

Realizing Enveloping Algebras via Moduli Stacks

LIQIAN BAI AND FAN XU

Abstract: Let $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ denote the vector space of \mathbb{Q} -valued constructible functions on a given stack $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}$ for an abelian category \mathcal{A} . In [12], Joyce proved that $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is an associative \mathbb{Q} -algebra via the convolution multiplication and the subspace $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ of constructible functions supported on indecomposables is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$. In this paper, we extend Joyce's result to an exact category \mathcal{A} and show that there is a subalgebra $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ of $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ isomorphic to the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$. Moreover we construct a comultiplication on $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and a degenerate form of Green's theorem. This refines Joyce's result, as well as results of [4].

Keywords: Hall algebra; stack; constructible set; universal enveloping algebra.

1. Introduction

Let Λ be a finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -algebra such that it is a representation-finite algebra, i.e., there are finitely many finite dimensional indecomposable Λ -modules up to isomorphism. Let $\mathcal{I}(\Lambda) = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ be a set of representatives. Let $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)$ be a set of representatives for all isomorphism classes of Λ -modules. There is a free \mathbb{Z} -module $R(\Lambda)$ with a basis $\{u_X \mid X \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\}$. Using the Euler characteristic, $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)$ can be endowed with a multiplicative structure (see [24] and [15]). The multiplication is defined by

$$u_X \cdot u_Y = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda)} \chi(V(X, Y; A)) u_A,$$

where $V(X, Y; A) = \{0 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A \mid A_1 \cong X, A/A_1 \cong Y\}$ and $\chi(V(X, Y; A))$ is the Euler characteristic of $V(X, Y; A)$. Thus $(R(\Lambda), +, \cdot)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -algebra with identity u_0 . Let $L(\Lambda)$ be the submodule of $R(\Lambda)$ which is spanned by

Received November 2, 2015.

The research was supported by NSF of China (No. 11471177).

$\{u_X \mid X \in \mathcal{I}(\Lambda)\}$. It follows that $L(\Lambda)$ is a Lie subalgebra of $R(\Lambda)$ with the Lie bracket $[u_X, u_Y] = u_X \cdot u_Y - u_Y \cdot u_X$. Riedtmann studied the universal enveloping algebra of $L(\Lambda)$. Let $R(\Lambda)'$ be the subalgebra of $R(\Lambda)$ generated by $\{u_X \mid X \in \mathcal{I}(\Lambda)\}$. Riedtmann showed that $R(\Lambda)'$ is isomorphic to the universal enveloping algebra of $L(\Lambda)$. These results have been generalized in two ways.

Joyce generalized Riedtmann's work in the context of constructible functions (also stack functions) over moduli stacks. In [11], Joyce defined the Euler characteristics of constructible sets in \mathbb{K} -stacks, pushforwards and pullbacks for constructible functions, where \mathbb{K} is an algebraically closed field. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category and $\text{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ the vector space of \mathbb{Q} -valued constructible functions on $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$, where $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the moduli stack of objects in \mathcal{A} and $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ the collection of isomorphism classes of objects in \mathcal{A} . Joyce proved that $\text{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is an associative \mathbb{Q} -algebra. The algebra $\text{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ can be viewed as a variant of the Ringel-Hall algebra. Let $\text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ be the subspace of $\text{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ satisfying the condition that $f([X]) \neq 0$ implies X is an indecomposable object in \mathcal{A} for every $f \in \text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$. Then $\text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is shown to be a Lie subalgebra of $\text{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ ([12, Theorem 4.9]). Let $\text{CF}_{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ be the subspace of $\text{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ such that

$$\text{supp}(f) = \{[X] \in \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \mid f([X]) \neq 0\}$$

is a finite set for every $f \in \text{CF}_{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$. Let

$$\text{CF}_{\text{fin}}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}) = \text{CF}_{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}) \cap \text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}).$$

Assume that a conflation $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ in \mathcal{A} implies that the number of isomorphism classes of Y is finite for all $X, Z \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$. With the assumption, Joyce proved that $\text{CF}_{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is an associative algebra and $\text{CF}_{\text{fin}}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ a Lie subalgebra of $\text{CF}_{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$. It follows that $\text{CF}_{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is isomorphic to the universal enveloping algebra of $\text{CF}_{\text{fin}}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$. Joyce defined a comultiplication on $\text{CF}_{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and proved that $\text{CF}_{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a bialgebra.

In [4], the authors extended Riedtmann's results to algebras of representation-infinite type, i.e., the cardinality of isomorphism classes of indecomposable finite dimensional Λ -modules is infinite. Let $R(\Lambda)$ be the \mathbb{Z} -module spanned by $1_{\mathcal{O}}$, where $1_{\mathcal{O}}$ is the characteristic function over a constructible set of stratified Krull-Schmidt \mathcal{O} (see [4, Section 3]). The subspace $L(\Lambda)$ of $R(\Lambda)$ is spanned by $1_{\mathcal{O}}$, where \mathcal{O} are indecomposable constructible

sets. The multiplication is defined by

$$1_{\mathcal{O}_1} \cdot 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}(X) = \chi(V(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; X)),$$

where X is a Λ -module. Then $R(\Lambda)$ is an associative algebra with identity 1_0 and $L(\Lambda)$ a Lie subalgebra of $R(\Lambda)$ with Lie bracket. The algebra $R(\Lambda) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is the universal enveloping algebra of $L(\Lambda) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. The authors gave the degenerate form of Green’s theorem and established the comultiplication of $R(\Lambda)$ in [4].

The goal of this paper is to explicitly construct the enveloping algebra of $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ by the methods in [4]. Let \mathcal{A} be an exact category satisfying some properties. Let $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ be a conflation in \mathcal{A} and $\mathrm{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$ the automorphism group of $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$. The key idea in [4] is that $V(X, Y; L)$ has the same Euler characteristic as its fixed point set under the action of \mathbb{C}^* . In this paper, we consider exact categories instead of categories of modules. Then as a substitute of the action of \mathbb{C}^* , we analyze the action of a maximal torus of $\mathrm{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$ on $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$. The universal enveloping algebra of $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ can be endowed with a comultiplication structure (Definition 4.1). It is compatible with multiplication (Theorem 4.6). The compatibility can be viewed as the degenerate form of Green’s theorem on Ringel-Hall algebras (see [5] or [22]).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the basic concepts about stacks, constructible sets and constructible functions. In Section 3 we define the constructible sets of stratified Krull-Schmidt. We study the the subspace $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ of $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ generated by characteristic functions supported on constructible sets of stratified Krull-Schmidt. Then $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ provides a realization of the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$. In Section 4 we give the comultiplication Δ in $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and prove that Δ is an algebra homomorphism.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Constructible sets and constructible functions

From now on, let \mathbb{K} be an algebraically closed field with characteristic zero. A good introduction to algebraic stacks and 2-categories is [6]. We recall the definitions of constructible sets and constructible functions on \mathbb{K} -stacks. These definitions are taken from [11].

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{F} be a \mathbb{K} -stack. Let $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ denote the set of 2-isomorphism classes $[x]$ where $x : \text{Spec } \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ are 1-morphisms. Every element of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ is called a geometric point (or \mathbb{K} -point) of \mathcal{F} . For \mathbb{K} -stacks \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} , let $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a 1-morphism of \mathbb{K} -stacks. Then ϕ induces a map $\phi_* : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K})$ by $[x] \mapsto [\phi \circ x]$.

For any $[x] \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$, let $\text{Iso}_{\mathbb{K}}(x)$ denote the group of 2-isomorphisms $x \rightarrow x$ which is called a stabilizer group. For ease of notations, $\text{Iso}_{\mathbb{K}}(x)$ is used to denote the group instead of $\text{Iso}_{\mathbb{K}}([x])$. If $\text{Iso}_{\mathbb{K}}(x)$ is an affine algebraic \mathbb{K} -group for each $[x] \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$, then we say \mathcal{F} with affine geometric stabilizers. A morphism of algebraic \mathbb{K} -groups $\phi_x : \text{Iso}_{\mathbb{K}}(x) \rightarrow \text{Iso}_{\mathbb{K}}(\phi_*(x))$ is induced by $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ for each $[x] \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$.

A subset $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ is called a constructible set if $\mathcal{O} = \coprod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{K})$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, where every \mathcal{F}_i is a finite type algebraic \mathbb{K} -substack of \mathcal{F} . A subset $S \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ is called a locally constructible set if $S \cap \mathcal{O}$ are constructible for all constructible subsets $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$. If \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 are constructible sets, then $\mathcal{O}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_2$, $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2$ and $\mathcal{O}_1 \setminus \mathcal{O}_2$ are constructible sets by [11, Lemma 2.4].

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K})$ be a map. The set $\Gamma_{\Phi} = \{(x, \Phi(x)) \mid x \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})\}$ is called the graph of Φ . Recall that Φ is a pseudomorphism if $\Gamma_{\Phi} \cap (\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K}))$ are constructible for all constructible subsets $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$. By [11, Proposition 4.6], if $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a 1-morphism then ϕ_* is a pseudomorphism, $\Phi(\mathcal{O})$ and $\Phi^{-1}(y) \cap \mathcal{O}$ are constructible sets for all constructible subset $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ and $y \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K})$. If Φ is a bijection and Φ^{-1} is also a pseudomorphism, we call Φ a pseudoisomorphism.

Then we will recall the definition of the naïve Euler characteristic of a constructible subset of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ in [11].

There is a useful result due to Rosenlicht [23].

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be an algebraic \mathbb{K} -group acting on a \mathbb{K} -variety X . There exist an open dense G -invariant subset $X_1 \subseteq X$ and a \mathbb{K} -variety Y such that there is a morphism of varieties $\phi : X_1 \rightarrow Y$ which induces a bijection form $X_1(\mathbb{K})/G$ to $Y(\mathbb{K})$.*

Let X be a separated \mathbb{K} -scheme of finite type, the Euler characteristic $\chi(X)$ of X is defined by

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim X} (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_{\text{cs}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_p),$$

where p is a prime number, $\mathbb{Z}_p = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$ is the ring of p -adic integers, \mathbb{Q}_p is its field of fractions and $H_{cs}^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ are the compactly-supported p -adic cohomology groups of X for $i \geq 0$.

The following properties of Euler characteristic follow [4] and [11].

Proposition 2.3. *Let X, Y be separated, finite type \mathbb{K} -schemes and $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism of schemes. Then:*

(1) *If Z is a closed subscheme of X , then $\chi(X) = \chi(X \setminus Z) + \chi(Z)$.*

(2) *$\chi(X \times Y) = \chi(X) \times \chi(Y)$.*

(3) *Let X be a disjoint union of finitely many subschemes X_1, \dots, X_n , we have*

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n \chi(X_i).$$

(4) *If φ is a locally trivial fibration with fibre F , then $\chi(X) = \chi(F) \cdot \chi(Y)$.*

(5) *$\chi(\mathbb{K}^n) = 1$, $\chi(\mathbb{K}\mathbb{P}^n) = n + 1$ for all $n \geq 0$.*

An algebraic \mathbb{K} -stack \mathcal{F} is said to be stratified by global quotient stacks if $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) = \coprod_{i=1}^s \mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{K})$ for finitely many locally closed substacks \mathcal{F}_i where each \mathcal{F}_i is 1-isomorphic to a quotient stack $[X_i/G_i]$, where X_i is an algebraic \mathbb{K} -variety and G_i a smooth connected linear algebraic \mathbb{K} -group acting on X_i . By [14, Propostion 3.5.9], if \mathcal{F} is a finite type algebraic \mathbb{K} -stack with affine geometric stabilizers, then \mathcal{F} is stratified by global quotient stacks.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \coprod_{i=1}^s \mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{K})$ where each $\mathcal{F}_i \cong [X_i/G_i]$ as above. By Theorem 2.2, there exists an open dense G_i -invariant subvariety X_{i1} of X_i for each i such that there exists a morphism of varieties $\phi_{i1} : X_{i1} \rightarrow Y_{i1}$, which induces a bijection between $X_{i1}(\mathbb{K})/G_i$ and $Y_{i1}(\mathbb{K})$. Then ϕ_{i1} induces a 1-morphism $\theta_{i1} : \mathcal{G}_{i1} \rightarrow Y_{i1}$, where \mathcal{G}_{i1} is 1-isomorphic to $[X_{i1}/G_i]$. Note that

$$\dim(X_{i(j-1)} \setminus X_{ij}) < \dim X_{i(j-1)}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, k_i$. Using Theorem 2.2 again, we get a stratification

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) = \coprod_{i=1}^s \coprod_{j=1}^{k_i} \mathcal{G}_{ij}(\mathbb{K})$$

for $s, k_i \in \mathbb{N}^+$, where $\mathcal{G}_{ij} \cong [X_{ij}/G_i]$ such that $\phi_{ij} : X_{ij} \rightarrow Y_{ij}$ is a morphism of \mathbb{K} -varieties and $\theta_{ij} : \mathcal{G}_{ij} \rightarrow Y_{ij}$ a 1-morphism induced by ϕ_{ij} . Let

$$Y = \coprod_{i=1}^s \coprod_{j=1}^{k_i} Y_{ij} \text{ and } \Theta = \coprod_{i=1}^s \coprod_{j=1}^{k_i} (\theta_{ij})_* : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow Y(\mathbb{K}).$$

Then Y is a separated \mathbb{K} -scheme of finite type and Θ a pseudoisomorphism (see [11, Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 4.7]).

Definition 2.4. Let \mathcal{F} be an algebraic \mathbb{K} -stack with affine geometric stabilizers and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ a constructible set. Then \mathcal{C} is pseudoisomorphic to $Y(\mathbb{K})$, where Y is a separated \mathbb{K} -scheme of finite type by [11, Proposition 4.7]. The naïve Euler characteristic of \mathcal{C} is defined by $\chi^{\text{na}}(\mathcal{C}) = \chi(Y)$.

The following lemma is a generalization of Proposition 2.3 (4).

Lemma 2.5. *Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be algebraic \mathbb{K} -stacks with affine geometric stabilizers. If $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$, $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K})$ are constructible sets, and $\Phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a surjective pseudomorphism such that all fibers have the same naïve Euler characteristic χ , then $\chi^{\text{na}}(\mathcal{C}) = \chi \cdot \chi^{\text{na}}(\mathcal{D})$.*

Proof. Because \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{D} are constructible sets, there exist separated finite type \mathbb{K} -schemes X , Y such that \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{D} are pseudoisomorphic to $X(\mathbb{K})$, $Y(\mathbb{K})$ respectively. Therefore $\chi^{\text{na}}(\mathcal{C}) = \chi(X)$, $\chi^{\text{na}}(\mathcal{D}) = \chi(Y)$. Then Φ induces a surjective pseudomorphism between $X(\mathbb{K})$ and $Y(\mathbb{K})$, say $\phi : X(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow Y(\mathbb{K})$. There exist two projective morphisms $\pi_1 : \Gamma_\phi \rightarrow X(\mathbb{K})$ and $\pi_2 : \Gamma_\phi \rightarrow Y(\mathbb{K})$. Note that π_1 is also a pseudoisomorphism, that is $\chi^{\text{na}}(\Gamma_\phi) = \chi(X)$, and all fibres of π_2 have the same naïve Euler characteristic χ . Then $\chi^{\text{na}}(\Gamma_\phi) = \chi \cdot \chi(Y)$. Hence $\chi(X) = \chi \cdot \chi(Y)$. We finish the proof. \square

Definition 2.6. A function $f : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is called a constructible function on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ if the codomain of f is a finite set and $f^{-1}(a)$ is a constructible subset of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ for each $a \in f(\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})) \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\text{CF}(\mathcal{F})$ denote the \mathbb{Q} -vector space of all \mathbb{Q} -valued constructible functions on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$.

Let $S \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ be a locally constructible set. The integral of f on S is

$$\int_{x \in S} f(x) = \sum_{a \in f(S) \setminus \{0\}} a \chi^{\text{na}}(f^{-1}(a) \cap S)$$

for each $f \in \text{CF}(\mathcal{F})$.

We recall the pushforwards and pullbacks of constructible functions due to Joyce [11].

Definition 2.7. Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be algebraic \mathbb{K} -stacks with affine geometric stabilizers and $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ a 1-morphism. For each $f \in \text{CF}(\mathcal{F})$, the naïve

pushforward $\phi_!^{\text{na}}(f) : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ of f is

$$\phi_!^{\text{na}}(f)(t) = \sum_{a \in f(\phi_*^{-1}(t)) \setminus \{0\}} a \chi^{\text{na}}(f^{-1}(a) \cap \phi_*^{-1}(t))$$

for each $t \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K})$. Then $\phi_!^{\text{na}}(f)$ is a constructible function for each $f \in \text{CF}(\mathcal{F})$ by [11, Theorem 4.9].

Similarly, if $\Phi : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K})$ is a pseudomorphism, the naïve pushforward $\Phi_!^{\text{na}}(f) : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ of $f \in \text{CF}(\mathcal{F})$ is defined by

$$\Phi_!^{\text{na}}(f)(t) = \sum_{a \in f(\Phi^{-1}(t)) \setminus \{0\}} a \chi^{\text{na}}(f^{-1}(a) \cap \Phi^{-1}(t))$$

for $t \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K})$. Joyce proved that there is a linear map $\Phi_!^{\text{na}} : \text{CF}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{CF}(\mathcal{G})$ and in particular, $\Phi_!^{\text{na}}(f) \in \text{CF}(\mathcal{G})$ [11, Theorem 4.9]. We often apply this result by studying the constructibility of the function $\Phi_!^{\text{na}}(1_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})})$. The constructibility of the function implies that the set $\{\chi(\Phi^{-1}(t)) \mid t \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K})\}$ is a finite set.

If $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a 1-morphism, then we have a long exact sequence of groups

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\phi_*) \longrightarrow \text{Iso}_{\mathbb{K}}(x) \xrightarrow{\phi_*} \text{Iso}_{\mathbb{K}}(\phi_*(x)) \longrightarrow \text{Coker}(\phi_*) \longrightarrow 1$$

for each $x \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$. Note that $\text{Ker}(\phi_*)$ is an affine algebraic \mathbb{K} -group and $\text{Coker}(\phi_*)$ is a quasi-projective \mathbb{K} -variety. Assume that $\chi(\text{Ker}(\phi_*)) \neq 0$, we can define a function $m_\phi : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by

$$m_\phi(x) = \frac{\chi(\text{Coker}(\phi_*))}{\chi(\text{Ker}(\phi_*))}$$

for each $x \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$. In particular, if ϕ is representable, i.e., for $U \in \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$, $X \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{F}(U))$, the map $\phi(U) : \text{End}_{\mathcal{F}(U)}(X) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{G}(U)}(\phi(U)(X))$ is injective, then $\text{Ker}(\phi_*) = \{1\}$ and $m_\phi(x) = \chi(\text{Coker}(\phi_*))$. Here $\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is the 2-category of \mathbb{K} -schemes (see Section 2.2 for more details).

For each $f \in \text{CF}(\mathcal{F})$, the pushforward $\phi_!(f) : \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ of f is defined by

$$\phi_!(f) = \phi_!^{\text{na}}(f \cdot m_\phi),$$

where $(f \cdot m_\phi)(x) = f(x)m_\phi(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$. Note that $\phi_!(f) \in \text{CF}(\mathcal{G})$ (see [11]).

If ϕ is a 1-morphism of finite type, then $\phi_*^{-1}(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ is a constructible set for each constructible subset \mathcal{D} of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K})$. Then $g \circ \phi_* \in \text{CF}(\mathcal{F})$ for $g \in$

$\text{CF}(\mathcal{G})$. Recall that the pullback $\phi^* : \text{CF}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{CF}(\mathcal{F})$ of ϕ is defined by $\phi^*(g) = g \circ \phi_*$ and it is linear.

2.2. Stacks of objects and conflations in \mathcal{A}

From now on, let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})$ be a Krull-Schmidt exact \mathbb{K} -category (see A.1). For simplicity, we write \mathcal{A} instead of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})$. Note that \mathcal{A} is idempotent complete (see A.2).

The isomorphism classes of $X \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ and conflations $X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z$ in \mathcal{A} are denoted by $[X]$ and $[X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z]$ (or $[(X, Y, Z, i, d)]$), respectively. Two conflations $X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z$ and $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ are isomorphic if there exist isomorphisms $a : X \rightarrow A$, $b : Y \rightarrow B$ and $c : Z \rightarrow C$ in \mathcal{A} such that the following diagram is commutative

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{i} & Y & \xrightarrow{d} & Z \\ a \downarrow & & b \downarrow & & \downarrow c \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C \end{array}$$

The morphism (a, b, c) is called an isomorphism of conflations in \mathcal{A} .

Assumption 2.8. Assume that $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(X, Y)$ are finite for all $X, Y \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$. Let $K(\mathcal{A})$ denote the quotient group of the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ such that $[X] = 0$ in $K(\mathcal{A})$ implies that X is a zero object in \mathcal{A} , where $[X]$ denotes the image of X in $K(\mathcal{A})$.

The following 2-categories are defined in [10].

Let $\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$ be a 2-category of \mathbb{K} -schemes such that objects are \mathbb{K} -schemes, 1-morphisms morphisms of schemes and 2-morphisms only the natural transformations id_f for all 1-morphisms f . Let (exactcat) denote the 2-category of all exact categories with 1-morphisms exact functors of exact categories and 2-morphisms natural transformations between the exact functors. If all morphisms of a category are isomorphisms, then the category is called a groupoid. Let (groupoids) be the 2-category with objects groupoids, 1-morphisms functors of groupoids and 2-morphisms natural transformations (see also [10, Definition 2.8]).

In [10, Section 7.1], Joyce defined a stack $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}} : \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow (\text{exactcat})$ associated to the exact category \mathcal{A} (the original definition is for abelian category, it can be extended to exact categories directly), where $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a contravariant 2-functor and satisfies the condition $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{K})) = \mathcal{A}$. Applying $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$,

he defined two moduli stacks

$$\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathfrak{E}r\mathfrak{act}_{\mathcal{A}} : \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow (\text{groupoids})$$

which are contravariant 2-functors ([10, Definition 7.2]). The 2-functor

$$\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}} = F \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}},$$

where $F : (\text{exactcat}) \rightarrow (\text{groupoids})$ is a forgetful 2-functor as follows. For an exact category G , $F(G)$ is a groupoid such that $\text{Obj}(F(G)) = \text{Obj}(G)$ and morphisms are isomorphisms in G . For $U \in \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$, a category $\mathfrak{E}r\mathfrak{act}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ is a groupoid whose objects are conflations in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ and morphisms isomorphisms of conflations in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$.

Let $\eta : U \rightarrow V$ and $\theta : V \rightarrow W$ be morphisms of schemes in $\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Obviously, the functors $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) : \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ and $\mathfrak{E}r\mathfrak{act}_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) : \mathfrak{E}r\mathfrak{act}_{\mathcal{A}}(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}r\mathfrak{act}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ are induced by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$. The natural transformations $\epsilon_{\theta, \eta} : \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) \circ \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta \circ \eta)$ and $\epsilon_{\theta, \eta} : \mathfrak{E}r\mathfrak{act}_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) \circ \mathfrak{E}r\mathfrak{act}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta) \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}r\mathfrak{act}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta \circ \eta)$ are also induced by $\epsilon_{\theta, \eta} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta \circ \eta)$.

Let

$$K'(\mathcal{A}) = \{[\widehat{X}] \in K(\mathcal{A}) \mid X \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})\} \subset K(\mathcal{A}).$$

For each $\alpha \in K'(\mathcal{A})$, Joyce defined $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha} : \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow (\text{groupoids})$ which is a substack of $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}$ in [10, Definition 7.4]. For each $U \in \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$, $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}(U)$ is a full subcategory of $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$. For each object X in $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}(U)$, the image of $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(f)(X)$ in $K(\mathcal{A})$ is α for each morphism $f : \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow U$.

Let $\eta : U \rightarrow V$ and $\theta : V \rightarrow W$ be morphisms in $\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$. The functor

$$\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}(\eta) : \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}(U)$$

is defined by restriction from $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) : \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$. The natural transformation $\epsilon_{\theta, \eta} : \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}(\eta) \circ \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}(\theta) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}(\theta \circ \eta)$ is restricted from $\epsilon_{\theta, \eta} : \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) \circ \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta \circ \eta)$.

For $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in K'(\mathcal{A})$ and $\beta = \alpha + \gamma$, $\mathfrak{E}r\mathfrak{act}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} : \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow (\text{groupoids})$ is defined as follows. For $U \in \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$, $\mathfrak{E}r\mathfrak{act}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(U)$ is a full subcategory of $\mathfrak{E}r\mathfrak{act}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$. The objects of $\mathfrak{E}r\mathfrak{act}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(U)$ are conflations

$$X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z \in \text{Obj}(\mathfrak{E}r\mathfrak{act}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)),$$

where $X \in \text{Obj}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}(U))$, $Y \in \text{Obj}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\beta}(U))$ and $Z \in \text{Obj}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\gamma}(U))$. Similarly, the morphism $\mathfrak{E}r\mathfrak{act}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(\eta)$ and natural transformation $\epsilon_{\theta, \eta}$ are defined by restriction.

Let \mathcal{TS} be a substack of $\mathfrak{E}xact_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{E}xact_{\mathcal{A}}$. For each $U \in \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$, $\mathcal{TS}(U)$ is a full subcategory of $\mathfrak{E}xact_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{E}xact_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ whose objects are $(X \xrightarrow{f} L \xrightarrow{g} Y, L \xrightarrow{l} M \xrightarrow{m} Z)$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & L & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \\ & & \downarrow l & & \\ & & M & & \\ & & \downarrow m & & \\ & & Z & & \end{array}$$

where $X \xrightarrow{f} L \xrightarrow{g} Y$ and $L \xrightarrow{l} M \xrightarrow{m} Z$ are objects in $\mathfrak{E}xact_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$. The morphisms of $\mathcal{TS}(U)$ are (x, a, y, b, z) , where $x : X \rightarrow X'$, $a : L \rightarrow L'$, $y : Y \rightarrow Y'$, $b : M \rightarrow M'$ and $z : Z \rightarrow Z'$ are isomorphisms, such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & L & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \\ x \downarrow & & a \downarrow & & \downarrow y \\ X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & L' & \xrightarrow{g'} & Y' \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L & \xrightarrow{l} & M & \xrightarrow{m} & Z \\ a \downarrow & & b \downarrow & & \downarrow z \\ L' & \xrightarrow{l'} & M' & \xrightarrow{m'} & Z' \end{array}$$

The morphism $\mathcal{TS}(\eta)$ and natural transformation $\epsilon_{\theta, \eta}$ are defined in a natural way.

The following theorem is taking from [10, Theorem 7.5].

Theorem 2.9. *The 2-functors $\mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\mathfrak{E}xact_{\mathcal{A}}$ are \mathbb{K} -stacks, and $\mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}$, $\mathfrak{E}xact_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ are open and closed \mathbb{K} -substacks of them respectively. There are disjoint unions*

$$\mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}} = \coprod_{\alpha \in K'(\mathcal{A})} \mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}, \mathfrak{E}xact_{\mathcal{A}} = \coprod_{\substack{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in K'(\mathcal{A}) \\ \beta = \alpha + \gamma}} \mathfrak{E}xact_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}.$$

Assume that $\mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathfrak{E}xact_{\mathcal{A}}$ are locally of finite type algebraic \mathbb{K} -stacks with affine algebraic stabilizers. Recall that $\mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ and $\mathfrak{E}xact_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ are the collection of isomorphism classes of objects in \mathcal{A} and the collection of isomorphism classes of conflatons in \mathcal{A} , respectively. For each $\alpha \in K'(\mathcal{A})$,

$\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{K})$ is the collection of isomorphism classes of $X \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $[\overline{X}] = \alpha$ (see [12, Section 3.2]).

Example 2.10. Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$ be a finite connected quiver, where $Q_0 = \{1, \dots, n\}$ is the set of vertices, Q_1 is the set of arrows and $s : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0$ (resp. $t : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0$) is a map such that $s(\rho)$ (resp. $t(\rho)$) is the source (resp. target) of ρ for $\rho \in Q_1$. Let $A = \mathbb{C}Q$ be the path algebra of Q and $\text{mod-}A$ denote the category of all finite dimensional right A -modules.

Let $\underline{d} = (d_j)_{j \in Q_0}$ for all $d_j \in \mathbb{N}$. There is an affine variety

$$\text{Rep}(Q, \underline{d}) = \bigoplus_{\rho \in Q_1} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{d_{s(\rho)}}, \mathbb{C}^{d_{t(\rho)}}).$$

For each $x = (x_\rho)_{\rho \in Q_1} \in \text{Rep}(Q, \underline{d})$, there is a \mathbb{C} -linear representation $M(x) = (\mathbb{C}^{d_j}, x_\rho)_{j \in Q_0, \rho \in Q_1}$ of Q . Let $\text{rep}(Q)$ denote the category of finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -linear representations of Q . Recall that $\text{rep}(Q) \cong \text{mod-}A$. We identify $\text{rep}(Q)$ with $\text{mod-}A$. The linear algebraic group

$$\text{GL}(\underline{d}) = \prod_{j \in Q_0} \text{GL}(d_j, \mathbb{C})$$

acts on $\text{Rep}(Q, \underline{d})$ by $g.x = (g_{t(\rho)}x_\rho g_{s(\rho)}^{-1})_{\rho \in Q_1}$ for $g = (g_j)_{j \in Q_0} \in \text{GL}(\underline{d})$.

A complex $M^\bullet = (M^{(i)}, \partial^i)$, where $M^{(i)} \in \text{Obj}(\text{mod-}A)$ and $\partial^{i+1}\partial^i = 0$, is bounded if there exist some positive integers n_0 and n_1 such that $M^{(i)} = 0$ for $i \leq -n_0$ or $i \geq n_1$. Let $\underline{\dim}M^{(i)} = \underline{d}^{(i)}$ be the dimension vector of $M^{(i)}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The vector sequence $(\underline{d}^{(i)})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of M^\bullet is denoted by $\underline{\mathbf{ds}}(M^\bullet)$.

Let $\mathcal{C}(Q, \underline{\mathbf{d}})$ denote the affine variety consisting of all complexes M^\bullet with $\underline{\mathbf{ds}}(M^\bullet) = \underline{\mathbf{d}}$. The group $G(\underline{\mathbf{d}}) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{GL}(\underline{d}^{(i)})$ is a linear algebraic group

acting on $\mathcal{C}^b(Q, \underline{\mathbf{d}})$. The action is induced by the actions of $\text{GL}(\underline{d}^{(i)})$ on $\text{Rep}(Q, \underline{d}^{(i)})$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, that is

$$(g^{(i)})_i.(x^{(i)}, \partial^i)_i = (g^{(i)}.x^{(i)}, g^{(i+1)}\partial^i(g^{(i)})^{-1})_i.$$

Let $\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ be a set of representatives for all isomorphism classes of finite dimensional indecomposable projective A -modules. A complex $P^\bullet =$

$$\dots \rightarrow P^{(i-1)} \xrightarrow{\partial^{i-1}} P^{(i)} \xrightarrow{\partial^i} P^{(i+1)} \rightarrow \dots$$

is projective if $P^{(i)} \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^n m_j^{(i)} P_j$ for $m_j^{(i)} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let

$$\underline{e}(P^{(i)}) = \underline{m}^{(i)} = (m_1^{(i)}, \dots, m_n^{(i)})$$

be a vector corresponding to $P^{(i)}$. By the Krull-Schmidt Theorem, $\underline{e}(P^{(i)})$ is unique. The dimension vector of P^\bullet can be defined by

$$\underline{\dim}(P^\bullet) = (\dots, \underline{m}^{(i-1)}, \underline{m}^{(i)}, \underline{m}^{(i+1)}, \dots).$$

A dimension vector $\underline{\dim}(P^\bullet)$ is bounded if P^\bullet is bounded.

Let $\underline{\mathbf{m}} = (\underline{m}^{(i)})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a bounded dimension vector and $\underline{\mathbf{d}}(\underline{\mathbf{m}}) = (\underline{d}^{(i)})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the vector sequence of a complex whose dimension vector is $\underline{\mathbf{m}}$. Let $\mathcal{P}^b(Q, \underline{\mathbf{m}})$ be the set of all bounded project complexes P^\bullet with $\underline{\dim}(P^\bullet) = \underline{\mathbf{m}}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{ds}}(P^\bullet) = \underline{\mathbf{d}}(\underline{\mathbf{m}})$. Note that $\mathcal{P}^b(Q, \underline{\mathbf{m}})$ is a locally closed subset of $\mathcal{C}^b(Q, \underline{\mathbf{d}}(\underline{\mathbf{m}}))$. An action of $G(\underline{\mathbf{d}}(\underline{\mathbf{m}}))$ on the variety $\mathcal{P}^b(Q, \underline{\mathbf{m}})$ is induced by the action of $G(\underline{\mathbf{d}}(\underline{\mathbf{m}}))$ on $\mathcal{C}^b(Q, \underline{\mathbf{d}}(\underline{\mathbf{m}}))$.

Let $\mathcal{P}^b(Q)$ denote the exact category with objects bounded project complexes and morphisms $\phi : P^\bullet \rightarrow Q^\bullet$ morphisms between bounded projective complexes. The Grothendieck group

$$K_0(\mathcal{P}^b(Q)) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(i)}^n,$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_{(i)}^n = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Note that $K(\mathcal{P}^b(Q)) = K_0(\mathcal{P}^b(Q))$ and

$$K'(\mathcal{P}^b(Q)) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{N}_{(i)}^n,$$

where $\mathbb{N}_{(i)}^n = \mathbb{N}^n$.

Joyce defined $\mathcal{F}_{\text{mod-}\mathbb{K}Q}$ in [10, Example 10.5]. Similarly, for each $U \in \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$, we define $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(U)$ to be the category as follows.

The objects of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(U)$ are complexes of sheaves $P^\bullet = (P^{(i)}, \partial^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, where $P^{(i)} = (\bigoplus_{j \in Q_0} X_j^{(i)}, x^i)$ and $\partial^{i+1} \partial^i = 0$. The data $X_j^{(i)}$ are locally free sheaves of finite rank on U and $x^i = (x_\rho^i)_{\rho \in Q_1}$, where $x_\rho^i : X_{s(\rho)}^{(i)} \rightarrow X_{t(\rho)}^{(i)}$ are morphisms of sheaves, such that $P^{(i)} = (\bigoplus_{j \in Q_0} X_j^{(i)}, x^i)$ are projective $\mathbb{C}Q$ -modules for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The morphisms of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(U)$ are morphisms of complexes $\phi^\bullet : (P^{(i)}, \partial^i) \rightarrow (Q^{(i)}, d^i)$, where $Q^{(i)} = (\bigoplus_{j \in Q_0} Y_j^{(i)}, y^i)$ and ϕ^\bullet

is a sequence of morphisms

$$(\phi^i : P^{(i)} \rightarrow Q^{(i)})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

with $\phi^i = (\phi_j^i : X_j^{(i)} \rightarrow Y_j^{(i)})_{j \in Q_0}$ such that $\phi^{i+1} \partial^i = d^i \phi^i$ and $\phi_{t(\rho)}^i x_\rho^i = y_\rho^i \phi_{s(\rho)}^i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\rho \in Q_1$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(U)$ is an exact category.

Let $\eta : U \rightarrow V$ be a morphism in $\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$. A functor

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\eta) : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(U)$$

is defined as follows. If $(P^{(i)}, \partial^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(V))$,

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\eta)(P^{(i)}, \partial^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} = (\eta^*(P^{(i)}), \eta^*(\partial^i))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

for $\eta^*(P^{(i)}) = (\bigoplus_{j \in Q_0} \eta^*(X_j^{(i)}), (\eta^*(x_\rho^i))_{\rho \in Q_1})$, where $\eta^*(X_j^{(i)})$ are the inverse images of $X_j^{(i)}$ by the morphism η , $\eta^*(\partial^i) : \eta^*(P^{(i)}) \rightarrow \eta^*(P^{(i+1)})$ with $\eta^*(\partial^{i+1})\eta^*(\partial^i) = 0$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\eta^*(x_\rho^i) : \eta^*(X_{s(\rho)}^{(i)}) \rightarrow \eta^*(X_{t(\rho)}^{(i)})$$

for $\rho \in Q_1$ are pullbacks of morphisms between inverse images. For a morphism $\phi^\bullet : (P^{(i)}, \partial^i) \rightarrow (Q^{(i)}, d^i)$ in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(V)$, the morphism

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\eta)(\phi^\bullet) : (\eta^*(P^\bullet), \eta^*(\partial^i)) \rightarrow (\eta^*(Q^\bullet), \eta^*(d^i))$$

is a sequence of morphisms

$$\left(\eta^*(\phi^i) : \left(\bigoplus_{j \in Q_0} \eta^*(X_j^{(i)}), (\eta^*(x_\rho^i))_\rho \right) \rightarrow \left(\bigoplus_{j \in Q_0} \eta^*(Y_j^{(i)}), (\eta^*(y_\rho^i))_\rho \right) \right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}},$$

with $\eta^*(\phi^{i+1})\eta^*(\partial^i) = \eta^*(d^i)\eta^*(\phi^i)$, where $\eta^*(d^i)$ are pullbacks of morphisms between inverse images which satisfy $\eta^*(d^{i+1})\eta^*(d^i) = 0$, and

$$\eta^*(Q^\bullet) = \left(\bigoplus_{j \in Q_0} \eta^*(Y_j^{(i)}), (\eta^*(y_\rho^i))_{\rho \in Q_1} \right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

such that the pullbacks

$$\eta^*(\phi_j^i) : \eta^*(X_j^{(i)}) \rightarrow \eta^*(Y_j^{(i)})$$

satisfy $\eta^*(\phi_{t(\rho)}^i)\eta^*(x_\rho^i) = \eta^*(y_\rho^i)\eta^*(\phi_{s(\rho)}^i)$. Because locally free sheaves are flat, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\eta)(\phi^\bullet)$ is an exact functor.

Let $\eta : U \rightarrow V$ and $\theta : V \rightarrow W$ be morphisms in $\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$. As in [10, Example 9.1], for each $P^\bullet \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(W))$, there is a canonical isomorphism $\epsilon_{\theta,\eta}(P^\bullet) : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\eta) \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\theta)(P^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\theta \circ \eta)(P^\bullet)$. We get a 2-isomorphism of functors

$$\epsilon_{\theta,\eta} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\eta) \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\theta) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\theta \circ \eta)$$

by the canonical isomorphisms. Thus we have the 2-functor $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}$.

The set $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\mathbb{C})$ consists of all isomorphism classes of complexes in $\mathcal{P}^b(Q)$.

As in [10, Definition 7.7] and [12, Section 3.2], we have the following 1-morphisms

$$\pi_l : \mathfrak{E}x\mathfrak{a}c\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{O}b\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

which induces a map $(\pi_l)_* : \mathfrak{E}x\mathfrak{a}c\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{O}b\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ defined by $[X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z] \mapsto [X]$;

$$\pi_m : \mathfrak{E}x\mathfrak{a}c\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{O}b\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

such that the induced map $(\pi_m)_* : \mathfrak{E}x\mathfrak{a}c\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{O}b\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ maps $[X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z]$ to $[Y]$;

$$\pi_r : \mathfrak{E}x\mathfrak{a}c\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{O}b\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

inducing the map $(\pi_r)_* : \mathfrak{E}x\mathfrak{a}c\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{O}b\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ by $[X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z] \mapsto [Z]$.

The map $\pi_{l*} \times \pi_{r*} : \mathfrak{E}x\mathfrak{a}c\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{O}b\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{O}b\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ is defined by $(\pi_{l*} \times \pi_{r*})([X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z]) = ([X], [Z])$. Note that $(\pi_l \times \pi_r)_* = \pi_{l*} \times \pi_{r*}$.

3. Hall Algebras

3.1. Constructible sets of stratified Krull-Schmidt

These definitions are related to [4].

Definition 3.1. Let \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 be two constructible subsets of $\mathfrak{O}b\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$, the direct sum of \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 is

$$\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_2 = \{[X_1 \oplus X_2] \mid [X_1] \in \mathcal{O}_1, [X_2] \in \mathcal{O}_2 \text{ and } X_1, X_2 \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})\}.$$

Let $n\mathcal{O}$ denote the direct sum of n copies of \mathcal{O} for $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $0\mathcal{O} = \{[0]\}$. Similarly, let nX denote the direct sum of n copies of $X \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$. A constructible subset \mathcal{O} of $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ is called indecomposable if $X \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ is indecomposable and $X \not\cong 0$ for every $[X] \in \mathcal{O}$.

A constructible set \mathcal{O} is called to be of Krull-Schmidt if

$$\mathcal{O} = n_1\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus n_2\mathcal{O}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus n_k\mathcal{O}_k,$$

where \mathcal{O}_i are indecomposable constructible sets and $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. If a constructible set $\mathcal{Q} = \coprod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_i$, where \mathcal{Q}_i are constructible sets of Krull-Schmidt for $1 \leq i \leq n$, namely \mathcal{Q} is a disjoint union of finitely many constructible sets of Krull-Schmidt, then \mathcal{Q} is said to be a constructible set of stratified Krull-Schmidt.

Let \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 be two indecomposable constructible sets. If $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2 \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{O}_1 \neq \mathcal{O}_2$, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_2 = 2(\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2) \amalg \left((\mathcal{O}_1 \setminus (\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2)) \oplus (\mathcal{O}_2 \setminus (\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2)) \right)$$

$$\amalg \left((\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2) \oplus (\mathcal{O}_2 \setminus (\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2)) \right) \amalg \left((\mathcal{O}_1 \setminus (\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2)) \oplus (\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2) \right).$$

If $\mathcal{Q} = m_1\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus m_l\mathcal{O}_l$ is a constructible set of Krull-Schmidt, we can write $\mathcal{Q} = \coprod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_i$ as a constructible set of stratified Krull-Schmidt, where

$$\mathcal{Q}_i = n_{i1}\mathcal{O}_{i1} \oplus n_{i2}\mathcal{O}_{i2} \oplus \dots \oplus n_{ik_i}\mathcal{O}_{ik_i}$$

for indecomposable constructible sets \mathcal{O}_{ij} which are disjoint each other. Hence we can assume that $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_l$ are disjoint each other.

Let $\text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ be the subspace of $\text{CF}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ which is spanned by characteristic functions $1_{\mathcal{O}}$ for constructible sets of stratified Krull-Schmidt \mathcal{O} , where each $1_{\mathcal{O}}$ satisfies that $1_{\mathcal{O}}([X]) = 1$ for $[X] \in \mathcal{O}$, and $1_{\mathcal{O}}([X]) = 0$ otherwise.

Example 3.2. Let \mathbb{P}^1 be the projective line over \mathbb{K} and $\text{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ denote the category of coherent sheaves on \mathbb{P}^1 .

Let $\mathcal{O}(n)$ denote an indecomposable locally free coherent sheaf whose rank and degree are equal to 1 and n respectively. Let $S_x^{[r]}$ be an indecomposable torsion sheaf such that $\text{rk}(S_x^{[r]}) = 0$, $\text{deg}(S_x^{[r]}) = r$ and the support of $S_x^{[r]}$ is $\{x\}$ for $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$. The Grothendieck group $K_0(\text{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. The

data $K(\text{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1))$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\text{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)}$ are defined in [10, Example 9.1]. The set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in $\text{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is

$$\{[S_x^{[d]}] \mid x \in \mathbb{P}^1, d \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{[O(n)] \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Recall that a non-trivial subset $U \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ is closed (resp. open) if U is a finite (resp. cofinite) set. Let \mathcal{O}_d be a finite or cofinite subset of $\{[S_x^{[d]}] \mid x \in \mathbb{P}^1\}$ for each $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and \mathcal{O}_0 a finite subset of $\{[O(n)] \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then \mathcal{O}_d and \mathcal{O}_0 are indecomposable constructible subsets of $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\text{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)}(\mathbb{K})$. Note that every indecomposable constructible subset of $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\text{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)}(\mathbb{K})$ is of the form

$$\mathcal{O}_0 \amalg \mathcal{O}_{i_1} \amalg \dots \amalg \mathcal{O}_{i_n}$$

for $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n$. Then the finite direct sum $\oplus(\mathcal{O}_0 \amalg \mathcal{O}_{i_1} \amalg \dots \amalg \mathcal{O}_{i_n})$ is a constructible set of Krull-Schmidt. Every constructible set of Krull-Schmidt in $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\text{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)}(\mathbb{K})$ is of the form. A constructible set of stratified Krull-Schmidt is a disjoint union of finitely many constructible sets of Krull-Schmidt.

Example 3.3. In Example 2.10, $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of all isomorphism classes of project complexes in $\mathcal{P}^b(Q, \underline{\mathbf{m}})$. Note that

$$\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\mathbb{C}) = \amalg_{\underline{\mathbf{m}} \in K'(\mathcal{P}^b(Q))} \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}(\mathbb{C}).$$

There is a canonical map

$$p_{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} : \mathcal{P}^b(Q, \underline{\mathbf{m}}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}(\mathbb{C})$$

which maps P^\bullet to $[P^\bullet]$. A subset $U \subseteq \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}(\mathbb{C})$ is closed (resp. open) if $p_{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}^{-1}(U)$ is closed (resp. open) in $\mathcal{P}^b(Q, \underline{\mathbf{m}})$. A subset $V_{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}(\mathbb{C})$ is locally closed if it is an intersection of a closed subset and an open subset of $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}(\mathbb{C})$. A subset $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\mathbb{C})$ is constructible if it is a finite disjoint union of locally closed sets $V_{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}$. Every indecomposable constructible set \mathcal{O} is of the form $\amalg_{\underline{\mathbf{m}} \in S} V_{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}$, where S is a finite set and each complex in $p_{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}^{-1}(V_{\underline{\mathbf{m}}})$ is an indecomposable complex.

3.2. Automorphism groups of conflations

For each $X \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$, suppose that $X = n_1X_1 \oplus n_2X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus n_tX_t$, where X_i are indecomposable for $i = 1, \dots, t$ and $X_i \not\cong X_j$ for $i \neq j$. Then we have

$$\text{Aut}(X) \cong (1 + \text{radEnd}(X)) \times \sum_{i=1}^t \text{GL}(n_i, \mathbb{K}).$$

The rank of maximal torus of $\text{Aut}(X)$ is denoted by $\text{rk Aut}(X)$. Let $n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_t$. Thus the number of indecomposable direct summands of X is n , which is denoted by $\gamma(X)$. Note that $\gamma(X) = \text{rk Aut}(X)$. Let

$$\gamma(\mathcal{O}) = \max\{\gamma(X) \mid [X] \in \mathcal{O}\}$$

for each constructible set \mathcal{O} in $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$.

Let $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ be a conflation in \mathcal{A} and $\text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$ denote the group of (a_1, a_2, a_3) for $a_1 \in \text{Aut}(X)$, $a_2 \in \text{Aut}(Y)$ and $a_3 \in \text{Aut}(Z)$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\ a_1 \downarrow & & a_2 \downarrow & & a_3 \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \end{array}$$

The homomorphism

$$p_1 : \text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Y)$$

is defined by $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \mapsto a_2$. If $p_1((a_1, a_2, a_3)) = p_1((a'_1, a'_2, a'_3))$ then $f(a_1 - a'_1) = 0$ and $(a_3 - a'_3)g = 0$. We have $a_1 = a'_1$ and $a_3 = a'_3$ since f is an inflation and g a deflation. Hence p_1 is an injective homomorphism of affine algebraic \mathbb{K} -groups and

$$(2) \quad \text{rk}(\text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)) = \text{rk Imp}_1 \leq \text{rk Aut}(Y)$$

Let

$$p_2 : \text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X) \times \text{Aut}(Z)$$

be a homomorphism given by $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \mapsto (a_1, a_3)$. If $p_2((a_1, a_2, a_3)) = p_2((a_1, a'_2, a_3))$, then $(a_2 - a'_2)f = 0$ and $g(a_2 - a'_2) = 0$, we have

$$a_2 - a'_2 \in (\text{Hom}(Z, Y)g) \cap (f \text{Hom}(Y, X)).$$

Observe that $\text{Ker}p_2$ is a linear space. It follows that $\chi(\text{Ker}p_2) = 1$ and

$$(3) \quad \text{rk Im}(p_2) \leq \text{rk Aut}(X) + \text{rk Aut}(Z).$$

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ be a complete set of representatives of all isomorphism classes of objects in \mathcal{A} . Let $W(X, Z; Y) = \{(f, g) \mid X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \in \mathcal{S}\}$. Note that $W(X, Z; Y)$ is a subset of $\text{Hom}(X, Y) \times \text{Hom}(Y, Z)$. Let $W(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y)$ denote the set of $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \in \mathcal{S}$, where $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ and $[X] \in \mathcal{O}_1, [Y] \in \mathcal{O}_2$.

Lemma 3.4. *For $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$, the set $W(X, Z; Y)$ is a constructible subset of $\text{Hom}(X, Y) \times \text{Hom}(Y, Z)$.*

Proof. Recall that $\text{Hom}(A, ?)$ and $\text{Hom}(?, A)$ are left exact functors for each $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$. The inflation f induces a monomorphism

$$f^* : \text{Hom}(?, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(?, Y)$$

in the functor category $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Ab})$, where \mathbf{Ab} denotes the category of abelian groups. Recall that $\text{Hom}(?, X)$ is a projective object. Because \mathbf{Ab} is an abelian category, $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Ab})$ is also an abelian category. Let $P(X)$ denote $\text{Hom}(?, X)$ and $\text{inj}(P(X), P(Y))$ denote the set of monomorphisms $f^* : P(X) \hookrightarrow P(Y)$. Using $\text{inf}(X, Y)$ to denote the set of inflations between X and Y . Note that $\text{inf}(X, Y)$ is isomorphic to $\text{inj}(P(X), P(Y))$. Because $\text{inj}(P(X), P(Y)) = \text{Aut}(P(X))f^*$, $\text{inj}(P(X), P(Y))$ is a locally closed subset. Therefore $\text{inf}(X, Y)$ is locally closed.

Let $P'(Z) = \text{Hom}(Z, ?)$. Similarly, the deflation g induces a monomorphism

$$g^* : \text{Hom}(Z, ?) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Y, ?),$$

then the set $\text{inj}(P'(Z), P'(Y)) = \text{Aut}(Z)g^*$ is locally closed. Hence the set of deflations $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ is a locally closed set.

Fixed $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$, using the facts that f is an inflation and g a deflation, we obtain that $gf = 0$ if and only if $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ is a conflation. Clearly, $(f, g) \in \text{Hom}(X, Y) \times \text{Hom}(Y, Z)$ satisfying above conditions if and only if $(f, g) \in W(X, Z; Y)$. Hence $W(X, Z; Y)$ is constructible. \square

Two conflations $X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z$ and $X' \xrightarrow{i'} Y \xrightarrow{d'} Z'$ in \mathcal{A} are said to be equivalent if there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{i} & Y & \xrightarrow{d} & Z \\ f \downarrow & & 1_Y \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ X' & \xrightarrow{i'} & Y & \xrightarrow{d'} & Z' \end{array}$$

where both f and g are isomorphisms. If the two conflations are equivalent, we write $X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim X' \xrightarrow{i'} Y \xrightarrow{d'} Z'$. The equivalence class of $X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z$ is denoted by $\langle X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z \rangle$. Define

$$V(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y) = \{ \langle X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z \rangle \mid X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z \in \mathcal{S}, [X] \in \mathcal{O}_1, [Z] \in \mathcal{O}_2 \},$$

where \mathcal{S} is the collection of all conflations of \mathcal{A} . Note that $V([X], [Z]; Y)$ is isomorphic to the orbit space $W(X, Z; Y)/(\text{Aut } X \times \text{Aut } Z)$. Note that

$$[W(X, Z; Y)/(\text{Aut } X \times \text{Aut } Z)] = W(X, Z; Y)/(\text{Aut } X \times \text{Aut } Z)$$

since the action of $\text{Aut } X \times \text{Aut } Z$ on $W(X, Z; Y)$ is free. Hence $V([X], [Z]; Y)$ is a quotient stack.

3.3. Associative algebras and Lie algebras

For $f, g \in \text{CF}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$, define $f \cdot g$ by $(f \cdot g)([X], [Y]) = f([X])g([Y])$ for $([X], [Y]) \in \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$. Thus $f \cdot g \in \text{CF}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$.

By [10, Theorem 8.4], π_m is representable and $\pi_l \times \pi_r$ is of finite type. The pushforward of π_m is well-defined and p_1 is injective. The following definition of multiplication is taken from [12, Definition 4.1].

Definition 3.5. Using the following diagram

$$\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}} \xleftarrow{\pi_l \times \pi_r} \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\pi_m} \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}},$$

we can define the convolution multiplication

$$\text{CF}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}) \xrightarrow{(\pi_l \times \pi_r)^*} \text{CF}(\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}}) \xrightarrow{(\pi_m)_!} \text{CF}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}).$$

The multiplication $*$: $\text{CF}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}) \times \text{CF}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow \text{CF}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a bilinear map defined by

$$f * g = (\pi_m)![(\pi_l \times \pi_r)^*(f \cdot g)] = (\pi_m)![\pi_l^*(f) \cdot \pi_r^*(g)].$$

Let \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 be constructible subsets of $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$, the meaning of $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}$ can be understood as follows. The function $m_{\pi_m} : \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, which is defined by

$$m_{\pi_m}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z]) = \chi[\text{Aut}(Y)/p_1(\text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z))],$$

is a locally constructible function on $\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ by [11, Proposition 4.16], namely $m_{\pi_m}|_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a constructible function on \mathcal{O} for every constructible subset $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$.

For each $[Y] \in \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$,

$$(4) \quad 1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([Y]) = \sum_{c \in \Lambda(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y)} c \chi^{na}(Q_c(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, Y)),$$

where

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y) = \{c = m_{\pi_m}([A \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} B]) \mid [A] \in \mathcal{O}_1, [B] \in \mathcal{O}_2\} \setminus \{0\}$$

is a finite set, and

$$Q_c(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, Y) =$$

$$\{[A \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} B] \mid [A] \in \mathcal{O}_1, [B] \in \mathcal{O}_2, m_{\pi_m}([A \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} B]) = c\}$$

are constructible sets for $c \in \Lambda(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y)$. In fact, the 1-morphism $\pi_l \times \pi_r$ is of finite type by [10, Theorem 8.4]. Hence $(\pi_{l*} \times \pi_{r*})^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_1 \times \mathcal{O}_2)$ is a constructible subset of $\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y) = m_{\pi_m} [((\pi_{l*} \times \pi_{r*})^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_1 \times \mathcal{O}_2)) \cap ((\pi_{m*})^{-1}([Y]))] \setminus \{0\}$$

is a finite set by [11, Proposition 4.6]. Therefore

$$Q_c(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, Y) = m_{\pi_m}^{-1}(c) \cap [(\pi_{l*} \times \pi_{r*})^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_1 \times \mathcal{O}_2)] \cap ((\pi_{m*})^{-1}([Y]))$$

are constructible for all $c \in \Lambda(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y)$.

For each $([X], [Z]) \in \mathcal{O}_1 \times \mathcal{O}_2$, let

$$\Lambda(X, Z; Y) = \{c = m_{\pi_m}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z]) \mid [X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z] \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})\}$$

and

$$Q_c(X, Z, Y) = \{[X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z] \mid m_{\pi_m}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z]) = c\},$$

where $\Lambda(X, Z; Y)$ is a finite set and $Q_c(X, Z, Y)$ are constructible sets for all $c \in \Lambda(X, Z; Y)$. Then

$$(5) \quad (1_{[X]} * 1_{[Z]})([Y]) = \sum_{c \in \Lambda(X, Z; Y)} c \chi^{na}(Q_c(X, Z, Y)).$$

The set consisting of $\chi\left(\text{Aut}(Y)/p_1(\text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z))\right)$, where

$$[X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z] \in \bigcup_{c \in \Lambda(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y)} Q_c(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, Y),$$

is finite since $\chi(\text{Aut}(Y)/\text{Imp}_1) = m_{\pi_m}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z])$.

Let

$$\pi_1 : V(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y) \rightarrow \bigcup_{c \in \Lambda(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y)} Q_c(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, Y)$$

be a morphism given by $\langle X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \rangle \mapsto ([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z])$. For each fibre of π_1 , $\chi^{na}(\pi_1^{-1}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z])) = \chi\left(\text{Aut}(Y)/p_1(\text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z))\right)$.

The following result is due to [4, Proposition 6] and [12, Theorem 4.3].

Theorem 3.6. *The \mathbb{Q} -space $\text{CF}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is an associative \mathbb{Q} -algebra, with convolution multiplication $*$ and identity $1_{[0]}$, where $1_{[0]}$ is the characteristic function of $[0] \in \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ and \mathcal{O}_3 be constructible subsets of $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$. It suffices to show that $(1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}) * 1_{\mathcal{O}_3}([M]) = 1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * (1_{\mathcal{O}_2} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_3})([M])$ for $M \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$. Take $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ satisfying $[X] \in \mathcal{O}_1, [Y] \in \mathcal{O}_2$ and $[Z] \in \mathcal{O}_3$. Consider

$(f, g, m, l) \in W(X, Y; L) \times W(L, Z; M)$. There is a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \\ \downarrow l & & \downarrow l' \\ M & \xrightarrow{g'} & L' \end{array}$$

where $L' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$. We obtain an inflation $l' : Y \rightarrow L'$ and a deflation $g' : M \rightarrow L'$. Let $f' = lf$. Then f' is an inflation and $g'f' = 0$. Hence g' is a cokernel of f' and $X \xrightarrow{f'} M \xrightarrow{g'} L'$ is a conflation.

There is a morphism $m' : L' \rightarrow Z$ such that $m = m'g'$ and $m'l' = 0$. It is easy to see that l' is a kernel of m' and (l', m') is a conflation. The following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & L & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \\ \parallel & & \downarrow l & & \downarrow l' \\ X & \xrightarrow{f'} & M & \xrightarrow{g'} & L' \\ & & \downarrow m & & \downarrow m' \\ & & Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & Z \end{array}$$

Note that the rows and columns are conflations. For $L, L' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$, we claim that the morphism

$$\cup_L V([X], [Y]; L) \times V([L], [Z]; M) \xrightarrow{F} \cup_{L'} V([X], [L']; M) \times V([Y], [Z]; L'),$$

which maps $(\langle X \xrightarrow{f} L \xrightarrow{g} Y \rangle, \langle L \xrightarrow{l} M \xrightarrow{m} Z \rangle)$ to $(\langle X \xrightarrow{f'} M \xrightarrow{g'} L' \rangle, \langle Y \xrightarrow{l'} L' \xrightarrow{m'} Z \rangle)$, is a bijection. The proof of this claim is quite similar to the proof of [8, Proposition 2] and so is omitted. The morphism F induces a morphism $T : \mathcal{TS}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{TS}(\mathbb{K})$ by

$$([X \xrightarrow{f} L \xrightarrow{g} Y], [L \xrightarrow{l} M \xrightarrow{m} Z]) \mapsto ([X \xrightarrow{f'} M \xrightarrow{g'} L'], [Y \xrightarrow{l'} L' \xrightarrow{m'} Z]).$$

The following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cup_L V([X], [Y]; L) \times V([L], [Z]; M) & \xrightarrow{F} & \cup_{L'} V([X], [L']; M) \times V([Y], [Z]; L') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{TS}(\mathbb{K}) & \xrightarrow{T} & \mathcal{TS}(\mathbb{K}) \end{array}$$

Let $c \in \Lambda(X, Y; L)$, $d \in \Lambda(L, Z; M)$, $c' \in \Lambda(X, L'; M)$, $d' \in \Lambda(Y, Z; L')$. Assume that $m_{\pi_m}([X \xrightarrow{f} L \xrightarrow{g} Y]) = c$, $m_{\pi_m}([L \xrightarrow{l} M \xrightarrow{m} Z]) = d$, $m_{\pi_m}([X \xrightarrow{f'} M \xrightarrow{g'} L']) = c'$ and $m_{\pi_m}([Y \xrightarrow{l'} L' \xrightarrow{m'} Z]) = d'$. Then

$$\chi^{\text{na}}(T^{-1}([X \xrightarrow{f'} M \xrightarrow{g'} L'], [Y \xrightarrow{l'} L' \xrightarrow{m'} Z])) = \frac{c'd'}{cd}.$$

Let $Q_c(X, Y, L)$ be as in Section 3.3. By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$cd\chi^{\text{na}}(Q_c(X, Y, L))\chi^{\text{na}}(Q_d(L, Z, M)) = c'd'\chi^{\text{na}}(Q'_c(X, L', M))\chi^{\text{na}}(Q'_d(Y, Z, L')).$$

It follows that $(1_{[X]} * 1_{[Y]}) * 1_{[Z]}([M]) = 1_{[X]} * (1_{[Y]} * 1_{[Z]})([M])$. Recall that

$$(1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}) * 1_{\mathcal{O}_3}([M]) = \int_{[X] \in \mathcal{O}_1, [Y] \in \mathcal{O}_2, [Z] \in \mathcal{O}_3} (1_{[X]} * 1_{[Y]}) * 1_{[Z]}([M])$$

and

$$1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * (1_{\mathcal{O}_2} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_3})([M]) = \int_{[X] \in \mathcal{O}_1, [Y] \in \mathcal{O}_2, [Z] \in \mathcal{O}_3} 1_{[X]} * (1_{[Y]} * 1_{[Z]})([M]).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.6. \square

Joyce defined $\text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ to be the subspace of $\text{CF}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ such that if $f([X]) \neq 0$ then X is an indecomposable object in \mathcal{A} for every $f \in \text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$. There is a result of [4, Theorem 13] and [12, Theorem 4.9].

Theorem 3.7. *The \mathbb{Q} -space $\text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a Lie algebra under the Lie bracket $[f, g] = f * g - g * f$ for $f, g \in \text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 be two indecomposable constructible sets. It suffices to show that $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2} - 1_{\mathcal{O}_2} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_1} \in \text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2 = \emptyset$. By corollary 3.13, $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2} - 1_{\mathcal{O}_2} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_1} \in \text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$. \square

3.4. The algebra $\text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$

Lemma 3.8. *Let \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 be two constructible subsets of $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$. For any $Y \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$, if $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([Y]) \neq 0$, then there exists a conflation $A \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} B$ in \mathcal{A} satisfying that $[A] \in \mathcal{O}_1$, $[B] \in \mathcal{O}_2$ and $m_{\pi_m}([A \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} B]) \neq 0$. Moreover, there exist $X, Z \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $[X] \in \mathcal{O}_1$, $[Z] \in \mathcal{O}_2$ and $1_{[X]} * 1_{[Z]}([Y]) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let $Q_c(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, Y)$ and $\Lambda(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y)$ be as in Section 3.3. Let

$$Q = \sqcup_{c \in \Lambda(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y)} Q_c(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, Y) \text{ and } Q_c = Q_c(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, Y)$$

for simplicity. Since $\Lambda(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, Y)$ is a finite set, Q is constructible.

For each $c \in \Lambda(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y)$, there exists some conflations $A \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} B$ in \mathcal{A} such that $[A] \in \mathcal{O}_1$, $[B] \in \mathcal{O}_2$ and $m_{\pi_m}([A \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} B]) = c$. By equation (4), we know that there exist some $c \neq 0$. This proves the first statement.

Let

$$\pi : Q \rightarrow (\pi_{l*} \times \pi_{r*})(Q)$$

be a map which maps $[X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z]$ to $([X], [Z])$ and

$$m_m = m_{\pi_m}|_Q.$$

It follows that m_m is a constructible function over Q .

Because $\pi_l \times \pi_r$ is a 1-morphism, π is a pseudomorphism by [11, Proposition 4.6]. Thus $\pi(Q)$ is constructible and the naïve pushforward $(\pi)_!^{\text{na}}(m_m)$ of m_m to $\pi(Q)$ exists. Note that $(\pi)_!^{\text{na}}(m_m)$ is a constructible function on $\pi(Q)$. In fact

$$(\pi)_!^{\text{na}}(m_m)([X], [Z]) = 1_{[X]} * 1_{[Z]}([Y])$$

for all $([X], [Z]) \in \pi(Q)$. Therefore

$$\{1_{[X]} * 1_{[Z]}([Y]) \mid ([X], [Z]) \in \pi(Q)\}$$

is a finite set. Note that

$$\pi^{-1}([X], [Z]) = \{[X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z] \in Q_c\} = Q_c(X, Z, Y)$$

is constructible for $([X], [Z]) \in \pi(Q_c)$ since $\pi_l \times \pi_r$ is of finite type. The set

$$\{1_{[X]} * 1_{[Z]}([Y]) \mid ([X], [Z]) \in \pi(Q)\}$$

is a finite set since $1_{[X]} * 1_{[Z]}$ is a constructible function. Using the equation 5 and the fact that $\Lambda(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, Y)$ is a finite set, we know that

$$\{\chi^{\text{na}}(Q_c(X, Z, Y)) \mid ([X], [Z]) \in \pi(Q)\}$$

is a finite set.

Suppose that

$$S_c(X, Z) = \left\{ ([A], [B]) \in \pi(Q_c) \mid \chi^{\text{na}}(\pi^{-1}([A], [B])) = \chi^{\text{na}}(Q_c(X, Z, Y)) \right\}.$$

Then we have

$$\chi^{\text{na}}(Q_c) = \sum_{([X], [Z])} \chi^{\text{na}}(S_c(X, Z)) \chi^{\text{na}}(Q_c(X, Z, Y))$$

for finitely many $([X], [Z]) \in \pi(Q_c)$.

For $c \in \Lambda(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y)$, let $\{([X_1^{(c)}], [Z_1^{(c)}]), \dots, ([X_{k_c}^{(c)}], [Z_{k_c}^{(c)}])\}$ be a complete set of representatives for $([X], [Z]) \in \pi(Q_c)$ such that

$$\chi^{\text{na}}(Q_c(X_i^{(c)}, Z_i^{(c)}, Y)) \neq \chi^{\text{na}}(Q_c(X_j^{(c)}, Z_j^{(c)}, Y))$$

for $i \neq j$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k_c\}$. It is easy to see that

$$\pi(Q_c) = \sqcup_{i=1}^{k_c} S_c(X_i^{(c)}, Z_i^{(c)}) \text{ and } \pi(Q) = \cup_c (\sqcup_{i=1}^{k_c} S_c(X_i^{(c)}, Z_i^{(c)})).$$

Assume that $m_m(Q) = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m\}$. Set

$$S(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) = S_{c_{i_1}}(X_{l_{i_1}}^{(c_{i_1})}, Z_{l_{i_1}}^{(c_{i_1})}) \cap \dots \cap S_{c_{i_n}}(X_{l_{i_n}}^{(c_{i_n})}, Z_{l_{i_n}}^{(c_{i_n})})$$

be a non-empty set for $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n \leq m$ and $1 \leq l_{i_j} \leq k_{c_{i_j}}$, which satisfies the ‘minimal’ condition, namely $S(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \cap S_c(X_i^{(c)}, Z_i^{(c)}) = \emptyset$ for any $c \notin \{c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_n}\}$ or $i \notin \{l_{i_1}, \dots, l_{i_n}\}$. The choice of $S(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ are finite. By definition, $S(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ are pairwise disjoint. For simplicity, we use S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r to denote sets $S(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$. It follows that

$$S_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup S_r = \pi(Q).$$

By Lemma 2.5, we obtain that

$$\sum_{c \in \Lambda(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y)} c \chi^{\text{na}}(Q_c) = \sum_{c \in \Lambda(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y)} c \sum_{i=1}^r \chi^{\text{na}}(S_i) \chi^{\text{na}}(Q_c(X_i, Z_i, Y)) \delta(i, c),$$

where $([X_i], [Z_i]) \in S_i$, $\delta(i, c) = 1$ if $S_i \cap \pi(Q_c(X_i, Z_i, Y)) \neq \emptyset$ and $\delta(i, c) = 0$ otherwise. Then

$$1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([Y]) = \sum_{i=1}^r \chi^{\text{na}}(S_i) \sum_{c \in \Lambda(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y)} c \chi^{\text{na}}(Q_c(X_i, Z_i, Y)) \delta(i, c)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^r \chi^{na}(S_i)(1_{[X_i]} * 1_{[Z_i]}([Y])).$$

There exists $([X_i], [Z_i])$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $1_{[X_i]} * 1_{[Z_i]}([Y]) \neq 0$ since $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([Y]) \neq 0$. \square

Let $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathbb{K})$ denote the group of invertible diagonal matrices in $\mathbf{GL}(n, \mathbb{K})$. The following lemma is related to Riedtmann[20, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 3.9. *Let $X, Y, Z \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ and $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ be a conflation in \mathcal{A} . If $m_{\pi_m}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z]) \neq 0$, then $\gamma(Y) \leq \gamma(X) + \gamma(Z)$. In particular, $\gamma(Y) = \gamma(X) + \gamma(Z)$ if and only if $Y \cong X \oplus Z$.*

Proof. Recall that $m_{\pi_m}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z]) = \chi(\text{Aut } Y / \text{Im}(p_1))$.

If $\text{rk Aut}(Y) > \text{rk Im}(p_1)$, then the fibre of the action of a maximal torus of $\text{Aut}(Y)$ on $\text{Aut } Y / \text{Im}(p_1)$ is $(\mathbb{K}^*)^k$ for some $k \geq 1$, it forces $\chi(\text{Aut } Y / \text{Im}(p_1)) = 0$. Hence we have $\text{rk Aut}(Y) = \text{rk Im}(p_1) \leq \text{rk Aut}(X) + \text{rk Aut}(Z)$.

We prove the second assertion by induction on $\text{rk Aut}(Y)$. First of all, suppose that $X \not\cong 0$ and $Z \not\cong 0$. If $\text{rk Aut}(Y) = 2$ and $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$, then $\text{rk Aut}(X) = \text{rk Aut}(Z) = 1$ since X and Z are not isomorphic to 0. For $t \in \mathbb{K}^* \setminus \{1\}$, $\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Aut}(Y)$ and it is an element of a maximal torus $\mathbf{D}_2(\mathbb{K})$ of $\text{Aut}(Y)$. A maximal torus of $\text{Im}(p_1)$ is also a maximal torus of $\text{Aut}(Y)$ since $\text{rk Aut}(Y) = \text{rk Im}(p_1)$. Because two maximal tori of a connected linear algebraic group are conjugate, there exists $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(Y)$ such that $\alpha \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1}$ lies in a maximal torus of $\text{Im}(p_1)$. Hence there exist $a \in \text{Aut}(X)$ and $b \in \text{Aut}(Z)$ satisfying $(a, \alpha \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1}, b) \in \text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$, namely

$$(a, \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix}, b) \in \text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{\alpha^{-1}f} Y \xrightarrow{g\alpha} Z).$$

Let $f' = \alpha^{-1}f$ and $g' = g\alpha$. Observe $(t, \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}, t) \in \text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f'} Y \xrightarrow{g'} Z)$. Hence $f'(a - t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 - t \end{pmatrix} f'$. Let $s = \frac{1}{t^2 - t}(a - t) \in \text{End}(X)$ ($t \neq$

0, 1). Then $f's = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f'$. Because f' is an inflation and

$$f's^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f's = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f' = f's,$$

$s^2 = s$. The category \mathcal{A} is idempotent completion, consequently s has a kernel and an image such that $X = \text{Kers } s \oplus \text{Im } s$. But X is indecomposable, without loss of generality we can assume $X = \text{Kers } s$. Then $s = 0$. Let $f' = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $g' = (g_1, g_2)$. It follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = f's = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $f_2 = 0$ and $f' = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The morphism $Y_1 \oplus Y_2 \xrightarrow{(0,1)} Y_2$ is a deflation by [2, Lemma 2.7]. Because $(0, 1) \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$, there exists $h \in \text{Hom}(Z, Y_1)$ such that $(0, 1) = h(g_1, g_2)$. We have $hg_1 = 0$ and $hg_2 = 1_{Y_2}$. Observe $g_2h \in \text{End}(Z)$ and $(g_2h)(g_2h) = g_2h$, so g_2h has a kernel $k : K \rightarrow Z$ and an image $i : I \rightarrow Z$. Moreover $Z \cong K \oplus I$. It follows that $Z \cong K$ or $Z \cong I$ since Z is indecomposable. If $Z \cong K$ then $g_2h = 0$. But $hg_2h = h$, $K = 0$. Thus h is an isomorphism and $g_1 = 0$. We have $Z \cong Y_2$. Similarly $X \cong Y_1$. Hence $X \oplus Z \cong Y_1 \oplus Y_2$.

Assume that the assertion is true for $\text{rk Aut}(Y) = n < N$. When $n = N$, we can assume $\text{rk Aut}(X) = n_1$ where $0 < n_1 < N$, then $\text{rk Aut}(Z) = N - n_1 = n_2$. Let $Y = Y' \oplus Y_N$ and $Y' = Y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Y_{N-1}$, where Y_i are indecomposable. Observe that $\begin{pmatrix} tI_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix}$ lies in a maximal torus of $\text{Aut}(Y)$ for $t \in \mathbb{K}^* \setminus \{1\}$. There exists $(a, c, b) \in \text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$ such that c and $\begin{pmatrix} tI_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix}$ are conjugate in $\text{Aut}(Y)$. For simplicity we assume $c = \begin{pmatrix} tI_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix}$. So we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y' \oplus Y_N & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\ a \downarrow & & c \downarrow & & b \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y' \oplus Y_N & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \end{array}$$

where $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N)^t$ and $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N)$.

There is another commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{(f^*, f_N)^t} & Y' \oplus Y_N & \xrightarrow{(g^*, g_N)} & Z \\
 \downarrow tI_{n_1} & & \downarrow tI_N & & \downarrow tI_{n_2} \\
 X & \xrightarrow{(f^*, f_N)^t} & Y' \oplus Y_N & \xrightarrow{(g^*, g_N)} & Z
 \end{array}$$

where $f^* = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{N-1})^T$ and $g^* = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{N-1})$. Then $f = (f^*, f_N)^t$, $g = (g^*, g_N)$ and $f(a - tI_{n_1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0I_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 - t \end{pmatrix} f$. Let

$$s_N = \frac{1}{t^2 - t}(a - tI_{n_1}).$$

Then $f s_N = \text{diag}\{0, \dots, 0, 1\}f$. It follows $f^* s_N = 0$, $f_N s_N = f_N$ and $g_N f_N = g \begin{pmatrix} 0I_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f = g f s_N = 0$. Moreover s_N is an idempotent, we know that $X = \text{Ker}s_N \oplus \text{Im}s_N$. If $f_N \neq 0$ then $\text{Im}s_N$ is not isomorphic to 0. Similarly we can define $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{N-1} \in \text{End}(X)$ with the property that $f s_i = \text{diag}\{0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0\}f = (0, \dots, 0, f_i, 0, \dots, 0)^t$. Hence s_i is idempotent and if $f_i \neq 0$ then $\text{Im}s_i$ is not isomorphic to 0 for each i . Note that $s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_N = 1_X \in \text{Aut}(X)$, it follows

$$X = \text{Im}s_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \text{Im}s_N.$$

Hence $f_i = 0$ for some i since $\text{rk Aut}(X) < N$. Without loss of generality, we assume $f_N = 0$. Let $(0, \dots, 0, 1) : Y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Y_N \rightarrow Y_N$, then

$$(0, \dots, 0, 1)(f_1, \dots, f_N)^t = 0$$

Hence there exists $h \in \text{Hom}(Z, Y_N)$ such that $h(g_1, \dots, g_N) = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$, namely $hg_1 = 0, \dots, hg_{N-1} = 0$ and $hg_N = 1$. Therefore Y_N is isomorphic to a direct summand of Z . Assume that $Z = Z' \oplus Y_N$ where $\gamma(Z') = \gamma(Z) - 1$. The morphism $(1, 0) : Z' \oplus Y_N \rightarrow Z'$ is a deflation, so $g' = g^*(1, 0) : Y' \rightarrow Z'$ is a deflation by Definition A.1. Obviously, $(f_1, \dots, f_{N-1})^t : X \rightarrow Y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Y_{N-1}$ is a kernel of g' . Thus

$$X \xrightarrow{(f_1, \dots, f_{N-1})^t} Y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Y_{N-1} \xrightarrow{g'} Z'$$

is a conflation. By hypothesis, $Y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Y_{N-1} \cong X \oplus Z'$. Hence $Y = Y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Y_N \cong X \oplus Z$. The proof is completed. □

Remark 3.10. If $1_{[X]} * 1_{[Z]}([Y]) \neq 0$, then $\gamma(Y) \leq \gamma(X) + \gamma(Z)$, where the equality holds if and only if $Y \cong X \oplus Z$.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $X, Y, Z \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ and $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ be a conflation in \mathcal{A} . If $m_{\pi_m}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z]) \neq 0$, $\gamma(Y) < \gamma(X) + \gamma(Z)$ and $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$, then there exist two conflations $X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} Y_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} Z_1$ and $X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} Y_2 \xrightarrow{g_2} Z_2$ in \mathcal{A} such that $X \cong X_1 \oplus X_2$, $Z \cong Z_1 \oplus Z_2$ and $f = \text{diag}\{f_1, f_2\}$, $g = \text{diag}\{g_1, g_2\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\text{rk Aut}(X) = n_1$, $\text{rk Aut}(X) = N$ and $\text{rk Aut}(Z) = n_2$. Then $N < n_1 + n_2$. For simplicity, we use the notation as above. Let $Y = Y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Y_N$, $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N)^t$, $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N)$ and the isomorphisms $(a, c, b), (tI_{n_1}, tI_N, tI_{n_2}) \in \text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$, where $c = \begin{pmatrix} tI_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix}$. Recall that

$$s_N = \frac{1}{t^2 - t}(a - tI_{n_1}) \in \text{End}(X)$$

is an idempotent such that

$$fs_N = (0, \dots, 0, f_N)^t$$

and $X = \text{Ker}s_N \oplus \text{Im}s_N$. Similarly, there exists an idempotent

$$r_N = \frac{1}{t - t^2}(b - tI_{n_2})$$

in $\text{End}(Z)$ such that $r_N g = (0, \dots, 0, g_N)$ and $Z = \text{Ker}r_N \oplus \text{Im}r_N$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $f_N \neq 0$ and $g_N \neq 0$. Because $f_N s_N = f_N$ and $r_N g_N = g_N$,

$$g_N f_N = r_N g_N f_N s_N = r_N (g_1, \dots, g_N) (f_1, \dots, f_N)^t s_N = 0.$$

It is clear that $i : \text{Ker}s_N \hookrightarrow X$ is a kernel of $f_N : X \rightarrow Y_N$. There exists a morphism $f'_N : \text{Im}s_N \rightarrow Y_N$ which is an image of f_N since $X = \text{Ker}s_N \oplus \text{Im}s_N$. Similarly we can find a morphism $g'_N : Y_N \rightarrow \text{Im}r_N$ which is a coimage of g_N such that $g_N = jg'_N$, where $j : \text{Im}(r_N) \hookrightarrow Z$ is an image of g_N . It is easy to check that f'_N is an inflation, g'_N a deflation and $g'_N f'_N = 0$. Let

$h : Y_N \rightarrow A$ be a morphism in \mathcal{A} such that $hf'_N = 0$. The morphism

$$(0, \dots, 0, h) : Y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Y_N \rightarrow A$$

satisfies $(0, \dots, 0, h)f = 0$. There exists $k \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Z, A)$ such that

$$(0, \dots, 0, h) = kg$$

since g is a cokernel of f . It follows that $h = kg_N = kfg'_N$. Hence g'_N is a cokernel of f'_N . Therefore $\text{Im}s_N \xrightarrow{f'_N} Y_N \xrightarrow{g'_N} \text{Im}r_N$ is a conflation. By induction, every indecomposable direct summand of Y is extended by the direct summands of X and Z . The proof is finished. \square

Lemma 3.12. *Let \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 be two indecomposable constructible subsets of $\mathfrak{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$. Let $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\gamma(A) \geq 2$. If $[A] \notin \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_2$, then $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([A]) = 0$.*

Proof. If $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([A]) \neq 0$, then there exist $X, Y \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $[X] \in \mathcal{O}_1$, $[Y] \in \mathcal{O}_2$ and $1_{[X]} * 1_{[Y]}(A) \neq 0$ by Lemma 3.8. It follows that $\gamma(A) = 2$ and $A \cong X \oplus Y$ by Lemma 3.9 (also see [12, Theorem 4.9]). This leads to a contradiction. \square

Corollary 3.13. *Let \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 be indecomposable constructible subsets of $\mathfrak{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$. If $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2 = \emptyset$, then*

$$1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2} = 1_{\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_2} + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i 1_{\mathcal{P}_i}$$

where \mathcal{P}_i are indecomposable constructible subsets and $a_i = 1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([X])$ for $[X] \in \mathcal{P}_i$.

Proof. Let $[M] \in \mathcal{O}_1$ and $[N] \in \mathcal{O}_2$. Then M is not isomorphic to N since $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2 = \emptyset$. Using the fact that $m_{\pi_m}([M \xrightarrow{(1,0)^t} M \oplus N \xrightarrow{(0,1)} N]) = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([M \oplus N]) \\ &= m_{\pi_m}([M \xrightarrow{(1,0)^t} M \oplus N \xrightarrow{(0,1)} N]) \cdot \chi^{\text{na}}([M \xrightarrow{(1,0)^t} M \oplus N \xrightarrow{(0,1)} N]) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.12, we know that if $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([X]) \neq 0$ and $[X] \notin \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_2$, then X is an indecomposable object. Note that

$$(1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}(\mathfrak{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \setminus \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_2)) \setminus \{0\} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{P}_i = (1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2})^{-1}(a_i) \setminus \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_2$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. We complete the proof. \square

Using Lemma 3.9 and Lemma 3.11, one easily obtains the following corollary:

Corollary 3.14. *Let \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 be two constructible sets. There exist finitely many constructible sets $\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2, \dots, \mathcal{Q}_n$ such that*

$$1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i 1_{\mathcal{Q}_i}$$

where $\gamma(\mathcal{Q}_i) \leq \gamma(\mathcal{O}_1) + \gamma(\mathcal{O}_2)$ and $a_i = (1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2})([X])$ for any $[X] \in \mathcal{Q}_i$.

For indecomposable constructible sets $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_k$ and $X \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$, $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2} * \dots * 1_{\mathcal{O}_k}([X]) \neq 0$ implies that $\gamma(X) \leq k$. In particular, $\gamma(X) = k$ means $X = X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_k$ with $[X_i] \in \mathcal{O}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Let $X_1, \dots, X_m \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ and there be r isomorphic classes, we can assume that X_1, \dots, X_{m_1} are isomorphic, $X_{m_1+1}, \dots, X_{m_2}$ are isomorphic, \dots , and $X_{m_{r-1}+1}, \dots, X_{m_r}$ are isomorphic, where $m_1 + \dots + m_r = m$. By [12], we have

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{Aut}(X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_m) / \text{Aut}(X_1) \times \dots \times \text{Aut}(X_m) \\ & \cong \mathbb{K}^l \times \prod_{i=1}^r (\text{GL}(m_i, \mathbb{K}) / (\mathbb{K}^*)^{m_i}), \end{aligned}$$

$$(7) \quad \chi(\text{Aut}(X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus X_m) / \text{Aut}(X_1) \times \dots \times \text{Aut}(X_m)) = \prod_{i=1}^r m_i!$$

Proposition 3.15. *Let \mathcal{O} be an indecomposable constructible set. Then*

$$1_{\mathcal{O}}^{*k} = k! 1_{k\mathcal{O}} + \sum_{i=1}^t m_i 1_{\mathcal{P}_i}$$

where $\gamma(\mathcal{P}_i) < k$ for each i and $m_i = 1_{\mathcal{O}}^{*k}([X])$ for $[X] \in \mathcal{P}_i$.

Proof. We prove the proposition by induction on k . When $k = 1$, it is easy to see that the formula is true. If $k = 2$, then

$$1_{\mathcal{O}}^{*2}([X \oplus X]) = 1_{\mathcal{O}}([X]) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{O}}([X]) \cdot \chi(\text{Aut}(X \oplus X)/\text{Aut}(X) \times \text{Aut}(X)) = 2$$

for $[X] \in \mathcal{O}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{\mathcal{O}}^{*2}([X \oplus Y]) &= \\ (1_{\mathcal{O}}([X])1_{\mathcal{O}}([Y]) + 1_{\mathcal{O}}([Y])1_{\mathcal{O}}([X])) \cdot \chi(\text{Aut}(X \oplus Y)/\text{Aut}(X) \times \text{Aut}(Y)) \\ &= 2, \end{aligned}$$

where $[X], [Y] \in \mathcal{O}$ and $X \not\cong Y$. If $[X] \notin \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}$ and $\gamma(X) \geq 2$ then $1_{\mathcal{O}}^{*2}([X]) = 0$ by Lemma 3.12. Hence $1_{\mathcal{O}}^{*2} = 2 \cdot 1_{\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}} + \sum_i m_i \mathcal{P}_i$ where \mathcal{P}_i are indecomposable constructible sets by Corollary 3.14.

Now we suppose that the formula is true for $k \leq n$. When $k = n + 1$, we have

$$1_{\mathcal{O}}^{*(n+1)} = 1_{\mathcal{O}}^{*(n)} * 1_{\mathcal{O}} = (n!1_{n\mathcal{O}} + \sum c_{\mathcal{P}'} 1_{\mathcal{P}'}) * 1_{\mathcal{O}},$$

where \mathcal{P}' are constructible sets with $\gamma(\mathcal{P}') < n$. If the formula is true for $k = n + 1$, then

$$n!1_{n\mathcal{O}} * 1_{\mathcal{O}} = (n + 1)!1_{(n+1)\mathcal{O}} + \sum c_{\mathcal{Q}} 1_{\mathcal{Q}},$$

where \mathcal{Q} are constructible sets with $\gamma(\mathcal{Q}) < n + 1$. Hence it suffices to show that the initial term of $1_{n\mathcal{O}} * 1_{\mathcal{O}}$ is $(n + 1)1_{(n+1)\mathcal{O}}$, namely $(1_{n\mathcal{O}} * 1_{\mathcal{O}})([X]) = n + 1$ for all $[X] \in (n + 1)\mathcal{O}$.

Assume that $X = m_1 X_1 \oplus m_2 X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus m_r X_r$, where $X_1, \dots, X_r \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ which are not isomorphic to each other, $[X_i] \in \mathcal{O}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, m_1, \dots, m_r are positive integers and $m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_r = n + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} (1_{n\mathcal{O}} * 1_{\mathcal{O}})([X]) &= (1_{[(m_1-1)X_1 \oplus m_2 X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus m_r X_r]} * 1_{[X_1]})([X]) \\ &\quad + (1_{[m_1 X_1 \oplus (m_2-1)X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus m_r X_r]} * 1_{[X_2]})([X]) \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &\quad + (1_{[m_1 X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus m_{r-1} X_{r-1} \oplus (m_r-1)X_r]} * 1_{[X_r]})([X]) \end{aligned}$$

Using Equation (7), it follows that

$$1_{[X_1]}^{*(m_1-1)} * 1_{[X_2]}^{*m_2} * \dots * 1_{[X_r]}^{*m_r}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (m_1 - 1)!m_2! \dots m_r! 1_{[(m_1-1)X_1 \oplus m_2X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus m_rX_r]} + \dots, \\
 1_{[X_1]}^{*(m_1-1)} * 1_{[X_2]}^{*m_2} * \dots * 1_{[X_r]}^{*m_r} * 1_{[X_1]} &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^r m_i! \right) 1_{[m_1X_1 \oplus m_2X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus m_rX_r]} + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Compare the initial monomials of the two equations, it follows that

$$1_{[(m_1-1)X_1 \oplus m_2X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus m_rX_r]} * 1_{[X_1]} = m_1 1_{[m_1X_1 \oplus m_2X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus m_rX_r]} + \dots$$

Thus $1_{[(m_1-1)X_1 \oplus m_2X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus m_rX_r]} * 1_{[X_1]}([X]) = m_1$.

Similarly, we have $1_{[m_1X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus (m_i-1)X_i \oplus \dots \oplus m_rX_r]} * 1_{[X_i]}([X]) = m_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, r$. Hence $(1_n \mathcal{O} * 1_{\mathcal{O}})([X]) = \sum_{i=1}^r m_i = n + 1$ which completes the proof. □

By induction, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.16. *Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \dots, \mathcal{O}_k$ be indecomposable constructible sets which are pairwise disjoint. Then we have the following equations*

$$\begin{aligned}
 1_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{*n_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{*n_2} \dots * 1_{\mathcal{O}_k}^{*n_k} &= n_1!n_2! \dots n_k! 1_{n_1\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus n_k\mathcal{O}_k} + \dots, \\
 &1_{m_1\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus m_k\mathcal{O}_k} * 1_{n_1\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus n_k\mathcal{O}_k} \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{(m_i + n_i)!}{m_i!n_i!} 1_{(m_1+n_1)\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus (m_k+n_k)\mathcal{O}_k} + \dots,
 \end{aligned}$$

where k is a positive integer and $m_1, \dots, m_k, n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\text{Ind}(\alpha)$ be the subset of $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{K})$ such that X are indecomposable for all $[X] \in \text{Ind}(\alpha)$.

Lemma 3.17. *For each $\alpha \in K'(\mathcal{A})$, $\text{Ind}(\alpha)$ is a locally constructible set.*

Proof. Assume $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in K'(\mathcal{A}) \setminus \{0\}$. The map

$$f : \prod_{\substack{\beta, \gamma; \\ \beta + \gamma = \alpha}} \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\beta}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{K})$$

is defined by $([B], [C]) \mapsto [B \oplus C]$. It is clear that f is a pseudo-morphism. Every $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\beta}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ is a locally constructible set. For any constructible set $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$, there are finitely many $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\beta}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ such that $\mathcal{C} \cap (\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\beta}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{K})) \neq \emptyset$. Hence

$\coprod_{\beta, \gamma; \beta + \gamma = \alpha} \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\beta}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ is locally constructible. Then $\text{Im}f$ is a locally constructible set. It follows that $\text{Ind}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{K}) \setminus \text{Im}f$ is locally constructible. \square

The following proposition is due to [4, Proposition 11].

Proposition 3.18. *Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ be two constructible sets of Krull-Schmidt. It follows that*

$$1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2} = \sum_{i=1}^c a_i 1_{\mathcal{Q}_i}$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{N}^+$, where $a_i = 1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([X])$ for each $[X] \in \mathcal{Q}_i$ and \mathcal{Q}_i are constructible sets of stratified Krull-Schmidt such that $\gamma(\mathcal{Q}_i) \leq \gamma(\mathcal{O}_1) + \gamma(\mathcal{O}_2)$.

Proof. Because $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ are constructible sets, the equation holds for some constructible sets \mathcal{Q}_i with $\gamma(\mathcal{Q}_i) \leq \gamma(\mathcal{O}_1) + \gamma(\mathcal{O}_2)$ by Corollary 3.14.

For every $[Y_i] \in \mathcal{Q}_i$, $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([Y_i]) \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.8, there exist $X_i, Z_i \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $[X_i] \in \mathcal{O}_1$, $[Z_i] \in \mathcal{O}_2$ and $1_{[X_i]} * 1_{[Z_i]}([Y_i]) \neq 0$ since $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([Y_i]) \neq 0$. Thanks to Lemma 3.9, we have that $\gamma(Y_i) \leq \gamma(X_i) + \gamma(Z_i)$. According to Lemma 3.11, all indecomposable direct summands of Y_i are extended by the direct summands of X_i and Z_i since $1_{[X_i]} * 1_{[Z_i]}([Y_i]) \neq 0$.

By the discussion in Section 3.1, we can suppose that $\mathcal{O}_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t a_i \mathcal{C}_i$ and $\mathcal{O}_2 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^t b_j \mathcal{C}_j$, where $a_i, b_j \in \{0, 1\}$ for all i, j and \mathcal{C}_i are indecomposable constructible sets such that $\mathcal{C}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_j = \emptyset$ or $\mathcal{C}_i = \mathcal{C}_j$ for all $i \neq j$. Let $1 \leq r \leq t$, the set

$$\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r \mid \emptyset \neq A_i \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, r\}$$

is called an r -partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ if $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_r = \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ and $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$. Obviously, the cardinal number of all partitions of $\{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ is finite. Let $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r\}, \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_r\}$ be two r -partitions of $\{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ and $c_k \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Set $\mathcal{O}_{A_k} = \bigoplus_{i \in A_k} a_i \mathcal{C}_i$ and $\mathcal{O}_{B_k} = \bigoplus_{j \in B_k} b_j \mathcal{C}_j$ for $1 \leq k \leq r$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{A_k, B_k, c_k} = \{[X] \in \mathcal{O}_{A_k} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{B_k} \mid 1_{\mathcal{O}_{A_k}} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_{B_k}}([X]) = c_k\},$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{A_k, B_k, c_k} = \{[X] \mid X \text{ indecomposable, } 1_{\mathcal{O}_{A_k}} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_{B_k}}([X]) = c_k\}.$$

This means that for each $[X] \in \mathcal{R}_{A_k, B_k, c_k}$, there exist $[A] \in \mathcal{O}_{A_k}$ and $[B] \in \mathcal{O}_{B_k}$ such that $X \cong A \oplus B$. For each $[Y] \in \mathcal{I}_{A_k, B_k, c_k}$, there exist $[C] \in \mathcal{O}_{A_k}$ and $[D] \in \mathcal{O}_{B_k}$ such that $C \rightarrow Y \rightarrow D$ is a non-split conflation in \mathcal{A} . Note that

$$\mathcal{R}_{A_k, B_k, c_k} = ((1_{\mathcal{O}_{A_k}} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_{B_k}})^{-1}(c_k)) \cap (\mathcal{O}_{A_k} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{B_k}).$$

By Corollary 3.16, $\mathcal{R}_{A_k, B_k, c_k} = \emptyset$ or $\mathcal{O}_{A_k} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{B_k}$. Hence $\mathcal{R}_{A_k, B_k, c_k}$ is a constructible set of Krull-Schmidt. There exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s \in K^l(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\mathcal{I}_{A_k, B_k, c_k} = (\prod_{i=1}^s \text{Ind}(\alpha_i)) \cap ((1_{\mathcal{O}_{A_k}} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_{B_k}})^{-1}(c_k))$. By Lemma 3.17, $\mathcal{I}_{A_k, B_k, c_k}$ is an indecomposable constructible set.

Finally, $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of finitely many $1_{\oplus_{k=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{A_k, B_k, c_k}}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{A_k, B_k, c_k}$ run through $\mathcal{R}_{A_k, B_k, c_k}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{A_k, B_k, c_k}$ for all r -partitions and $r = 1, 2, \dots, t$. We finish the proof. \square

Thus we summarize what we have proved as the following theorem which is due to [4, Theorem 12].

Theorem 3.19. *The \mathbb{Q} -space $\text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is an associative \mathbb{Q} -algebra with convolution multiplication $*$ and identity $1_{[0]}$.*

3.5. The universal enveloping algebra of $\text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$

From now on, let $U(\text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}))$ denote the universal enveloping algebra of $\text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ over \mathbb{Q} . The multiplication in $U(\text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}))$ will be written as $(x, y) \mapsto xy$. There is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra homomorphism

$$\Phi : U(\text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})) \rightarrow \text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$$

defined by $\Phi(1) = 1_{[0]}$ and $\Phi(f_1 f_2 \dots f_n) = f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_n$, where f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n belong to $\text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$.

The following theorem is related to [4, Theorem 15].

Theorem 3.20. $\Phi : U(\text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})) \rightarrow \text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. For simplicity of presentation, let

$$U = U(\text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})) \text{ and } \text{CF} = \text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}).$$

Assume that $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \dots, \mathcal{O}_{k-1}$ and \mathcal{O}_k are indecomposable constructible subsets of $\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ which are pairwise disjoint. It follows that $1_{\mathcal{O}_1}, 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}, \dots, 1_{\mathcal{O}_k}$ are linearly independent in $\text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$.

Let $U_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}$ denote the subspace of U which is spanned by all $1_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{n_1} 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{n_2} \dots 1_{\mathcal{O}_k}^{n_k}$ for $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Define $\text{CF}_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}$ to be the subalgebra of CF which is generated by the elements $1_{n_1 \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus n_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus n_k \mathcal{O}_k}$ of CF , where $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

The homomorphism Φ induces a homomorphism

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k} : U_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k} \rightarrow \text{CF}_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}$$

which maps $1_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{n_1} 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{n_2} \dots 1_{\mathcal{O}_k}^{n_k}$ to $1_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{*n_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{*n_2} * \dots * 1_{\mathcal{O}_k}^{*n_k}$.

First of all, we want to show that $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}$ is injective.

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $U_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}^{(m)}$ be the subspace of U which is spanned by

$$\{1_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{n_1} 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{n_2} \dots 1_{\mathcal{O}_k}^{n_k} \mid \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \leq m, n_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k\}$$

Using the PBW Theorem, we obtain that

$$\{1_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{n_1} 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{n_2} \dots 1_{\mathcal{O}_k}^{n_k} \mid \sum_{i=1}^k n_i = m, n_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k\}$$

is a basis of the \mathbb{Q} -vector space $U_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}^{(m)} / U_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}^{(m-1)}$ for $m \geq 1$.

Similarly, we define $\text{CF}_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}^{(m)}$ to be a subspace of $\text{CF}_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}$ such that each $f \in \text{CF}_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}^{(m)}$ is of the form $\sum_{i=1}^l c_i 1_{\mathcal{C}_i}$, where $l \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, $1_{\mathcal{C}_i} \in \text{CF}_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}$ and \mathcal{C}_i are constructible sets of Krull-Schmidt such that $\gamma(\mathcal{C}_i) \leq m$.

In $\text{CF}_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}^{(m)} / \text{CF}_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}^{(m-1)}$, the set

$$\{1_{n_1 \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus n_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus n_k \mathcal{O}_k} \mid \sum_{i=1}^k n_i = m, n_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k\}$$

is linearly independent by the Krull-Schmidt Theorem.

For each $m \geq 1$, $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}$ induce a map

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}^{(m)} : U_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}^{(m)} / U_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}^{(m-1)} \rightarrow \text{CF}_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}^{(m)} / \text{CF}_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}^{(m-1)}$$

which maps $1_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{n_1} 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{n_2} \dots 1_{\mathcal{O}_k}^{n_k}$ to $n_1! n_2! \dots n_k! 1_{n_1 \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus n_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus n_k \mathcal{O}_k}$ (also see Corollary 3.16), where $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i = m$ and $m_i \geq 0$. From this we know that $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}^{(m)}$ is injective for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously, both $U_{\mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \dots \mathcal{O}_n}$ and $\text{CF}_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_n}$

are filtered. From the properties of filtered algebra, we know that $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}$ is injective. Hence $\Phi : U \rightarrow \text{CF}$ is injective.

Finally, we show that Φ is surjective by induction on m . When $m = 1$, the statement is trivial. Then we assume that every constructible function $f = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i 1_{\mathcal{Q}_i}$ lies in $\text{Im}(\Phi)$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and \mathcal{Q}_i are constructible sets of stratified Krull-Schmidt with $\gamma(\mathcal{Q}_i) < m$.

Let $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k = m$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(1_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{n_1} 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{n_2} \dots 1_{\mathcal{O}_k}^{n_k}) &= 1_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{*n_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{*n_2} * \dots * 1_{\mathcal{O}_k}^{*n_k} \\ &= n_1! n_2! \dots n_k! 1_{n_1 \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus n_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus n_k \mathcal{O}_k} + \sum_{j=1}^s b_j 1_{\mathcal{P}_j}, \end{aligned}$$

where $b_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ and \mathcal{P}_j are constructible sets of stratified Krull-Schmidt with $\gamma(\mathcal{P}_j) < m$. By the hypothesis, $\sum_{j=1}^s b_j 1_{\mathcal{P}_j} \in \text{Im}(\Phi)$. Hence $1_{n_1 \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus n_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus n_k \mathcal{O}_k}$ lies in $\text{Im}(\Phi)$. The algebra CF is generated by all $1_{n_1 \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus n_k \mathcal{O}_k}$, which proves that Φ is surjective, the proof is finished. \square

4. Comultiplication and Green's theorem

4.1. Comultiplication

We now turn to define a comultiplication on the algebra $\text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$. For $f, g \in \text{CF}(\mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$, $f \otimes g$ is define by $f \otimes g([X], [Y]) = f([X])g([Y])$ for $([X], [Y]) \in (\mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}})(\mathbb{K}) = \mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ (see [12, Definition 4.1]). Let $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ be a conflation in \mathcal{A} . Recall that the map $p_2 : \text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X) \times \text{Aut}(Z)$ is defined by $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \mapsto (a_1, a_3)$ and $\chi(\text{Ker} p_2) = 1$.

The following definitions are related to [4, Section 6] and [12, Definition 4.16].

Definition 4.1. From now on, assume that $\pi_m : \mathfrak{E}\mathbf{r}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{c}\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is of finite type and $\pi_l \times \pi_r$ is representable. Then we have the following diagram

$$\text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}}) \xleftarrow{(\pi_l \times \pi_r)!} \text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{E}\mathbf{r}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{c}\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{A}}) \xleftarrow{(\pi_m)^*} \text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}}).$$

The comultiplication

$$\Delta : \text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow \text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$$

is defined by $\Delta = (\pi_l \times \pi_r)_! \circ (\pi_m)^*$, where $\text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is regarded as a topological completion of $\text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes \text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$.

The counit $\varepsilon : \text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ maps f to $f([0])$.

Note that Δ is a \mathbb{Q} -linear map since $(\pi_l \times \pi_r)_!$ and $(\pi_m)^*$ are \mathbb{Q} -linear map.

Definition 4.2. Let $\alpha = [A], \beta = [B] \in \mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ and $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ be a constructible set of stratified Krull-Schmidt, define

$$h_{\mathcal{O}}^{\beta\alpha} = \Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}})([A], [B]).$$

Let \mathcal{O}_1 and $\mathcal{O}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ be constructible sets, define

$$g_{\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_1}^{\alpha} = 1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}(\alpha).$$

Because $\Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}})$ is a constructible function, $\Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}}) = \sum_{i=1}^n h_{\mathcal{O}_i}^{\beta_i\alpha_i} 1_{\mathcal{O}_i}$ for some $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where \mathcal{O}_i are constructible subsets of $\mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{D}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $X, Y, Z \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$. If $X \oplus Z$ is not isomorphic to Y , then $\Delta(1_{[Y]})([X], [Z]) = 0$.*

Proof. If $\Delta(1_{[Y]})([X], [Z]) \neq 0$, there exists a conflation $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ in \mathcal{A} such that $m_{\pi_l \times \pi_r}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z]) \neq 0$. Recall that

$$m_{\pi_l \times \pi_r}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z]) = \chi((\text{Aut}(X) \times \text{Aut}(Z))/\text{Imp}_2).$$

If $\text{rk Imp}_2 < \text{rk}(\text{Aut}(X) \times \text{Aut}(Z))$, the fibre of the action of a maximal torus of $\text{Aut}(X) \times \text{Aut}(Z)$ on $(\text{Aut}(X) \times \text{Aut}(Z))/\text{Imp}_2$ is $(\mathbb{K}^*)^l$ for some $l > 0$. Then $\chi((\text{Aut}(X) \times \text{Aut}(Z))/\text{Imp}_2) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\text{rk}(\text{Aut}(X) \times \text{Aut}(Z)) = \text{rk Imp}_2$.

Assume that $\text{rk Aut}(X) = n_1$, $\text{rk Aut}(Z) = n_2$ and $\text{rk Aut}(Y) = n$ for some positive integers n_1, n_2 and n . Note that $\mathbf{D}_{n_1} \times \mathbf{D}_{n_2}$ is a maximal torus of $\text{Aut}(X) \times \text{Aut}(Z)$. Because $\text{rk}(\text{Aut}(X) \times \text{Aut}(Z)) = \text{rk Im}(p_2)$, each maximal torus of Imp_2 is also a maximal torus of $\text{Aut}(X) \times \text{Aut}(Z)$. Therefore every maximal torus of Imp_2 and $\mathbf{D}_{n_1} \times \mathbf{D}_{n_2}$ are conjugate. For simplicity, we can assume that $\mathbf{D}_{n_1} \times \mathbf{D}_{n_2}$ is a maximal torus of Imp_2 . For $(t_1 I_{n_1}, t_2 I_{n_2}) \in \mathbf{D}_{n_1} \times \mathbf{D}_{n_2}$, where $t_1 \neq t_2$, there exists $\tau \in \text{Aut}(Y)$ such that

$(t_1 I_{n_1}, \tau, t_2 I_{n_2}) \in \text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$. Then we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\ t_1 I_{n_1} \downarrow & & \tau \downarrow & & \downarrow t_2 I_{n_2} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \end{array}$$

The morphism $(t_2 I_{n_1}, t_2 I_n, t_2 I_{n_2})$ is also in $\text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$. The following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\ t_2 I_{n_1} \downarrow & & t_2 I_n \downarrow & & \downarrow t_2 I_{n_2} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \end{array}$$

Consequently $g(\tau - t_2 I_n) = 0$. Because f is a kernel of g , there exists $h \in \text{Hom}(Y, X)$ such that $\tau - t_2 I_n = fh$. Then $\tau = fh + t_2 I_n$. We have

$$f(t_1 I_{n_1}) = \tau f = (fh + t_2 I_n)f,$$

it follows that

$$fhf = f(t_1 I_{n_1}) - (t_2 I_n)f = f(t_1 I_{n_1} - t_2 I_{n_1}).$$

Then $hf = (t_1 - t_2)I_{n_1}$ since f is an inflation. Let $f' = \frac{1}{t_1 - t_2}h$, then $f'f = 1_X$. Hence X is isomorphic to a direct summand of Y . The proof is completed. \square

For an indecomposable object $X \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$, direct summands of X are only X and 0 . Thus $\Delta(1_{[X]}) = 1_{[X]} \otimes 1_{[0]} + 1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{[X]}$. It follows that $\Delta(f) = f \otimes 1_{[0]} + 1_{[0]} \otimes f$ for $f \in \text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj} \mathcal{A})$.

By Lemma 4.3, $h_{\mathcal{O}}^{\beta\alpha} = 1$ if $\alpha \oplus \beta \in \mathcal{O}$, and $h_{\mathcal{O}}^{\beta\alpha} = 0$ otherwise. Let $\mathcal{O} = n_1 \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus n_m \mathcal{O}_m$ be a constructible set of Krull-Schmidt, where \mathcal{O}_i are indecomposable constructible sets for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. By Lemma 4.3, the formula $\Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}}) = \sum_{i=1}^n h_{\mathcal{O}}^{\beta_i \alpha_i} 1_{\mathcal{O}_i}$ can be written as

$$\Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}}) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m; 0 \leq k_i \leq n_i} 1_{k_1 \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus k_m \mathcal{O}_m} \otimes 1_{(n_1 - k_1) \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus (n_m - k_m) \mathcal{O}_m}.$$

Hence we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4. *Let \mathcal{O} be a constructible set of stratified Krull-Schmidt, then $\Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}}) \in \text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes \text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}})$, i.e., the map*

$$\Delta : \text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow \text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes \text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}})$$

is well-defined.

4.2. Green’s theorem on stacks

Recall that

$$\int_{x \in S} f(x) = \sum_{a \in f(S) \setminus \{0\}} a \chi^{\text{na}}(f^{-1}(a) \cap S),$$

where f is a constructible function and S a locally constructible set.

Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_\rho, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \mathcal{O}_\epsilon, \mathcal{O}_\tau, \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ be constructible sets and $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_1, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_2, \rho \in \mathcal{O}_\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_\epsilon, \tau \in \mathcal{O}_\tau, \lambda \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ such that $\mathcal{O}_\rho \oplus \mathcal{O}_\sigma = \mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_\epsilon \oplus \mathcal{O}_\tau = \mathcal{O}_2$.

The following theorem is the degenerate form of Green’s theorem which is related to [4, Theorem 22].

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ be constructible subsets of $\mathfrak{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ and $\alpha', \beta' \in \mathfrak{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$, then we have*

$$g_{\mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_1}^{\alpha' \oplus \beta'} = \int_{\rho, \sigma, \epsilon, \tau \in \mathfrak{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}); \rho \oplus \sigma \in \mathcal{O}_1, \epsilon \oplus \tau \in \mathcal{O}_2} g_{\epsilon \rho}^{\alpha'} g_{\tau \sigma}^{\beta'}.$$

Proof. By the proof of Lemma 3.8, $g_{\mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_1}^{\alpha' \oplus \beta'} = \int_{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_1, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_2} g_{\beta \alpha}^{\alpha' \oplus \beta'}$. It suffices to prove the following formula

$$g_{\beta \alpha}^{\alpha' \oplus \beta'} = \int_{\rho, \sigma, \epsilon, \tau \in \mathfrak{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}); \rho \oplus \sigma = \alpha, \epsilon \oplus \tau = \beta} g_{\epsilon \rho}^{\alpha'} g_{\tau \sigma}^{\beta'}.$$

Suppose that $[A] = \alpha, [B] = \beta, [A'] = \alpha', [B'] = \beta', [C] = \rho, [D] = \sigma, [E] = \epsilon$ and $[F] = \tau$ for $A, B, C, D, E, F \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$. There are finitely many (ρ, σ) and (ϵ, τ) such that $\rho \oplus \sigma = \alpha$ and $\epsilon \oplus \tau = \beta$. Take

$$V = \bigcup_{\substack{[C], [D], [E], [F]; \\ [C \oplus D] = [A], [E \oplus F] = [B]}} V([C], [E]; A') \times V([D], [F]; B').$$

The map

$$i : V \rightarrow V([A], [B]; A' \oplus B')$$

is defined by

$$(\langle C \xrightarrow{f_1} A' \xrightarrow{g_1} E \rangle, \langle D \xrightarrow{f_2} B' \xrightarrow{g_2} F \rangle) \mapsto \langle C \oplus D \xrightarrow{f} A' \oplus B' \xrightarrow{g} E \oplus F \rangle,$$

where $f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & 0 \\ 0 & f_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $g = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Because both $C \xrightarrow{f_1} A' \xrightarrow{g_1} E$ and $D \xrightarrow{f_2} B' \xrightarrow{g_2} F$ are conflations, $C \oplus D \xrightarrow{f} A' \oplus B' \xrightarrow{g} E \oplus F$ is a conflation by [2, Proposition 2.9]. Hence the morphism is well-defined. Note that i is injective.

There is a map $\Omega_1 : V(A, B, A' \oplus B') \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}r\mathfrak{a}c\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ which maps $\langle A \xrightarrow{f} A' \oplus B' \xrightarrow{g} B \rangle$ to $[A \xrightarrow{f} A' \oplus B' \xrightarrow{g} B]$. Recall that

$$\chi(\Omega_1^{-1}([A \xrightarrow{f} A' \oplus B' \xrightarrow{g} B])) = m_{\pi_m}([A \xrightarrow{f} A' \oplus B' \xrightarrow{g} B]).$$

Take

$$Q(A, B, A' \oplus B') = \sqcup_{a \in \Lambda(A, B; A' \oplus B')} Q_a(A, B, A' \oplus B')$$

which is the image of Ω_1 .

A map

$$\Omega_2 : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}r\mathfrak{a}c\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{E}r\mathfrak{a}c\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$$

is defined by

$$(\langle C \xrightarrow{f_1} A' \xrightarrow{g_1} E \rangle, \langle D \xrightarrow{f_2} B' \xrightarrow{g_2} F \rangle) \mapsto ([C \xrightarrow{f_1} A' \xrightarrow{g_1} E], [D \xrightarrow{f_2} B' \xrightarrow{g_2} F]).$$

The Euler characteristic of $\Omega_2^{-1}([\langle C \xrightarrow{f_1} A' \xrightarrow{g_1} E \rangle], [\langle D \xrightarrow{f_2} B' \xrightarrow{g_2} F \rangle])$ is $m_{\pi_m}([C \xrightarrow{f_1} A' \xrightarrow{g_1} E])m_{\pi_m}([D \xrightarrow{f_2} B' \xrightarrow{g_2} F])$. Let

$$Q(c, d, C, D, E, F) = Q_c(C, E, A') \times Q_d(D, F, B')$$

for $c \in \Lambda(C, E; A')$, $d \in \Lambda(D, F; B')$ and

$$Q(A', B') = \sqcup_{c, d, [C], [D], [E], [F]} Q(c, d, C, D, E, F),$$

where $C \oplus E \cong A$ and $D \oplus F \cong B$.

There is a morphism

$$\bar{i} : Q(A', B') \rightarrow Q(A, B, A' \oplus B')$$

by $([C \xrightarrow{f_1} A' \xrightarrow{g_1} E], [D \xrightarrow{f_2} B' \xrightarrow{g_2} F]) \mapsto [C \oplus D \xrightarrow{f} A' \oplus B' \xrightarrow{g} E \oplus F]$. Then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_2^{-1}(Q(A', B')) & \xrightarrow{i} & \Omega_1^{-1}(Q(A, B, A' \oplus B')) \\ \Omega_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \Omega_1 \\ Q(A', B') & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} & Q(A, B, A' \oplus B') \end{array}$$

According to Lemma 3.11, if $m_{\pi_m}([A \xrightarrow{f} A' \oplus B' \xrightarrow{g} B]) \neq 0$, then there exist two conflations $C \xrightarrow{f_1} A' \xrightarrow{g_1} E$ and $D \xrightarrow{f_2} B' \xrightarrow{g_2} F$ in \mathcal{A} such that $A \cong C \oplus D$, $B \cong E \oplus F$, $f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & 0 \\ 0 & f_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $g = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix}$. If

$$m_{\pi_m}([A \xrightarrow{f} A' \oplus B' \xrightarrow{g} B]) = 0,$$

then $[A \xrightarrow{f} A' \oplus B' \xrightarrow{g} B] \in \mathfrak{E}x\mathfrak{a}c\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \setminus Q(A, B, A' \oplus B')$. Hence \bar{i} is surjective. For each $[A \xrightarrow{f} A' \oplus B' \xrightarrow{g} B] \in Q(A, B, A' \oplus B')$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi(\bar{i}^{-1}([A \xrightarrow{f} A' \oplus B' \xrightarrow{g} B])) \\ &= \frac{m_{\pi_m}([A \xrightarrow{f} A' \oplus B' \xrightarrow{g} B])}{m_{\pi_m}([C \xrightarrow{f_1} A' \xrightarrow{g_1} E])m_{\pi_m}([D \xrightarrow{f_2} B' \xrightarrow{g_2} F])}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5, it follows that

$$cd\chi^{\text{na}}(Q_c(C, E; A'))\chi^{\text{na}}(Q_d(D, F; B')) = a\chi^{\text{na}}(Q_c(A, B; A' \oplus B')),$$

where $c = m_{\pi_m}([C \xrightarrow{f_1} A' \xrightarrow{g_1} E])$, $d = m_{\pi_m}([D \xrightarrow{f_2} B' \xrightarrow{g_2} F])$, $a = m_{\pi_m}([A \xrightarrow{f} A' \oplus B' \xrightarrow{g} B])$ and $acd \neq 0$. This completes the proof. \square

For all $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in \text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{O}b\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$, define $(f_1 \otimes g_1) * (f_2 \otimes g_2) = (f_1 * f_2) \otimes (g_1 * g_2)$. Using Green's theorem, we have the following theorem due to [4, Theorem 24].

Theorem 4.6. *The map $\Delta : \text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{O}b\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow \text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{O}b\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes \text{CF}^{\text{KS}}(\mathfrak{O}b\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is an algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the one in [4, Theorem 24]. Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2 \in \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ be constructible sets of stratified Krull-Schmidt. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}) &= \Delta\left(\sum_{\lambda} g_{\mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_1}^{\lambda} 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}}\right) = \sum_{\lambda} g_{\mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_1}^{\lambda} \Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}}) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} g_{\mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_1}^{\lambda} \left(\sum_{\alpha', \beta'} h_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}}^{\beta' \alpha'} 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha'}} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\beta'}}\right) = \sum_{\alpha', \beta'} g_{\mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_1}^{\alpha' \oplus \beta'} 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha'}} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\beta'}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}_1}) * \Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}_2}) &= \left(\sum_{\rho, \sigma} h_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{\sigma \rho} 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\rho}} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}}\right) * \left(\sum_{\epsilon, \tau} h_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{\tau \epsilon} 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\epsilon}} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\tau}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\rho, \sigma, \epsilon, \tau} h_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{\sigma \rho} h_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{\tau \epsilon} (1_{\mathcal{O}_{\rho}} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\epsilon}}) \otimes (1_{\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\tau}}) \\ &= \sum_{\rho, \sigma, \epsilon, \tau} h_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{\sigma \rho} h_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{\tau \epsilon} \left(\sum_{\alpha', \beta'} g_{\mathcal{O}_{\epsilon} \mathcal{O}_{\rho}}^{\alpha'} g_{\mathcal{O}_{\tau} \mathcal{O}_{\sigma}}^{\beta'} 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha'}} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\beta'}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha', \beta'} \left(\sum_{\rho, \sigma, \epsilon, \tau} h_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{\sigma \rho} h_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{\tau \epsilon} g_{\mathcal{O}_{\epsilon} \mathcal{O}_{\rho}}^{\alpha'} g_{\mathcal{O}_{\tau} \mathcal{O}_{\sigma}}^{\beta'} 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha'}} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\beta'}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 4.5, it follows that

$$\sum_{\rho, \sigma, \epsilon, \tau} h_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{\sigma \rho} h_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{\tau \epsilon} g_{\mathcal{O}_{\epsilon} \mathcal{O}_{\rho}}^{\alpha'} g_{\mathcal{O}_{\tau} \mathcal{O}_{\sigma}}^{\beta'} = g_{\mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_1}^{\alpha' \oplus \beta'}.$$

Therefore $\Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}) = \Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}_1}) * \Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}_2})$. We have thus proved the theorem. \square

Appendix A. Exact categories

We recall the definition of an exact category (see [13, Appendix A]).

Definition A.1. Let \mathcal{A} be an additive category. A sequence

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$$

in \mathcal{A} is called exact if f is a kernel of g and g is a cokernel of f . The morphisms f and g are called inflation and deflation respectively. The short exact sequence is called a conflation. Let \mathcal{S} be the collection of conflations closed under isomorphism and satisfying the following axioms

A0 $1_0 : 0 \rightarrow 0$ is a deflation.

A1 The composition of two deflations is a deflation.

A2 For every $h \in \text{Hom}(X, X')$ and every inflation $f \in \text{Hom}(X, Y)$ in \mathcal{A} , there exists a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ h \downarrow & & \downarrow h' \\ X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \end{array}$$

where $f' \in \text{Hom}(X', Y')$ is an inflation.

A3 For every $l \in \text{Hom}(Z', Z)$ and every deflation $g \in \text{Hom}(Y, Z)$ in \mathcal{A} , there exists a pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{g'} & Z' \\ l' \downarrow & & \downarrow l \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \end{array}$$

where $g' \in \text{Hom}(Y', Z')$ is a deflation. Then $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})$ is called an exact category.

The definition of idempotent complete is taken from [2, Definition 6.1].

Definition A.2. Let \mathcal{A} be an additive category. The category \mathcal{A} is idempotent complete if for every idempotent morphism $s : A \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{A} , s has a kernel $k : K \rightarrow A$ and a image $i : I \rightarrow A$ (a kernel of a cokernel of s) such that $A \cong K \oplus I$. We write $A \cong \text{Kers} \oplus \text{Ims}$, for simplicity.

References

- [1] I. Assem, D. Simson, A. Skowronski. *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras. Vol. 1. Techniques of representation theory.* London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 65. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [2] T. Bühler. *Exact categories.* Expo. Math. 28 (2010), no. 1, 1-69.
- [3] P. Caldero, B. Keller. *From triangulated categories to cluster algebras.* Invent. Math. 172 (2008), no. 1, 169-211.
- [4] M. Ding, J. Xiao, F. Xu. *Realizing enveloping algebras via varieties of modules.* Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 26 (2010), no. 1, 29-48.
- [5] J. A. Green. *Hall algebras, hereditary algebras and quantum groups.* Invent. Math. 120 (1995), no. 2, 361-377.

- [6] T. L. Gómez. *Algebraic stacks*. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 111 (2001), no. 1, 1-31.
- [7] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [8] A. Hubery. *From triangulated categories to Lie algebras: a theorem of Peng and Xiao*. Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics, 51-66, Contemp. Math., 406, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [9] B. T. Jensen, X. Su, A. Zimmermann. *Degenerations for derived categories*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 198 (2005), no. 1-3, 281-295.
- [10] D. Joyce. *Configurations in abelian categories. I. Basic properties and moduli stacks*. Adv. Math. 203 (2006), no. 1, 194-255.
- [11] D. Joyce. *Constructible functions on Artin stacks*. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 74 (2006), no. 3, 583-606.
- [12] D. Joyce. *Configurations in abelian categories. II. Ringel-Hall algebras*. Adv. Math. 210 (2007), no. 2, 635-706.
- [13] B. Keller. *Chain complexes and stable categories*. Manuscripta Math. 67 (1990), no. 4, 379-417.
- [14] A. Kresch. *Cycle groups for Artin stacks*. Invent. Math. 138 (1999), no. 3, 495-536.
- [15] G. Lusztig. *Intersection cohomology methods in representation theory*. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I, II (Kyoto, 1990), 155-174, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1991.
- [16] S. Mozgovoy. *Motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants and McKay correspondence*. arXiv:1107.6044v1 [math.AG].
- [17] D. Mumford. *Algebraic geometry. I. Complex projective varieties*. Reprint of the 1976 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [18] L. Peng, J. Xiao. *Triangulated categories and Kac-Moody algebras*. Invent. Math. 140 (2000), no. 3, 563-603.
- [19] D. Quillen. *Higher algebraic K-theory. I*. Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972), pp. 85-147. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 341, Springer, Berlin 1973.

- [20] C. Riedtmann. *Lie algebras generated by indecomposables*. J. Algebra 170 (1994), no. 2, 526-546.
- [21] C. M. Ringel. *Hall algebras and quantum groups*. Invent. Math. 101 (1990), no. 3, 583-591.
- [22] C. M. Ringel. *Green's theorem on Hall algebras*. Representation theory of algebras and related topics (Mexico City, 1994), 185-245, CMS Conf. Proc., 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.
- [23] M. Rosenlicht. *A remark on quotient spaces*. An. Acad. Brasil. Ci. 35 1963 487-489.
- [24] A. Schofield. *Notes on constructing Lie algebras from finite-dimensional algebras*. Manuscript.
- [25] J. Xiao, F. Xu, G. Zhang. *Derived categories and Lie algebras*. arXiv:0604564v2 [math.QA].

Liqian Bai

Department Of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University,
Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China.
E-mail: 196baiyz@163.com

Fan Xu

Department Of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University,
Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China.
E-mail: fanxu@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn