

Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly

Volume 9, Number 1

(*Special Issue: In honor of
Dennis Sullivan, Part 1 of 2*)

167—187, 2013

On the Growth of the Homology of a Free Loop Space

Yves Félix, Steve Halperin and Jean-Claude Thomas

Abstract: We prove that for a wide class of spaces X the homology of the free loop space $H_*(X^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q})$ has a very strong exponential growth. We call this convergence, controlled exponential growth, and we prove the good behavior of the controlled exponential growth with respect to fibrations.

Keywords: Rational homotopy, free loop space homology

1 Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the growth of the homology $H_*(X^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q})$ of a free loop space on a simply connected space, X . Gromov conjectured in [11] that this vector space grows exponentially for almost all cases when X is a closed manifold. This would have an important consequence in Riemannian geometry, due to a theorem of Gromov, improved by Ballmann and Ziller:

Theorem. ([11], [2]). *Let $N_g(t)$ denote the number of geometrically distinct closed geodesics of length $\leq t$ on a simply connected closed Riemannian manifold (M, g) . Then, for generic metrics g , there are constants $K > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ such that for k sufficiently large,*

$$N_g(k) \geq K \cdot \max_{\ell \leq \beta k} \dim H_\ell(M^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Received September 12, 2011.

On the other hand it is known ([4],[9]) that, if X is any finite simply connected CW complex with non-trivial rational homology then, for the classical loop space ΩX , either $H_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Q})$ grows polynomially, or else it grows exponentially. Since X^{S^1} fibres as $\Omega X \rightarrow X^{S^1} \rightarrow X$, in the first case $H_*(X^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q})$ also grows at most polynomially. In [17] Vigué-Poirrier conjectures that in the second case, $H_*(X^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q})$ should also grow exponentially, a conjecture which would give Gromov's conjecture as a special case.

The Vigué-Poirrier conjecture has been proved for a finite wedge of spheres [17], for a non-trivial connected sum of closed manifolds [15] and in the case X is coformal [14].

Here we shall establish this conjecture for a wide class of new spaces, and with a stronger conclusion.

For it, recall that a graded vector space $\{V_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ of finite type grows exponentially if the sequence $r_k = \sum_{i \leq k} \dim V_i$ grows exponentially; i.e., if there are constants $1 < C_1 < C_2 < \infty$ such that for some K ,

$$C_1^k \leq r_k \leq C_2^k, \quad k \geq K.$$

We set

$$\log \text{index } V = \limsup_i \frac{\log \dim V_i}{i}.$$

In particular, for any path connected topological space X we write $\log \text{index } \pi_*(X) = \log \text{index } \pi_{\geq 2}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. It is straightforward to check that if a graded space V has exponential growth then $0 < \log \text{index } V < \infty$.

Associated with V is the Hilbert series $V(z) = \sum_i \dim V_i z^i$, and by definition the radius of convergence ρ_V of $V(z)$ is given by $\rho_V = e^{-\log \text{index } V}$. Thus if V has exponential growth then $0 < \rho_V < 1$. Note as well that $z \mapsto V(z)$ may be regarded as a function from $[0, \infty]$ to $[0, \infty]$.

Here we shall consider a stronger condition than exponential growth

Definition. A graded vector space $V = \{V_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ of finite type has *controlled exponential growth* if $0 < \log \text{index } V < \infty$, and for each $\lambda > 1$ there is an infinite

sequence $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ such that $n_{i+1} < \lambda n_i$, $i \geq 0$, and $\dim V_{n_i} = e^{\alpha_i n_i}$ with $\alpha_i \rightarrow \log \text{index } V$.

As we shall observe in formula (4) below, for any simply connected space X with rational homology of finite type,

$$\log \text{index } H_*(X^{S^1}) \leq \log \text{index } \pi_*(X) = \log \text{index } H_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Q}).$$

This motivates the following:

Definition: Let X be a simply connected space with rational homology of finite type, and such that $\log \text{index } H_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Q}) \in (0, \infty)$. Then, X^{S^1} has *good exponential growth* if $H_*(X^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q})$ has controlled exponential growth and

$$\log \text{index } H_*(X^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q}) = \log \text{index } H_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Theorem 1. *Let X be a simply connected wedge of spheres of finite type such that $\log \text{index } H_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Q}) \in (0, \infty)$. Then, X^{S^1} has good exponential growth.*

Remark: In section three we prove an even stronger version (Theorem 1') of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Let $F \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X$ be a fibration between simply connected spaces with rational homology of finite type. If $\log \text{index } \pi_*(F) < \log \text{index } \pi_*(Y)$ and Y^{S^1} has good exponential growth, then X^{S^1} has good exponential growth and*

$$\log \text{index } H_*(X^{S^1}) = \log \text{index } H_*(Y^{S^1}).$$

Theorem 3. *Let $Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow B$ be a fibration between simply connected spaces with rational homology of finite type. If $\log \text{index } \pi_*(B) < \log \text{index } \pi_*(X)$, then Y^{S^1} has good exponential growth if and only if X^{S^1} does. In this case $H_*(Y^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q})$ and $H_*(X^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q})$ have the same log index.*

If X is a simply connected space then $\pi_*(\Omega X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ equipped with the Samelson product is a graded Lie algebra, the *homotopy Lie algebra* of X . Recall that an element x in a graded Lie algebra, $E = E_{\geq 1}$ of finite type is *inert* if

- (i) the ideal I it generates is free as a graded Lie algebra, and
- (ii) the $U(E/I)$ -module $I/[I, I]$ is free on the single element \bar{x} represented by x .

Theorem 4. *Let X be a simply connected space with rational homology of finite type and homotopy Lie algebra L_X . If L_X contains an inert element x and if the quotient Lie algebra $L_X/(x)$ is finitely generated where (x) is the ideal generated by x , then X^{S^1} has good exponential growth.*

Finally, at the end of the paper we give a number of examples to which these theorems apply.

2 Growth and log index of Sullivan algebras

A *Sullivan algebra* ([7], §12 for the definition and assertions below) is a differential algebra of the form $(\wedge W, d)$ in which $\wedge W$ is the free graded commutative algebra generated by the graded vector space $W = W^{\geq 1}$, and W is the increasing union of subspaces $W(k)$, $k \geq 0$, with $d : W(0) \rightarrow 0$ and $d : W(k) \rightarrow \wedge W(k-1)$, $k \geq 1$. It is *minimal* if $d : W \rightarrow \wedge^{\geq 2} W$, and it has *finite type* if each W^k is finite dimensional. A *Sullivan extension* is an inclusion $(\wedge V, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d)$ of Sullivan algebras, the quotient $(\wedge W, \bar{d}) = \mathbb{k} \otimes_{\wedge V} (\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d)$ is called the *fibred* of the extension.

Every connected commutative differential graded algebra (A, d) admits a quasi-isomorphism $(\wedge W, d) \xrightarrow{\cong} (A, d)$ from a minimal Sullivan algebra; $(\wedge W, d)$ is uniquely determined up to isomorphism and is called the *minimal Sullivan model* of (A, d) . In particular any Sullivan algebra is isomorphic to one of the form $(\wedge W, d) \otimes \wedge(U \oplus dU)$ in which $(\wedge W, d)$ is its minimal model and $d : U^k \xrightarrow{\cong} (dU)^{k+1}$, $k \geq 1$. Finally, if $(\wedge W, d)$ is a minimal Sullivan model, the graded vector space $(\wedge W)^+ / (\wedge W)^+(\wedge W)^+$ will be denoted by $\pi^*(\wedge W, d)$; this is isomorphic with W . Then, for any connected commutative differential graded algebra (A, d) , we set $\pi^*(A, d) = \pi^*(\wedge W, d)$, where $(\wedge W, d)$ is the minimal model of (A, d) .

Let $(\wedge V, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d)$ be a Sullivan extension of finite type. A basis

v_1, \dots, v_r of V^1 determines the decomposition of d given by

$$d\Phi = \bar{d}\Phi + \sum v_i \theta_i(\Phi) + \Omega, \quad \Phi \in \wedge V \otimes \wedge W,$$

where $\bar{d}\Phi \in \wedge W$, each $\theta_i \Phi$ is in $\wedge V^{\geq 2} \otimes \wedge W$ and $\Omega \in \wedge^{\geq 2} V^1 \cdot (\wedge V \otimes \wedge W)$. The θ_i are then derivations of degree zero in $\wedge V \otimes \wedge W$.

Definition. The Sullivan algebra $(\wedge V, d)$ is π_1 -bounded if for some p ,

$$\theta_i^p : V \rightarrow \wedge^{\geq 2} V, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

The extension $\wedge V \rightarrow \wedge V \otimes \wedge W$ is π_1 -bounded if for some p ,

$$\theta_i^p : V \oplus W \rightarrow \wedge^{\geq 2}(V \oplus W), \quad 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

Lemma 1. *Let $(\wedge V, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d)$ be a Sullivan extension of finite type, and suppose the extension is π_1 -bounded. If $\dim V < \infty$ then $H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d)$ has controlled exponential growth if and only if $H(\wedge W, \bar{d})$ does. Moreover, in this case these graded vector spaces have the same log index.*

Proof: Write $(\wedge V, d) = (\wedge(v_1, \dots, v_n), d)$ with $d(v_i) \in \wedge(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1})$. By considering inductively the extension $(\wedge v_i \otimes (\wedge(v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n) \otimes \wedge W), d)$ we reduce to the case $\wedge V = \wedge v$. Extend $(\wedge v \otimes \wedge W, d)$ to $(\wedge v \otimes \wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{v}, d)$ by setting $d\bar{v} = v$. Filtering by degree in $\wedge v$ yields a spectral sequence converging to $H(\wedge v \otimes \wedge W, d)$ from $\wedge v \otimes H(\wedge W, \bar{d})$. Similarly, if $\deg v \geq 2$ there is a spectral sequence converging from $H(\wedge v \otimes \wedge W, d) \otimes \wedge \bar{v}$ to $H(\wedge v \otimes \wedge W \otimes \bar{v}, d) \cong H(\wedge W, \bar{d})$. It follows that

$$(1) \quad \dim H^i(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d) \leq \sum_{j \leq i} \dim H^j(\wedge W, \bar{d}), \quad i \geq 0$$

and, if $\deg v \geq 2$,

$$\dim H^i(\wedge W, \bar{d}) \leq \sum_{j \leq i} \dim H^j(\wedge v \otimes \wedge W, d), \quad i \geq 0.$$

The lemma is immediate if $\deg v \geq 2$.

If $\deg v = 1$ we write $d(1 \otimes \Phi) = 1 \otimes \bar{d}\Phi + v \otimes \theta\Phi$, $\Phi \in \wedge W$. Then θ is a derivation of degree zero in $\wedge W$, and $\theta\bar{d} = \bar{d}\theta$. In particular, if z is a \bar{d} -cycle in

$\wedge W$ and $\theta z = \bar{d}\Phi$ then $d(1 \otimes z + v \otimes \Phi) = 0$, and if z is not a \bar{d} -boundary then $1 \otimes z + v \otimes \Phi$ is not a d -boundary. Thus $\ker H(\theta)$ injects into $H(\wedge v \otimes \wedge W)$.

But since $\wedge v \rightarrow \wedge v \otimes \wedge W$ is π_1 -bounded, for some p , $\theta^p : W \rightarrow \wedge^{\geq 2} W$. Thus $\theta^{ps} : \wedge^s W \rightarrow \wedge^{\geq s+1} W$, $s \geq 1$, and so

$$\theta^{p \frac{k(k+1)}{2}} : (\wedge W)^k \rightarrow (\wedge^{\geq k+1} W)^k = 0.$$

It follows that for $k > 0$,

$$\frac{2}{pk(k+1)} \dim H^k(\wedge W, \bar{d}) \leq \dim [\ker H(\theta)]^k \leq \dim H^k(\wedge v \otimes \wedge W).$$

The lemma follows from this and formula (1) above. \square

Proposition 1. *Let $(\wedge V, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d)$ be a π_1 -bounded Sullivan extension of finite type. Suppose that*

$$\log \text{index } H(\wedge W, \bar{d}) < \log \text{index } H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d) < \infty,$$

$$\log \text{index } H(\wedge V, d) \leq \log \text{index } H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d),$$

and that $H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d)$ has controlled exponential growth. Then

$$\log \text{index } H(\wedge V, d) = \log \text{index } H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d)$$

and $H(\wedge V, d)$ has controlled exponential growth.

proof : Write $V = U \oplus Y$ so that $\dim U < \infty$, $U^1 = V^1$, and $\wedge U$ is preserved by d . Apply Lemma 1 to the Sullivan extensions

$$(\wedge U, d) \rightarrow (\wedge U \otimes \wedge Y, d) \quad \text{and} \quad (\wedge U, d) \rightarrow (\wedge U \otimes \wedge (Y \oplus W), d)$$

to conclude that it is sufficient to prove the proposition for the extension

$$(\mathbb{k} \otimes_{\wedge U} \wedge V, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{k} \otimes_{\wedge U} (\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d),$$

and that this extension does satisfy the hypotheses of the proposition. Thus, we restrict to the case $V^1 = 0$.

Filtering by the subspaces $(\wedge V)^{\geq p} \otimes \wedge W$ yields a spectral sequence converging from $H(\wedge V, d) \otimes H(\wedge W, \bar{d})$ to $H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d)$. Setting $b_i^V = \dim H^i(\wedge V, d)$, $b_i^W = \dim H^i(\wedge W, \bar{d})$ and $b_i^{V \oplus W} = \dim H^i(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d)$, we have

$$(2) \quad b_k^{V \oplus W} \leq \sum_{i=0}^k b_i^V b_{k-i}^W, \quad k \geq 0.$$

In particular $\log \text{index } H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d) \leq \max\{\log \text{index } H(\wedge V, d), \log \text{index } H(\wedge W, \bar{d})\}$ and so our hypotheses imply

$$\log \text{index } H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d) = \log \text{index } H(\wedge V, d).$$

Next, denote $\log \text{index } H(\wedge W, \bar{d})$ and $\log \text{index } H(\wedge V, d)$ respectively by β and α . Then, $\beta < \alpha$. By definition of $\log \text{index}$, given any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $C = C(\varepsilon)$ such that for all $k \geq 0$,

$$(3) \quad b_k^V \leq C e^{(\alpha+\varepsilon)k} \quad \text{and} \quad b_k^W \leq C e^{(\beta+\varepsilon)k}.$$

Now, fix $\lambda > 1$ and choose $\gamma, \varepsilon > 0$ so that $\gamma + \varepsilon < \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda}(\alpha - \beta)$.

Lemma 2. *For any integer $k > 0$ and any integer $m > 0$ such that $\lambda m \leq k$ it follows that*

$$\sum_{i=k-m}^k b_{k-i}^V b_i^W \leq (m+1)C^2 e^{(\alpha-\gamma)k}.$$

Proof: From (3) we have

$$\sum_{i=k-m}^k b_{k-i}^V b_i^W \leq \sum_{i=k-m}^k C^2 e^{(\beta+\varepsilon)i} e^{(\alpha+\varepsilon)(k-i)}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta + \varepsilon)i + (\alpha + \varepsilon)(k - i) &= \alpha k + (\beta - \alpha)i + \varepsilon k \\ &\leq \alpha k + (\beta - \alpha)(k - m) + \varepsilon k \\ &= k \left[\alpha + (\beta - \alpha)\left(1 - \frac{m}{k}\right) + \varepsilon \right] \\ &\leq k \left[\alpha + (\beta - \alpha)\left(1 - \frac{m}{\lambda m}\right) + \varepsilon \right] \\ &= k \left[\alpha + (\beta - \alpha)\left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) + \varepsilon \right] \end{aligned}$$

But we have chosen γ and ε so that

$$\gamma + \varepsilon \leq \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda}(\alpha - \beta).$$

It follows that

$$\left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda}\right)(\beta - \alpha) \leq -\gamma - \varepsilon$$

and so

$$k \left[\alpha + (\beta - \alpha) \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) + \varepsilon \right] \leq k [\alpha - \gamma - \varepsilon + \varepsilon] = (\alpha - \gamma)k.$$

□

Lemma 3. *Let $k > 0$ and m be integers such that $\lambda m \leq k < \lambda(m + 1)$. If*

$$b_i^V \leq e^{(\alpha - \delta)i} \quad m + 1 \leq i \leq k,$$

then,

$$\sum_{i=0}^k b_{k-i}^V b_i^W \leq C e^{\alpha k} \left[(m + 1) C e^{-\gamma k} + k e^{-(\delta/\lambda)k} \right].$$

Proof: We first observe that $(m + 1) > k/\lambda$ since $k < \lambda(m + 1)$. Thus, for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{k-m-1} b_{k-i}^V b_i^W &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-m-1} C e^{(\beta + \varepsilon)i} e^{(\alpha - \delta)(k-i)} < \sum_{i=0}^{k-m-1} C e^{\alpha i} e^{(\alpha - \delta)(k-i)} \\ &= C e^{\alpha k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-m-1} e^{-\delta(k-i)} < k C e^{\alpha k} e^{-\delta(m+1)} < k C e^{\alpha k} e^{-(\delta/\lambda)k}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma now follows from Lemma 2. □

We return to the proof of the proposition. Fix $\mu > 1$ and choose λ so that $1 < \lambda^2 < \mu$. Since $H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d)$ has controlled exponential growth and (as observed above) its log index is α , there is an infinite sequence $q_1 < q_2 < \dots$ such that $q_{j+1} < \lambda q_j$ and

$$\frac{\log b_{q_j}^{V \oplus W}}{q_j} \rightarrow \alpha.$$

Write $b_{q_j}^{V \oplus W} = e^{(\alpha + \varepsilon_j)q_j}$. Then, $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$.

Next, we extend any integer p_1 to an infinite sequence $p_1 < p_2 < \dots$ as follows. Assuming $p_1 < \dots < p_{i-1}$ are constructed, note that for some $j = j(i)$, q_j must satisfy $\lambda p_{i-1} < q_j < \lambda^2 p_{i-1}$. Then choose p_i so that $\lambda p_{i-1} \leq p_i \leq q_j$ and

$$\frac{\log b_{p_i}^V}{p_i} \geq \frac{\log b_s^V}{s} \quad \text{for } \lambda p_{i-1} \leq s \leq q_j.$$

Clearly, $p_i \geq \lambda p_{i-1} > p_{i-1}$ and $p_i < \lambda^2 p_{i-1} < \mu p_{i-1}$.

Write

$$b_{p_i}^V = e^{(\alpha - \delta_i)p_i},$$

and apply Lemma 3 with $k = q_j$ and m the largest integer such that $\lambda m \leq q_j$. This, together with (2) yields

$$e^{(\alpha + \varepsilon_j)q_j} = b_{q_j}^{V \oplus W} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{q_j} b_{q_j-i}^V b_i^W \leq C e^{\alpha q_j} \left[C(m+1)e^{-\gamma q_j} + q_j e^{(-\delta_i/\lambda)q_j} \right].$$

By hypothesis, $\log \text{index } H(\wedge V, d) = \alpha$ and so $\limsup_i (-\delta_i) \leq 0$. On the other hand, if $-\delta_i < -\tau < 0$ for infinitely many i we may choose σ so that $-\gamma < -\sigma$, and $-\delta_i/\lambda < -\sigma$ for those i . Then, the inequality above reduces to

$$\varepsilon_j \leq \frac{\log(C^2(m+1) + Cq_j)}{q_j} - \sigma$$

and this must hold for infinitely many $j = j(i)$, which is impossible because $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$. It follows that $\delta_i \rightarrow 0$ and so $H(\wedge V, d)$ has controlled exponential growth. \square

Lemma 4. *Let $W = W^{>0}$ be a graded vector space of finite type and let $L = L_{>0}$ be a graded Lie algebra of finite type. Then,*

$$\log \text{index } \wedge W = \log \text{index } W \quad \text{and} \quad \log \text{index } UL = \log \text{index } L.$$

In particular, if $(\wedge W, d)$ is a Sullivan algebra then $\log \text{index } H(\wedge W, d) \leq \log \text{index } W$.

Proof: The first equality follows from the second: let L be the abelian Lie algebra defined by $L_k \cong W^k$, and note that $(UL)_k \cong (\wedge W)^k$. For the second,

let $\mu = \log \text{index } L$. Then, for any $h > 0$, there is an integer $n(h)$ such that $\dim(UL_{>n(h)})_k \leq e^{(\mu+h)k}$ ([9], Lemma 1). Now $UL \cong \wedge L_{\leq n(h)} \otimes UL_{>n(h)}$. The first factor grows polynomially, and so we have

$$\log \text{index } UL = \log \text{index } UL_{>n(h)} \leq \mu + h.$$

Since this holds for any $h > 0$, $\log \text{index } UL \leq \mu = \log \text{index } L$. \square

Proposition 2. *Let $(\wedge V, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d)$ be a π_1 -bounded Sullivan extension of finite type in which*

$$\log \text{index } V < \log \text{index } H(\wedge W, \bar{d}) < \infty.$$

Then, $\log \text{index } H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d) = \log \text{index } H(\wedge W, \bar{d})$, and $H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d)$ has controlled exponential growth if and only if $H(\wedge W, \bar{d})$ does.

Proof: As in Proposition 1 we may assume $V^1 = 0$. Then, from the spectral sequence converging from $H(\wedge V, d) \otimes H(\wedge W, \bar{d})$ to $H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d)$, we deduce $\log \text{index } H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d) \leq \log \text{index } H(\wedge W, \bar{d})$. Next, recall from ([7], Lemma 12.5) that there is a Sullivan extension $(\wedge V, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d)$ with $H^+(\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d) = 0$ and $\bar{V}^k \cong V^{k+1}$, $k \geq 1$. This gives the Sullivan extension

$$(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d) \otimes_{\wedge V} (\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d) = (\wedge V \otimes \wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d).$$

Since $d : \bar{V} \rightarrow \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}$ and $\wedge V$ is simply connected it follows that the spectral sequence for this extension converges from $H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d) \otimes H(\wedge \bar{V}, \bar{d})$ to $H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d) \cong H(\wedge W, \bar{d})$. It follows that $\log \text{index } H(\wedge W, \bar{d}) \leq \log \text{index } H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d)$.

Finally, the argument of Proposition 1, interchanging the roles of $H(\wedge V, d)$ and $H(\wedge W, \bar{d})$, shows that if $H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d)$ has controlled exponential growth then $H(\wedge W, \bar{d})$ has also controlled exponential growth. The same argument, applied to the Sullivan extension $(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V \otimes \wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d)$ shows that $H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d)$ has controlled exponential growth if $H(\wedge W, \bar{d})$ does. \square

3 Growth and log index of free loop spaces

Let X be a simply connected space with rational homology of finite type. Then, the free loop space X^{S^1} is the total space of a fibration

$$X \leftarrow X^{S^1} \leftarrow \Omega X.$$

In particular, from Lemma 4 we deduce that

$$(4) \quad \log \text{index } H_*(X^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q}) \leq \log \text{index } \pi_*(X) = \log \text{index } H_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Now suppose that $(\wedge W, d)$ is a Sullivan model for X . Then, in [19], Vigué-Poirrier and Sullivan showed that the fibration above corresponds to a Sullivan extension

$$(\wedge W, d) \rightarrow (\wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}, d) \rightarrow (\wedge \bar{W}, 0)$$

where $\bar{W}^k = W^{k+1}$ (the identification being denoted by $\bar{w} \leftrightarrow w, w \in W$) and the differential in $\wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}$ is defined as follows: let δ be the derivation in $\wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}$ given by $\delta w = \bar{w}$ and $\delta \bar{w} = 0$ and set $d\bar{w} = -\delta dw$. In particular $(\wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}, d)$ is a Sullivan model for X^{S^1} (minimal if $(\wedge W, d)$ is) and the morphism $H(\wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}, d) \rightarrow \wedge \bar{W}$ is dual to the morphism $H_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_*(X^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q})$.

We now turn our attention to Theorem 1. The first step in the proof is an analysis of the case of a Sullivan algebra $(\wedge W, d)$, 1-connected and of finite type, in which $d : W \rightarrow \wedge^2 W$. In this case ([7], §24, Example 7), $(\wedge W, d) = \mathcal{C}^*(L)$ where L is the homotopy Lie algebra of $(\wedge W, d)$. Moreover, in $(\wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}, d)$ we have $d : \wedge \bar{W} \rightarrow W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}$, and so ([7], §23(e)), $(\wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}, d) = \mathcal{C}^*(L; \wedge \bar{W})$ for a representation of L in $\wedge \bar{W}$.

On the other hand, W is the dual of sL , where $(sL)_q = L_{q-1}$ ([7], §22(e)). It follows that \bar{W} is the dual of L and so $\wedge^k \bar{W}$ is dual to $\wedge^k L$ via a pairing described for $\wedge^k W$ and $\wedge^k sL$ in ([7], §21(e)). Thus $(\wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}, d)$ is dual to $C_*(L, \wedge L)$ and a straightforward computation shows that the representation of L in $\wedge L$ is just the adjoint representation. But the Poincaré Birkoff Witt isomorphism ([7], Prop. 21.2) commutes with the adjoint representations of L in UL and in $\wedge L$, and so $(\wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}, d)$ is dual to $C_*(L; UL)$. This identifies the morphism $H_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_*(X^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q})$ with the morphism $UL \rightarrow \text{Tor}_0^{UL}(\mathbb{Q}, UL)$, whose image is $\text{Tor}_0^{UL}(\mathbb{Q}, UL)$.

Theorem 1’. *Let X be a simply connected wedge of spheres of finite type such that $\log \text{index } H_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Q}) = \alpha \in (0, \infty)$. Then, the image Im of $H_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Q})$ in $H_*(X^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q})$ satisfies*

$$\log \text{index } \text{Im} = \log \text{index } H_*(X^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q}) = \log \text{index } H_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Moreover for some d and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $K' = K'(\varepsilon)$ such that for $n \geq K'$

$$e^{(\alpha-\varepsilon)n} \leq \sum_{i=n}^{n+d} \dim (\text{Im})_i \leq \sum_{i=n}^{n+d} e^{(\alpha+\varepsilon)n}.$$

In particular, Im has controlled exponential growth.

Corollary. *With X as in Theorem 1’, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $K'' = K''(\varepsilon)$ such that, for $n \geq K''$,*

$$e^{(\alpha-\varepsilon)n} \leq \sum_{i=n}^{n+d} \dim H_i(X^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q}) \leq e^{(\alpha+\varepsilon)n}.$$

In particular, $H_*(X^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q})$ has controlled exponential growth.

Proof of Theorem 1’: In this case ([7], Theorem 24.5), L is a free Lie algebra, UL is a tensor algebra TU , and the differential in the Sullivan model $(\wedge W, d)$ maps W to $\wedge^2 W$. In view of the discussion preceding the statement of Theorem 1’ we may identify $\text{Im} \cong \text{Tor}_0^{TU}(\mathbb{Q}, TU)$.

Let v_i be a basis of U and let $r \geq 1$ be an integer. In the tensor algebra TU , the subspace $T^r U$ spanned by the monomials of length r admits an automorphism σ defined by

$$\sigma(v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_r}) = (-1)^{|v_{i_1}| |v_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_r}|} v_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_r} \otimes v_{i_1}.$$

Note that σ preserves degrees and that $\sigma^r = id$. Moreover, denoting the adjoint representation of TU in itself by $\Phi \otimes \Psi \mapsto \Phi \circ \Psi$ we have that

$$v_{i_1} \circ (v_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_r}) = (id - \sigma)(v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_r}).$$

It follows that

$$(5) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \sigma^j [v_{i_1} \circ (v_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_r})] = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \sigma^j (v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_r}) - \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma^j (v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_r}) = 0.$$

The isomorphisms σ^i ($0 \leq i < r$) give an action of the cyclic group of order r on the set of monomials of length r , so that each orbit has at most r elements. Let $W(\tau)$ be the linear span of the monomials in an orbit τ , so that

$$T^r U = \bigoplus_{\tau} W(\tau)$$

and for each degree k ,

$$(T^r U)_k = \bigoplus_{\tau} W_k(\tau).$$

Write $I = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \sigma^j$ and fix a single monomial $w(\tau) = x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_r$ in each $W_k(\tau)$. If $I(w(\tau)) = 0$ then for some $\ell < r$, $\sigma^\ell(w(\tau)) = -w(\tau)$. This shows that

$$w(\tau) = (x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_\ell) \otimes \cdots \otimes (x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_\ell).$$

Since $\sigma^\ell(w(\tau)) = -w(\tau)$, $x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_\ell$ has odd degree and the number of tensors $(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_\ell)$ is even. Therefore, r and k are even and $w(\tau)$ is the square of a monomial in $T^{r/2}U$.

Let $\mathcal{U}_k^{(r)}$ be the linear span of the $w(\tau)$ that are not in the kernel of I . Since $I((TU)_+ \circ TU) = 0$ it follows that

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{U}_k^{(r)} \cap ((TU)_+ \circ TU) = 0.$$

Moreover, since each orbit has at most r monomials, there are at least $\frac{m(k, r)}{r}$ orbits where $m(k, r) = \dim(T^r U)_k$. Since the number of $w(\tau)$ in $\ker I$ is $\leq m(k/2, r/2)$, it follows that $(T^r U)_k \cap [(TU)_+ \circ TU]$ has codimension at least $\frac{m(k, r)}{r} - m(k/2, r/2)$. Hence,

$$\dim \left[\text{Tor}_0^{TU}(\mathbb{Q}, TU) \right]_k \geq \sum_{r \geq 1} \left(\frac{m(k, r)}{r} - m(k/2, r/2) \right).$$

On the other hand, since $U = U_{>0}$, $(T^r U)_k = 0$ for $r > k$. Thus,

$$\sum_r \frac{m(k, r)}{r} = \sum_{r \leq k} \frac{m(k, r)}{r} \geq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{r \leq k} m(k, r) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{r \leq k} \dim(T^r U)_k = \frac{1}{k} \dim(TU)_k.$$

From ([9], Theorem 4) we deduce that there is a positive integer d such that, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an integer K for which

$$e^{(\alpha-\varepsilon)n} \leq \sum_{i=n}^{n+d} \dim(TU)_i \leq e^{(\alpha+\varepsilon)n}, \quad n \geq K.$$

Therefore, for $n \geq K$,

$$\frac{e^{(\alpha-\varepsilon)n}}{n+d} - e^{\frac{(\alpha+\varepsilon)n}{2}} \leq \sum_{i=n}^{n+d} \dim \left[\text{Tor}_0^{TU}(\mathbb{Q}, TU) \right]_i \leq \sum_{i=n}^{n+d} \dim(TU)_i \leq e^{(\alpha+\varepsilon)n}.$$

It follows that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a K' such that, for $n \geq K'$,

$$e^{(\alpha-\varepsilon)n} \leq \sum_{i=n}^{n+d} \dim \text{Tor}_0^{TU}(\mathbb{Q}, TU) \leq e^{(\alpha+\varepsilon)n}.$$

□

We next consider a general map $Y \rightarrow X$ of simply connected spaces in which Y and X have rational homology of finite type. There is then ([7], Proposition 15.5) a Sullivan extension

$$(\wedge V, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d)$$

in which $(\wedge V, d)$ is a minimal Sullivan model for X , the fibre $(\wedge W, \bar{d})$ is a minimal Sullivan model for the homotopy fibre F of φ , and $(\wedge V \otimes \wedge W, d)$ is a Sullivan model for Y . This yields the Sullivan extension

$$(\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}, d)$$

from a Sullivan model of X^{S^1} to a Sullivan model of Y^{S^1} with fibre a Sullivan model of F^{S^1} .

Lemma 5. *This Sullivan extension is π_1 -bounded.*

Proof: Let $\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_s$ be a basis for \bar{V}^1 and let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s$ be the corresponding derivations in $\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}$. From the definition of the differential it follows that each θ_i vanishes in V and W and that each θ_i maps \bar{V} into $\wedge V$ and \bar{W} into $\wedge V \otimes \wedge W$. Thus θ_i^2 vanishes in V, W, \bar{V} and \bar{W} . □

Proposition 3. *Let X be a simply connected space with rational homology of finite type and denote by $X(k)$ its k -connected cover. Then, X^{S^1} has good exponential growth if and only if $X(k)^{S^1}$ does.*

Proof: We may suppose X is a CW complex, in which case we have a fibration $X(k) \rightarrow X \rightarrow Z$ in which $\pi_*(Z) = \{\pi_i(X)\}_{i \leq k}$. In particular $\pi_*(Z) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is finite dimensional. This ([7], Proposition 15.5), gives rise to a π_1 -bounded Sullivan extension

$$(\wedge V^{\leq k} \otimes \wedge \bar{V}^{< k}, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V^{\leq k} \otimes \wedge \bar{V}^{< k} \otimes \wedge V^{> k} \otimes \wedge \bar{V}^{\geq k}, d),$$

in which $H(\wedge V^{> k} \otimes \wedge \bar{V}^{\geq k}, \bar{d}) \cong H^*(X(k)^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q})$. The proposition follows from Lemma 1. □

Proof of Theorem 2: The map φ induces a map $\varphi(2) : Y(2) \rightarrow X(2)$ between the 2-connected covers of Y and X . Denote its homotopy fibre by F' . Clearly, $\log \text{index } \pi_*(F') = \log \text{index } \pi_*(F)$ and $\log \text{index } H_*(\Omega Y(2); \mathbb{Q}) = \log \text{index } \pi_*(Y(2)) = \log \text{index } \pi_*(Y) = \log \text{index } H_*(\Omega Y; \mathbb{Q})$. By Proposition 3, $H_*(Y^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q})$ (respectively $H_*(X^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q})$) has good exponential growth if and only if $H_*(Y(2)^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q})$ (respectively $H_*(X(2)^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q})$) does. Thus, we lose no generality in assuming that Y and X are 2-connected, in which case F is simply connected.

Next, note that, since $\log \text{index } \pi_*(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \log \text{index } H_*(\Omega -; \mathbb{Q})$ (cf (4)), the long exact homotopy sequence for $F \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X$ gives

$$\log \text{index } H_*(\Omega Y; \mathbb{Q}) = \log \text{index } H_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Q}).$$

It is thus sufficient to show that $H_*(X^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q})$ has good exponential growth and that

$$\log \text{index } H_*(X^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q}) = \log \text{index } H_*(Y^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q}).$$

But, as described above, the map $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ determines a π_1 -bounded Sullivan extension of finite type,

$$(\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}, d).$$

Now according to formula (4),

$$\log \text{index } H_*(F^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q}) \leq \log \text{index } \pi_*(F^{S^1}) = \log \text{index } H_*(\Omega F; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Thus, by hypothesis,

$$\log \operatorname{index} H^*(\wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}, d) < \log \operatorname{index} H^*(\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}, d).$$

On the other hand, also by hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \operatorname{index} H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}) &= \log \operatorname{index} H_*(Y^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q}) = \log \operatorname{index} \pi_*(Y) \\ &= \log \operatorname{index} \pi_*(X) = \log \operatorname{index} V \\ &\geq \log \operatorname{index} H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d). \end{aligned}$$

Since $H_*(\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}, d)$ has good exponential growth, also by hypothesis, the theorem follows from Proposition 1. \square

Proof of Theorem 3: As in Theorem 2 we lose no generality in assuming B is 2-connected. The fibration $Y^{S^1} \rightarrow X^{S^1} \rightarrow B^{S^1}$ yields a Sullivan extension of the form

$$(\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}, d)$$

in which the fibre is a Sullivan model for Y^{S^1} , and $\log \operatorname{index} W > \log \operatorname{index} V$. If Y^{S^1} has good exponential growth then

$$\begin{aligned} \log \operatorname{index} H(\wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}, \bar{d}) &= \log \operatorname{index} H_*(Y^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q}) = \log \operatorname{index} \pi_*(Y) \\ &= \log \operatorname{index} W > \log \operatorname{index} V. \end{aligned}$$

In this case it follows at once from Proposition 2 that X^{S^1} has good exponential growth.

Conversely, if X^{S^1} has good exponential growth, then,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \operatorname{index} \pi_*(X) &= \log \operatorname{index} W = \log \operatorname{index} H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V} \otimes \wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}, d) \\ &\leq \max \{ \log \operatorname{index} H(\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, d), \log \operatorname{index} H(\wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}, \bar{d}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

But $\log \operatorname{index} V < \log \operatorname{index} W$ and so Lemma 4 gives

$$\log \operatorname{index} W \leq \log \operatorname{index} H(\wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}, \bar{d}) \leq \log \operatorname{index} W.$$

It now follows from Proposition 2 (with $\wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{V}$ and $\wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}$ playing the roles of $\wedge V$ and $\wedge W$ respectively) that $H(\wedge W \otimes \wedge \bar{W}, \bar{d})$ has controlled exponential growth and so Y^{S^1} has good exponential growth. \square

We now turn our attention to Theorem 4.

Lemma 6. *The ideal I generated by an inert element x in a graded Lie algebra $E = E_{\geq 1}$ satisfies*

$$\log \text{index } UE/I < \log \text{index } UI,$$

if E/I is finitely generated.

Proof: Since E/I is finitely generated, it follows from [1] that the Hilbert series $U(E/I)(z)$ satisfies $\lim_{z \rightarrow \rho} U(E/I)(z) = \infty$, where ρ is the radius of convergence. Moreover, since I is a free Lie algebra, $UI \cong T(I/[I, I])$. Recall now that x is an inert element, so that $I/[I, I] \cong U(E/I) \cdot \bar{x}$. Thus it is sufficient to show that $\log \text{index } W < \log \text{index } TW$ for any graded vector space $W = W_{\geq 1}$ of finite type where the Hilbert series $W(z)$ has a radius of convergence ρ and satisfies $\lim_{z \rightarrow \rho} W(z) = \infty$.

But the Hilbert series $(TW)(z)$ is just $\frac{1}{1-W(z)}$. Thus, for some unique $r < \rho$, we have $W(r) = 1$ and clearly r is the radius of convergence of $TW(z)$. Since the respective log indexes are $-\log \rho$ and $-\log r$ the lemma follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 4: Let $I \subset L_X$ be the ideal generated by x . Since I is a free graded Lie algebra we can find a wedge of spheres Y and a map $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\pi_*(\Omega Y) : L_Y \xrightarrow{\cong} I$. For the homotopy fibre F of φ we then have

$$(L_F)_k \cong (L_X/I)_{k+1}.$$

Since x is inert and L_X/I is finitely generated, by Lemma 6,

$$\log \text{index } U(L_X/I) < \log \text{index } UI \leq \log \text{index } UL_X.$$

Recall that a graded Lie algebra and its enveloping algebra have the same log index (Lemma 2). This gives

$$\log \text{index } H_*(\Omega F; \mathbb{Q}) < \log \text{index } H_*(\Omega Y; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Now, by Theorem 1, Y satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2, Theorem 4 follows. \square

4 Examples

Example 1. Deleted manifolds.

Let M be a closed simply connected manifold whose rational cohomology algebra is not generated by a single class, and set $X = M - \{a\}$ for some point $a \in M$. Then according to [12], L_X contains an inert element x and $L_X/I \cong L_M$, where I is the ideal generated by x . Thus, by Theorem 4, if L_M is finitely generated then X^{S^1} has good exponential growth.

Example 2. Strongly separated manifolds.

Let N be a hypersurface in a closed simply connected manifold M , separating M into two manifolds M_0 and M_1 with boundary N . Suppose $\omega_0 \in H^*(M_0; \mathbb{Q})$ and $\omega_1 \in H^*(M_1; \mathbb{Q})$ are cohomology classes of odd degrees which restrict to zero in $H^*(N; \mathbb{Q})$ and for which there are maps $\varphi_0 : S^{m_0} \rightarrow M_0 \setminus N$ and $\varphi_1 : S^{m_1} \rightarrow M_1 \setminus N$ with $0 \neq H^*(\varphi_i)\omega_i$, $i = 0, 1$.

Then, the map $S^{m_0} \vee S^{m_1} \rightarrow M$ admits a rational retraction and so L_M contains a free Lie algebra on two generators and $H_*(M^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q})$ grows exponentially.

In fact, let S be the simplicial set of singular simplices in M whose images are either in M_0 or M_1 , and denote by $A_{PL}(-)$ the Sullivan functor ([7], §12b, [18]) from simplicial sets to commutative graded differential algebras over \mathbb{Q} . Then, a minimal Sullivan model for $A_{PL}(S)$ is also a minimal Sullivan model for M . Moreover, ω_0 and ω_1 are represented by cycles z_0 and z_1 in $A_{PL}(S)$ such that z_i vanishes on the simplices of M_{1-i} . In particular $z_0 \wedge z_1 = 0$.

The resulting morphism $H^*(S^{m_0} \vee S^{m_1}; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow A_{PL}(S)$ determines a morphism $\varphi : (\wedge W, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V, d)$ from the minimal model of $S^{m_0} \vee S^{m_1}$ to the minimal Sullivan model of M , and hence a continuous map $M_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{Q}}^{m_0} \vee S_{\mathbb{Q}}^{m_1}$ between the rationalizations ([7], §17c). Evidently, φ_0 and φ_1 define a reverse inclusion. This exhibits $(S_{\mathbb{Q}}^{m_0} \vee S_{\mathbb{Q}}^{m_1})^{S^1}$ as a retract of $M_{\mathbb{Q}}^{S^1}$ and so Theorem 1 implies that $H_*(M^{S^1}; \mathbb{Q})$ grows exponentially. This example generalizes the case of connected sums [15] □

Example 3. Let X be the configuration space $F(M, 2)$ of ordered pairs of

distinct points in a 2-connected closed manifold M whose cohomology algebra is not generated by a single element, and such that L_M is finitely generated.

Here [3], there is a fibration

$$M - \{pt\} \rightarrow F(M, 2) \rightarrow M,$$

which we write as $Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow M$ to simplify notation. As observed in Example 1, $\log \text{index } L_Y > \log \text{index } L_M$. Thus, by Theorem 3, X^{S^1} also has good exponential growth.

□

Example 4. High skeleta of finite Postnikov towers.

A simply connected space Y is rationally a finite Postnikov tower if $\dim \pi_*(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is finite. In [13] it is shown that for such a space there is some N such that, for $n \geq N$, the homotopy fibre F of the inclusion of the n -skeleton $Y(n) \rightarrow Y$ is rationally a wedge of spheres. If F is rationally a single sphere then it follows from the Gysin sequence that the Betti numbers, $\dim H_k(Y; \mathbb{Q})$, are bounded. Thus, if these Betti numbers are unbounded, there must be at least two spheres in the fibre, and so F^{S^1} has good exponential growth. Since $\log \text{index } H_*(\Omega Y; \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$, Theorem 3 asserts that $Y(n)^{S^1}$ has good exponential growth.

In particular, if Y is rationally a finite product of Eilenberg MacLane spaces and dimension of $\pi_{2*}(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \geq 2$, then $Y(n)^{S^1}$ has good exponential growth. □

References

- [1] D. Anick, The smallest singularity of a Hilbert series, *Math. Scand.* 51 (1982), 35-44.
- [2] W. Ballmann and W. Ziller, On the number of closed geodesics on a compact riemannian manifold, *Duke Math. J.* 49 (1982), 629-632.
- [3] E. Fadell and L. Neuwirth, Configuration Spaces, *Math. Scand.* 10 (1962), 111-118.

- [4] Y. Félix, S. Halperin and J.-C. Thomas, The homotopy Lie algebras of finite complexes, *Publ. IHES* 56 (1982), 387-410.
- [5] Y. Félix, S. Halperin and J.-C. Thomas, Loop space homology of spaces of L.S. category one and two, *Math. Ann.* 287 (1990), 377-386.
- [6] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, C. Jacobsson, C. Lofwall and J.-C. Thomas, The radical of the homotopy Lie algebra, *Amer. J. Math.* 110 (1988), 301-322.
- [7] Y. Félix, S. Halperin and J.-C. Thomas, Rational Homotopy theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol 205, Springer, 2000.
- [8] Y. Félix, S. Halperin and J.-C. Thomas, The ranks of the homotopy groups of a space of finite LS category, *Expos. Math.* 25 (2007), 67-76.
- [9] Y. Félix, S. Halperin and J.-C. Thomas, Exponential growth and an asymptotic formula for the ranks of homotopy groups of a finite 1-connected complex, *Annals of Math.* 170 (2009), 443-464.
- [10] Y. Félix and J.-M. Lemaire, On the Pontryagin algebra of the loops on a space with a cell attached, *Internat. J. of Math.* 2 (1991), 429-438.
- [11] M. Gromov, Homotopical effects of dilatations, *J. Differential Geometry* 13 (1978), 303-310.
- [12] S. Halperin and J.-M. Lemaire, Suites inertes dans les algèbres de Lie graduées, *Math. Scand.* 61 (1987), 39-67.
- [13] S. Halperin and G. Levin, High skeleta of CW complexes. Algebra, Algebraic Topology and their Interactions (Stockholm, 1983), 211-217, Lecture Notes in Math., 1183, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [14] P. Lambrechts, On the Betti numbers of the free loop space of a coformal space, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 161 (2001), 177-192.
- [15] P. Lambrechts, The Betti numbers of the free loop space of a connected sum, *J. London Math. Soc.* 64 (2001), 205-228.
- [16] W. Rudin, Real and complex analysis, third edition, Mac-Graw-Hill, 1987.

- [17] M. Vigué-Poirrier, Homotopie rationnelle et nombre de géodésiques fermées, *Ann. Scient. Ecole Norm. Sup.* 17 (1984), 413-431.
- [18] D. Sullivan, Infinitesimal computations in topology, *Publ. IHES* 47 (1977), 269-331
- [19] M. Vigué-Poirrier and D. Sullivan, The homology theory of the closed geodesic problem, *J. Differential Geometry* 11 (1976), 633-644.

Yves Félix

Institut de Mathématique, Université Catholique de Louvain,
2, Chemin du cyclotron, 1348 Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium
E-mail: yves.felix@uclouvain.be

Steve Halperin

Department of Mathematics, Mathematics Building,
University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742,
United States
E-mail: shalper@umd.edu

Jean-Claude Thomas

Université d'Angers, 2 Bd Lavoisier,
49045 Angers Cedex, France
E-mail: jean-claude.thomas@univ_angers.fr