and W. Zhang, *Milnor and Ray-Singer metrics on the equivariant determinant of a flat vector bundle*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **4** (1994), 136–212.
- [5] J.-M. Bismut and G. Lebeau, *Complex immersion and Quillen metrics*, *Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. Publ. Math.* **74** (1991), 1–297.
- [6] R. Bott and L. Tu, *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **82**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [7] A. Bovier, M. Eckhoff, V. Gayrard, and M. Klein, *Metastability in reversible diffusion processes I: Sharp asymptotics for capacities and exit times*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **6** (2004), 399–424.
- [8] A. Bovier, V. Gayrard, and M. Klein, *Metastability in reversible diffusion processes II: Precise asymptotics for small eigenvalues*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **7** (2004), 69–99.

- [9] K. C. Chang and J. Liu, *A cohomology complex for manifolds with boundary*, Top. Methods in Non Linear Analysis **5** (1995), 325–340.
- [10] J. Cheeger, *Analytic torsion and the heat equation*, Ann. of Math. **109** (1979), 259–322.
- [11] H. Eyring, *The activated complex in chemical reactions*, J. Chem. Phys. **3** (1935), 107–115.
- [12] L. C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 19, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [13] A. Floer, *Witten’s complex and infinite dimensional Morse theory*, J. Differential Geom. **30** (1989), 207–221.
- [14] G. B. Folland and J. J. Kohn, *The Neumann problem for the Cauchy-Riemann complex*, Annals of Math. Studies **75**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1972.
- [15] M. I. Freidlin and A. D. Wentzell, *Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems*, translated from the 1979 Russian original by Joseph Szücs, Second edition, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 260, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [16] B. Helffer, M. Klein, and F. Nier, *Quantitative analysis of metastability in reversible processes via a Witten complex approach*, Mat. Contemp. **26** (2004), 41–85.
- [17] B. Helffer and F. Nier, *Quantitative analysis of metastability in reversible processes via a Witten complex approach: the case with boundary*, Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. **105** (2006).
- [18] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand, *Puits multiples en mécanique semi-classique IV: Etude du complexe de Witten*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **10** (1985), 245–340.
- [19] L. Hörmander, *L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator*, Acta Math. **113** (1965), 89–152.
- [20] J. J. Kohn, *Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds, I*, Ann. of Math. **78** (1963), 112–148.
- [21] H. A. Kramers, *Brownian motion in a field of force and the diffusion model of chemical reactions*, Physica **7** (1940), 284–304.
- [22] F. Laudenbach, *On the Thom-Smale complex*, Astérisque **205** (1992), 219–233.

- [23] F. Laudenbach, *A Morse complex on manifolds with boundary*, Geom. Dedicata **153** (2011), 47–57.
- [24] D. Le Peutrec, *Small eigenvalues of the Neumann realization of the semiclassical Witten Laplacian*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. **19** (2010), 735–809.
- [25] D. Le Peutrec, F. Nier, and C. Viterbo, *Precise Arrhenius law for p -forms: the Witten Laplacian and Morse-Barannikov complex*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **14** (2013), 567–610.
- [26] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *Holomorphic Morse Inequalities and Bergman Kernels*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 254, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007.
- [27] J. Milnor, *Lectures on the h -cobordism Theorem, notes by L. Siebenmann and J. Sondow*, Princeton Mathematical Notes, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1965.
- [28] W. Müller, *Analytic torsion and R -torsion for Riemannian manifolds*, Adv. in Math. **28** (1978), 233–305.
- [29] M. Schwarz, *Morse Homology*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 111, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1993.
- [30] S. Smale, *On gradient dynamical systems*, Ann. of Math. **74** (1961), 199–206.
- [31] M. E. Taylor, *Partial Differential Equations I: Basic Theory*, Text in Applied Mathematics, vol. 23, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [32] R. Thom, *Sur une partition en cellules associée à une fonction sur une variété*, C. R. Acad. Sci. **228** (1949), 973–975 .
- [33] E. Witten, *Supersymmetry and Morse theory*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982) 661–692.
- [34] W. Zhang, *Lectures on Chern-Weil Theory and Witten Deformations*, Nankai Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 4, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., River Edge, NY, 2001.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
HUAZHONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
WUHAN, 430074, HUBEI PROVINCE, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: wlu@hust.edu.cn

RECEIVED SEPTEMBER 16, 2013

