

Iwasawa Descent and Co-descent for Units modulo Circular Units

T. Nguyen Quang Do and M. Lescop

(with an appendix by J.-R. Belliard)

To John Coates for his 60th birthday.

Abstract: Let F be a totally real abelian number field, $F_\infty = \cup_{n \geq 0} F_n$ its cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension ($p \neq 2$), $\Gamma_n = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/F_n)$. Let B_n be the p -part of the quotient U_n/C_n of the units of F_n modulo circular units. We study descent and co-descent for the Iwasawa modules $B_\infty = \varinjlim B_n$ and $Y_\infty = \varprojlim B_n$; more precisely, using the Main Conjecture, we determine the kernels and cokernels of the natural maps $B_n \rightarrow (B_\infty)^{\Gamma_n}$ and $(Y_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow B_n$ in terms of some (module theoretic) invariants attached to F_∞/F . We derive some consequences related to Greenberg's conjecture.

INTRODUCTION

Let F be any number field and p an odd rational prime. Denote by F_∞ the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of F , with finite layers F_n , and by Γ_n (respectively Γ) the Galois group of F_∞/F_n (resp. F_∞/F). Let A_n be the p -Sylow subgroup of the ideal class group of F_n and consider the usual Iwasawa modules $A_\infty := \varinjlim A_n$ (w.r.t. extension maps) and $X_\infty := \varprojlim A_n$ (w.r.t. norm maps). A classical (and mainly settled) topic in Iwasawa theory consists in studying descent and co-descent for the modules A_∞ and X_∞ , more precisely in determining the kernels and cokernels of the natural maps $A_n \rightarrow (A_\infty)^{\Gamma_n}$ and $(X_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow A_n$ (see e.g. [Iw2], [Ku], [LMN],...). Suppose now that F is *totally real abelian*. Then, for any $n \geq 0$, the group of units U_n of F_n contains a remarkable subgroup C_n consisting of the so-called circular units of F_n in the sense of Sinnott ([S]). Let B_n be the p -part of the “unit class group” U_n/C_n , $B_\infty = \varinjlim B_n$ (the transition maps

Received October 22, 2005.

Classification: 11R23; key words : circular units, unit class group.

being induced by inclusion), $Y_\infty = \varprojlim B_n$ (the transition maps being induced by norms). A strong parenthood exists between the ideal class groups and the unit class groups, as is suggested by Sinnott's index formula, which implies that the orders $|A_n|$ and $|B_n|$ are asymptotically equivalent, or by the Main Conjecture (*i.e.* Mazur-Wiles' theorem), which implies that the Λ -modules X_∞ and Y_∞ have "the" same characteristic series. In spite of this, the problem of descent and co-descent has received much less attention in the unit class setting than in the ideal class setting. To the best of our knowledge:

- for co-descent, the kernels and cokernels of the natural projection maps $h_n : (Y_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow B_n$ have been shown to be bounded (uniformly w.r.t. n) in [N] using the Main Conjecture and in [B3] using only Leopoldt's conjecture; see also the appendix in [FG]. But except in particular cases (as in [O.I], [O.II]), a precise description of these kernels and cokernels is missing.

- for descent, the kernels of the natural extension maps $j_n : B_n \rightarrow (B_\infty)^{\Gamma_n}$ are known ([KN], [BN2]), but the cokernels have not been touched upon.

An extra difficulty in the unit class setting arises from the fact that we miss the Galois theoretic interpretation of the ideal class groups given by class field theory. It is known to experts that a precise solution should come from an accurate enough description of the cohomology of units and circular units along the cyclotomic tower, and this is exactly what we intend to do in this article, modulo a (mildly) restrictive hypothesis called (DG) (see 2.1 below) on Galois descent for the circular units themselves. An appendix by J.-R. Belliard gives a criterion for condition (DG) to hold – which happens in most "usual" cases. In order not to overload the results with useless technical generalities, we shall also assume throughout a (not really) restrictive hypothesis on ramification

(R) The p -primes of F are totally ramified in F_∞ .

Then the number s of primes above p is the same for F and F_∞ .

The solutions to the descent and co-descent problems will be given in terms of some asymptotic (module theoretic) invariants attached to F_∞/F (see theorems 3.7 and 4.5). In spite of the simplifying hypothesis (R), some general statements remain rather cumbersome or partially inconclusive, which explains why we shall illustrate them systematically by the detailed corresponding results (which will also present the advantage of being non asymptotic) obtained in the following particular case:

(A) F admits only one p -prime, which is totally ramified in F_∞/F .

Note that (A) is equivalent to (R) and $s = 1$. The archetypical examples for (A) are of course the subfields of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})$.

Here is a list of notations which will be used throughout:

- ζ_k a primitive k th root of unity, for any integer $k > 1$;
- F a number field;
- F_∞ the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of F , with finite layers F_n ;
- $r_1(F)$ the number of real embeddings of F ;
- $r_2(F)$ the number of couples of complex embeddings of F ;
- $G_n = \text{Gal}(F_n/F)$ for $n \geq 0$;
- $G_{n,m} = \text{Gal}(F_n/F_m)$ for $n \geq m \geq 0$;
- $\Gamma_n = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/F_n)$;
- $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/F)$;
- A_n the p -Sylow subgroup of the class group of F_n ;
- $A_\infty = \varinjlim A_n$ (w.r.t. extension maps);
- $X_\infty = \varprojlim A_n$ (w.r.t. norm maps);
- A'_n the p -Sylow subgroup of the (p) -class group of F_n ;
- $A'_\infty = \varinjlim A'_n$ (w.r.t. extension maps);
- $X'_\infty = \varprojlim A'_n$ (w.r.t. norm maps);
- U_n the units of F_n ;
- $U_\infty = \varinjlim U_n$ (w.r.t. inclusion maps);
- U'_n the (p) -units of F_n ;
- $U'_\infty = \varinjlim U'_n$ (w.r.t. inclusion maps);
- C_n the circular units of F_n ;
- C'_n the circular (p) -units of F_n .

Moreover, for any abelian group A , we shall denote by $\bar{A} = \varprojlim_q A/A^{p^q}$ the p -completion of A . If A is finitely generated, then $\bar{A} = A \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$. Thus let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{U}_\infty &= \varprojlim \bar{U}_n \text{ (w.r.t. norms);} \\ \bar{U}'_\infty &= \varprojlim \bar{U}'_n \text{ (w.r.t. norms).} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for any group G and any G -module M , let M^G be the G -invariants of M , namely the points of M fixed by the action of G , and M_G the G -coinvariants of M , namely the largest quotient of M on which G acts trivially.

1. CAPITULATION KERNELS AND COHOMOLOGY OF UNITS

In this section, F is any number field such that all the layers F_n satisfy Leopoldt's and Gross' conjectures at p . We aim to compute the G_n -cohomology of U_n and U'_n or, by default, the Γ -cohomology of U_∞ and U'_∞ . We may remark right from the start that, because G_n is a p -group and U_n and U'_n are finitely generated over \mathbb{Z} , we have $\hat{H}^i(G_n, U_n) \simeq \hat{H}^i(G_n, \overline{U}_n)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and similarly $\hat{H}^i(G_n, U'_n) \simeq \hat{H}^i(G_n, \overline{U}'_n)$.

Before proceeding any further, let us recall three important results related to inverse limits of units and (p) -units and the Gross conjecture:

(a) Sinnott's exact sequence:

For any prime v of F dividing p , let F_v be the completion of F at v and let \hat{F}_v^\times be the group of universal norms of the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension $F_{v,\infty}$ of F_v . Precisely, \hat{F}_v^\times is the subgroup of \overline{F}_v^\times which corresponds by class field theory to $F_{v,\infty}$; in other words, $\overline{F}_v^\times / \hat{F}_v^\times \simeq \text{Gal}(F_{v,\infty}/F_v)$. Sinnott's exact sequence ([FGS], [Ko], ...) reads:

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \hat{U}'_F \rightarrow \overline{U}'_F \xrightarrow{\text{diag}} \bigoplus_{v|p} \overline{F}_v^\times / \hat{F}_v^\times \xrightarrow{\text{Artin}} (X'_\infty)_\Gamma \rightarrow A'_F.$$

Here, $\hat{\oplus}$ denotes the kernel of the map "sum of components" and the "Gross kernel" \hat{U}'_F is defined as the kernel of the map *diag* above. All other notations are obvious. The Gross kernel actually consists of all elements of \overline{U}'_F which are everywhere local universal norms (w.r.t. the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of F). Recall ([FGS], [J],[Ko], ...) that the Gross conjecture for F at p states that $(X'_\infty)_\Gamma$ (or equivalently $(X'_\infty)^\Gamma$) is finite. It is known to hold if F is abelian.

(b) The Λ -freeness of some inverse limits:

Recall that $\overline{U}'_\infty := \varprojlim \overline{U}'_n$ (w.r.t. norms). It is obvious that its torsion submodule, $\text{tor}_\Lambda \overline{U}'_\infty$, is $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ or trivial according as F contains or not a primitive p th root of unity. Let $\text{Fr}_\Lambda \overline{U}'_\infty := \overline{U}'_\infty / \text{tor}_\Lambda \overline{U}'_\infty$. Then Kuz'min has shown ([Ku], proposition 7.5) that $\text{Fr}_\Lambda \overline{U}'_\infty$ is Λ -free of rank $r_1(F) + r_2(F)$. By purely algebraic arguments, it follows that $\text{Fr}_\Lambda \overline{U}_\infty$ is also Λ -free of the same rank ([BN2], 2.1).

(c) The obstruction to Hasse's norm principle:

Kuz'min has shown ([Ku], theorem 7.2) that $\tilde{U}'_F := (\text{Fr}_\Lambda \overline{U}'_\infty)_\Gamma$ injects into \hat{U}'_F , with cokernel isomorphic to $(X'_\infty)^\Gamma$. Let X'^{tor}_∞ denote the maximal finite submodule of X'_∞ . Modulo Gross' conjecture, Kuz'min's theorem reads:

$$\hat{U}'_F / \tilde{U}'_F \simeq (X'^{\text{tor}}_\infty)^\Gamma.$$

We have seen that the Gross kernel \hat{U}'_F consists of everywhere local universal norms, and the Λ -freeness of $\text{Fr}_\Lambda \overline{U}'_\infty$ implies that \tilde{U}'_F consists of global universal norms. Thus $(X'^{\text{tor}}_\infty)^\Gamma$ can be considered as an obstruction to Hasse's norm principle for the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension F_∞/F .

We are now ready to compute the cohomology of the units and (p)-units along the cyclotomic tower. The easier case is that of the (p)-units because, since F_∞/F is unramified outside p , we are actually in an étale setting.

Theorem 1.1.

(i) For all $n \geq 0$, we have a capitulation exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G_n, U'_n) \rightarrow A'_0 \rightarrow (A'_n)^{G_n} \rightarrow H^2(G_n, U'_n) \rightarrow H^2(G_n, F_n^\times).$$

(ii) $H^1(\Gamma, U'_\infty) \simeq \text{Ker}(A'_0 \rightarrow (A'_\infty)^\Gamma)$ and $H^2(\Gamma, U'_\infty) \simeq (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^{s-1}$. Recall that s denotes the number of p -primes of F or F_∞ .

(iii) The modules $H^1(\Gamma_n, U'_\infty)$ stabilize for n large enough. More precisely, via (ii) and norm maps, they become isomorphic to X'^{tor}_∞ , the maximal finite submodule of X'_∞ .

Proof. The statements (i) to (iii) are known results from [Iw1], [Iw2], [Ku], [LMN] etc... We'll reprove only the isomorphism $H^2(\Gamma, U'_\infty) \simeq (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^{s-1}$, following an approach which will be used again in the sequel. Let us first determine the G_n -cohomology of the \hat{U}'_n 's

Lemma 1.2. For all $n \geq 0$ and for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have canonical isomorphisms:

$$\hat{H}^{i-2}(G_n, (X'_\infty)_{\Gamma_n}) \simeq \hat{H}^i(G_n, \hat{U}'_n) \simeq \hat{H}^i(G_n, (X'^{\text{tor}}_\infty)^{\Gamma_n}).$$

Proof. By Kuz'min's result (b), \tilde{U}'_n is G_n -cohomologically trivial hence, by (c), $\hat{H}^i(G_n, \hat{U}'_n) \simeq \hat{H}^i(G_n, (X'^{\text{tor}}_\infty)^{\Gamma_n})$. Let us show now that $\hat{H}^{i-2}(G_n, (X'_\infty)_{\Gamma_n}) \simeq \hat{H}^i(G_n, (X'^{\text{tor}}_\infty)^{\Gamma_n})$. Let \mathfrak{X}_∞ be the Galois group, over F_∞ , of the maximal p -ramified abelian pro- p -extension of F_∞ and let us define $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty, v} := \varprojlim F_{n, v_n}^\times$, where v is any p -adic prime of F and v_n is the unique prime of F_n above v . By class field theory, we have an exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & \overline{U}'_\infty & \xrightarrow{\text{diag}} & \bigoplus_{v|p} \mathfrak{X}_{\infty,v} & \xrightarrow{\text{Artin}} & \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rightarrow X'_\infty \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \searrow & \nearrow & \\
 & & & & & \mathcal{D}_\infty &
 \end{array}$$

where \mathcal{D}_∞ is by definition the cokernel of the map *diag*. Note that the map *diag* is injective because of the validity of Leopoldt’s weak conjecture. Recall that one of the many equivalent versions of Leopoldt’s conjecture for F_n states that $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^{\Gamma_n} = (0)$, so we get by the snake lemma:

$$0 \rightarrow (X'_\infty)^{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow (X'_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow 0.$$

But \mathfrak{X}_∞ has no non-trivial finite submodule, so that the projective dimension $\text{pd}_\Lambda(\mathfrak{X}_\infty)$ over Λ of \mathfrak{X}_∞ is less than or equal to 1, *i.e.* we have an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda^r \rightarrow \Lambda^d \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rightarrow 0,$$

with positive integers r and d . The snake lemma then gives

$$\mathfrak{X}_\infty^{\Gamma_n} = (0) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]^d \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow 0,$$

hence the cohomological G_n -triviality of $(\mathfrak{X}_\infty)_{\Gamma_n}$. But $\mathcal{D}_\infty \subset \mathfrak{X}_\infty$, and so the same works for $(\mathcal{D}_\infty)_{\Gamma_n}$, hence the isomorphism $\hat{H}^{i-2}(G_n, (X'_\infty)_{\Gamma_n}) \simeq \hat{H}^i(G_n, (X'_\infty)^{\Gamma_n})$. \square

Resuming the proof of 1.1 (iv), let us consider the quotient $V_n := \overline{U}'_n / \hat{U}'_n \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{v|p} \overline{F}_{n,v}^\times / \hat{F}_{n,v}^\times$. By hypothesis (R), G_n acts trivially on the set of p -primes of F_n , and for such a p -prime v , the decomposition group $G_{n,v}$ acts trivially on $\text{Gal}(F_{\infty,v}/F_v)$, hence in particular G_n acts trivially on $V_n \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^{s-1}$. Then the tautological exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \hat{U}'_n \rightarrow \overline{U}'_n \rightarrow V_n \rightarrow 0$ gives rise to an exact sequence

$$H^2(G_n, \hat{U}'_n) \rightarrow H^2(G_n, \overline{U}'_n) \rightarrow H^2(G_n, V_n) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^{s-1} \rightarrow H^3(G_n, \hat{U}'_n)$$

Applying lemma 1.2 and taking inductive limits on n , we get an exact sequence:

$$H^2(\Gamma, X'^{\text{tor}}_\infty) \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma, U'_\infty) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^{s-1} \rightarrow H^3(\Gamma, X'^{\text{tor}}_\infty).$$

The two extreme terms are null because $\text{cd}_p(\Gamma) = 1$. \square

The cohomology of the units is not so simple to handle. It is known for F totally real ([Iw2], p.197) that $H^2(\Gamma, U_\infty) \simeq (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^{s-1}$ and $H^1(\Gamma, U_\infty) \sim (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^s$ but, for our purposes, we need to make the latter pseudo-isomorphism more precise. The main parameters in our calculation will be the kernels $D_n := \text{Ker}(A_n \rightarrow A'_n)$; in other words, D_n is the image in A_n of the subgroup of ideals generated by the primes of F_n above p . By hypothesis (R), G_n acts trivially on D_n . If moreover F

is totally real, it is known that the D_n 's are bounded and $\varinjlim D_n = (0)$ (see e.g. [Gb]). Then:

Theorem 1.3.

(i) For any $n \geq 0$, we have a canonical surjection $H^1(G_n, U_n) \twoheadrightarrow H^1(G_n, U'_n)$ whose kernel N_n takes place in an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(D_0 \xrightarrow{\text{nat}} D_n) \rightarrow N_n \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^s \rightarrow \text{Coker}(D_0 \xrightarrow{\text{nat}} D_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

If moreover F is totally real, we have a natural surjection $H^1(\Gamma, U_\infty) \twoheadrightarrow H^1(\Gamma, U'_\infty)$ whose kernel N_∞ takes place in an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow D_0 \rightarrow N_\infty \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^s \rightarrow 0.$$

(ii) For any $n \geq 0$, we have a canonical exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(G_n, U_n) \rightarrow H^2(G_n, U'_n) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^s \rightarrow N_n \rightarrow 0.$$

If moreover F is totally real, then for all $n \geq m \gg 0$, $H^2(G_{n,m}, U_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(G_{n,m}, U'_n)$, $H^2(\Gamma_m, U_\infty) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(\Gamma_m, U'_\infty)$ and $N_\infty \simeq (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^s$. In particular, N_∞ is the maximal divisible subgroup of $H^1(\Gamma, U_\infty)$.

Proof. Statement (i) is just theorem 3.1 of [N]. For the convenience of the reader, we repeat briefly the main argument. Denoting by S_n the set of p -places of F_n (of cardinal s), we get by class field theory a commutative diagram with exact rows and trivial G_n -action:

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & R_n := \overline{U}'_n/\overline{U}_n & \xrightarrow{\text{val}} & \mathbb{Z}_p[S_n] & \longrightarrow & D_n \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow i_n & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & R_0 := \overline{U}'_0/\overline{U}_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{val}} & \mathbb{Z}_p[S_0] & \longrightarrow & D_0 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The tautological exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \overline{U}_n \rightarrow \overline{U}'_n \rightarrow R_n \rightarrow 0$ gives by cohomology an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow N_n := \text{Coker } i_n \rightarrow H^1(G_n, U_n) \rightarrow H^1(G_n, U'_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

and a simple diagram chase in (2) gives the desired description of N_n (for details, see [N]). Note that the factor $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^s$ in statement (i) is just $H^2(G_n, \mathbb{Z}_p[S_n])$. Let us next prove (ii). The exact hexagon of cohomology (since G_n is cyclic)

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & H^1(G_n, U_n) \rightarrow H^1(G_n, U'_n) & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ H^2(G_n, R_n) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^s & & H^1(G_n, R_n) = (0) \\ & \nwarrow \quad \nearrow & \\ & H^2(G_n, U'_n) \leftarrow H^2(G_n, U_n) & \end{array}$$

yields the first exact sequence announced in (ii).

Suppose now that F is totally real. Then the D_n 's are bounded; more precisely, there exists $n_1 \geq 0$ such that for all $n \geq m \geq n_1$, $D_n \xrightarrow[\text{norm}]{\sim} D_m$ via norms (see e.g. [Gb]). Since $\mathbb{Z}_p[S_n] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p[S_m]$ by (R), we also have $R_n \xrightarrow{\sim} R_m$ via norms. This means that the natural map $\hat{H}^0(G_{n,m}, U_n) \rightarrow \hat{H}^0(G_{n,m}, U'_n)$ is surjective, hence $H^2(G_{n,m}, U_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(G_{n,m}, U'_n)$ in the exact hexagon (3) above (replacing the 0th level by the m th). Taking inductive limits on n , we get $H^2(\Gamma_m, U_\infty) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(\Gamma_m, U'_\infty)$ and $N_\infty \simeq (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^s$. Since $H^1(\Gamma, U'_\infty)$ is finite, the latter isomorphism implies that N_∞ is the maximal divisible subgroup of $H^1(\Gamma, U_\infty)$, as desired. \square

>From now on, we'll denote by D_∞ the inverse limit $\varprojlim D_n$ w.r.t. norms. If F is totally real, D_∞ is finite, hence $D_\infty \subset X_\infty^{\text{tor}}$ (see [Gb]). In the general case, the norm maps $D_n \rightarrow D_m$ ($n \geq m$) are surjective, hence D_∞ is trivial if and only if all the D_n 's are trivial.

Corollary 1.4. *Suppose that $D_\infty = (0)$. Then, for any $n \geq 0$, we have:*


- an isomorphism of groups: $H^1(G_n, U_n) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^s \oplus H^1(G_n, U'_n)$;
- an isomorphism of Galois modules: $H^2(G_n, U_n) \simeq H^2(G_n, U'_n)$.

Proof. Just apply theorem 1.3. \square

As noticed before, examples of fields for which $D_\infty = (0)$ are totally real fields F such that $X_\infty(F)$ has no non-trivial finite submodule. Another class of examples is given by condition (A), thanks to the following result (first observed by J.-R. Belliard):

Lemma 1.5. *For an abelian number field satisfying hypothesis (A), we have $A_n = A'_n$ for all $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{B}_a := \mathbb{B}_\infty \cap F$ be the highest of the layers of the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension $\mathbb{B}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}$ of \mathbb{Q} contained in F . To begin with, we show that F/\mathbb{B}_a is tamely ramified at p . If F is unramified at p , there is nothing to do. Otherwise the general idea comes from [LF], section 3: Let $f := p^{a+r+1}m$ be the conductor of F where $p \nmid m$ and $r \geq 0$. We have the following diagram:



Because of (A), the wild ramification index of p in the extension F_r/F is equal to its degree $[F_r : F] = p^r$. Consequently, the wild index ramification of p in F/\mathbb{B}_a is equal to 1 (since that of p in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^{a+r+1}m})/\mathbb{Q}$ is p^{a+r}). Therefore F/\mathbb{B}_a is tamely ramified at p (actually we can even notice that, if M denotes the field fixed by the wild inertia group of p in F/\mathbb{Q} , then $F = M\mathbb{B}_a$ and M is the unique number field which is tamely ramified at p and has the same cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension as F ; this fact will be used later).

We then deduce that, for all $n \geq 0$, the extension F_n/\mathbb{B}_{a+n} is tamely ramified at p , with ramification index e_n . For all $n \geq 0$, denote by \mathfrak{p}_n the p -adic prime of F_n and let π_n be the norm of the element $1 - \zeta_{p^{a+n+1}}$ in the extension $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^{a+n+1}})/\mathbb{B}_{a+n}$:

$$\pi_n = N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^{a+n+1}})/\mathbb{B}_{a+n}}(1 - \zeta_{p^{a+n+1}}).$$

The p -adic prime of \mathbb{B}_{a+n} being principal, generated by π_n , we have:

$$(\pi_n) = \mathfrak{p}_n^{e_n} \quad \text{with } p \nmid e_n.$$

Thus the order of \mathfrak{p}_n in the class group of F_n is prime to p and so $A'_n = A_n$. \square

Let us gather in a single proposition all the cohomological statements – in addition to 1.4 – which can be derived under hypothesis (A):

Proposition 1.6. *Let F be an abelian number field satisfying hypothesis (A). Then we have:*

(i) *For all $n \geq 0$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, canonical isomorphisms:*

$$\hat{H}^{i-2}(G_n, A_n) \simeq \hat{H}^i(G_n, U'_n) \simeq \hat{H}^i(G_n, (X_\infty^{tor})^\Gamma_n).$$

(ii) *For all $n \geq 0$, a capitulation exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G_n, U'_n) \rightarrow A_0 \rightarrow A_n^{G_n} \rightarrow H^2(G_n, U'_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

(iii) *$\text{Ker}(A_0 \rightarrow A_\infty^\Gamma) \simeq H^1(\Gamma, U_\infty') \simeq (X_\infty^{tor})_\Gamma$, and*

$$\text{Coker}(A_0 \rightarrow A_\infty^\Gamma) \simeq H^2(\Gamma, U_\infty') = (0).$$

(iv) *$H^1(\Gamma, U_\infty) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus (X_\infty^{tor})_\Gamma$ (as groups) and $H^2(\Gamma, U_\infty) = (0)$.*

Proof. (i) comes from lemma 1.2, knowing that, under (A), $\hat{U}'_n = \overline{U}'_n$ and $(X_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \xrightarrow{\sim} A_n$.

(ii) comes from the tautological exact sequence of Tate cohomology groups

$$0 \rightarrow \hat{H}^{-1}(G_n, A_n) \rightarrow (A_n)_{G_n} \xrightarrow{norm} A_n^{G_n} \rightarrow \hat{H}^0(G_n, A_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

knowing that $(A_n)_{G_n} \simeq A_0$ under hypothesis (A).

(iii) comes from (i) and (ii) by taking inductive limits.

(iv) comes from 1.4 and (iii) by taking inductive limits. \square

2. COHOMOLOGY OF CIRCULAR UNITS AND UNIVERSAL NORMS

In this section, F denotes a *totally real abelian* field. Let us recall Sinnott’s definition of circular units of F ([S]). Let U_F (respectively U'_F) denote the units (resp. (p) -units) of F . We define $Cyc(F)$ to be the subgroup of F^\times generated by -1 and all elements $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/F \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)}(1 - \zeta_N^a)$, where $N > 1$ and $N \nmid a$. The intersection $Cyc(F) \cap U_F$ (respectively $Cyc(F) \cap U'_F$) is the group of circular units (resp. circular (p) -units) of F , denoted by $C(F)$ (resp. $C'(F)$). Moreover, if F_n is the n -th layer of the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of F , we let C_n (respectively C'_n) denote the group $C(F_n)$ (resp. $C'(F_n)$). The main interest of Sinnott’s circular units in Iwasawa theory comes from Sinnott’s index formula: up to powers of 2, $(U_n : C_n) = c_n h_n$, where h_n denotes the class number of F_n and the c_n ’s are rational *bounded* constants. This implies that the orders of A_n and $B_n := \overline{U}_n / \overline{C}_n$ are asymptotically equivalent, but it is known that A_n and B_n are not isomorphic in general.

When the prime p is unramified in the base field F , J.-R. Belliard has computed in [B1] the G_n -cohomology of C_n under a (mildly) restrictive hypothesis called (HB) ([B1], p.34) which is satisfied in many “usual” cases. The appendix at the end of the present paper introduces a generalization (gHB) of hypothesis (HB), which allows to compute the G_n -cohomology of C_n . Actually, the condition (gHB) bears on the maximal subfield of F_∞ in which p is tamely ramified (appendix, definition 6.4). Our main hypothesis in the sequel will be a certain criterion (DG) on Galois descent for the circular units (see definition 2.1 below), which is a consequence of (gHB) but is probably not equivalent to it (although no counter-example is known).

Lemma-Definition 2.1. *Let $\overline{C}_\infty := \varprojlim \overline{C}_n$. Assuming (R), the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The Λ -module \overline{C}_∞ is free (of rank $r_1 = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$);*
- (ii) *The \overline{C}_n ’s satisfy asymptotic Galois descent, i.e.*

$$\forall n \geq m \gg 0, \quad \overline{C}_n^{G_{n,m}} \simeq \overline{C}_m;$$

(iii) The \overline{C}_n 's satisfy Galois descent, i.e.

$$\forall n \geq m \geq 0, \quad \overline{C}_n^{G_{n,m}} \simeq \overline{C}_m.$$

In the sequel, following the notations of [N], we shall call (DG) these equivalent properties (see also 3.2 below).

Proof. The conditions (i) and (ii) are equivalent by [B2], Théorème 2.2 (even without hypothesis (R)). For the equivalence with (iii), see [N], Corollaire 4.4. \square

In the sequel, let \tilde{C}_n denote the image of the natural homomorphism $\overline{C}_\infty \rightarrow \overline{C}_n$. A classical argument of compactity shows that $\tilde{C}_n = \bigcap_{m \geq n} N_{F_m/F_n}(\overline{C}_m)$, which explains why the elements of \tilde{C}_n are referred to as universal norms. Then:

Lemma-Definition 2.2. *Under (DG), the module $\Phi := \overline{C}_0/\tilde{C}_0$ is isomorphic by extension to $\overline{C}_n/\tilde{C}_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. The \mathbb{Z}_p -rank of Φ is $(s - 1)$, where s is the number of p -adic primes of F .*

Proof. See [N], lemme 4.5. The key point is a technical lemma based on distribution relations ([B2], lemme 2.5) which shows that $\overline{C}_n = \tilde{C}_n \overline{C}^{in}$, where C^{in} denotes the group of circular units of the subfield F^{in} of inertia at p . \square

>From now on, let us write $t\Phi$ for the \mathbb{Z}_p -torsion submodule of Φ and $_{p^n}(t\Phi)$ for the p^n -torsion submodule. Then:

Theorem 2.3. *Under (DG), for all $n \geq m \geq 0$, we have an isomorphism of Galois modules*

$$H^2(G_{n,m}, C_n) \simeq t\Phi/p^{n-m} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-m}\mathbb{Z})^{s-1},$$

and an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-m}\mathbb{Z})^s \rightarrow H^1(G_{n,m}, C_n) \rightarrow _{p^{n-m}}(t\Phi) \rightarrow 0.$$

At infinite level, writing $C_\infty := \varinjlim C_n$, we have isomorphisms :

$$H^2(\Gamma, C_\infty) \simeq (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^{s-1} \text{ (as modules) and } H^1(\Gamma, C_\infty) \simeq t\Phi \oplus (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^s \text{ (as groups).}$$

Proof. This is [N], théorème 4.6; if p is unramified in F , see also [B1], proposition 3.15. For later use, we must indicate that the factor $(\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-m}\mathbb{Z})^s$ comes from the $G_{n,m}$ -cohomology of \tilde{C}_n , actually from a canonical exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G_{n,m}, \tilde{C}_n) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-m}\mathbb{Z})^s \rightarrow H^1(G_{n,m}, \overline{C}_n) \rightarrow H^1(G_{n,m}, \Phi) \simeq _{p^{n-m}}(t\Phi) \rightarrow 0.$$


([N], lemme 4.2). \square

Of course, theorem 2.3 is of interest only if we can produce “usual” examples of fields F satisfying (DG). Let F^{tam} be the maximal subfield of F in which p is tamely ramified. It is known that $(F^{tam})_\infty = F_\infty$ ([B2], lemme 1.2; see also 1.5 above). Since (DG) is an asymptotic condition (lemma 2.1 (ii)), we can replace F by F^{tam} if necessary. Then (gHB) implies (DG) (appendix, theorem 6.6). In particular:

Proposition 2.4. F satisfies (DG) if one of the following conditions holds:

- (a) $p \nmid [F : \mathbb{Q}]$;
- (b) p is inert in F^{in} ;
- (c) $s = 1$.

Proof. We can suppose that $F = F^{tam}$, so that we have the following diagram of field extensions



where F^{in} and F^{dec} are the subfields of inertia and of decomposition at p . Then Δ is of order prime to p and Q is cyclic, generated by the Frobenius σ_p at p . In all three cases (a), (b), (c), the Sylow p -subgroup (or p -quotient) of $G = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ is cyclic, and proposition 6.5.2 of the appendix applies, *i.e.* condition (gHB) is satisfied. \square

Remark: In the semi-simple case (condition (a)), we could also have proceeded directly by calculating \overline{C}_∞ characterwise, using Coleman’s theory ([T], 6.2).

Before proceeding further, let us give a more explicit description of Φ and $t\Phi$. We adopt the notations in the proof of 2.4: $F^{tam}, F^{in}, F^{dec}, \Delta = \text{Gal}(F^{tam}/F^{in}), Q = \langle \sigma_p \rangle = \text{Gal}(F^{in}/F^{dec})$. Let us write $C^{in} := C(F^{in}), C^{dec} := C(F^{dec}), D^{in} := \text{Cyc}(F^{in})$, and also $\overline{C}^{in} := \overline{C^{in}}, \overline{C}^{dec} := \overline{C^{dec}}, \overline{D}^{in} := \overline{D^{in}}$. Then:

Proposition 2.5. Under (R), we have:

- (i) $\Phi \simeq \overline{C}^{in} / (\overline{D}^{in})^{\sigma_p - 1}$, if p is tamely ramified in F .
- (ii) $t\Phi \simeq \hat{H}^{-1}(Q, \overline{C}^{in})$.

Proof. (i) We refer to the diagram of extensions in 2.4, supposing that $F = F^{tam}$. Since $\bar{C}_0 = \tilde{C}_0 \bar{C}^{in}$, we have $\Phi \simeq \bar{C}^{in} / \tilde{C}_0 \cap \bar{C}^{in}$. But $\tilde{C}_0 \cap \bar{C}^{in} = (\tilde{C}_0)^\Delta$, and since Δ is of order prime to p , we have $(\tilde{C}_0)^\Delta = N_\Delta(\tilde{C}_0)$, where N_Δ is the norm map of F/F^{in} . Again by using the distribution relations, we know that $N_\Delta(\tilde{C}_0) = (\bar{D}^{in})^{\sigma_p-1}$ (for further details, see [B2]), and therefore

$$\Phi \simeq \bar{C}^{in} / (\bar{D}^{in})^{\sigma_p-1}.$$

(ii) To compute $t\Phi$, we first notice that $t\Phi$ depends only on F^{tam} (theorem 2.3) so that we can take $F = F^{tam}$. Apply then the norm N_Q from F^{in} to F^{dec} : $\bar{C}^{in} / (\bar{D}^{in})^{\sigma_p-1} \xrightarrow{N_Q} \bar{C}^{dec}$. Since \bar{C}^{dec} is \mathbb{Z}_p -torsion-free ($p \neq 2$), we deduce that $t\Phi$ is the kernel of that norm, namely

$$t\Phi \simeq \hat{H}^{-1}(Q, \bar{C}^{in}).$$

□

Corollary 2.6. *The triviality of $t\Phi$ is implied by one of the following conditions:*

- (a) p does not divide the order of the Frobenius σ_p ;
- (b) $s = 1$ and the conductor of F^{in} is a power of a single prime ℓ (necessarily $\ell \neq p$).

Proof. (a) If $p \nmid [F^{in} : F^{dec}]$, this means that Q is of order prime to p and hence $\hat{H}^{-1}(Q, \bar{C}^{in}) = (0)$.

(b) If $s = 1$, $\Phi = t\Phi$ and we can apply 2.5 (i) to kill Φ . For all $m \geq 0$, let $\epsilon_m = N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) \cap F^{in}}(1 - \zeta_m)$. It follows from the definitions that

$$C^{in} = \langle \{\epsilon_m, m \text{ composed}, m | \text{cond}(F^{in})\} \cup \{\epsilon_m^{\sigma_p-1}, m \text{ a power of a prime } \ell\} \rangle$$

and

$$(D^{in})^{\sigma_p-1} = \langle \{\epsilon_m^{\sigma_p-1}, m \geq 0\} \rangle.$$

It appears that if moreover $\text{cond}(F^{in})$ is not composed, then $\Phi = (0)$. □


Under hypothesis (A) (which implies (DG) by 2.4), we can get information on the cohomology of the circular (p)-units:

Lemma 2.7. *Under (A), for all $n \geq 0$, $\bar{C}'_n / \bar{C}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{U}'_n / \bar{U}_n$ and $\bar{U}_n / \bar{C}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{U}'_n / \bar{C}'_n$.*

Proof. It obviously suffices to show the first isomorphism. We proceed almost as in the proof of lemma 1.5, replacing π_n by an element ϖ_n defined as follows:

$$\varpi_n = N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^{a+n+1}})/F_n \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^{a+n+1}})}(1 - \zeta_{p^{a+n+1}}) \in C'_n.$$

The situation is the following



The unique prime of $F_n \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^{a+n+1}})$ above p is the ideal (ϖ_n) . Since F_n/\mathbb{B}_{a+n} is tamely ramified at p , we can write $(\varpi_n) = \mathfrak{p}_n^{e_n}$ with $p \nmid e_n$. As a result, the element $\hat{\varpi}_n := \varpi_n \otimes \frac{1}{e_n} \in \overline{C}'_n$ satisfies at the same time $\overline{C}'_n = \overline{C}_n \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p \hat{\varpi}_n$ and $\overline{U}'_n = \overline{U}_n \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p \hat{\varpi}_n$, whence the result. \square

Proposition 2.8. *Under (A), for all $n \geq 0$, $\hat{H}^0(G_n, C'_n) \simeq t\Phi/p^n$ (as Galois modules) and $H^1(G_n, C'_n) \simeq {}_p^n t\Phi$ (as groups). In particular, the triviality of $t\Phi$ implies the G_n -cohomological triviality of all the C'_n 's.*

Proof. We have seen in the proof of 1.3 that the triviality of the D_n 's (which is a consequence of (A)) implies $\hat{H}^0(G_n, U_n) \simeq \hat{H}^0(G_n, U'_n)$, hence $\hat{H}^0(G_n, C_n) \simeq \hat{H}^0(G_n, C'_n)$ by 2.7. Exactly as in 1.3, the exact hexagon of cohomology associated to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \overline{C}_n \rightarrow \overline{C}'_n \xrightarrow{val} \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$ then breaks down to yield

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H^1(G_n, C_n) \rightarrow H^1(G_n, C'_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

But $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ is injective, hence an isomorphism of groups: $H^1(G_n, C_n) \simeq H^1(G_n, C'_n) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$. We then apply theorem 2.3. \square

We are now ready to perform descent and co-descent. Of course, the two problems are not independent (see 3.7 and 4.5), and our arbitrary distinction between them is just for the sake of clarity of exposition.

3. DESCENT FOR UNITS MODULO CIRCULAR UNITS

In this section, F is a *totally real abelian* field, $B_n := \overline{U}_n/\overline{C}_n =$ the p -part of U_n/C_n , and $B_\infty = \varprojlim B_n$ (with transition maps induced by inclusion). We aim to study the kernels and cokernels of the natural extension maps $j_n : B_n \rightarrow (B_\infty)^{\Gamma_n}$.

Theorem 3.1. *The kernels $\text{Ker } j_n$ stabilize (w.r.t. norms) and their inverse limit, KN_F say, is equal to the maximal finite submodule Y_∞^{tor} of $Y_\infty = \varprojlim B_n$.*

Proof. This is proposition 2.3 of [BN2], where $\varprojlim \text{Ker } j_n$ is called the “Kucera-Nekovar kernel” KN_F associated to F . \square

The kernel KN_F is an obstruction to condition (DG) in the following sense:

Corollary 3.2. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $KN_F = (0)$;
- (ii) (DG) holds;
- (iii) $(Y_\infty)^{\Gamma_n} = (0), \forall n \geq 0$.

Proof. According to 1.(b), the Λ -module \overline{U}_∞ is free, hence \overline{C}_∞ is Λ -free (i.e. condition (DG) holds) if and only if $Y_\infty = \overline{U}_\infty/\overline{C}_\infty$ has no non trivial finite submodule. By 3.1, this is equivalent to the vanishing of KN_F . The equivalence with (iii) is a consequence of the Main Conjecture: Y_∞ has the same characteristic series as X_∞ , hence Leopoldt’s conjecture for F_n means that $(Y_\infty)^{\Gamma_n}$ is finite or, equivalently, $(Y_\infty)^{\Gamma_n} = (Y_\infty^{tor})^{\Gamma_n}$. \square

As for the cokernels $\text{Coker } j_n$, we have a first obvious cohomological characterization (cp. 1.1 (i) and 1.6 (ii)):

Lemma 3.3. *For all $n \geq m \geq 0$, let $j_{n,m} : B_m \rightarrow (B_n)^{G_{n,m}}$ be the canonical extension map. Then*

$$\text{Coker } j_{n,m} \simeq \text{Ker} \left(H^1(G_{n,m}, C_n) \xrightarrow{\text{nat}} H^1(G_{n,m}, U_n) \right)$$

and

$$\text{Coker } j_m \simeq \text{Ker} \left(H^1(\Gamma_m, C_\infty) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_m, U_\infty) \right),$$

where $C_\infty := \varinjlim C_n$ and $U_\infty := \varinjlim U_n$.

Proof. It suffices to consider the following diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & (\overline{C}_n)^{G_{n,m}} & \rightarrow & (\overline{U}_n)^{G_{n,m}} & \rightarrow & (B_n)^{G_{n,m}} & \rightarrow & H^1(G_{n,m}, C_n) & \rightarrow & H^1(G_{n,m}, U_n) & \rightarrow & \dots \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \simeq & & \uparrow & & & & & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \overline{C}_m & \rightarrow & \overline{U}_m & \rightarrow & B_m & \rightarrow & 0 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

\square

The point now is to give an amenable description of the kernel

$$\text{Ker} \left(H^1(\Gamma_m, C_\infty) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_m, U_\infty) \right).$$

We need to introduce a few (module theoretic) invariants attached to F_∞/F . For all $n \geq 0$, let us define $Q_n := \overline{U}'_n/\overline{C}_n, Q_\infty = \varprojlim Q_n$ (w.r.t. norms), $R_n := \overline{U}'_n/\overline{U}_n, R_\infty = \varprojlim R_n$ (also w.r.t. norms). We have seen in the proof of 1.3 that G_n acts

trivially on R_n and that $R_\infty \xrightarrow{norm} R_n$ for $n \geq 0$; if needed, we'll identify R_n and $(R_\infty)^{\Gamma_n}$ (then Γ_n will just serve as an index).

Lemma 3.4. *For all $n \geq 0$, $(Q_\infty)^{\Gamma_n}$ (respectively $(R_\infty)^{\Gamma_n}$) is isomorphic to the kernel of the natural map $(\overline{C}_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow \overline{C}_n$ (respectively $(\overline{U}_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow \overline{U}_n$).*

Proof. (see also [BN2], [N])

The tautological exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \overline{C}_\infty \rightarrow \overline{U}'_\infty \rightarrow Q_\infty \rightarrow 0$ gives by descent:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (\overline{U}'_\infty)^{\Gamma_n} = 0 & \rightarrow & (Q_\infty)^{\Gamma_n} & \rightarrow & (\overline{C}_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} & \rightarrow & (\overline{U}'_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & \overline{C}_n & \hookrightarrow & \overline{U}'_n \end{array}$$

But the right-hand vertical map is injective by Kuz'min's result 1.(c). This yields the first announced isomorphism. The second is proved exactly in the same way. \square

>From now on, let us denote by \tilde{U}_n the group of universal norms of units, *i.e.* $\tilde{U}_n = \text{Im}((\overline{U}_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow \overline{U}_n)$. We introduce a co-descent module Ψ which will intervene in the unwinding of the cokernels $\text{Coker}j_n$, thus showing the interdependence between the two problems (descent and co-descent).

Lemma-Definition 3.5. *For any $n \geq 0$, put $\Psi_n := \text{Coker}((Q_\infty)^{\Gamma_n} \xrightarrow{nat} (R_\infty)^{\Gamma_n})$. The Ψ_n 's are G_n -trivial finite modules which stabilize w.r.t. inclusion maps. Define $\Psi := \varinjlim \Psi_n$ (finite).*

$$\text{Under (DG), } \Psi_n \simeq \text{Ker}((Y_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow \tilde{U}_n/\tilde{C}_n).$$

Proof. The tautological exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Y_\infty = \overline{U}_\infty/\overline{C}_\infty \rightarrow Q_\infty = \overline{U}'_\infty/\overline{C}_\infty \rightarrow R_\infty = \overline{U}'_\infty/\overline{U}_\infty \rightarrow 0,$$

gives by descent:

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow (Y_\infty)^{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow (Q_\infty)^{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow (R_\infty)^{\Gamma_n} \longrightarrow (Y_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow \dots$$

By Leopoldt’s conjecture for F_n , $(Y_\infty)_{\Gamma_n}$ is finite and so is Ψ_n . The Ψ_n ’s obviously stabilize by noetherianity. Under (DG), the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (R_\infty)^{\Gamma_n} & \longrightarrow & (\overline{U}_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{U}_n \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (Q_\infty)^{\Gamma_n} & \longrightarrow & (\overline{C}_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{C}_n \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

immediately gives the isomorphism $\Psi_n \simeq \text{Ker} \left((Y_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow \tilde{U}_n / \tilde{C}_n \right)$. \square

Now we can give a cohomological characterization of Ψ_n :

Lemma 3.6. *Under (DG), Ψ_m is canonically isomorphic to*

$$\text{Ker} \left(\text{Div } H^1(\Gamma_m, C_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Div } H^1(\Gamma_m, U_\infty) \right),$$

for any $m \gg 0$. Here $\text{Div}(\cdot)$ denotes the maximal divisible subgroup.

Proof. Under (DG), $(Y_\infty)^{\Gamma_n} = (0)$ by 3.2, hence the exact sequence (4) becomes: $0 \rightarrow (Q_\infty)^{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow (R_\infty)^{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow \Psi_n \rightarrow 0$. Taking G_n -cohomology, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^1(G_n, (R_\infty)^{\Gamma_n}) &\simeq \text{Hom}(G_n, \mathbb{Z}_p^s) = 0 \rightarrow H^1(G_n, \Psi_n) = \text{Hom}(G_n, \Psi_n) \simeq {}_p^n \Psi_n \\ &\rightarrow H^2(G_n, (Q_\infty)^{\Gamma_n}) \rightarrow H^2(G_n, (R_\infty)^{\Gamma_n}) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Fixing $m \geq 0$ and taking inductive limits on $n \geq m$, we get an isomorphism $\Psi_m \simeq \text{Ker} \left(H^2(\Gamma_m, (Q_\infty)^{\Gamma_m}) \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma_m, (R_\infty)^{\Gamma_m}) \right)$. It remains to compute this kernel in terms of maximal divisible subgroups.

For any $n \geq m$, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow (Q_\infty)^{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow (\overline{C}_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow \tilde{C}_n \rightarrow 0$ (see the proof of 3.4) shows that $H^1(G_{n,m}, \tilde{C}_n) \simeq H^2(G_{n,m}, (Q_\infty)^{\Gamma_n})$. But we have seen, in the proof of theorem 2.3, canonical exact sequences $0 \rightarrow H^1(G_{n,m}, \tilde{C}_n) \rightarrow H^1(G_{n,m}, \overline{C}_n) \rightarrow {}_p^{n-m} t\Phi \rightarrow 0$ which give, on taking inductive limits over n , an exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^s \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_m, C_\infty) \rightarrow t\Phi \rightarrow 0$. Since $t\Phi$ is finite, this shows that $H^2(\Gamma_m, (Q_\infty)^{\Gamma_m}) \simeq \text{Div } H^1(\Gamma_m, C_\infty)$ for any $m \geq 0$.

Similarly, we have seen, in the proof of theorem 1.3, canonical exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(G_{n,m}, R_n) \rightarrow H^1(G_{n,m}, U_n) \rightarrow H^1(G_{n,m}, U'_n) \rightarrow 0$$

for $n \geq m \gg 0$, which give, on taking inductive limits over n , an isomorphism $H^2(\Gamma_m, (R_\infty)^{\Gamma_m}) \simeq \text{Div } H^1(\Gamma_m, U_\infty)$, as desired. \square

We are now in a position to prove our main result for descent of unit class groups, namely:

Theorem 3.7. *Under (DG), for all $m \geq 0$, the maps $j_m : B_m \rightarrow (B_\infty)^{\Gamma_m}$ are injective and their cokernels stabilize w.r.t. extension maps. For all $m \gg 0$, we have a canonical exact sequence (where $\widehat{(\cdot)}$ denotes Pontryagin duality):*

$$0 \rightarrow \Psi \rightarrow \text{Coker } j_m \rightarrow t\Phi \xrightarrow{\alpha} X'_\infty{}^{\text{tor}} \rightarrow \widehat{(B_\infty)^{\text{tor}}} \simeq B_\infty / \text{Div}(B_\infty).$$

Proof. Fix m large enough and consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Coker } j_m & \longrightarrow & H^1(\Gamma_m, C_\infty) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\Gamma_m, U_\infty) \longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma_m, B_\infty) \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Psi & \longrightarrow & \text{Div } H^1(\Gamma_m, C_\infty) & \longrightarrow & \text{Div } H^1(\Gamma_m, U_\infty) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Applying 1.3 (ii), 2.3 and the snake lemma, we immediately get, for $m \gg 0$:

$$0 \rightarrow \Psi \rightarrow \text{Coker } j_m \rightarrow t\Phi \xrightarrow{\alpha} X'_\infty{}^{\text{tor}} \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_m, B_\infty).$$

Since B_∞ is torsion, $H^1(\Gamma_m, B_\infty) \simeq (B_\infty)_{\Gamma_m}$ hence, by duality, $H^1(\Gamma_m, \widehat{B_\infty}) \simeq \widehat{(B_\infty)^{\Gamma_m}}$. But it is known that $H^1(\Gamma_m, B_\infty)$ is finite ([N], 5.1), hence $\widehat{(B_\infty)^{\Gamma_m}} = \widehat{(B_\infty)^{\text{tor}}}$ for $m \gg 0$. \square

Remarks:

1) In theorem 3.7, $\widehat{(B_\infty)^{\text{tor}}}$ must be considered as a parameter, in the same way as $X'_\infty{}^{\text{tor}}$. Going back to the proof of lemma 3.3, we can see readily that the cokernel of the map $X'_\infty{}^{\text{tor}} \rightarrow \widehat{(B_\infty)^{\text{tor}}}$ in 3.7 is isomorphic to

$\text{Ker}(H^2(\Gamma_m, C_\infty) \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma_m, U_\infty))$ for $m \gg 0$, but we miss a noetherian interpretation in the style of 3.5. See however the remarks after corollary 4.6 below.

2) In the unit class setting, Greenberg’s conjecture asserts that the B_n ’s are bounded. It follows easily, under (DG), that $\text{Coker } j_n = (0)$ for $n \gg 0$, hence we must expect that Ψ is trivial modulo (DG).

Corollary 3.8. *Suppose moreover that $t\Phi = \Psi = (0)$. Then the B_n ’s satisfy asymptotic Galois descent, i.e. $B_n^{G^{n,m}} \simeq B_m, \forall n \geq m \gg 0$.*

Proof. By 3.7, our hypotheses imply that $B_m \xrightarrow{\sim} B_\infty^{\Gamma_m}$ for all $m \gg 0$, hence the corollary. \square

Without Greenberg’s conjecture, the ambiguities in theorem 3.7 can be removed in case (A):

Proposition 3.9. *Under (A), for any $m \geq 0$, we have an exact sequence of abelian groups:*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Coker } j_m \rightarrow t\Phi \rightarrow (X_\infty^{\text{tor}})_{\Gamma_m} \rightarrow \widehat{(B_\infty^{\text{tor}})}^{\Gamma_m} \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, $\Psi_m = (0)$.

Proof. In case (A), we have seen that $B_n \simeq \overline{U}'_n / \overline{C}'_n$ (lemma 2.7). Repeating the argument of 3.7, we get, for $n \geq m \geq 0$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 \rightarrow (\overline{C}'_n)^{G_{n,m}} & \rightarrow & (\overline{U}'_n)^{G_{n,m}} & \rightarrow & (B_n)^{G_{n,m}} & \rightarrow & H^1(G_{n,m}, \overline{C}'_n) \rightarrow H^1(G_{n,m}, \overline{U}'_n) \rightarrow H^1(G_{n,m}, B_n) \\
 & & \uparrow \simeq & & \uparrow j_{n,m} & & \downarrow \\
 0 \rightarrow \overline{C}'_m & \rightarrow & \overline{U}'_m & \rightarrow & B_m & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & & & H^2(G_{n,m}, \overline{C}'_n)
 \end{array}$$

Applying 1.6, 2.8 and taking inductive limits over n , we immediately get the desired result. \square

Corollary 3.10. *If F satisfies (A) and $t\Phi = (0)$, then:*

- (i) *The B_n 's satisfy Galois descent, i.e. $(B_n)^{G_{n,m}} \simeq B_m, \forall n \geq m \geq 0$*
- (ii) *$(B_\infty)^\Gamma \simeq B_0$ and $(B_\infty)_\Gamma \simeq (X_\infty^{\text{tor}})_\Gamma$ (cp. [O.I], proposition 1)*
- (iii) *$\widehat{X}_\infty^{\text{tor}} \simeq \widehat{B}_\infty^{\text{tor}}$ (cp. [O.II], theorem 3)*
- (iv) *$\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall n \geq m \geq 0, \hat{H}^i(G_{n,m}, B_n) \simeq \hat{H}^{i-2}(G_{n,m}, A_n)$ (canonically).*

NB: a few remarks on the hypotheses in [O.I] and [O.II] are in order. Ozaki's hypothesis (C), introduced in [O.I], is divided in three conditions (C1), (C2) and (C3). Condition (C1) is equivalent to (A), (C2) is not needed taking into account lemma 1.5 and finally, added to (A) or (C1), (C3) is equivalent to the triviality of $t\Phi$. Besides, in [O.II], Ozaki restricts himself to either the case of a field with a p -power conductor, or the semi-simple case with p inert in the ground field, which both imply our hypotheses (A) and $t\Phi = (0)$.

Proof. Assuming hypothesis (A) (which implies (DG) by 2.4 (i)) and $t\Phi = (0)$, we immediately get (i) by 3.9. This implies obviously $(B_\infty)^\Gamma \simeq B_0$ in (ii). Also, 3.9 gives $\widehat{(X_\infty^{\text{tor}})}^{\Gamma_m} \simeq \widehat{(B_\infty^{\text{tor}})}^{\Gamma_m}, \forall m \geq 0$, hence (iii). As for (iv), our hypotheses imply the cohomological triviality of the \overline{C}'_n 's by 2.7, hence $\hat{H}^i(G_{n,m}, B_n) \simeq \hat{H}^i(G_{n,m}, \overline{U}'_n) \simeq \hat{H}^{i-2}(G_{n,m}, A_n)$ by 1.6. Finally, taking inductive limits, we get $H^1(\Gamma, B_\infty) \simeq H^1(\Gamma, U'_\infty)$, which means, by 1.6 (iv), that $(B_\infty)_\Gamma \simeq (X_\infty^{\text{tor}})_\Gamma$, and the proof is complete. \square

In the introduction of section 2, we recalled that A_n and B_n are not isomorphic in general. But in many particular cases ([KS], [BN1], [C], [O.I], etc...) they

become asymptotically isomorphic if Greenberg’s conjecture holds true. In the same vein:

Corollary 3.11. *If F satisfies (A) and $t\Phi = (0)$, and if Greenberg’s conjecture holds for the pair (F, p) , then $A_m \simeq B_m, \forall m \gg 0$ (not canonically).*

Proof. Greenberg’s conjecture for (F, p) asserts that the A_n ’s are bounded or, equivalently (because of Sinnott’s index formula), the B_n ’s are bounded. In this case, $\Gamma_n = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/F_n)$ acts trivially on A_n and B_n for $n \gg 0$. It follows that, for $n \gg m \gg 0$, $\hat{H}^0(G_{n,m}, A_n) \simeq A_m$ and $\hat{H}^0(G_{n,m}, B_n) \simeq B_m$, hence, by applying 3.10 (iv), $A_m \simeq B_m$ (not canonically). \square

Note that the isomorphism $A_n \simeq B_n$, for n large, does not commute a priori with projective limits, since $\varprojlim A_n \simeq X_\infty$ while $\varprojlim B_n = (0)$ under (DG) and Greenberg’s conjecture.

4. CO-DESCENT FOR UNITS MODULO CIRCULAR UNITS

F still denotes a *totally real abelian* field. We aim to study co-descent for the module $Y_\infty = \varprojlim B_n$, i.e. to determine the kernels and cokernels of the natural maps $h_n : (Y_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow B_n$. It is known that the $\text{Ker } h_n$ ’s and $\text{Coker } h_n$ ’s are bounded ([B3], [N]), but we are looking for a more precise description.

Lemma 4.1. *Under (DG), for any $n \geq 0$, we have a canonical exact sequence:*

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Psi_n \rightarrow \text{Ker } h_n \rightarrow \overline{C}_n/\tilde{C}_n \rightarrow \overline{U}_n/\tilde{U}_n \rightarrow \text{Coker } h_n \rightarrow 0$$

Here \tilde{U}_n denotes the universal norms for units as in 3.5, i.e. the image of the natural map $(\overline{U}_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow \overline{U}_n$.

Proof. Applying the snake lemma to the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (Y_\infty)^{\Gamma_n} = 0 & \rightarrow & (\overline{C}_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} & \rightarrow & (\overline{U}_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} & \rightarrow & (Y_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f_n & & \downarrow g_n & & \downarrow h_n \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \overline{C}_n & \longrightarrow & \overline{U}_n & \longrightarrow & B_n \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

we get an exact sequence (with the notations of 3.4):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } f_n \simeq (Q_\infty)^{\Gamma_n} &\rightarrow \text{Ker } g_n \simeq (R_\infty)^{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow \text{Ker } h_n \rightarrow \text{Coker } f_n \simeq \overline{C}_n/\tilde{C}_n \\ &\rightarrow \text{Coker } g_n \simeq \overline{U}_n/\tilde{U}_n \rightarrow \text{Coker } h_n \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of Ψ_n (see 3.5), this gives the desired result. \square

Our next task is to describe the asymptotical values of the modules appearing in the exact sequence (5). Before going further, we need additional notations about the theory of adjoints. Let M be a finitely generated torsion Λ -module. For each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of height one in Λ , let $M_{\mathfrak{p}} := M \otimes \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the localization of Λ at \mathfrak{p} . The cokernel $\beta(M)$ of the canonical map $M \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is by definition the *co-adjoint* of M . Let $\alpha(M) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\beta(M), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$, which we make into a Λ -module by defining $(\sigma.f)(y) := f(\sigma^{-1}.y)$ for $\sigma \in \Lambda, y \in \beta(M)$ and $f \in \alpha(M)$. Then $\alpha(M)$ is called the *adjoint* of M . For further details about adjoints, see [Iw2] or [W]. Moreover, for any \mathbb{Z}_p -module M , the Pontryagin dual of M is denoted by $\widehat{M} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Under (DG), taking inductive limits w.r.t. extension maps, we have*

$$(\varinjlim \widehat{\text{Coker } h_n}) \simeq \widehat{B_{\infty}^{tor}}, \text{ and } (\varinjlim \widehat{\text{Ker } h_n}) \simeq \alpha(Y_{\infty})/(\widehat{B_{\infty}})^{fr},$$

where $(.)^{fr}$ denotes the \mathbb{Z}_p -torsion free quotient.

Proof. Let us take inductive limits on the tautological exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } h_n \rightarrow (Y_{\infty})_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow \text{Coker } h_n \rightarrow 0.$$

For $n \geq m$, the transition maps $(Y_{\infty})_{\Gamma_m} \rightarrow (Y_{\infty})_{\Gamma_n}$ are $\frac{\gamma^{p^n}-1}{\gamma^{p^m}-1}$, where γ is a topological generator of Γ . Moreover, the $(Y_{\infty})_{\Gamma_n}$'s being finite, $\varinjlim (Y_{\infty})_{\Gamma_n} \simeq \beta(Y_{\infty})$ ([Iw2], [W]). By duality, we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow (\varinjlim \widehat{\text{Coker } h_n}) \rightarrow \widehat{B_{\infty}} \rightarrow \alpha(Y_{\infty}) \rightarrow (\varinjlim \widehat{\text{Ker } h_n}) \rightarrow 0.$$

But an adjoint module has no non zero finite submodule, which shows what we want. \square

For future use, let us record here a ‘‘Spiegelung’’ result extracted from the proof of lemma 4.2:

Lemma 4.3. *Under (DG), suppose moreover that $\varinjlim \text{Ker } h_n = (0)$ (as happens e.g. in case (A) or in the semi-simple case). Then $Y_{\infty} \simeq \alpha(\widehat{B_{\infty}})$.*

Proof. If $\varinjlim \text{Ker } h_n = (0)$, then $\widehat{B_{\infty}}^{fr} \simeq \alpha(Y_{\infty})$ by 4.2, which shows in particular that $\widehat{B_{\infty}}$ and Y_{∞} have the same λ and μ -invariants. But $\mu(Y_{\infty}) = 0$ ([FG], [B3]), hence $\alpha(\widehat{B_{\infty}}) \simeq \text{Hom}(\widehat{B_{\infty}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ ([Iw2], [W]) $\simeq \text{Hom}(\widehat{B_{\infty}}^{fr}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \alpha(\widehat{B_{\infty}}^{fr})$. Applying again the adjoint functor, we get $\alpha(\widehat{B_{\infty}}) \simeq \alpha(\alpha(Y_{\infty})) \simeq Y_{\infty}$, because Y_{∞} has no non trivial finite submodule by (DG). \square

The following does not need hypothesis (DG) :

Lemma 4.4. *For $m \gg 0$, the natural map $\bar{U}_m/\tilde{U}_m \rightarrow \bar{U}'_m/\tilde{U}'_m$ is an isomorphism. In particular, the \bar{U}_n/\tilde{U}_n 's stabilize.*

Proof. The kernel of the map $\bar{U}_m/\tilde{U}_m \rightarrow \bar{U}'_m/\tilde{U}'_m$ is $\bar{U}_m \cap \tilde{U}'_m/\tilde{U}_m$, which is obviously trivial for $m \gg 0$. The cokernel is $\bar{U}'_m/\tilde{U}'_m\bar{U}_m$; but we have seen in the proof of theorem 1.3 that, for $n \geq m \gg 0$, the norm map $\bar{U}'_n/\bar{U}_n \rightarrow \bar{U}'_m/\bar{U}_m$ is surjective, hence the cokernel in question is null. The stabilization of the \bar{U}_n/\tilde{U}_n 's has been shown by Kuz'min (see [Ku], proof of proposition 8.2). \square

We can now show the main result of this section on co-descent:

Theorem 4.5. *Under (DG), the Coker h_n 's and Ker h_n 's stabilize w.r.t. extension maps. For $n \gg 0$, $\text{Coker } h_n \simeq \widehat{(B_\infty^{tor})} \simeq B_\infty/\text{Div}(B_\infty)$, and Ker h_n takes place in an exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow \Psi \rightarrow \text{Ker } h_n \rightarrow t\Phi \xrightarrow{\beta} X'_\infty{}^{tor} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \widehat{(B_\infty^{tor})}.$$

Proof. For $n \gg 0$, the exact sequence (5) in 4.1 becomes :

$$(6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Psi \rightarrow \text{Ker } h_n \rightarrow \Phi \rightarrow \bar{U}_n/\tilde{U}_n \rightarrow \text{Coker } h_n \rightarrow 0.$$

By 4.4, the \bar{U}_n/\tilde{U}_n 's stabilize, hence the Ker h_n 's and Coker h_n 's also. The isomorphism $\text{Coker } h_n \simeq \widehat{(B_\infty^{tor})}$ for $n \gg 0$ is then just a restatement of lemma 4.2. Taking \mathbb{Z}_p -torsion in the exact sequence (6), we get the desired result for Ker h_n . \square

It would be desirable to compare the two exact sequences in 3.7 and 4.5 but a priori, we can't see any natural map between Coker j_n and Ker h_n , except in particular cases:

Corollary 4.6. *Under (DG), and for $n \gg 0$:*

- a) Ker $h_n = (0)$ if and only if Coker $j_n = (0)$.
- b) If $\Psi = (0)$, then Ker $h_n \simeq \text{Coker } j_n$.

Proof. a) clearly follows from b). To prove b), we must show that the two maps $\alpha, \beta : t\Phi \rightarrow X'_\infty{}^{tor}$ in 3.7 and 4.5 coincide up to isomorphism. While β is just induced by the natural map $\bar{C}_n/\tilde{C}_n \rightarrow \bar{U}'_n/\tilde{U}'_n$, we must trace α back to the proof of theorem 2.3 and lemma 3.6: for $n \geq m \gg 0$, the cohomological map $H^1(G_{n,m}, \bar{C}_n) \rightarrow H^1(G_{n,m}, \bar{U}'_n)$ induces a map $H^1(G_{n,m}, \bar{C}_n/\tilde{C}_n) = \text{Hom}(G_{n,m}, \bar{C}_n/\tilde{C}_n) \rightarrow H^1(G_{n,m}, \bar{U}'_n/\tilde{U}'_n) = \text{Hom}(G_{n,m}, \bar{U}'_n/\tilde{U}'_n)$; after identifying the first Hom group (resp. the second) with $_{p^{n-m}}t\Phi$ (resp. $_{p^{n-m}}X'_\infty{}^{tor}$), we recover α . \square

Remarks:

1) Using β , we can easily give a description of the cokernel of the map $\epsilon : X'_\infty{}^{tor} \rightarrow \widehat{B_\infty}^{tor}$ in theorems 3.7 and 4.5. Writing $(\cdot)^{fr}$ for the quotient modulo \mathbb{Z}_p -torsion, the exact sequence (6) in the proof of 4.5 immediately shows (under (DG) and for $n \gg 0$) that $\text{Coker } \epsilon$ is just the cokernel of the natural map $(\Phi)^{fr} \rightarrow (\overline{U}'_n/\tilde{U}'_n)^{fr}$ (which is injective). Note that, according to Kuz'min's results, $(\overline{U}'_n/\tilde{U}'_n)^{fr}$ is no other than $\overline{U}'_n/\widehat{U}'_n$.

2) Assuming Greenberg's conjecture, we can conveniently compute the order of $\text{Coker } \epsilon$. Under (DG), Greenberg's conjecture is equivalent to the triviality of Y_∞ , hence $\text{Ker } h_n = (0)$ and $B_n \simeq \text{Coker } h_n$ for all $n \geq 0$, and the exact sequence in theorem 4.5 becomes, for all $n \gg 0$:

$$0 \rightarrow t\Phi \rightarrow A'_n \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow \text{Coker } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

This yields a p -adic equivalence of orders: $|\text{Coker } \epsilon| \stackrel{p}{\sim} \frac{|B_n|}{|A_n|} \cdot |D_n| \cdot |t\Phi|$. Let us denote by c_∞ the asymptotic value of the p -parts of the Sinnott constants $c(F_n)$. Then: $|\text{Coker } \epsilon| \stackrel{p}{\sim} |c_\infty| \cdot |D_\infty| \cdot |t\Phi|$. Thus we cannot expect $\text{Coker } \epsilon$ to vanish in general.

Without Greenberg's conjecture, the ambiguities in theorem 4.5 can be removed in the special case (A):

Proposition 4.7. *Under (A), for any $n \geq 0$, we have an exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } h_n \rightarrow t\Phi \rightarrow (X_\infty^{tor})^{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow \text{Coker } h_n \rightarrow 0.$$

If moreover $t\Phi = (0)$, co-descent is summarized in an exact sequence:

$$(7) \quad 0 \rightarrow (Y_\infty)_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow (X_\infty^{tor})^{\Gamma_n} \simeq \widehat{(B_\infty^{tor})}_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow 0$$

(to be compared with [O.II], theorem 1, and [BN1], theorem 3.5).

Proof. Under (A), knowing that $B_n \simeq \overline{U}'_n/\overline{C}'_n$ (lemma 2.7), the same argument as in lemma 4.1 gives an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } h_n \rightarrow \overline{C}'_n/\tilde{C}'_n \rightarrow \overline{U}'_n/\tilde{U}'_n \rightarrow \text{Coker } h_n \rightarrow 0.$$

But the p -adic valuation gives rise to $0 \rightarrow \overline{C}_n \rightarrow \overline{C}'_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$, from which it follows easily that $\overline{C}_n/\tilde{C}_n \simeq \overline{C}'_n/\tilde{C}'_n$. Besides, because $s = 1$, $\overline{C}_n/\tilde{C}_n \simeq t\Phi$ and $\overline{U}'_n/\tilde{U}'_n \simeq (X_\infty^{tor})^{\Gamma_n}$. Finally, if $t\Phi = (0)$, then $(X_\infty^{tor})^{\Gamma_n} \simeq \text{Coker } h_n$, which implies that $\text{Coker } \epsilon = (0)$, whence the exact sequence (7). \square

The co-descent exact sequence (7) yields :

Another proof of corollary 3.11. Under (DG), Greenberg’s conjecture asserts the nullity of Y_∞ . If F satisfies (A) and $t\Phi = (0)$, theorem 3.4 then gives an isomorphism $B_n \simeq (X_\infty)^{\Gamma_n}$ for all $n \geq 0$. But in case (A), $A_n \simeq (X_\infty)_{\Gamma_n}$ for all $n \geq 0$, hence $A_n \simeq B_n \simeq X_\infty$ for all $n \gg 0$, modulo Greenberg’s conjecture. \square

5. DESCENT AND CO-DESCENT IN THE SEMI-SIMPLE CASE

We now intend to do a characterwise study in the semi-simple case, *i.e.* when F is a *totally real abelian field such that* $p \nmid [F : \mathbb{Q}]$. Let us remark right away that semi-simplicity implies hypothesis (DG) (proposition 2.4), hypothesis (R) and the triviality of $t\Phi$ (corollary 2.6), so that the exact sequences in theorems 3.7 and 4.5 break down to give, for any n large enough, $\Psi \simeq \text{Coker } j_n \simeq \text{Ker } h_n$ and

$$0 \rightarrow X'_\infty{}^{tor} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \widehat{B_\infty}^{tor} \rightarrow \text{Coker } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\Delta := \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ and $\hat{\Delta} := \text{Hom}(\Delta, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times)$. If M is a $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$ -module and $\chi \in \hat{\Delta}$ is a character of Δ , the χ -part of M is denoted by $M(\chi)$. Recall that $M(\chi) = e_\chi M$, with

$$e_\chi := \frac{1}{|\Delta|} \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} \text{Tr}(\chi(\delta)) \delta^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta].$$

Let $R_\chi := \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta](\chi)$ and $\Lambda_\chi := R_\chi[[\Gamma]]$.

We’ll have to distinguish between the non-split case ($\chi(p) \neq 1$) and the split case ($\chi(p) = 1$). In the non-split case we can get full, non asymptotic results, just as in case (A):

Theorem 5.1. *For any character $\chi \in \hat{\Delta}$ such that $\chi(p) \neq 1$:*

- (i) *The $B_n(\chi)$ ’s satisfy Galois descent, *i.e.* $(B_n(\chi))^{G_{n,m}} \simeq B_m(\chi), \forall n \geq m \geq 0$ (cp. 3.10).*
- (ii) *For any $n \geq 0$, we have an exact sequence of co-descent:*

$$(8) \quad 0 \rightarrow (Y_\infty)_{\Gamma_n}(\chi) \rightarrow B_n(\chi) \rightarrow (X_\infty^{tor})_{\Gamma_n}(\chi) \simeq \left(\widehat{B_\infty}^{tor} \right)_{\Gamma_n}(\chi) \rightarrow 0$$

(cp. 4.7).

Let us first determine separately the χ -parts $\Psi(\chi)$ and $(\text{Coker } \epsilon)(\chi)$:

Lemma 5.2. *For any character $\chi \in \hat{\Delta}$ such that $\chi(p) \neq 1$, we have $\Psi_n(\chi) = (0)$ for any $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. By definition (see 3.5), Ψ_n is a quotient of $\mathbb{Z}_p[S_n]$, where S_n is the set of p -primes of F_n . By the semi-simplicity hypothesis, Δ acts naturally on any $\mathbb{Z}_p[S_n]$, and this action can be read on $\mathbb{Z}_p[S_0]$ alone thanks to hypothesis (R). Denoting by ∇ the decomposition subgroup of Δ relative to any chosen p -prime in S_0 , we have obviously $\mathbb{Z}_p[S_0] \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta/\nabla]$ as Δ -modules, so that $\mathbb{Z}_p[S_0](\chi) \simeq R_\chi[\Delta/\nabla]$. Moreover, $\chi(p) = 1$ if and only if χ factors through Δ/∇ , hence $\mathbb{Z}_p[S_0](\chi) = (0)$ if $\chi(p) \neq 1$. Note that if χ is a non trivial character such that $\chi(p) = 1$, this argument shows that $\mathbb{Z}_p[S_0](\chi) \simeq R_\chi$. \square

Lemma 5.3. *For any character $\chi \in \hat{\Delta}$ such that $\chi(p) \neq 1$, we have*

$$(\text{Coker } \epsilon)(\chi) = (0).$$

Proof. We have seen in remark 1) after 4.6 that, for n large enough, $\text{Coker } \epsilon$ is a subquotient of $\bigoplus_{v|p} \overline{F_{n,v}^\times} / \hat{F}_{n,v}^\times$. But this sum \bigoplus is in turn a submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_p[S_n]$, and the same argument as in lemma 5.2 applies. \square

Proof of theorem 5.1. To show 5.1 (i), we cannot apply directly theorem 3.7, whose statement is asymptotic. However, for any $n \geq 0$, we have seen in lemma 3.3 that $\text{Coker } j_n \simeq \text{Ker} (H^1(\Gamma_n, C_\infty) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_n, U_\infty))$ and in the proof of lemma 3.6 that $\Psi_n \simeq \text{Ker} (\text{Div } H^1(\Gamma_n, C_\infty) \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma_n, R_n))$. Since $t\Phi = (0)$ here, $\text{Div } H^1(\Gamma_n, C_\infty) = H^1(\Gamma_n, C_\infty)$. Besides, $D_n(\chi) = (0)$ as a quotient of $\mathbb{Z}_p[S_n](\chi)$, hence the end of the proof of 1.3 (ii) applies to yield $H^2(\Gamma_n, R_n)(\chi) \simeq \text{Div } H^1(\Gamma_n, U_\infty)(\chi)$. We conclude that $(\text{Coker } j_n)(\chi) \simeq \Psi_n(\chi) = (0)$ by lemma 5.2.

Since $t\Phi = (0)$, the injectivity in 5.1 (ii) follows obviously from lemma 5.2 and the exact sequence (5) in lemma 4.1. The same exact sequence and lemma 5.3 show that $(\overline{U}_n / \tilde{U}_n)^{\text{tor}}(\chi) \simeq (\text{Coker } h_n)(\chi)$. But again, since $D_n(\chi) = (0)$, we can apply the argument in lemma 4.4 to show that $(\overline{U}_n / \tilde{U}_n)(\chi) \simeq (\overline{U}'_n / \tilde{U}'_n)(\chi)$, whence $(\overline{U}_n / \tilde{U}_n)^{\text{tor}}(\chi) \simeq (X'_\infty)^{\text{tor}}{}^{\Gamma_n}(\chi) = (X^{\text{tor}}_\infty)^{\Gamma_n}(\chi)$. Besides, lemma 5.3 means that $(X'_\infty)^{\text{tor}}(\chi) \simeq \left(\widehat{B_\infty}^{\text{tor}} \right)(\chi)$. \square

Corollary 5.4 (cp. 3.11). *Suppose moreover that Greenberg's conjecture is valid. Then $A_n(\chi) \simeq B_n(\chi)$ for all n large enough.*

Proof. By 5.1 (ii) and the same argument as after 4.7, we immediately get $A'_n(\chi) \simeq B_n(\chi)$. But we have seen that $D_n(\chi) = (0)$. \square

The split case ($\chi(p) = 1$) is notoriously more complicated because, when performing descent or co-descent, we could run into trivial zeroes of p -adic L -functions (on the analytic side). The argument in lemma 5.2 only tells us that $\Psi(\chi)$ and $(\text{Coker } \epsilon)(\chi)$ are cyclic over R_χ if $\chi \neq 1$. For further partial results, we refer to

[N] (whose primary goal, however, is different from here).

We'll conclude by using descent and co-descent to gather information on the parenthood between A_n and B_n (or X_∞ and Y_∞) *without assuming Greenberg's conjecture*.

Proposition 5.5 (cp. [O.II], theorem 2). *For any character $\chi \in \hat{\Delta}$ such that $\chi(p) \neq 1$ and $A_\infty^\Gamma(\chi)$ is cyclic, we have an isomorphism (non canonical) of Λ_χ -modules $Y_\infty(\chi) \simeq X_\infty^{fr}(\chi)$, where X_∞^{fr} is the \mathbb{Z}_p -torsion free quotient X_∞/X_∞^{tor} .*

Proof. It is well known that $\overline{U}_0(\chi^{-1}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[\chi^{-1}]$ if χ is not the trivial character, and trivial otherwise. But $(\widehat{B_\infty}(\chi))_\Gamma$ is the dual of $B_\infty(\chi^{-1})^\Gamma \simeq B_0(\chi^{-1})$ by 5.1 (i). As $B_0(\chi^{-1})$ is a quotient of $\overline{U}_0(\chi^{-1})$, Nakayama's lemma shows that $\widehat{B_\infty}(\chi)$ is cyclic over Λ_χ , and so is $\alpha(Y_\infty)(\chi)$, which is a quotient of $\widehat{B_\infty}(\chi)$ since $(\text{Ker } h_n)(\chi) = (0)$ (see the proof of 4.3). As $\mu(Y_\infty) = 0$, the same argument as in 4.7 shows that $Y_\infty(\chi) \simeq \alpha(\alpha(Y_\infty)(\chi)) \simeq \text{Hom}(\alpha(Y_\infty)(\chi), \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is also cyclic over Λ_χ , and so it is of the form $Y_\infty(\chi) \simeq \Lambda_\chi/(f_\chi(T))$, for the projective dimension of $Y_\infty(\chi)$ over Λ_χ is less than 1 (here we have identified in a classical way Λ_χ and the ring $R_\chi[[T]]$ of formal power series).

Moreover the tautological exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X_\infty^{tor}(\chi) \rightarrow X_\infty(\chi) \rightarrow X_\infty^{fr}(\chi) \rightarrow 0$$

gives by co-descent

$$0 \rightarrow X_\infty^{tor}(\chi)_\Gamma \rightarrow A_0(\chi) \simeq X_\infty(\chi)_\Gamma \rightarrow X_\infty^{fr}(\chi)_\Gamma \rightarrow 0,$$

which means, by 1.6 (iii), that $A_\infty^\Gamma(\chi) \simeq X_\infty^{fr}(\chi)_\Gamma$. Thus the hypothesis that $A_\infty^\Gamma(\chi)$ is cyclic is equivalent to the cyclicity of $X_\infty^{fr}(\chi)$ over Λ_χ . In this case, $X_\infty^{fr}(\chi) \simeq \Lambda_\chi/(f_\chi(T))$ since we know by the Main Conjecture that $X_\infty(\chi)$ and $Y_\infty(\chi)$ have the same characteristic series. Hence $Y_\infty(\chi) \simeq X_\infty^{fr}(\chi)$. \square

Corollary 5.6. *Keeping the hypotheses of 5.5, for all $n \geq 0$, we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow (X_\infty^{tor})_{\Gamma_n}(\chi) \rightarrow A_n(\chi) \rightarrow B_n(\chi) \rightarrow (X_\infty^{tor})^{\Gamma_n}(\chi) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. The snake lemma applied to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X_\infty^{tor}(\chi) \rightarrow X_\infty(\chi) \rightarrow X_\infty^{fr}(\chi) \simeq Y_\infty(\chi) \rightarrow 0$$

gives

$$(X_\infty^{fr})^{\Gamma_n}(\chi) = 0 \rightarrow (X_\infty^{tor})_{\Gamma_n}(\chi) \simeq A_n(\chi) \rightarrow (Y_\infty)_{\Gamma_n}(\chi) \rightarrow 0.$$

It then suffices to apply the exact sequence (8) in 5.1. \square

Note that Greenberg's conjecture implies the nullity of the above map $A_n(\chi) \rightarrow B_n(\chi)$ for n large, although $A_n(\chi) \simeq B_n(\chi)$ (cf 5.4).

6. APPENDIX : ABOUT THE Λ -FREEDNESS OF \overline{C}_∞

BY J.-R. BELLIARD

Let F be an abelian totally real number field (without any further restriction). In the paper [B1] we gave a sufficient condition to Λ -freeness of the Λ -module \overline{C}_∞ associated to the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension F_∞/F . There, amongst other hypotheses, we assumed that p was unramified in the base field (denoted K there and F here). Now, due to the applications given in the main part of this paper, it seems worthwhile to write down precisely the relevant condition without assuming anything about ramification at p .

Lemma 6.1. *Let $L \subset F_\infty$ be the maximal subfield of F_∞ such that p is (at most) tamely ramified in L (under hypothesis (R), L is the field F^{tam} introduced after 2.3). Put $\Lambda_L := \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(L_\infty/L)]]$, where L_∞/L is the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of L . Then we have :*

- (1) *As a group, \overline{C}_∞ only depends on F_∞ not on F .*
- (2) *$L_\infty = F_\infty$.*
- (3) *\overline{C}_∞ is Λ -free if and only if \overline{C}_∞ is Λ_L -free.*

Proof. 1 is clear. 2 is lemma 1.2 of [B3]. By theorem 2.2 of [B2], the Λ -freeness is equivalent to an asymptotic condition (namely the "Asymptotic Galois Descent" property for the \overline{C}_n 's). But there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $n \geq N$ we have $F_n = L_{n+b}$: the equivalence in 3 follows. \square

In the light of lemma 6.1, as far as the Λ -freeness of \overline{C}_∞ is concerned, we could (but shall not in the sequel) assume without loss of generality that p is (at most) tamely ramified in F . Then we might just refer to [B1] for all proofs. But we thought it would be better to give them here, including most of the details, taking this opportunity to simplify the arguments of [B1] by translating them at infinite level.

We need a few more notations. They are close to those used in [B1] but formally not exactly the same. Let \mathcal{P} be the set of rational primes $l \neq p$ which are ramified in F/\mathbb{Q} . The case $\mathcal{P} = \emptyset$ is obvious but allowed. For all supernatural numbers t we put $\mathbb{Q}(t) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_t)$. For all $J \subset \mathcal{P}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, we put $F_n(J) := \mathbb{Q}(\prod_{l \in J} l^\infty p^\infty) \cap F_n$. We shall abbreviate by $F(J) := F_0(J)$, $G(J) = \text{Gal}(F_\infty(J)/\mathbb{B}_\infty)$, where \mathbb{B}_∞ is the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of \mathbb{Q} , and $G = G(\mathcal{P})$. For any abelian number field K we write $\text{cond}(K)$ for its conductor, that is the minimal $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subset \mathbb{Q}(n)$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $J \subset \mathcal{P}$ we shall denote by $\varepsilon_n(J)$ the following cyclotomic number (unit if $J \neq \emptyset$) :

$$\varepsilon_n(J) := N_{\mathbb{Q}(\text{cond}(F_n(J)))/F_n(J)}(1 - \zeta_{\text{cond}(F_n(J))}).$$

It follows from the classical distribution relations that, for $n \geq 1$, the $\varepsilon_n(J)$'s form a norm coherent sequence. Therefore, fixing a generator γ of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{B}_\infty/\mathbb{Q})$ we may define $\varepsilon_\infty(J) \in \overline{C}_\infty$ by the following formula :

$$\varepsilon_\infty(J) := \begin{cases} (\varepsilon_n(J))_{n \geq 1} & \text{if } J \neq \emptyset \\ (\varepsilon_n(\emptyset)^{\langle \gamma^{-1} \rangle})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We shall examine the $\Lambda_L[G]$ -module structure of \overline{C}_∞ (recall that $G = G(\mathcal{P}) = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/\mathbb{B}_\infty)$), and for that it is more convenient to write additively the multiplication in \overline{C}_∞ and to keep multiplication for the action of $\Lambda[G]$. Consistently for $\mathbb{B}_\infty \subset N \subset K \subset F_\infty$ we denote by $T_{K/N}$ the trace (actually the algebraic norm map) acting on elements $x \in \overline{C}_\infty^{\text{Gal}(F_\infty/K)}$. The elements $\varepsilon_\infty(J)$ are subject to the following distribution relations :

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\left(\frac{\mathfrak{A}}{N/K}\right)$ be the (global) Artin symbol for any abelian extension N/K and (fractional) ideal \mathfrak{A} of K . For all $J \subset \mathcal{P}$ and all $I \subset J$, put $\mathcal{P}_{I,J}$ for the set of rational primes l dividing $\text{cond}(F_\infty(J))$ but not dividing $\text{cond}(F_\infty(I))$. We have :*

$$T_{F_\infty(J)/F_\infty(I)}(\varepsilon_\infty(J)) = \left(\prod_{l \in \mathcal{P}_{I,J}} \left(1 - \left(\frac{(l)}{F_\infty(I)/\mathbb{Q}} \right)^{-1} \right) \right) \varepsilon_\infty(I).$$

Proof. The analogous relations at finite levels are well known. We then just take inverse limits. \square

Lemma 6.3. *The system $\{\varepsilon_\infty(J), J \subset \mathcal{P}\}$ generates \overline{C}_∞ (as a module over $\Lambda_L[\text{Gal}(F_\infty/\mathbb{B}_\infty)]$).*

Proof. This follows from distribution relations (e.g. lemma 6.2) and lemma 2.3 of [Gt]. \square

We now state the ad hoc hypothesis that will ensure the freeness of \overline{C}_∞ . This "generalized hypothesis (B)" is an immediate generalization of (HB) in [B1].

Definition 6.4. *For all $J \subset \mathcal{P}$, we call the norm ideal of J and denote by $N(J)$ the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_p[G(J)]$ generated by traces*

$$N(J) := \langle T_{F_\infty(J)/F_\infty(J-\{l\})}; l \in J \rangle.$$

We say that the pair (F, p) satisfies the "generalized Hypothesis B" ((gHB) for short) if and only if for all $J \subset \mathcal{P}$ the quotient $\mathbb{Z}_p[G(J)]/N(J)$ is torsion free.

This hypothesis is quite technical, but very natural regarding the proof of theorem 6.6. The following proposition shows that (gHB) holds true in many

usual cases. Indeed all known cases of freedom of \overline{C}_∞ are consequences of (gHB) via theorem 6.6.

Proposition 6.5. *For all $l \in \mathcal{P}$ let us denote by $I_l \subset G$ the inertia subgroup for l in $F_\infty/\mathbb{B}_\infty$. Recall that for any finite abelian group H , we write \overline{H} for its p -Sylow subgroup (considered also as the maximal p -quotient of H). The pair (F, p) satisfies (gHB) as soon as one of the following properties holds :*

- (1) *The $\overline{I}_l, l \in \mathcal{P}$ are mutually direct summands. In other words the natural map $\bigoplus_{l \in \mathcal{P}} \overline{I}_l \rightarrow \overline{G}$ is injective.*
- (2) *$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(G/pG) \leq 1$, or equivalently \overline{G} is cyclic.*
- (3) *$\#\mathcal{P} \leq 2$*

Proof. These sufficient conditions are the exact analogues of those in section IV.1 of [B1]. Note that they concern only the maximal p -quotient of the Galois groups involved. Since the (unique) prime above p of \mathbb{B}_∞ is tamely ramified in $F_\infty/\mathbb{B}_\infty$, everything goes as if p were unramified in the base field F . Taking this into account, we can repeat verbatim the proofs in [B1]. \square

Theorem 6.6. *Let us denote by $r(I)$ the \mathbb{Z}_p -rank of $\mathbb{Z}_p[G(I)]/N(I)$. Assume that (F, p) satisfies (gHB). Fix any total order \leq on the set of all subsets of \mathcal{P} extending the inclusion. Then :*

- (1) *$\forall J \subset \mathcal{P}$ we have isomorphisms of $\Lambda_L[G]$ -modules :*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p[G(J)]}{N(J)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda_L &\cong \frac{\langle \varepsilon_\infty(J) \rangle}{\langle \varepsilon_\infty(I); I \subset J, I \neq J \rangle \cap \langle \varepsilon_\infty(J) \rangle} \\ &\cong \frac{\langle \varepsilon_\infty(J) \rangle}{\langle \varepsilon_\infty(I); I \leq J, I \neq J \rangle \cap \langle \varepsilon_\infty(J) \rangle}; \end{aligned}$$

hence all three $\Lambda_L[G]$ -modules are free over Λ_L (of ranks $r(J)$).

- (2) *$\overline{C}_\infty \cong \bigoplus_{I \subset \mathcal{P}} \Lambda_L^{r(I)}$ as Λ_L -modules; in particular \overline{C}_∞ is Λ_L -free of rank $[L : \mathbb{Q}]$ and Λ -free of rank $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$.*

Proof. \overline{C}_∞ has the same Λ -rank (resp. Λ_L -rank) as \overline{U}_∞ , namely $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ (resp. $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = [F_\infty : \mathbb{B}_\infty]$): see e.g. [Ku], [B2] ... By (gHB) the modules $\frac{\mathbb{Z}_p[G(J)]}{N(J)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda_L$ are Λ_L -free. We first prove that 1 implies 2. From the tautological (split over Λ_L under (gHB) by 1) exact sequences :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{ES}(J) & \langle \varepsilon_\infty(I); I \leq J, I \neq J \rangle \hookrightarrow & \langle \varepsilon_\infty(I); I \leq J \rangle \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \langle \varepsilon_\infty(J) \rangle \\ & & \hline & & \langle \varepsilon_\infty(I); I \leq J, I \neq J \rangle \cap \langle \varepsilon_\infty(J) \rangle \end{array}$$

we see that 1 implies 2 by induction. Let us prove 1.

By lemma 6.2 and by the inclusion

$$\langle \varepsilon_\infty(I); I \subset J, I \neq J \rangle \subset \langle \varepsilon_\infty(I); I \leq J, I \neq J \rangle$$

we have surjective morphisms :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{(Sur)} \quad \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p[G(J)]}{N(J)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda_L & \twoheadrightarrow & \frac{\langle \varepsilon_\infty(J) \rangle}{\langle \varepsilon_\infty(I); I \subset J, I \neq J \rangle \cap \langle \varepsilon_\infty(J) \rangle} \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow \\
 & & \frac{\langle \varepsilon_\infty(J) \rangle}{\langle \varepsilon_\infty(I); I \leq J, I \neq J \rangle \cap \langle \varepsilon_\infty(J) \rangle}.
 \end{array}$$

Therefore the required isomorphisms will follow from equalities of Λ_L -ranks. Now :

Lemma 6.7. *Recall that for all I , $r(I)$ is the \mathbb{Z}_p -rank of $\mathbb{Z}_p[G(I)]/N(I)$. Put $d(I) = [F_\infty(I) : \mathbb{B}_\infty]$.*

(1) *For all $J \subset \mathcal{P}$ we have*

$$r(J) = \sum_{I \subset J} (-1)^{\#J - \#I} d(I)$$

(2)

$$[L : \mathbb{Q}] = [F_\infty : \mathbb{B}_\infty] = d(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{J \subset \mathcal{P}} r(J)$$

Proof. 1 is proven using character theory and following the same argument as in the proof of proposition 2.11 of [B1] : just replace the function $f_n(I)$ there by $d(I)$ here. 2 follows from 1 and the combinatorial lemma 2.14 of [B1]. Details are left to the reader. \square

We resume the proof of theorem 6.6. For any Λ_L -module M let us denote its Λ_L -rank by $\text{rank}_{\Lambda_L}(M)$. Put

$$t(J) := \text{rank}_{\Lambda_L} \left(\frac{\langle \varepsilon_\infty(J) \rangle}{\langle \varepsilon_\infty(I); I \leq J, I \neq J \rangle \cap \langle \varepsilon_\infty(J) \rangle} \right).$$

From the surjections (Sur) we see that $r(J) \geq t(J)$. On the other hand, summing ranks in all the sequences (ES(J)), we recover that $\sum_J t(J) = \text{rank}_{\Lambda_L}(\overline{C}_\infty) = d(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_J r(J)$ by 2 of lemma 6.7. Therefore all inequalities $r(J) \geq t(J)$ are actually equalities. This proves theorem 6.6. \square

Acknowledgements : We would like to thank A. Movahhedi for his participation to the study of case A, which was actually the starting point of this article.

REFERENCES

- [B1] J.-R. Belliard, *Sur la structure galoisienne des unités circulaires dans les \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions*, J. Number Theory **69** (1998), 16-49.
- [B2] J.-R. Belliard, *Sous-modules d'unités en théorie d'Iwasawa*, Publications Mathématiques de Besançon (2002), 12p.
- [B3] J.-R. Belliard, *Global units modulo circular units: descent without Iwasawa's Main Conjecture*, preprint (2005).
- [BN1] J.-R. Belliard, T. Nguyen Quang Do, *Formules de classes pour les corps abéliens réels*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, **51** (2001), 903-937.
- [BN2] J.-R. Belliard, T. Nguyen Quang Do, *On modified circular units and annihilation of real classes*, Nagoya Math. J., **177** (2005), 77-115.
- [C] P. Cornacchia, *Fitting ideals of class groups in a \mathbb{Z}_p -extension*, Acta Arithm. **87** (1998), 79-88.
- [FGS] L. Federer, B.H. Gross (with an appendix by W. Sinnott), *Regulators and Iwasawa modules*, Invent. Math. **62** (1981), 443-457.
- [FG] M. Flach (with an appendix by C. Greither), *The equivariant Tamagawa Number Conjecture: A survey*, Contemporary Math. **103** (1991), 9-24.
- [Gb] R. Greenberg, *On the Iwasawa invariants of totally real number fields*, Amer. J. Math. **98** (1976), 263-284.
- [Gt] C. Greither, *Class groups of abelian fields, and the Main Conjecture*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **42**, 3 (1992), 449-499.
- [Iw1] K. Iwasawa, *On cohomology groups of units for \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions*, Amer. J. Math. **105** (1985), 189-200.
- [Iw2] K. Iwasawa, *On \mathbb{Z}_l -extensions of algebraic number fields*, Ann. of Math. **98** (1973), 246-326.
- [J] J.-F. Jaulent, *Sur les conjectures de Leopoldt et de Gross*, Astérisque **147-148** (1987), 107-120.
- [Ko] M. Kolster, *An idelic approach to the wild kernel*, Invent. Math. **103** (1991), 9-24.
- [KS] J. Kraft, R. Schoof, *Computing Iwasawa modules of real quadratic number fields*, Compositio Math. **97** no. 1-2 (1995), 135-155.
- [KN] R. Kucera, J. Nekovar, *Cyclotomic units in \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions*, J. Algebra **171** (1995), 457-472.
- [Ku] L.V. Kuz'min, *The Tate module for algebraic number fields*, Math. USSR Izv. **6-2** (1972), 263-321.
- [LF] M. Le Floc'h, *On Fitting ideals of certain étale K-groups*, K-theory **27** (2002), 281-292.
- [LMN] M. Le Floc'h, A. Movahhedi, T. Nguyen Quang Do, *On capitulation cokernels in Iwasawa theory*, Amer. J. of Math. **127** (2005), 851-877
- [N] T. Nguyen Quang Do, *Sur la conjecture faible de Greenberg dans le cas abélien p -décomposé*, to appear in Int. J. Number Theory.
- [O.I] M. Ozaki, *On the cyclotomic Unit Group and the Ideal Class Group of a Real Abelian Number Field*, J. Number Theory **64** (1997), 211-222.
- [O.II] M. Ozaki, *On the cyclotomic Unit Group and the Ideal Class Group of a Real Abelian Number Field, II*, J. Number Theory **64** (1997), 223-232.
- [S] W. Sinnott, *On the Stickelberger Ideal and the Circular Units of an Abelian Field*, Invent. Math. **62** (1981), 181-234.
- [T] T. Tsuji, *Semi-local units modulo cyclotomic units*, J. Number Theory **78** (1999), 1-26.
- [W] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

Jean-Robert Belliard

Université de Franche-Comté, UMR 6623, 16, route de Gray
25030 Besançon cedex. FRANCE

E-mail: belliard@math.univ-fcomte.fr

Mikaël Lescop

Université de Limoges, LACO (UMR CNRS 6090), 123
Avenue Albert Thomas, 87060 Limoges cedex. FRANCE

E-mail: mikael.lescop@unilim.fr

Thong Nguyễn-Quang-Dỗ

Université de Franche-Comté, UMR 6623, 16
route de Gray, 25030 Besançon cedex. FRANCE

E-mail: nguyen@math.univ-fcomte.fr

fax : 03 81 66 66 23