

# Weighted Hsiung-Minkowski formulas and rigidity of umbilical hypersurfaces

KWOK-KUN KWONG, HOJOO LEE, AND JUNCHEOL PYO

We use the weighted Hsiung-Minkowski integral formulas and Brendle's inequality to show new rigidity results. We prove Alexandrov type results for closed embedded hypersurfaces with radially symmetric higher order mean curvature in a large class of Riemannian warped product manifolds, including the Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordström spaces, where the Alexandrov reflection principle is not available. We also prove that, in Euclidean space, the only closed immersed self-expanding solitons to the weighted generalized inverse curvature flow of codimension one are round hyperspheres.

## 1. Motivation and main results

A. D. Alexandrov [2, 3] proved that the only closed hypersurfaces of constant (higher order) mean curvature embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \geq 3}$  are round hyperspheres. The embeddedness assumption is essential. For instance,  $\mathbb{R}^3$  admits immersed tori with constant mean curvature, constructed by U. Abresch [1] and H. Wente [37]. R. C. Reilly [30] and A. Ros [32, 33] presented alternative proofs, employing the Hsiung-Minkowski formula. See also Osserman's wonderful survey [29].

In 1999, S. Montiel [28] established various general rigidity results in a class of warped product manifolds, including the Schwarzschild manifolds and Gaussian spaces. Some of his results require the additional assumption that the closed hypersurfaces are star-shaped with respect to the conformal vector field induced from the ambient warped product structure. As a corollary [28, Example 5], he also recovers Huisken's theorem [13] that the closed, star-shaped, self-shrinking hypersurfaces to the mean curvature flow in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \geq 3}$  are round hyperspheres. In 2016, S. Brendle [7] solved the open

---

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 53C44, 53C42.

*Key words and phrases:* embedded hypersurfaces, higher order mean curvatures, integral formulas.

problem that, in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , closed, embedded, self-shrinking topological spheres to the mean curvature flow should be round. The embeddedness assumption is essential. Indeed, in 2015, G. Drugan [11] employed the shooting method to prove the existence of a self-shrinking sphere with self-intersections in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

In 2001, H. Bray and F. Morgan [5] proved a general isoperimetric comparison theorem in a class of warped product spaces, including Schwarzschild manifolds. In 2013, S. Brendle [6] showed that Alexandrov Theorem holds in a class of sub-static warped product spaces, including Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordström manifolds. S. Brendle and M. Eichmair [8] extended Brendle’s result to the closed, convex, star-shaped hypersurfaces with constant higher order mean curvature. See also [18] by V. Gimeno, [26] by J. Li and C. Xia, and [36] by X. Wang and Y.-K. Wang.

In this paper, we provide new rigidity results (Theorems 1, 2 and 3). First, we associate the manifold  $M^{n \geq 3} = (N^{n-1} \times [0, \bar{r}], \bar{g} = dr^2 + h(r)^2 g_N)$ , where  $(N^{n-1}, g_N)$  is a compact manifold with constant curvature  $K$ . As in [6, 8], we consider four conditions on the warping function  $h : [0, \bar{r}) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ :

- (H1)  $h'(0) = 0$  and  $h''(0) > 0$ .
- (H2)  $h'(r) > 0$  for all  $r \in (0, \bar{r})$ .
- (H3)  $2 \frac{h''(r)}{h(r)} - (n - 2) \frac{K - h'(r)^2}{h(r)^2}$  is monotone increasing for  $r \in (0, \bar{r})$ .
- (H4) For all  $r \in (0, \bar{r})$ , we have  $\frac{h''(r)}{h(r)} + \frac{K - h'(r)^2}{h(r)^2} > 0$ .

Examples of ambient spaces satisfying all the conditions include the classical Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordström manifolds [6, Section 5].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed hypersurface embedded in  $M^{n \geq 3}$  with  $H_k > 0$ . Let  $\{b_j(r)\}_{j=1}^k$  and  $\{c_j(r)\}_{j=1}^k$  be two families of monotone increasing, smooth, non-negative functions. Suppose*

$$\sum_{j=1}^k (b_j(r)H_j + c_j(r)H_1H_{j-1}) = \eta(r)$$

for some smooth positive radially symmetric function  $\eta(r)$  which is monotone decreasing in  $r$ .

- 1) Assume (H1), (H2), (H3) and  $k = 1$ . Then  $\Sigma$  is umbilical.
- 2) Assume (H1), (H2), (H3), (H4), and suppose  $\Sigma$  is star-shaped when  $k \geq 2$ , then it is a slice  $N^{n-1} \times \{r_0\}$  for some constant  $r_0$ .

Theorem 1 contains two special cases worth mentioning: (i)  $H_k = \eta(r)$  and (ii)  $H_1 H_{k-1} = \eta(r)$ , where  $\eta(r)$  is a monotone decreasing function. The second case can be regarded as a “non-linear” version of the Alexandrov theorem and seems to be a new phenomenon. The same result also applies to the space forms  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{H}^n$  and  $\mathbb{S}_+^n$  (open hemisphere) without the star-shapedness assumption (Theorem 6).

In general, the monotonicity assumptions on coefficient functions  $b_j(r)$ ,  $c_j(r)$  and  $\eta(r)$  cannot be dropped. Indeed, as in Remark 2, we can show the existence of a thin torus in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , such that its mean curvature function only depends on the radial distance  $r$  from the origin and is monotone increasing in  $r$ .

We also prove the following general rigidity result for linear combinations of higher order mean curvatures, with less stringent assumptions on the ambient space and a stronger assumption that the immersed hypersurfaces are star-shaped.

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose  $(M^{n \geq 3}, \bar{g})$  satisfies (H2) and (H4). Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed star-shaped hypersurface immersed in  $M^n$  with  $H_k > 0$ . Let  $\{a_i(r)\}_{i=1}^{l-1}$  and  $\{b_j(r)\}_{j=l}^k$  ( $2 \leq l < k \leq n - 1$ ) be a family of monotone decreasing, smooth, non-negative functions and a family of monotone increasing, smooth, non-negative functions respectively (where at least one  $a_i(r)$  and one  $b_j(r)$  are positive). Suppose*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_i(r) H_i = \sum_{j=l}^k b_j(r) H_j.$$

*Then  $\Sigma$  is a slice  $N^{n-1} \times \{r_0\}$ .*

Theorem 2 contains the case where  $\frac{H_k}{H_l} = \eta(r)$  for some monotone decreasing function  $\eta$  and  $k > l$ . We notice that the same result also applies to the space forms  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{H}^n$  and  $\mathbb{S}_+^n$  (open hemisphere) without the star-shapedness assumption (Theorem 8). Our result extends [20, Theorem B] by S.-E. Koh, [21, Corollary 3.11] by the first named author, and [38, Theorem 11] by J. Wu and C. Xia. The monotonicity assumptions on  $a_i(r)$  and  $b_j(r)$  cannot be dropped, see Remark 2.

We next prove, in Section 4, a rigidity theorem for self-expanding solitons to the *weighted generalized inverse curvature flow* in Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n \geq 3}$ :

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F} = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n-1} a_{i,j} \left( \frac{H_i}{H_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{j-i}} \nu,$$

where the weight functions  $\{a_{i,j}(x) \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq n-1\}$  are non-negative functions on the hypersurface satisfying  $\sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n-1} a_{i,j}(x) = 1$ . Here,  $\nu$  denotes the outward pointing unit normal vector field and  $H_j$  is the  $j$ -th normalized mean curvature. For example, when  $a_{i,j} = 1$  for some pair  $(i, j)$ , we have the generalized inverse curvature flow:

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F} = \left( \frac{H_i}{H_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{j-i}} \nu,$$

which generalizes the so called inverse curvature flow:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F} = \frac{H_{j-1}}{H_j} \nu.$$

The inverse curvature flow has been used to prove various geometric inequalities and rigidities: Huisken-Ilmanen [14], Ge-Wang-Wu [12], Li-Wei-Xiong [25], Kwong-Miao [22], Brendle-Hung-Wang [9], Guo-Li-Wu [19], and Lambert-Scheuer [23]. In Euclidean space, the long time existence of smooth solutions to the generalized inverse curvature flow (1.2) was proved by Gerhard in [17] and by Urbas in [34], under some natural conditions on the initial closed hypersurface. Furthermore, they showed that the rescaled hypersurfaces converge to a round hypersphere as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed hypersurface immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \geq 3}$ . If  $\Sigma$  is a self-expander to the weighted generalized inverse curvature flow, then it is a round hypersphere.*

In the proof of our main results, we shall use several integral equalities and inequalities. Theorem 1 requires the embeddedness assumption as in the classical Alexandrov Theorem and is proved for the space forms in [21]. Theorem 2 and 3 require no embeddedness assumption. Theorem 3 is proved in [10] for the inverse mean curvature flow.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $(N^{n-1}, g_N)$  be an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional compact manifold with constant curvature  $K$ . Our ambient space is the warped product manifold  $M^{n \geq 3} = N^{n-1} \times [0, \bar{r})$  equipped with the metric  $\bar{g} = dr^2 + h(r)^2 g_N$ . The precise conditions on the warping function  $h$  will be stated separately for each result.

In this paper, all hypersurfaces we consider are assumed to be connected, closed, and orientable. On a given hypersurface  $\Sigma$  in  $M$ , we define the normalized  $k$ -th mean curvature function

$$(2.1) \quad H_k := H_k(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k}} \sigma_k(\Lambda),$$

where  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1})$  are the principal curvature functions on  $\Sigma$  and the homogenous polynomial  $\sigma_k$  of degree  $k$  is the  $k$ -th elementary symmetric function

$$\sigma_k(\Lambda) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k}.$$

We adopt the usual convention  $\sigma_0 = H_0 = 1$ .

**Definition 1 ( $p$ -convexity).** Let  $p \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ . A hypersurface  $\Sigma$  is said to be  $p$ -convex if  $\sigma_k > 0$  on  $\Sigma$  for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ . If  $M^n$  is a warped product manifold satisfying the condition (H2) and  $\Sigma$  is a closed and connected hypersurface, then  $p$ -convexity is equivalent to the condition that  $\sigma_p > 0$ . (See Lemma 1.)

**Definition 2 (Potential function and conformal vector field).** In our ambient warped product manifold  $(M, \bar{g})$ , we define the potential function  $f(r) = h'(r) > 0$ . We define the vector field  $X = h(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} = \bar{\nabla} \psi$ , where  $\psi'(r) = h(r)$  and  $\bar{\nabla}$  is the connection on  $M$ . We note that it is conformal:  $\mathcal{L}_X \bar{g} = 2f \bar{g}$  [6, Lemma 2.2].

**Definition 3 (Star-shapeness).** For a hypersurface  $\Sigma$  oriented by the outward pointing unit normal vector field  $\nu$ , we say that it is star-shaped when  $\langle X, \nu \rangle \geq 0$ .

A useful tool in studying higher order mean curvatures is the  $k$ -th Newton transformation  $T_k : T\Sigma \rightarrow T\Sigma$  (cf. [30, 31]). If we write

$$T_k(e_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (T_k)^i_j e_i,$$

then  $(T_k)^i_j$  is given by

$$(T_k)^i_j = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n-1 \\ 1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_k \leq n-1}} \delta_{j_1 \dots j_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k} A_{i_1}^{j_1} \cdots A_{i_k}^{j_k}$$

where  $(A_i^j)$  is the second fundamental form of  $\Sigma$ . If  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$  consist of eigenvectors of  $A$  with

$$A(e_j) = \lambda_j e_j,$$

then we have

$$T_k(e_j) = \Lambda_j e_j,$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad \Lambda_j = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n-1, \\ j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}}} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k} = \sigma_k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}, \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}).$$

One also defines  $T_0 = \text{Id}$ , the identity map. We have the following basic facts:

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed hypersurface in a warped product manifold  $M^n$  satisfying the condition (H2).*

- 1) *On  $\Sigma$ , there is an elliptic point, where all principal curvatures are positive.*
- 2) *Assume  $H_p > 0$  on  $\Sigma$ . Then the following assertions hold*
  - a) *For all  $k \in \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$ , we have  $T_k > 0$  and  $H_k > 0$ . For any  $j \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ , we have  $H_{k;j} := H_k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}, \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) > 0$ .*
  - b) *If  $1 \leq i < j \leq p$ , then  $0 < \frac{H_{i-1}}{H_i} \leq \frac{H_{j-1}}{H_j}$ . The equality  $\frac{H_{i-1}}{H_i} = \frac{H_{j-1}}{H_j}$  holds if and only if  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1}$ .*
  - c) *[38, Section 3] For  $1 \leq i < j \leq p$  and for any  $l = \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ ,*

$$jH_iH_{j-1;l} > iH_jH_{i-1;l}.$$

*Proof.* The first assertion is proved in [24, Lemma 4]. As in the proof of [4, Proposition 3.2],  $T_k > 0$  when  $k \in \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$ , which implies

$$H_k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}, \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) > 0$$

by (2.2). Also,  $H_k = \frac{1}{(n-1-k)\binom{n-1}{k}} \text{tr}_\Sigma(T_k) > 0$ . For  $1 \leq i < j \leq p$ , the classical Newton-Maclaurin inequality  $H_{i-1}H_j \leq H_{j-1}H_i$  then gives  $0 < \frac{H_{i-1}}{H_i} \leq \frac{H_{j-1}}{H_j}$ , with  $\frac{H_{i-1}}{H_i} = \frac{H_{j-1}}{H_j}$  if and only if all  $\lambda_l$  are the same.

We now show (2c). Let  $\lambda = \lambda_l$ ,  $m = n - 1$ , and  $\sigma_{i;l} = \binom{m-1}{i} H_{i;l}$ . Note that  $\sigma_i = \lambda \sigma_{i-1;l} + \sigma_{i;l}$ , which implies

$$H_i = \frac{i}{m} \lambda H_{i-1;l} + \frac{m-i}{m} H_{i;l}.$$

Using this identity, (2a), and the Newton-Maclaurin inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & jH_i H_{j-1;l} - iH_j H_{i-1;l} \\ = & j \left( \frac{i}{m} \lambda H_{i-1;l} + \frac{m-i}{m} H_{i;l} \right) H_{j-1;l} - i \left( \frac{j}{m} \lambda H_{j-1;l} + \frac{m-j}{m} H_{j;l} \right) H_{i-1;l} \\ = & \frac{j(m-i)}{m} H_{i;l} H_{j-1;l} - \frac{i(m-j)}{m} H_{j;l} H_{i-1;l} \\ = & (j-i) H_{j-1;l} H_{i-1;l} + \frac{i(m-j)}{m} (H_{i;l} H_{j-1;l} - H_{j;l} H_{i-1;l}) \\ > & 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

For the reader’s convenience, let us also record the following Heintze-Karcher-type inequality due to Brendle [6, Theorem 3.5 and 3.11], which is crucial in our proof of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 4 (Brendle’s Inequality).** *Suppose the warped product manifold  $(M, \bar{g})$  satisfies (H1), (H2), and (H3). Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed hypersurface embedded in  $(M, \bar{g})$  with positive mean curvature. Then*

$$\int_{\Sigma} \frac{f}{H_1} \geq \int_{\Sigma} \langle X, \nu \rangle.$$

The equality holds if and only if  $\Sigma$  is umbilical.

### 3. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

The following formulas will play an essential role in our proof.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\phi$  be a smooth function on a closed hypersurface  $\Sigma$  in a Riemannian manifold  $M^n$ .*

- 1) (**Weighted Hsiung-Minkowski formulas**) For  $k \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad & \int_{\Sigma} \phi (fH_{k-1} - H_k \langle X, \nu \rangle) + \frac{1}{k \binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} \phi (\operatorname{div}_{\Sigma} T_{k-1}) (\xi) \\
 & = -\frac{1}{k \binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} \langle T_{k-1}(\xi), \nabla_{\Sigma} \phi \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\xi = X^T$  is the tangential projection of the conformal vector field  $X$  onto  $T\Sigma$ . (Note that  $\operatorname{div}_{\Sigma}(T_0) = 0$ .)

2) [8, Section 2] Suppose  $(M^n, \bar{g})$  is the warped product manifold in Section 2. Then, for  $k \in \{2, \dots, n - 1\}$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad (\operatorname{div}_{\Sigma} T_{k-1})(\xi) = -\binom{n-3}{k-2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} H_{k-2;j} \xi^j \operatorname{Ric}(e_j, \nu).$$

Here,  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$  and  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$  are the principal directions and principal curvatures of  $\Sigma$ , respectively, and  $H_{k-2;j} = H_{k-2}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}, \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_{n-1})$ . If  $\Sigma$  is star-shaped and (H4) is satisfied, then, for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad -\xi^j \operatorname{Ric}(e_j, \nu) \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\xi = X^T = X - \langle X, \nu \rangle \nu$ , and recall that  $X$  is conformal:  $\mathcal{L}_X \bar{g} = 2f\bar{g}$ . By [21, Proposition 3.1], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma}(\phi T_{k-1}(\xi)) & = (n - k)f\sigma_{k-1}\phi - k\sigma_k\phi\langle X, \nu \rangle \\
 & \quad + \phi(\operatorname{div}_{\Sigma} T_{k-1})(\xi) + \langle T_{k-1}(\xi), \nabla_{\Sigma} \phi \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this equation, we get (3.1).

We now show (2). Take a local orthonormal frame  $\nu, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$ , so that  $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$  are the principal directions of  $\Sigma$ . By the proof of [8, Proposition 8] (note that  $T^{(k)}$  in [8] is the  $(k - 1)$ -th Newton transformation), we have

$$(\operatorname{div}_{\Sigma} T_{k-1})\xi = -\frac{n-k}{n-2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sigma_{k-2;j} \xi^j \operatorname{Ric}(e_j, \nu),$$

where  $\sigma_{k-2;j} = \sigma_{k-2}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}, \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_{n-1})$ , which is equivalent to (3.2).



It remains to show (3.3). As in [6, Equation (2)], we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric} = & - \left( \frac{h''(r)}{h(r)} - (n-2) \frac{K - h'(r)^2}{h(r)^2} \right) \bar{g} \\ & - (n-2) \left( \frac{h''(r)}{h(r)} + \frac{K - h'(r)^2}{h(r)^2} \right) dr^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption (H4) and star-shaped condition  $\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \nu \rangle > 0$ , we have

$$-\xi^j \text{Ric}(e_j, \nu) = (n-2) \left( \frac{h''(r)}{h(r)} + \frac{K - h'(r)^2}{h(r)^2} \right) \frac{(\xi^j)^2}{h(r)} \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \nu \rangle \geq 0.$$

□

**Theorem 5 (= Theorem 1).** *Suppose  $(M^{n \geq 3}, \bar{g})$  is the warped product manifold in Section 2. Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed hypersurface embedded in  $M^n$  with  $H_k > 0$ . Let  $\{b_j(r)\}_{j=1}^k$  and  $\{c_j(r)\}_{j=1}^k$  be two families of monotone increasing, smooth, non-negative functions. Suppose*

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^k (b_j(r)H_j + c_j(r)H_1H_{j-1}) = \eta(r)$$

for some smooth positive radially symmetric function  $\eta(r)$  which is monotone decreasing in  $r$ .

- 1) Assume (H1), (H2), (H3) and  $k = 1$ . Then  $\Sigma$  is umbilical.
- 2) Assume (H1), (H2), (H3), (H4), and suppose  $\Sigma$  is star-shaped when  $k \geq 2$ , then it is a slice  $N^{n-1} \times \{r_0\}$  for some constant  $r_0$ .

*Proof.* By dividing (3.4) by  $\eta(r)$ , it suffices to prove the result in the case where

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^k (b_j(r)H_j + c_j(r)H_1H_{j-1}) = 1.$$

Assume first  $j \in \{2, \dots, k\}$ . By Proposition 1 (2) and Lemma 1,  $(\text{div}_\Sigma T_{j-1})\xi \geq 0$ . It follows from the Weighted Hsiung-Minkowski formula in Proposition 1 (1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad & \int_{\Sigma} b_j(r) (fH_{j-1} - H_j \langle X, \nu \rangle) \\
 & \leq -\frac{1}{j \binom{n-1}{j}} \int_{\Sigma} \langle T_{j-1}(\xi), \nabla_{\Sigma} b_j \rangle \\
 & = -\frac{1}{j \binom{n-1}{j}} \int_{\Sigma} h(r) b'_j(r) \langle T_{j-1}(\nabla_{\Sigma} r), \nabla_{\Sigma} r \rangle \leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we consider the case when  $j = 1$ . The same estimation  $(\operatorname{div}_{\Sigma} T_{j-1})\xi \geq 0$  is immediate, as  $\operatorname{div}_{\Sigma}(T_0) = 0$ . The Weighted Hsiung-Minkowski formula guarantees that the inequality (3.6) also holds for  $j = 1$ . (We observe that the inequality (3.6) for  $j = 1$  holds, without assuming (H4) and star-shapedness, as we did not use Proposition 1 (2).)

Similarly, by the Newton-Maclaurin inequality, for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad & \int_{\Sigma} c_j(r) (fH_{j-1} - H_1 H_{j-1} \langle X, \nu \rangle) \\
 & \leq \int_{\Sigma} c_j(r) (fH_{j-1} - H_j \langle X, \nu \rangle) \leq -\frac{1}{j \binom{n-1}{j}} \int_{\Sigma} \langle T_{j-1}(\xi), \nabla_{\Sigma} c_j \rangle \leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Adding (3.6) and (3.7) together and then summing over  $j$ , using (3.5), we have

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \left( f \sum_{j=1}^k (b_j(r)H_{j-1} + c_j(r)H_{j-1}) - \langle X, \nu \rangle \right) \leq 0.$$

Note that  $H_i > 0$  for  $i \leq k$  by Lemma 1. Multiplying the Newton-Maclaurin inequality  $H_1 H_{j-1} \geq H_j$  by  $b_j(r)$  and summing over  $j$  gives

$$H_1 \sum_{j=1}^k b_j(r)H_{j-1} \geq \sum_{j=1}^k b_j(r)H_j.$$

Combining this with (3.8), we obtain the inequality

$$0 \geq \int_{\Sigma} \left( \frac{f}{H_1} \sum_{j=1}^k (b_j(r)H_j + c_j(r)H_1 H_{j-1}) - \langle X, \nu \rangle \right) = \int_{\Sigma} \left( \frac{f}{H_1} - \langle X, \nu \rangle \right).$$

However, Brendle’s inequality (Theorem 4) is the reverse inequality

$$\int_{\Sigma} \left( \frac{f}{H_1} - \langle X, \nu \rangle \right) \geq 0.$$

These two inequalities imply the equality in Brendle’s inequality. We conclude that  $\Sigma$  is umbilical.

Now we prove the item 2). Then (3.6) and (3.7) imply that on  $\Sigma$ ,

$$\langle T_{j-1}(\nabla_{\Sigma} b_j), \nabla_{\Sigma} r \rangle = b'_j(r) \langle T_{j-1}(\nabla_{\Sigma} r), \nabla_{\Sigma} r \rangle \equiv 0$$

and

$$\langle T_{j-1}(\nabla_{\Sigma} c_j), \nabla_{\Sigma} r \rangle = c'_j(r) \langle T_{j-1}(\nabla_{\Sigma} r), \nabla_{\Sigma} r \rangle \equiv 0.$$

At any point on  $\Sigma$ ,  $\nabla_{\Sigma} b_j$  and  $\nabla_{\Sigma} c_j$  are non-negative multiples of  $\nabla_{\Sigma} r$ , so the above equations show that both  $\nabla_{\Sigma} b_j$  and  $\nabla_{\Sigma} c_j$  vanish on  $\Sigma$ . Therefore  $b_j$  and  $c_j$  are constant on  $\Sigma$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is umbilical, for  $\lambda(x) = H_1(x)$ , we have  $\lambda_1(x) = \dots = \lambda_{n-1}(x) = \lambda(x)$ . So (3.5) is now of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \lambda(x)^i = 1$$

for some constants  $a_i$ . By the connectedness,  $\lambda(x)$  must be constantly equal to a root of the non-constant polynomial  $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i t^i = 1$ . Therefore  $H_1$  is constant. It then follows from [6, Theorem 1.1] that  $\Sigma$  is a slice  $N \times \{r_0\}$ .  $\square$

Due to the Brendle’s inequality [6, Theorem 3.5] and the analogous, but simpler, weighted Hsiung-Minkowski integral formulas in the space forms (cf. [21]), without assuming the star-shapedness condition, we can use the idea of Theorem 5 to prove

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed  $k$ -convex hypersurface embedded in  $M^{n \geq 3} = \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{H}^n$  or  $\mathbb{S}^n_+$  (open hemisphere). Let  $r$  be the distance in  $M^n$  from a fixed point  $p_0 \in M$  (chosen to be the center if  $M = \mathbb{S}^n_+$ ). Let  $\{b_j(r)\}_{j=1}^k$  and  $\{c_j(r)\}_{j=1}^k$  be two families of monotone increasing, smooth, non-negative functions. Suppose*

$$\sum_{j=1}^k (b_j(r)H_j + c_j(r)H_1H_{j-1}) = \eta(r)$$

*for some smooth positive radially symmetric function  $\eta(r)$  which is monotone decreasing in  $r$ . Then  $\Sigma$  is a geodesic hypersphere.*

**Remark 1.** Recently, Brendle’s inequality is extended in several ways, for instance, see [26, 27, 35, 36]. We observe that the proof of the item (1) in Theorem 1 works on more general warped product manifold  $M^n = N^{n-1} \times [0, \bar{r})$ , which admits the property that Brendle’s inequality holds. For instance, this result holds if the Ricci curvature of  $N^{n-1}$  satisfies  $\text{Ric}_N \geq (n - 2)Kg_N$ , with  $K$  as in (H3). The key observation is that  $\text{div}_\Sigma T_0 = 0$  and Brendle’s inequality holds in this case ([6, Theorem 3.5 and 3.11]). However, the item (2) in Theorem 1 in more general ambient warped product manifold may require additional assumptions, as our proof of the inequality (3.6) for  $j \geq 2$  requires Proposition 1 (2) to deduce the non-trivial estimation  $(\text{div}_\Sigma T_{j-1})\xi \geq 0$ , which does not have to be true for  $j \neq 1$  in general.

We now give another rigidity result which contains as a special case where the ratio of two distinct higher order mean curvatures is a radial function.

**Theorem 7 (= Theorem 2).** *Suppose  $(M^{n \geq 3}, \bar{g})$  is the warped product manifold in Section 2 satisfying (H2) and (H4). Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed star-shaped hypersurface immersed in  $M^n$  with  $H_k > 0$ . Let  $\{a_i(r)\}_{i=1}^{l-1}$  and  $\{b_j(r)\}_{j=l}^k$  ( $2 \leq l < k \leq n - 1$ ) be a family of monotone decreasing, smooth, non-negative functions and a family of monotone increasing, smooth, non-negative functions respectively (where at least one  $a_i(r)$  and one  $b_j(r)$  are positive). Suppose*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_i(r)H_i = \sum_{j=l}^k b_j(r)H_j.$$

*Then  $\Sigma$  is a slice  $N^{n-1} \times \{r_0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\xi = X^T$  and  $A_p = -\frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)}\xi^p \text{Ric}(e_p, \nu)$ . Since we are assuming (H2) and (H4), we can apply Lemma 1 (2) and Proposition 1 to have, for each  $i$  and  $j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.9) \quad & \int_\Sigma a_i(r) (fH_{i-1} - H_i \langle X, \nu \rangle) + (i - 1) \int_\Sigma a_i(r) \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} A_p H_{i-2;p} \\ &= -\frac{1}{i \binom{n-1}{i}} \int_\Sigma \langle T_{i-1}(\xi), \nabla_\Sigma a_i \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{i \binom{n-1}{i}} \int_\Sigma h(r) a'_i(r) \langle T_{i-1}(\nabla_\Sigma r), \nabla_\Sigma r \rangle \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.10) \quad & \int_{\Sigma} b_j(r) (fH_{j-1} - H_j \langle X, \nu \rangle) + (j-1) \int_{\Sigma} b_j(r) \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} A_p H_{j-2;p} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{j \binom{n-1}{j}} \int_{\Sigma} \langle T_{j-1}(\xi), \nabla_{\Sigma} b_j \rangle \\
 &= -\frac{1}{j \binom{n-1}{j}} \int_{\Sigma} h(r) b'_j(r) \langle T_{j-1}(\nabla_{\Sigma} r), \nabla_{\Sigma} r \rangle \leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Summing (3.9) over  $i$  and (3.10) over  $j$ , and then taking the difference gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.11) \quad 0 &= \int \left( \sum_{j=l}^k b_j(r) H_j - \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_i(r) H_i \right) \langle X, \nu \rangle \\
 &\geq \int_{\Sigma} f \left( \sum_{j=l}^k b_j(r) H_{j-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_i(r) H_{i-1} \right) \\
 &\quad + \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} A_p \left( \sum_{j=l}^k (j-1) b_j(r) H_{j-2;p} - \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} (i-1) a_i(r) H_{i-2;p} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $H_j > 0$  for  $j \leq k$  by Lemma 1. Let  $1 \leq i \leq l-1 \leq j \leq k$ . Multiplying the Newton's inequality  $H_i H_{j-1} \geq H_{i-1} H_j$  by  $a_i(r) b_j(r)$  and summing over  $i, j$  gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_i(r) H_i \sum_{j=l}^k b_j(r) H_{j-1} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_i(r) H_{i-1} \sum_{j=l}^k b_j(r) H_j.$$

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_i(r) H_i = \sum_{j=l}^k b_j(r) H_j > 0$ , we deduce

$$(3.12) \quad \sum_{j=l}^k b_j(r) H_{j-1} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_i(r) H_{i-1}.$$

Similar to deductions of equalities (3.12) and [38, (3.6)], we can obtain from Lemma 1 (2c) the inequality

$$(3.13) \quad \sum_{j=l}^k (j-1) b_j(r) H_{j-2;p} - \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} (i-1) a_i(r) H_{i-2;p} > 0.$$

On the other hand,  $A_p \geq 0$  by Proposition 1. Combining this with (3.13) and (3.12), we conclude that all the integrands in (3.11) are zero. This implies (3.12) is an equality and hence  $\Sigma$  is totally umbilical by the Newton-Maclaurin inequality. We can then proceed as in Theorem 1 to show that  $\Sigma$  is a slice.  $\square$

Again, following the idea of Theorem 7, we can use the weighted Hsiung-Minkowski integral formulas in the space forms to prove

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed  $k$ -convex hypersurface immersed in  $M^{n \geq 3} = \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{H}^n$  or  $\mathbb{S}_+^n$  (open hemisphere). Let  $r$  be the distance in  $M^n$  from a fixed point  $p_0 \in M$  (chosen to be the center if  $M = \mathbb{S}_+^n$ ). Let  $\{a_i(r)\}_{i=1}^{l-1}$  and  $\{b_j(r)\}_{j=l}^k$  ( $2 \leq l < k \leq n - 1$ ) be a family of monotone decreasing, smooth, non-negative functions and a family of monotone increasing, smooth, non-negative functions respectively (where at least one  $a_i(r)$  and one  $b_j(r)$  are positive). Suppose*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_i(r)H_i = \sum_{j=l}^k b_j(r)H_j.$$

*Then it is a geodesic hypersphere.*

**Remark 2.** We illustrate that the monotonicity condition on  $a_i(r)$  and  $b_j(r)$  in Theorem 7 and 8 cannot be dropped. For simplicity, we begin with the standard circular torus embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  given by the level set

$$\left(\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} - R_1\right)^2 + x_3^2 = R_2^2,$$

where the inner radius  $R_1$  and outer radius  $R_2$  satisfy  $R_2 < \frac{R_1}{2}$ . The normalized mean curvature function  $H_1$  depends only on  $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}$ :

$$H_1 = \frac{R_1^2 - r^2}{R_1^3 - R_2^2 R_1 - R_1 r^2},$$

which is increasing for  $r \in [R_1 - R_2, R_1 + R_2]$ . Likewise, in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , we can construct explicit counterexamples, by considering the hypersurface  $\Sigma$  which is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ :

$$\left(\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} - R_1\right)^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = R_2^2.$$

When  $\Sigma$  is sufficiently thin, in the sense that the inner radius  $R_1$  and outer radius  $R_2$  satisfy  $R_2 < \frac{R_1}{3}$ , we can check that the two positive functions  $H_1$

and  $\frac{H_2}{H_1}$  depend only on the radial distance  $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2}$ , and are increasing for  $r \in [\min_{x \in \Sigma} r(x), \max_{x \in \Sigma} r(x)] = [R_1 - R_2, R_1 + R_2]$ .

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 3

We consider the *weighted generalized inverse curvature flow* in Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n \geq 3}$ :

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F} = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n-1} a_{i,j} \left( \frac{H_i}{H_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{j-i}} \nu,$$

where the weight functions  $\{a_{i,j}(x) \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq n-1\}$  are non-negative functions on the hypersurface satisfying  $\sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n-1} a_{i,j}(x) = 1$ . Here,  $\nu$  denotes the outward pointing unit normal vector field and  $H_j$  the  $j$ -th normalized mean curvature function. Let  $k = \max\{j \mid a_{i,j} > 0 \text{ for some } i < j\}$  so that  $H_k > 0$ . If  $a_{i,j} = 1$  and  $j - i = 1$ , the evolution (4.1) is called the inverse curvature flow.

**Definition 4.** We say that a  $k$ -convex hypersurface  $\Sigma$  is a self-expander to the generalized inverse curvature flow (4.1) if there exists a constant  $\mu > 0$  satisfying

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq k} a_{i,j} \left( \frac{H_i}{H_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{j-i}} = \mu \langle X, \nu \rangle.$$

**Theorem 9 (= Theorem 3).** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed hypersurface immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \geq 3}$ . If  $\Sigma$  is a self-expander to the weighted generalized inverse curvature flow, then it is a round hypersphere centered at the origin.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{p} = \langle X, \nu \rangle$  denote the support function on  $\Sigma$ . We shall repeatedly use the classical Hsiung–Minkowski integral formulas [15, 16]

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{\Sigma} H_j = \int_{\Sigma} H_{j+1} \mathbf{p}$$

for hypersurfaces in Euclidean space. The  $k$ -convexity assumption says  $H_j > 0$  for all  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ . It follows from the definition (4.2) that  $\mathbf{p} > 0$ .

Assume first that  $k \geq 2$ . By Lemma 1 (2b), we have for  $0 \leq i < j \leq k$ ,

$$\frac{H_i}{H_{j-1}} = \prod_{m=i}^{j-2} \frac{H_m}{H_{m+1}} \leq \prod_{m=i}^{j-2} \frac{H_{j-1}}{H_j} = \left( \frac{H_{j-1}}{H_j} \right)^{j-i-1}$$

and

$$\frac{H_i}{H_j} = \prod_{m=i}^{j-1} \frac{H_m}{H_{m+1}} \geq \prod_{m=i}^{j-1} \frac{1}{H_1} = \frac{1}{H_1^{j-i}}.$$

It follows that

$$(4.4) \quad \left( \frac{H_i}{H_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{j-i}} \leq \frac{H_{j-1}}{H_j} \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \frac{H_i}{H_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{j-i}} \geq \frac{1}{H_1} = \frac{H_0}{H_1}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1 (2b) again,

$$(4.5) \quad \mu_{\mathbf{p}} = \sum_{i < j} a_{i,j} \left( \frac{H_i}{H_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{j-i}} \leq \sum_{i < j} a_{i,j} \frac{H_{j-1}}{H_j} \leq \sum_{i < j} a_{i,j} \frac{H_{k-1}}{H_k} = \frac{H_{k-1}}{H_k}$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad \mu_{\mathbf{p}} = \sum_{i < j} a_{i,j} \left( \frac{H_i}{H_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{j-i}} \geq \sum_{i < j} a_{i,j} \frac{H_0}{H_1} = \frac{H_0}{H_1}.$$

The inequality (4.5) implies

$$\mu \int_{\Sigma} H_k \mathbf{p} \leq \int_{\Sigma} H_{k-1},$$

which in turn implies  $\mu \leq 1$  by the Hsiung–Minkowski formula (4.3).

On the other hand, (4.6) implies

$$\mu \int_{\Sigma} H_1 \mathbf{p} \geq \int_{\Sigma} H_0$$

and hence  $\mu \geq 1$  again by the Hsiung–Minkowski formula (4.3).

We conclude that  $\mu = 1$  and all the inequalities in (4.4) are all equalities. Therefore  $\Sigma$  is umbilical and so is a round hypersphere, which is easily seen to be centered at the origin.



When  $k = 1$ , (4.6) becomes an equality and hence  $\mu = 1$  by (4.3). By the Newton-Maclaurin inequality, we have

$$(4.7) \quad H_2 \mathbf{P} = \frac{H_2}{H_1} \leq \frac{H_1}{H_0} = H_1.$$

Integrating this inequality and comparing to the Hsiung-Minkowski formula

$$\int_{\Sigma} H_1 = \int_{\Sigma} H_2 \mathbf{P},$$

we again deduce that (4.7) is an equality and hence  $\Sigma$  is round.  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

Part of our work was done while the three authors were visiting the National Center for Theoretical Sciences in Taipei, Taiwan in November 2015. We would like to thank the NCTS for their support and warm hospitality during our visit. We would also like to thank Ye-Kai Wang, Yong Wei and Chao Xia for their useful comments and suggestions. The research of K.-K. Kwong is partially supported by Ministry of Science and Technology in Taiwan under grant MOST103-2115-M-006-016-MY3. J. Pyo is partially supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2015R1C1A1A02036514).

### References

- [1] U. Abresch, *Constant mean curvature tori in terms of elliptic functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **374** (1987), 169–192.
- [2] A. D. Aleksandrov, *Uniqueness theorems for surfaces in the large I*, Vesnik Leningrad Univ. **11** (1956), no. 19, 5–17.
- [3] A. D. Alexandrov, *A characteristic property of spheres*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **58** (1962), 303–315.
- [4] J. L. M. Barbosa and A. G. Colares, *Stability of hypersurfaces with constant  $r$ -mean curvature*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **15** (1997), no. 3, 277–297.
- [5] H. Bray and F. Morgan, *An isoperimetric comparison theorem for Schwarzschild space and other manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), no. 5, 1467–1472.

- [6] S. Brendle, *Constant mean curvature surfaces in warped product manifolds*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **117** (2013), 247–269.
- [7] S. Brendle, *Embedded self-similar shrinkers of genus 0*, Ann. of Math. (2) **183** (2016), no. 2, 715–728.
- [8] S. Brendle and M. Eichmair, *Isoperimetric and Weingarten surfaces in the Schwarzschild manifold*, J. Differential Geom. **94** (2013), no. 3, 387–407.
- [9] S. Brendle, P.-K. Hung, and M.-T. Wang, *A Minkowski inequality for hypersurfaces in the anti-de Sitter-Schwarzschild manifold*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **69** (2016), no. 1, 124–144.
- [10] G. Drugan, H. Lee, and G. Wheeler, *Solitons for the inverse mean curvature flow*, Pacific J. Math. **284** (2016), no. 2, 309–326.
- [11] G. Drugan, *An immersed  $S^2$  self-shrinker*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), no. 5, 3139–3159.
- [12] Y. Ge, G. Wang, and J. Wu, *Hyperbolic Alexandrov-Fenchel quermass-integral inequalities II*, J. Differential Geom. **98** (2014), no. 2, 237–260.
- [13] G. Huisken, *Asymptotic behavior for singularities of the mean curvature flow*, J. Differential Geom. **31** (1990), no. 1, 285–299.
- [14] G. Huisken and T. Ilmanen, *The inverse mean curvature flow and the Riemannian Penrose inequality*, J. Differential Geom. **59** (2001), 353–437.
- [15] C.-C. Hsiung, *Some integral formulas for closed hypersurfaces*, Math. Scand. **2** (1954), 286–294.
- [16] C.-C. Hsiung, *Some integral formulas for closed hypersurfaces in Riemannian space*, Pacific J. Math. **6** (1956), no. 2, 291–299.
- [17] C. Gerhardt, *Flow of nonconvex hypersurfaces into spheres*, J. Differential Geom. **32** (1990), no. 1, 299–314.
- [18] V. Gimeno, *Isoperimetric inequalities for submanifolds. Jellett-Minkowski’s formula revisited*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **110** (2015), no. 3, 593–614.
- [19] F. Guo, G. Li, and C. Wu, *Isoperimetric inequalities for eigenvalues by inverse mean curvature flow*, arXiv:1602.05290, (2016).

- [20] S.-E. Koh, *Sphere theorem by means of the ratio of mean curvature functions*, *Glasg. Math. J.* **42** (2000), no. 1, 91–95.
- [21] K.-K. Kwong, *An extension of Hsiung-Minkowski formulas and some applications*, *J. Geom. Anal.* **26** (2016), no. 1, 1–23.
- [22] K.-K. Kwong and P. Miao, *A new monotone quantity along the inverse mean curvature flow in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , *Pacific J. Math.* **267** (2014), no. 2, 417–422.
- [23] B. Lambert and J. Scheuer, *A geometric inequality for convex free boundary hypersurfaces in the unit ball*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145** (2017), 4009–4020.
- [24] H. Li, Y. Wei, and C. Xiong, *A note on Weingarten hypersurfaces in the warped product manifold*, *Internat. J. Math.* **25** (2014), no. 14, 1450121. Erratum: **27** (2016), no. 13, 1692001.
- [25] H. Li, Y. Wei, and C. Xiong, *A geometric inequality on hypersurface in hyperbolic space*, *Adv. Math.* **253** (2014), 152–162.
- [26] J. Li and C. Xia, *An integral formula and its applications on sub-static manifolds*, [arXiv:1603.02201](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.02201), (2016), to appear in *J. Differential Geom.*
- [27] J. Li and C. Xia, *An integral formula for affine connections*, *J. Geom. Anal.* **27** (2017), no. 3, 2539–2556.
- [28] S. Montiel, *Unicity of constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in some Riemannian manifolds*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **48** (1999), no. 2, 711–748.
- [29] R. Osserman, *Curvature in the eighties*, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **97** (1990), no. 8, 731–756.
- [30] R. C. Reilly, *Applications of the Hessian operator in a Riemannian manifold*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **26** (1977), no. 3, 459–472.
- [31] R. C. Reilly, *Variational properties of functions of the mean curvatures for hypersurfaces in space forms*, *J. Differential Geom.* **8** (1973), 465–477.
- [32] A. Ros, *Compact hypersurfaces with constant higher order mean curvatures*, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **3** (1987), no. 3-4, 447–453.
- [33] A. Ros, *Compact hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature and a congruence theorem* (with an appendix by Nicholas J. Korevaar), *J. Differential Geom.* **27** (1988), no. 2, 215–223.

- [34] J. Urbas, *On the expansion of starshaped hypersurfaces by symmetric functions of their principal curvatures*, Math. Z. **205** (1990), 355–372.
- [35] M.-T. Wang, Y.-K. Wang, and X. Zhang. *Minkowski formulae and Alexandrov theorems in spacetime*, J. Differential Geom. **105** (2017), no. 2, 249–290.
- [36] X. Wang and Y.-K. Wang, *Brendle’s inequality on static manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. **28** (2018), no. 1, 152–169.
- [37] H. Wente, *Counterexample to the conjecture of H. Hopf*, Pacific J. Math. **121** (1986), no. 1, 193–243.
- [38] J. Wu and C. Xia, *On rigidity of hypersurfaces with constant curvature functions in warped product manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **46** (2014), no. 1, 1–22.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CHENG KUNG UNIVERSITY  
TAINAN CITY 701, TAIWAN  
*E-mail address:* kwong@mail.ncku.edu.tw

CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL CHALLENGES  
KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY  
SEOUL 02455, KOREA

*Current address:*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
GWAN AK RO 1, GWANAK-GU  
SEOUL 08826, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* momentmaplee@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PUSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
BUSAN 46241, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* jcpyo@pusan.ac.kr

RECEIVED SEPTEMBER 20, 2016